

A TEXTBOOK OF AGRO-ECOLOGY

**Steve Waite
Shakuli Saxena**





A Textbook of Agro-Ecology

|||||

Steve Waite

Shakuli Saxena



***A Textbook of
Agro-Ecology***

|||||

Steve Waite

Shakuli Saxena

Dominant

Publishers & Distributors Pvt Ltd

New Delhi, INDIA



Knowledge is Our Business

A TEXTBOOK OF AGRO-ECOLOGY

By Steve Waite, Shakuli Saxena

This edition published by Dominant Publishers And Distributors (P) Ltd
4378/4-B, Murarilal Street, Ansari Road, Daryaganj,
New Delhi-110002.

ISBN: 978-81-78886-30-5

Edition: 2022 (Revised)

©Reserved.

This publication may not be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publishers.

Dominant

Publishers & Distributors Pvt Ltd

Registered Office: 4378/4-B, Murari Lal Street, Ansari Road,
Daryaganj, New Delhi - 110002.

Ph. +91-11-23281685, 41043100, Fax: +91-11-23270680

Production Office: "Dominant House", G - 316, Sector - 63, Noida,
National Capital Region - 201301.

Ph. 0120-4270027, 4273334

e-mail: dominantbooks@gmail.com
info@dominantbooks.com

w w w . d o m i n a n t b o o k s . c o m

CONTENTS

Chapter 1. The Crisis of Industrial Agriculture: An Analysis.....	1
— <i>Shakuli Saxena</i>	
Chapter 2. The Role of Biodiversity in Ecological Agriculture	8
— <i>Praveen Kumar Singh</i>	
Chapter 3. Concept of Agroecology and Food Sovereignty	17
— <i>Sunil Kumar</i>	
Chapter 4. Determination of Ecology and its Domain	24
— <i>Devendra Pal Singh</i>	
Chapter 5. A Comprehensive Review of Ecology as a Science	30
— <i>Upasana</i>	
Chapter 6. Correlations between Temperature and the Distribution of Plants and Animals	38
— <i>Ashutosh Awasthi</i>	
Chapter 7. The Environmental Dimension of Agroecology	45
— <i>Anil Kumar</i>	
Chapter 8. Exploring the Branches, Application and Scope of Ecology	52
— <i>Kusum Farswan</i>	
Chapter 9. Analysis of Organic Farming and Gardening Skills	59
— <i>Kuldeep Mishra</i>	
Chapter 10. Concepts of Habitat and Ecological Niche	66
— <i>Heejeebu Shanmukha Viswanath</i>	
Chapter 11. The Food Chain Philosophy in Agroecology	74
— <i>Devendra Pal Singh</i>	
Chapter 12. Exploring the Concept of Productivity in Agroecology.....	82
— <i>Ashutosh Awasthi</i>	

CHAPTER 1

THE CRISIS OF INDUSTRIAL AGRICULTURE: AN ANALYSIS

Shakuli Saxena, Assistant Professor

College of Agriculture Sciences, TeerthankarMahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

Email Id- shakuli2803@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

The industrial agricultural dilemma is a serious issue that has to be addressed right now on a worldwide scale. The many problems that modern agriculture is now facing are thoroughly examined in this study, with an emphasis on how they have a significant impact on human health, environmental sustainability, and food security. The paper delves into the complex dimensions that highlight the urgency of shifting to more sustainable and resilient agricultural practices by looking at the intricate factors that underlie this crisis, such as monoculture farming, pesticide dependence, and loss of biodiversity. The industrial agricultural dilemma calls for multidisciplinary approaches and revolutionary transformations since it involves a broad variety of issues, from social fairness to soil degradation. The study addresses terms like monoculture farming, pesticide dependence, sustainable agriculture, and food sovereignty that are associated with the industrial agriculture dilemma. This study offers a thorough investigation, making it a useful tool for decision-makers, agricultural specialists, researchers, and other stakeholders looking for ways to address the situation and create a more sustainable food system.

KEYWORDS:

Food Sovereignty, Monoculture Farming, Pesticide Dependency, Sustainable Agriculture.

INTRODUCTION

The economic, financial, energy, ecological, and social problems the world is now experiencing are all interconnected. Only one facet of the ecological dilemma is climate change. These crises don't just happen; they are the outcome of an oppressive and powerful capitalism system that prioritizes economic expansion above people, the environment, and the planet. We cannot continue using the same strategy because nature has its own tipping points and boundaries, which pose a hazard to the whole planet if they are crossed. There are several spikes (indicating significant increases) in various metrics, such as population and related consumption, with this kind of growth. It must be understood, nevertheless, that not all populations have the same consumption habits. For instance, in one country, 1% of the population owns 80% of the wealth, while the remaining 99% control the last 20%. Similar to this, there is an increase in carbon dioxide emissions related to climate change, yet one individual in the US or Europe may be 20 times more accountable for these emissions than a tiny farmer in Asia or Africa. There is also a surge in species extinctions; humans are eradicating thousands of species every day. Each organism performs a significant ecological function, but the effects of such losses are still not fully understood. Deforestation, soil erosion, climate change, and other issues linked to a worldwide economy are putting our natural systems under stress. Environmental issues have a connection to socioeconomic issues including hunger, poverty, unfairness, and ecological refugees. All of these problems come together in the area of agriculture [1], [2]. Agriculture is the taming or simplifying of the natural world. Because monocultures lack the biological variety that is essential to the ecology, we must start using external inputs and step up our management efforts. The use of inputs is still necessary for monocultures, whether they are conventional or organic. Botanical pesticides may be used in place of chemical pesticides in these situations. These interventions

are not necessary in a natural forest since all the creatures work together to create a self-regulating system. Unfortunately, industrial monocultures that are heavily reliant on outside inputs and energy dominate 90% of the world's 1.5 billion hectares of agricultural land. Only 12 different types of grains and 23 different kinds of vegetables are mainly consumed worldwide. However, because to their monocultures' high vulnerability to diseases, pests, and climate change have contributed to historical famines, such as those in Ireland and India where genetically homogenous agriculture was unsuccessful[3], [4].

The Green Revolution of the 1960s gave rise to the development of industrial agriculture. To 'educate' farmers in the tropics how to practice agriculture, the North established worldwide agricultural research centers with scientists from temperate regions. Science turned become a tool for the powerful. A specific political objective was used to support agricultural programs that promoted uniform, so-called high-yielding varieties at the cost of regional crop variations. Mexico was the starting point for the Green Revolution before it extended to India and other nations. The technology favored large-scale farmers rather than being scale-neutral. This type is still widely used today across the globe. Farm size is growing while the number of farms is declining. However, there has been a significant decline in genetic diversity. Many organic, diverse agricultural methods have been displaced by industrial farming. Monocultures may provide short-term financial benefits, but they may not ultimately represent environmentally ideal systems. The majority of important crops are genetically homogeneous and very susceptible to pests, diseases, and changing weather. As a result, pesticide addiction has developed. The "pesticide treadmill" refers to the process of developing new pesticides and using more since, over time, insects and weeds become resistant to chemical pesticides, rendering them ineffective. Furthermore, the law of diminishing returns has shown that when more synthetic fertilizers are applied, yields go down after reaching a high[5], [6].

Three presumptions served as the foundation for the Green Revolution: there will always be cheap, plentiful energy; the climate would remain constant; and water would always be accessible. These presumptions are all incorrect as of right now. Industrial agriculture contributes significantly to climate change, releasing 17–32% of greenhouse gas emissions in the form of carbon dioxide, methane, and nitrous oxide. Soil carbon losses are largest where industrial farms are located. The loss of biodiversity and decreased yields are only two of the numerous implications of climate change on agriculture. Farmers in the US Midwest lost 30% of their corn and soybean crop in 2012 as a result of the region's worst drought in 30 years. Industrial monoculture systems are thus susceptible to climate change rather than robust. Agriculture utilizes 10% of the world's land mass yet 70% of all water taken. We don't have enough water to continue our present consumption rates; for instance, the cattle sector requires 15,000 litres of water per kilogram of beef, whereas grains use 1,500 litres per kilogram, and fruits use 1,000 litres per kilogram. Due to eutrophication, there are now dead zones in the seas. Agriculture inputs including nitrogen and phosphorus that have leached into rivers and ended up in the ocean are the major causes. They encourage the development of algae, which then consumes all the oxygen. In the end, modern agriculture just isn't feeding the globe as much as it was meant to. It requires 70–80% of the arable land, 70–80% of the water, and 80–80% of the fossil fuels needed in agriculture to produce just 30% of the food we consume. Agriculture in the industrialized world really generates more biofuel and fodder than food. Meanwhile, there is a surge in global hunger. 3.4 billion people worldwide 50% of the population suffer from hunger, malnutrition, and obesity. In agroindustrial networks, between 33 and 40 percent of the food produced is lost during production, transportation, or disposal. Animal food makes up around 40% of our total grain supply.

DISCUSSION

Thus, the causes of hunger are more closely linked to poverty and inequality than to productivity. But the fact that a select few multinational companies run the food system is the real source of famine. Due to market speculation, food prices reached an all-time high in 2008, making it impossible for many people to afford to eat. However, leading businesses like Bunge and Cargill were making record profits at the time. This food empire has control over how food is produced, what technologies are used, how much and what kind of food customers will eat, and how much they will pay for it. Customers and producers alike suffer as a result of the globalized food system. The productivist debate is still going strong today. By 2030, the goal is to double food production. Additionally, genetically engineered or modified (GE/GM) crops are being touted as the next miracle cures.

The corporate food empire has strong ties to other industries; there is an agro-industrial convergence with auto and oil firms, where the current focus is on producing agrofuels. 17 nations utilize 50% of the world's energy, while the other 175 nations use the other 50%. On the other hand, oil supplies are diminishing. Agrofuel production now takes up around 25 million hectares, or 2% of farmland. Agrofuels are grown on land that is provided by South America, Africa, and Asia. Land grabbing is thus prevalent. Seventy-five percent of the 140 million acres of land taken as of 2010 were in Sub-Saharan Africa. The frequency of severe hunger and land grabs are strongly associated.

GE crops are linked with agrofuels. More than 180 million hectares of land are planted with GE crops. Soybeans (65% of worldwide acreage), maize, cotton, and canola are the top four GE crops. These are mostly used for agrofuels, livestock feed, or cash crops. Therefore, despite claims from businesses that we need GE crops to feed the needy, there is no proof that GE crops really do so. Additionally, they are not addressing environmental issues. Most GE crops are soy, which is herbicide-tolerant. As weeds and soybean volunteers resistant to glyphosate have proliferated in the US, Argentina, Paraguay, and Brazil, more hazardous herbicides are increasingly being used[7], [8].

Golden rice, created via genetic engineering to have added vitamin A nourishment, is advertised to help those who are vitamin A deficient. Among the causes of this rural poverty. In traditional rice fields, which had historically provided a balanced diet, biodiversity is being destroyed. More vitamin A is present in leafy vegetables, cassava, mangoes, and other fruits than in Golden Rice. Farmers that grow rice in a coordinated way with ducks, fish, and other animals also provide ample Vitamin A and other nutrients. Therefore, we must reestablish agricultural variety (at the genetic and species levels) in the fields, including plant diversity as well as gastronomic and therapeutic diversity.

Agriculture has additional unfavorable externalities, such as negative effects on human health and the environment. This implies that when we take into account externalities like greenhouse gas emissions, water pollution, biodiversity loss, soil loss, consequences on public health, and others, the cost of food today is actually greater. The cost of modern agriculture's externalities in the UK is around £205 per hectare. Therefore, the agricultural challenge for the next decades is to considerably and sustainably increase food production while utilizing the same amount of arable land and consuming less petroleum, water, and nitrogen in an environment of climate change, social unrest, and financial crises. We need a fundamental paradigm shift as well as a rethinking of agricultural systems. The basis for local food systems, including indigenous and local innovations, must be provided by a future agricultural system that is decoupled from reliance on fossil fuels, environmentally friendly, serves many purposes, is robust to climate change and other shocks, and is nature-friendly

and low impact. We are thus seeking systems with high levels of resilience, efficiency in the use of local resources, high levels of synergy and integration, high productivity, efficiency, and biodiversity with high recycling rates. These innovative systems have an agroecological foundation. It is a method of avoiding the corporate food oligarchy. The application of ecology to agricultural systems is known as agroecology. Therefore, it aims to create an ecological framework that does not need outside inputs and that permits the essential species interaction for the system to function. An ecological farm, for instance, that is surrounded by a forest will benefit from the forest's various services, including beneficial insects and increased soil organic matter. Contrast this with, for instance, a cotton plantation where there are just cotton plants present and they need ongoing external energy subsidies [4], [9].

Due to the conversion of natural eco-systems into monocultures, conventional agriculture simplifies nature agricultural ecosystems and natural ecosystems vary significantly from one another; for instance, the former have low genetic diversity and open mineral cycles whereas the latter have great genetic variety and closed mineral cycles. Interdependence, self-regulation, self-renewal, self-sufficiency, efficiency, and variety are the inherent qualities of a natural ecosystem. As we go to monocultures, the system loses these advantages and becomes more straightforward, necessitating outside interventions. On the other hand, ecology imitates and recreates in agroecosystems the advantages of the natural ecosystem. The tendency of nature is to move towards complexity; however, with industrial agri-culture a chemical 'wall' is applied to maintain monocultures and simple systems. Agroecology designs complex agroecosystems, accompanying nature in its tendency towards complexity. There are many strategies for agroecosystem diversification, such as crop rotations, cover cropping, crop-livestock mixtures, agroforestry, polycultures and intercropping, multi-lines and variety mixtures (genetic diversification), field crop border diversification and corridors linking fields and natural vegetation.

All these agroecological practices restore vegetational diversity in agricultural fields and surrounding landscapes, giving farmers a wide variety of options to assemble spatial and temporal plant-animal combinations. The main goal of designing a diversified farming system is the enhancement and maintenance of agrobiodiversity as a strategy for provisioning ecological services which emerge from beneficial ecological interactions among crops, animals and soils deployed in the farms. By strengthening the weak ecological functions in the agroecosystem, farmers first reduce and substitute external with internal inputs. Farmers gradually eliminate inputs altogether by redesigning the farm system to rely primarily on ecosystem functions. Emergent ecological properties develop in diversified farms, allowing the system to provide for its own soil quality and fertility, pest regulation and total farm production.

There are many agroecological management practices that increase agroecosystem diversity and complexity as the foundation for soil quality, plant health, and crop productivity. In agroecology the emphasis is on diversifying and strengthening the agroecosystem by adding regenerative components such as combining crops in intercrops, animals and trees in agrosilvopastoral systems, using legumes as cover crops or in rotations or raising fish in rice paddies. It is a branch of study that integrates socioeconomic and ecological concerns. It may function at a variety of levels, including agricultural, community, national, and regional. Agroecological concepts are used to improve biological processes, and these ideas may be exchanged across farmers.

Agroecology must be developed from the ground up, particularly via rural social movements. A coalition between rural and urban communities is required. Agroecology is a cornerstone of the food sovereignty paradigm, which encourages giving small farmers and landless people

access to land, water, seeds, and other productive resources as well as economic possibilities. Agroecology is a branch of science that combines traditional wisdom and farmer knowledge with the social, biological, and agricultural sciences. This results in fundamental ideas that manifest in particular technical forms. The fundamental tenet of the agroecology approach is that an agroecosystem should operate similarly to nearby ecosystems, showing tight nutrient cycling, complex structure, and increased biodiversity. It is anticipated that these agricultural imitators would be prolific, pest-resistant, and nutrient-conscious like their natural counterparts. By emphasizing interactions and synergies among the numerous biological components of agroecosystems to enhance recycling and biological control, learning from nature enables the development of agroecosystems with a minimum dependence on agrochemical inputs and energy, improving overall ecological efficiency and environmental protection.

Reintroducing variety to the agricultural fields and adjacent landscapes is a crucial agroecological technique for developing a sustainable agriculture. Farmers have a broad range of possibilities to put together spatial and temporal combinations thanks to diversification, which takes place at the field level in the form of variety mixes, rotations, polycultures, agroforestry, crop-livestock integration, etc. It also happens at the landscape level in the form of hedgerows and corridors. Diversified agroecosystems generate emerging ecological characteristics that enable the system to operate in ways that preserve soil fertility, crop yield, and pest control. The basis for healthy plants, productive crops, and increased agroecosystem variety and complexity is agroecological management techniques.

Ecology is the foundation of agroecology, which applies this knowledge to compare mechanized agroecosystems to natural ecosystems. The application of agroecological concepts requires technology tools or methods. For instance, polycultures are a practical implementation of the theory of diversity in space and time at the farm level. These procedures support activities essential to an agroecosystem's operation, such as the cycling of nutrients, insect management, and allelopathy for weed control. Indicators like soil quality and plant health are then used to gauge the system's health and determine if the agroecology's guiding principles are being followed if we want to understand how it operates. Appropriate technologies should be based on indigenous knowledge and reasoning, be economically viable, accessible, and based on local resources, be environmentally sound and socially, culturally, and gender sensitive, be risk-averse, and be adapted to heterogeneous situations, and increase overall farm productivity and stability.

The interplay between social and ecological systems are what lead to the development of agroecosystems. We need to comprehend who created the systems and what knowledge-base shaped how these systems were managed. Agricultural systems are a result of nature and society's long-term co-evolution and interaction. The resultant agricultural system is better the more in tune the interactions are. For instance, the Andean region's waruwaru system was resurrected across hundreds of hectares to combat the Highlands' frost issue. At 4,000 meters above sea level, farmers may cultivate crops on raised beds surrounded by water since the water absorbs heat during the day and releases it at night. Agrobiodiversity is preserved via cultural traditions in many regions since crop species and genetic diversity are dependent on cultural variety. Agroecological farming practices are deeply rooted in the ecological thinking of traditional small-scale farmers who, over the course of centuries, have developed a variety of farming methods, many of which present promising sustainability models because they support biodiversity, thrive without the use of pesticides, and produce year-round yields that are sufficient to meet local food needs. Complex traditional knowledge about the plants, animals, soils, etc. in a particular geographic and cultural location has fed

the development of these systems. Rural knowledge is built on experimentation and observation. Successful inventions have traditionally been extensively disseminated among community members, and successful adaptations are handed down from generation to generation.

Peer-to-peer or horizontal (not top-down) farmer collaboration is how agroecological innovations are created, and technologies are more adaptable and responsive to each unique environment than they are standardized. Unquestionably, the collection of traditional crop management techniques is a valuable resource for agroecologists looking to design new agroecosystems that are well-suited to the socioeconomic and agroecological conditions of smallholders in their region. The appropriate retrieval and use of conventional ecological knowledge may result in a number of advantages and contributions. Use of locally adapted crop varieties and animal species; criteria for technology development taking into consideration local goals and priorities, gender preferences, and other factors. Detailed local knowledge of productive resources and environment (soils, plants, rain- fall conditions, etc.); time-tested, in-depth knowledge of the local area as an essential part of any agroecological intervention. Farmers are intimately familiar with the ecology since they work and live there. This information has often been lost and degraded. In any event, we should foster a conversation of wisdoms rather than forcing Western science and norms on them. We cannot romanticize conventional knowledge, nevertheless, at the same time. As a result of climate change, conditions Farmers may not be able to meet new difficulties using just their traditional expertise as the world is changing.

Combining agroecological methods with conventional methods would help systems be optimized and resilience be increased. Since it is linked to the idea of food sovereignty advanced by the global peasants' movement La Via Campesina, agroecology is not a neutral field of study. It strives to enable farmers to design their own models of development in order to make them independent and self-sufficient. The adoption of agroecological farming as an alternative to the harmful methods and unwholesome food provided by industrial agriculture is a major goal of rural social movements, which are heavily influenced by agroecology. Peasants use agroecological farming as part of (re)configuring peasant or family farm territories in the defense and/or conquest of physical territory, such as via land occupations or policy triumphs in favor of land redistribution. Agroecology assists peasants, family farmers, and their movements in reclaiming damaged soils, the productive potential of their farms, and their communities. It also helps them create autonomy from unfavorable markets and regulations. Rural movements are assisting in the unprecedented spread of agroecological alternatives via social processes and farmer-to-farmer techniques (horizontal exchange of ideas and inventions). Due to a number of factors, agroecology is consistent with peasants' philosophical justifications and a fundamental technological approach in their framework for food sovereignty.

1. Agroecology offers approaches that enable the creation of technologies that are specifically suited to the requirements and conditions of certain peasant groups.
2. Since agroecological methods and designs rely heavily on public engagement, they are socially energizing.
3. Agroecological methods build on traditional farming knowledge by fusing it with components of contemporary agricultural science rather than challenging peasants' justifications, making them culturally acceptable.
4. The practices are environmentally sound since they focus on identifying management aspects that, when implemented, optimize the production unit rather than trying to fundamentally alter or transform the peasant ecosystem.

5. By putting an emphasis on local resources and inputs, agroecological techniques are economically feasible and break technology dependence.

CONCLUSION

An important issue with considerable consequences for food security, environmental sustainability, and human well-being is the industrial agriculture problem. This essay has offered a thorough analysis of the importance and intricacies of this dilemma, highlighting the need for multidisciplinary methods and paradigm-shifting adjustments. The research put out emphasizes the significance of shifting to sustainable agricultural techniques that place a priority on biodiversity preservation, lessen reliance on pesticides, and foster food sovereignty. Industrial agriculture's issue must be addressed holistically, taking into account not just productivity but also the social and environmental facets of our food system. To rebuild our agricultural systems, policymakers, agricultural specialists, researchers, and stakeholders from many sectors must work together. This entails assisting small-scale farmers, putting money into agroecological strategies, and reworking food delivery systems. With coordinated efforts and a dedication to sustainability, we can create a more resilient and equitable food system that meets the needs of the current and future generations while maintaining the resources of our planet. The industrial agriculture dilemma is a dynamic and growing challenge.

REFERENCES:

- [1] M. D. Hathaway, "Agroecology and permaculture: addressing key ecological problems by rethinking and redesigning agricultural systems", *J. Environ. Stud. Sci.*, 2016, doi: 10.1007/s13412-015-0254-8.
- [2] H. Valenzuela, "Agroecology: A global paradigm to challenge mainstream industrial agriculture", *Horticulturae*. 2016. doi: 10.3390/horticulturae2010002.
- [3] E. A. Petropoulou, "Aspects of Ecological Marginalization and Alternative Local Responses in Rural Greece", *Soc. Ekol.*, 2016, doi: 10.17234/socekol.25.1.8.
- [4] Y. Amekawa, "Contemporary quests and struggles of small-scale family farms toward sustainable agriculture in Thailand", *Asian Soc. Sci.*, 2016, doi: 10.5539/ass.v12n5p1.
- [5] S. Liu *et al.*, "Contribution of different sectors to developed countries' fulfillment of GHG emission reduction targets under the first commitment period of the Kyoto Protocol", *Environ. Sci. Policy*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.envsci.2016.04.009.
- [6] S. Oosthuizen, "Exploring and Explaining Diversity in Agricultural Technology", *Mediev. Archaeol.*, 2016, doi: 10.1080/00766097.2016.1147861.
- [7] Z. Hassan *et al.*, "Dynamics of land use and land cover change (LULCC) using geospatial techniques: a case study of Islamabad Pakistan", *Springerplus*, 2016, doi: 10.1186/s40064-016-2414-z.
- [8] F. Retamero, I. Schjellerup, en A. Davies, "Agricultural and Pastoral Landscapes in Pre-Industrial Society: Choices, Stability and Change", *Early Agricultural Remnants and Technical Heritage (EARTH): 8,000 Years of Resilience and Innovation*. 2016.
- [9] B. Coolsaet, "Towards an agroecology of knowledges: Recognition, cognitive justice and farmers' autonomy in France", *J. Rural Stud.*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.jrurstud.2016.07.012.

CHAPTER 2

THE ROLE OF BIODIVERSITY IN ECOLOGICAL AGRICULTURE

Praveen Kumar Singh, Assistant Professor

College of Agriculture Sciences, TeerthankarMahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

Email Id- dr.pksnd@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

Ecological agriculture is based on biodiversity, which is essential to developing resilient and sustainable food systems. This essay offers a thorough examination of the value of biodiversity in ecological agriculture, highlighting its many benefits for food security, ecosystem health, and climate resilience. The paper delves into the complex dimensions that highlight the significance of protecting and promoting biodiversity in agriculture by looking at the intricate factors that underlie the relationship between biodiversity and ecological agriculture, including polyculture farming, natural pest control, and genetic diversity. The interaction between ecological agriculture and biodiversity takes into account a broad variety of factors, including cultural diversity and the health of the soil, demanding multidisciplinary methods and joint efforts.

KEYWORDS:

Ecosystem Services, Genetic Diversity, Natural Pest Control, Polyculture Farming.

INTRODUCTION

One of the important elements that agroecology seeks to use and maximize is Biodiversity. The term "biodiversity" describes the variety of life on earth, including plants, animals, and bacteria, as well as the genes they carry, the ecosystems they create, and the interactions those living forms have with their surroundings. Ecological processes have several paths in distinct ecosystems, so if one is blocked or destroyed, a different one may be employed. Therefore, the health of ecosystems is also at danger if natural biological variety is reduced. Genetic resources, edible plants and crops, animals, soil organisms, wild resources, and naturally occurring insects, bacteria, and fungus are only a few examples of the many different kinds of biological resources connected to agriculture. Organisms that perform essential processes and, via their interactions, may, for example, contribute to nutrient cycle, biological control, and higher production are included in functional biodiversity. The displacement of native species by contemporary types that have been introduced, soil, water, and air pollution, climate change, industrial agriculture, and forest plantations are only a few of the factors that contribute to biodiversity loss. The Green Revolution, which enforced uniform, high-yielding cultivars, is the principal culprit behind genetic degradation in crops. All of this has been accompanied by the growing degradation and loss of indigenous and traditional knowledge, which has preserved biodiversity [1], [2].

Agroecology makes use of a wide range of plants and animals in addition to the many ways that farmers make use of biological diversity to create and maintain agroecosystems. A more diverse agricultural system will have a more diverse related biota. As a result, natural pest management and pollination are improved, nutrient recycling is tightened, and systems become more robust and resilient. When a farm is faced with a biotic or abiotic disturbance, the degree of biodiversity present may determine whether the system is stressed or robust. A variety of species is necessary for all agroecosystems to operate as an ecosystem and to deliver environmental benefits. Whole functional groups of species are eliminated when agroecosystems are simplified, changing the system's equilibrium from one that is wanted to one that is less desired and impairing the ability of the remaining species to adapt to changes

and provide ecosystem services. In agro-ecosystems, functional and response diversity may be separated as two different types of diversity. Functional diversity is the variety of species and the ecological services that each one of them offers to the system in order for it to function properly.

Response diversity is the variation in how different species that provide the same ecological function respond to environmental change. Agroecosystems with a high degree of response variety will be more resistant to shocks of all sorts and intensities. Numerous studies have shown that maintaining a variety of traditional crop kinds, such as rice, potatoes, and maize, is crucial for the adaptation and survival of impoverished farmers. Traditional crop types are still kept and serve as a backup when circumstances are unfavorable, even when planted alongside contemporary ones. Because various species or genotypes perform somewhat different activities and hence have varied habitats, biodiversity improves the efficiency and functionality of farms. Redundancy is thus built into the agroecosystem since there are often many more species than there are functions. Therefore, diversification improves ecosystem function since some elements that first seem redundant become crucial when an environmental shift has place. The crucial point is that when environmental change takes place, the system's redundancies enable continuous ecosystem operation and supply of ecosystem services. By improving the agroecosystem's ability to compensate when one species fails, others can fill in, leading to more predictable aggregate community responses or ecosystem characteristics, species diversity acts as a buffer against failure brought on by environmental fluctuations[3], [4].

Diversity has various benefits, including reduced pest impact, diversified output, and key species conservation. In addition, when productivity is measured in terms of land equivalent ratio (LER), polycultures have been shown to be more productive than monocultures. Traditional cultivars, contemporary cultivars, crop wild cousins, and other potentially useful wild plant species make up crop genetic diversity. There are many different traditional maize types grown in Latin America, and the same is true with rice in Asia. Thousands of different potato cultivars may be found in the Andes. Although modern types may produce more, they also need more water and fertilizer. Therefore, traditional cultivars perform far better when there is no water or fertilizer present, according to productivity calculations that take these factors into account. Because crops are more vulnerable when there are fewer species types, diseases also spread more readily. Variety mixing is a useful technique for lowering crop illnesses. Cultural diversity and genetic diversity are strongly related. The areas with a greater amount of indigenous populations, who continue to value traditional varieties as part of their cultures, also have more biodiversities. Many of these types exhibit stable performance in challenging environments and are disease- and drought-resistant. This farmer-managed seed system is based on seed exchange. There are several methods for improving biodiversity that are connected to a wide variety of rich cultural traditions and local expertise. For instance, on a Chilean island, mothers have preserved historic potato types because they give them to their daughters as wedding presents. Particularly educated about many plant and tree species and their use for food, fuel, medicine, and fodder are rural women.

Maintaining the variety of the terrain is also crucial. The Chinampas in Mexico, the WaruWaru in Peru, and the rice terraces in Asia are examples of landscape variety and all show a thorough understanding of biodiversity and its interconnections. Farmers in the Andes have plots with a variety of crops in various altitudinal bands. Lower risk is associated with more plots scattered over the mountain. Together, the community controls the area and scenery, according to long-standing customs like the 7-year cycle. Variety in the soil is also important. This consists of microscopic creatures, micro-fauna (nematodes and protozoa),

meso-fauna (acari and springtails), macro-fauna (earthworms and termites), and plant roots that communicate with one another and with other species in the environment. The breakdown and recycling processes, pest and disease management, and production support are all carried out by the soil biota. Earthworms enhance soil structure by controlling water penetration and promoting root development. Faecal pellets produced by arthropods help the soil's structure by promoting microbial activity and preserving the stability of the soil food web. In the meanwhile, fungi break down carbon molecules, promote the accretion of organic matter, retain nutrients in fungal biomass, bind soil particles, enhance plant development, outcompete pathogens, and break down certain forms of contaminants. Bacteria break down organic materials, improve soil structure, compete with pathogens, filter out contaminants, and destroy them. No-tillage farming, crop rotations, minimal plowing, mulching, returning plant residues to the soils as green manures, supplying organic matter like compost, increased plant diversity, and protecting the habitat for soil organisms are management strategies to increase soil biodiversity[5], [6].

DISCUSSION

As a crucial tactic to improve soil quality, many conventional and organic farmers regularly contribute significant volumes of organic materials via the use of animal manures, composts, tree leaves, cover crops, rotation crops that leave big amounts of residue, etc. The ability of soil organic matter to retain water, promote infiltration, reduce runoff, and prevent the movement of soil particles with water during heavy rains is crucial for resilience. This increases crops' ability to withstand drought. Additionally, soil organic matter enhances the surface aggregation of the soil, keeping the soil particles firmly in place during rain or windstorms. Aggregates of stable soil are resistant to water or wind movement. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AM) are a common kind of symbiotic mycorrhizal fungi that are found in organically rich soils and are an important part of the microbial communities that affect plant development and soil productivity. AM fungi are significant in sustainable agriculture because they enhance the relationship between plants and water, increasing the host plants' tolerance to drought. In areas with water shortages, the capacity of particular fungus-plant associations to withstand drought is of great interest because AM fungi infection has been shown to increase nutrient uptake in water-stressed plants, to make it possible for plants to use water more effectively, and to increase root hydraulic conductivity. In essence, there should be genetic and species variety at the farm or agro-landscape level, as well as biodiversity in the soil, insects, and forest resources, as well as genetic and habitat diversity and associated cultural and scholarly diversity.

Natural eco-systems' provision of many ecosystem services has been replaced by agriculture, which has numerous unfavorable externalities as pollution and salinization. Nevertheless, by using polycultures, insectary strips, crop rotation, crop borders, riparian corridors, nature reserves, and other techniques, we may restore ecosystem services at the plot, field, and landscape levels. Pollinators, parasites, herbivores, non-crop vegetation, earthworms, soil micro-fauna, and micro-flora are among the elements of functional biodiversity. These perform crucial tasks including pollination, controlling pests, and enhancing soil structure. Farmers may increase biodiversity in a multiple-use agricultural system if they are aware of the components and their roles. How to put together and improve functional biodiversity is the difficult part.

Both the soil quality (are important pillars of agroecology that require our attention M Each pillar interacts with the other and strengthens it. Numerous techniques, including the application of organic fertilizers, cover crops, green manures, mulching, composting, intercropping, and crop rotations, may improve soil fertility. Crop diversification, cultural

practices, microbial insecticides, and habitat alteration may all help to better control pests by building an ecological foundation for pests' natural adversaries. The plants must be present when the crops are planted and should be flowering continuously during the growing season so that a swarm of beneficial insects may gather before insect pest populations get too high. We must increase and maintain the levels of natural enemies as a preventative measure since they are most effective when pest populations are lowest.

Planting flower borders or strips in the centre of crops breaks up the monoculture and gives pollen and nectar for pest-controlling natural enemies that may spread to neighboring crops. In order to increase the availability of pollen and nectar, which are essential for the best reproduction, fecundity, and lifespan of many natural enemies of pests, some researchers have incorporated blooming plants within crops in strips. Phacelia, buckwheat, and alyssum strips are often employed as flowers in a variety of crops, which increases the quantity of aphidophagous predators, notably syrphid flies and ladybugs, which in turn decreases aphid populations. The majority of parasitoids and predators only go a distance of 50 m from the forest boundary or the corridor, hence it is recommended that corridors be built every 100 m. Whether you want to attract flying or crawling insects will determine how far apart each blooming strip or corridor should be. The size, shape, and pollen exposure of the blooms must all be taken into account. Different types of flowers attract different insects. Flowers that are useful to natural enemies are often tiny, open, and not too tubular so that the nectar is available to various types of insects; otherwise, they will not be efficient in feeding beneficial insects[7], [8].

Why do bugs like monocultures so much? Numerous pests employ smell or visual cues to identify crops. If there is a monoculture, it is simpler for pests to locate the plant (by scent and sight). One creative farmer in Costa Rica successfully planted tomatoes together with cilantro since the whitefly could not detect the tomato there, when it was impossible to produce tomatoes owing to large virus-transmitting whitefly populations. The pests are confused when maize is cultivated alongside beans and squash, making it more difficult for them to colonize the crop. A useful border trap crop is squash, particularly for cucumber beetles. Contrary to polycultures, monocultures do not provide pollen and nectar for beneficial insects (pest-predators). Natural enemies may thus increase in quantity and find a variety of supplies in a polyculture, controlling pests. Researchers in Africa came up with a push-pull system that links maize with plants, some of which draw the pest's parasitoids while others draw the insect away from maize (serving as a trap crop). Border trap crops include Napier and Sudan grasses. To ward against the maize stem borer, molasses grass and silverleaf (*Desmodium*) are grown as intercrops. *Desmodium* is a great fodder for raising milk production in cows and also inhibits the development of striga weed and fixes nitrogen. The technique increases maize output by 15-20% while returning \$2.30 for every dollar spent.

Even some weeds have ecological functions. They shouldn't be so numerous that they interfere with the crop. To ensure that the crop is planted, we need to identify the key time during which weed competition is most intense. While certain weeds operate as insect traps and others as repellents, blooming weeds provide helpful insects with an alternate food supply (pollen, nectar, neutral insects). For instance, a plant called lupin is cultivated alongside maize in Mexico because it is more pest-attractive than the corn. The farmers chop and burn the weeds when they are overrun by bugs. Another example is wild brassica, which attracts insects like cabbage worms and flea beetles more than cabbage because it has six times as many essential oils. Because they exude a distinctive odor that leafhopper bugs dislike, grass weeds cultivated around beans in Colombia serve as a pest deterrent. Some

weeds may also operate as indicators to attract beneficial insects, much as 'cries for aid' do when they are being attacked by pests.

Researchers in Cuba have discovered combinations of crops that control certain pests; for instance, sweet potatoes and maize cultivated together suppress sweet potato weevil. Cuba has shown the viability of polycultures on a wide scale. Because maize pollen attracts predators, it may be utilized to increase the number of predators and function as a physical barrier to prevent the spread of pests (like thrips) to neighboring plots. Vetch-grown maize keeps nematodes under control. Maize and beans are an additional often used pairing. Different plant combinations may be used to manage certain pests, such as growing cabbage with sesame to manage the whitefly and utilizing cassava with beans to manage cassava pests.

diverse types of cover crops have diverse purposes, such as enhancing soil structure, increasing soil fertility, and controlling pests. Legumes are primarily utilized to promote soil fertility, and a combination of legumes and grasses also enhances soil structure. In order to manage pests, cover crops provide habitat for natural enemies. Predators including ladybugs, ground beetles, spiders, and wasps are crucial and should be promoted. In order to provide time to increase the population of natural enemies, cover crops must be sown as soon as the pest population is low. Regular mowing of the cover crops is necessary to compel predators to migrate to the crops in search of food; otherwise, they may choose to remain in the cover crop area. The most susceptible period of the pest must coincide with the moment for pushing the relocation, which is crucial.

Populations may be carefully observed to ascertain this. Pests may also be managed by animals. Fish in rice fields, for instance, may eat weeds and push the rice plants in such a manner that the pests are shaken off. The discarded leafhoppers are subsequently consumed by the fish. Ducks are another useful tool for eradicating insect problem larvae. Also crucial is the variety of the landscape. If the surrounding landscape is diverse, this may be exploited and linked to the farm, enabling natural enemies to disseminate into the agricultural fields. Sometimes 'islands' may be created in place of corridors. These islands of blooming plants act as natural enemies' hives, where they congregate. Another tactic is to provide perches or nest boxes for birds, since these are effective at reducing the number of certain insects.

Many of the methods that are now marketed as essential to IPM or organic farming come under categories 1 and 2. As they utilize less agrochemical inputs and often have economic advantages over traditional systems, both of these phases have a demonstrable advantage in terms of reduced environmental consequences. Farmers are more willing to accept incremental adjustments than radical ones that can be seen as dangerous or that complicate management. However, does the adoption of techniques that boost input utilization efficiency or replace agrochemicals with biologically based inputs while maintaining the monoculture structure really have the ability to result in the beneficial redesign of agricultural systems?

In general, farmers are not much encouraged to switch from high-input systems by the fine-tuning of input usage via IPM. IPM, which stands for "intelligent pesticide management," often refers to the selective use of pesticides in accordance with an established ecological threshold, which pests frequently "surpass" in monoculture circumstances. In contrast, input replacement uses biological or organic inputs to overcome the limiting issue, following the same paradigm as traditional farming. Farmers still rely on input suppliers, many of which are corporations, since many of these "alternative inputs" have been commercialized. Clearly, "input substitution" has lost a lot of its ecological promise as it stands now.

By encouraging management that is directed to maintain essential agroecosystem processes, system redesign, in contrast, results from the alteration of agroecosystem function and structure. The cornerstone strategy of system redesign is the promotion of biodiversity within agricultural systems, as research has shown that greater genetic, taxonomic, structural, and resource diversity within cropping systems results in greater diversity in associated biota, which typically results in more effective pest control and more precise nutrient cycling. Design principles may be further developed and used to enhance the sustainability and resource conservation of agroecosystems as more knowledge about the precise links between biodiversity, ecosystem processes, and productivity in various agricultural systems is gathered.

Crop rotation is a crucial tactic for beginning the conversion process. A farm may be split into four to six sizable fields such that throughout time, a variety of crops rotate through each field. Avoid planting the same crop family in the same field; switch between cover crops and cash crops; alternate deep-rooted crops with shallow, fine-rooted crops; precede heavy feeders (like corn and rice) with nitrogen-fixing crops; and avoid planting a root crop immediately after another root crop. Crop rotation has a guideline that establishes the ideal period of time before which one may cultivate the same plant family in a piece of land. Rotations effectively interrupt the life cycles of illnesses, and certain crop combinations may get rid of or control pests and diseases. Rotations that include plants that are poisonous to pathogens reduce disease transmission; this process is known as bio-fumigation. A chemical found in the tissues of plants belonging to the Cruciferae family (brassicaceae), such as mustard, when stirred up produces secondary compounds (glucosinolates or allelochemicals) that function as bio-fumigants to eradicate infections in the soil. Marigold and Crotalaria are other plants that have similar effect. Rotations may disrupt the pest life cycle, particularly when the crops being cycled are from several botanical groups. Some disease-causing pathogens persist in the soil from year to year in a variety of forms, often as sclerotia, spores, or hyphae. Any soil-borne pathogens associated with that crop that may be present increase in population when the same crop is continuously harvested. It is possible for the populations to increase to the point that it is challenging to cultivate that crop without suffering yield losses. However, cultivating a crop that is not a host plant for that infection will result in the pathogen starving to death.

By interrupting their life cycles, rotations also help to minimize weed populations. Under crop rotation with green manure, the biomass of the crop increases while that of the weeds decreases. When compared to artificial fertilizers, which encourage the development of large-seeded weeds, green manure not only benefits the crop but also controls weeds. Rotation has several advantages, but some of them are cumulative. The statistical yields of conventional and organic crops are often identical after a few years. However, organic farms perform better during droughts because organic matter works as a sponge, enhancing the soil's ability to absorb water. In addition to the aforementioned advantages, rotations maintain healthy soil, enabling a variety of goods to be sold on the market, diversify. Enhancing soil health is the conversion's main objective. We want our soil to be biologically active, contain a lot of organic content, and have a healthy structure. Organic matter creates compounds that enable soil particles to agglomerate and have many micropores that allow water and air to pass through. Microorganisms, meso- and macrofauna, and their fuel source is soil organic matter. The soil bacteria mineralize minerals via decomposition, which plants then utilize. Millions of species interact in very intricate food webs in only 10 grams of soil.

We need to save topsoil since the microbial biomass is concentrated there. Large amounts of organic matter, including compost, branches (which contain lignin), fresh and dried leaves

(cellulose material), and other types, should be put to soils on a regular basis. Cover crops and mulches should be used to keep the soil covered. The balanced biota may result from erosion. With the involvement of bacteria, fungus, nematodes, and protozoa, the food web in the soil becomes very complicated. Some species in the soil consume other creatures and regulate their populations; nematodes, for instance, feed on fungus and vice versa. Other microbes mineralize minerals, defend crops from pests, and make hormones that stimulate plant development.

Along with plant roots, the rhizosphere contains thousands of helpful bacteria and fungus that surround the roots. Mycorrhizae increase the efficiency of water consumption and aid plants in acquiring certain nutrients like phosphorus. ones with mycorrhizae fare better in drought than ones without. Additionally, mycorrhizae wrap roots and shield them from infections. Mycorrhizae are challenging to introduce into a field that has been planted. The ideal way to inoculate the compost is to gather trash from a nearby natural forest that contains a lot of mycorrhizae and then add that material to the compost. The availability of nutrients to plants, checks on disease outbreaks, and other benefits are all provided by increasing plant variety in agroecosystems. Given that it increases plant variety and uses crop combinations that might complement one another, intercropping is a crucial strategy. For instance, intercropping may lower the prevalence of illness. The "disease triangle" is the combination of a sensitive plant, a favorable environment (including temperature, humidity, and soil conditions), and an aggressive pathogen with several races. Intercropping may change the temperature and humidity to unfavorable conditions for the pathogen. Intercropping combines diverse plant species of varying heights, preventing disease spores from spreading with tall plants. In a Chinese study, it was shown that planting short and tall rice types in alternating rows significantly decreased the incidence of disease and the need for fungicides, leading to higher yields. The mobility and dissemination of fungus spores had been stopped by the tall rice types.

Varieties that demonstrate horizontal resistance may be used in intercrops. Modern crop varieties are more vulnerable because traditional crop varieties typically have medium resistance to all pathogen races (horizontal resistance), whereas Green Revolution crop varieties typically have vertical resistance (a high degree of resistance to one pathogen race but not to other races).one such strategy, which entails growing more than one crop in strips that are both broad enough to allow for autonomous cultivation and thin enough to allow the crops to interact. Traditionally, crops that react well to increased light intensities such as maize or sorghum have been used in agronomically advantageous strip intercropping systems. Studies using strips of maize and soybeans 4 to 12 rows wide showed greater corn yields (5 to 26%) and lower soybean yields. More than 11% to 16% of farmers in the mid-western United States have already reached this level, and they urgently need to reduce production costs by implementing diversification techniques.

The last phase of the design involves deploying biodiversity in time and space in the best possible way via the creation of an ecological infrastructure. Through a variety of foods and nutrients, an agroecological farm may provide all of the nutritional requirements of farmers and their families in excess. Once the system is functioning, it takes care of itself and no longer requires a significant amount of labor or outside resources. MIntroducing contour farming on slopes, restoring biodiversity corridors, applying green manure to restore soil fertility, planting trees, strip intercropping, rotation, and relay green manuring to provide multi-strata habitats like hedges with different species of trees and shrubs that also provide flowers, fruit, fuel, and wind protection, as well as integrating livestock, which are beneficial

to the environment. animal inte- Traditional farming cannot be sustained. How can we assess the sustainability of an agricultural system?

First, we must check to determine whether agroecological concepts are being used to manage the system. Does it promote crop and animal integration (nutrient cycling), biologically active soils, high biomass recycling rates, and optimal space use (agroecological design)? Does it also enhance spatial and temporal genetic and species diversity at farm and landscape levels? To evaluate the sustainability of agricultural systems, several techniques have been created. To assess the agroecological characteristics of farms and the performance of farming systems, one methodology provides a set of indicators made up of observations or measurements made at the farm and landscape levels. These indicators can be used to assess changes in species biodiversity, soil quality, plant health, crop productivity, etc., and determine whether they are positively evolving. If not, the technique gives the chance to rank the agroecological interventions required to address the reported weaknesses in the soil, crops, or system. Soil structure, erosional indications, compaction, percentage of soil cover, root growth, soil microorganisms, color and odor of the organic matter, invertebrate presence, and microbiological activity are among the markers of soil quality.

Indicators of crop health include the prevalence of disease and pests, functional diversity (abundance and variety of natural enemies), and other factors. Since all measurements are based on the same indicators, the findings are comparable, making it possible to compare farms at different stages of transformation or track the development of a single agroecosystem's resilience through time. According to the characteristics seen in the soil or crop, each indication is evaluated independently and given a number between 1 and 10 (1 being the least desired value, 5 a moderate or threshold value, and 10 the most favored value). Once the indicators are used, each farmer may see how their farm is doing and determine whether aspects of the environment, soil, or plants are enough or insufficient in comparison to a predetermined threshold.

CONCLUSION

The information put out emphasizes how crucial it is to implement strategies like polyculture farming, improving the genetic variety of crops and animals, and supporting organic pest management methods. These methods help to preserve biodiversity and provide critical ecosystem services, in addition to promoting sustainable agriculture. To incorporate biodiversity protection into agricultural policies and practices, policymakers, agricultural specialists, researchers, and stakeholders must collaborate. This entails advancing agroecological techniques, safeguarding natural ecosystems, and appreciating the cultural relevance of conventional farming methods. Building resilient and sustainable food systems that can respond to the demands of a changing world while protecting our planet's natural heritage depends on biodiversity preservation. Biodiversity in ecological agriculture is a dynamic and expanding area.

REFERENCES:

- [1] M. Weih en A. Polle, "Editorial: Ecological consequences of biodiversity and biotechnology in agriculture and forestry", *Frontiers in Plant Science*. 2016. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.00210.
- [2] L. C. Ponisio en P. R. Ehrlich, "Diversification, yield and a new agricultural revolution: Problems and prospects", *Sustainability (Switzerland)*. 2016. doi: 10.3390/su8111118.

- [3] L. C. Ponisio, L. K. M'Gonigle, en C. Kremen, "On-farm habitat restoration counters biotic homogenization in intensively managed agriculture", *Glob. Chang. Biol.*, 2016, doi: 10.1111/gcb.13117.
- [4] J. Drescher *et al.*, "Ecological and socio-economic functions across tropical land use systems after rainforest conversion", *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.*, 2016, doi: 10.1098/rstb.2015.0275.
- [5] S. Foale, M. Dyer, en J. Kinch, "The Value of Tropical Biodiversity in Rural Melanesia", *Valuat. Stud.*, 2016, doi: 10.3384/vs.2001-5992.164111.
- [6] L. M. Álvarez-Salas, A. M. Gómez-Aguirre, en W. A. Cano López, "Percepciones de los servicios ecosistémicos en el complejo de páramos Frontino–Urrao, departamento de Antioquia, Colombia", *Biota Colomb.*, 2016, doi: 10.21068/c2016v17s02a08.
- [7] M. Stefanos *et al.*, "Incorporating resilience and cost in ecological restoration strategies at landscape scale", *Ecol. Soc.*, 2016, doi: 10.5751/ES-08922-210454.
- [8] J. Seidensticker, "Biodiversity resilience in the Central Indian Highlands is contingent on maintaining and recovering landscape connectivity: the tiger as a case study", *Reg. Environ. Chang.*, 2016, doi: 10.1007/s10113-015-0846-6.

CHAPTER 3

CONCEPT OF AGROECOLOGY AND FOOD SOVEREIGNTY

Sunil Kumar, Assistant Professor

College of Agriculture Sciences, TeerthankerMahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

Email Id- sunilagro.chaudhary@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

As a paradigm shift in agriculture, agroecology offers a way to develop resilient and sustainable food systems. This essay offers a thorough examination of the connection between agroecology and food sovereignty, highlighting their mutual interdependence and common values. The paper delves into the intricate facets that highlight their significance in addressing global food challenges through an examination of the complex factors that underlie the synergy between agroecology and food sovereignty, including community empowerment, local knowledge, and ecological resilience. In order to successfully integrate agroecology with food sovereignty, multidisciplinary methods and teamwork are required. These concerns vary from social justice to environmental sustainability.

KEYWORDS:

Community Empowerment, Ecological Resilience, Food Sovereignty, Local Knowledge, Social Justice.

INTRODUCTION

Around the globe, there are 380 million small farms with around 1.5 billion peasants. Small farmers produce between 50 and 75 percent of the world's food even though they only own 25 to 30 percent of the land, utilize 30 to 40 percent of the water, and use 20 to 20 percent of the fossil fuels used in agriculture. More than 90% of all farms worldwide are tiny, measuring less than 2 hectares. In contrast to the Green Revolution, which has only resulted in the creation of 8,000 new crop types since 1970, these farms have contributed to agricultural biodiversity by breeding 7,616 animal races and 1.9 million crop variations that are freely accessible to humanity since 1960. If entire production is taken into account rather than yields from a single crop, small farms outperform big farms in terms of productivity. Additionally, they often depend on indigenous knowledge and make optimal use of locally accessible resources. Small farmers perform crucial roles as producers of food, inventors, experimenters, repositories of indigenous knowledge, and guardians of agricultural biodiversity. A large portion of the agricultural biodiversity has been preserved thanks to cultural practices like communal seed banks and community harvesting that promote the sharing of several varieties[1], [2].

Many small farms use agroecological practices including complex systems and intercropping. These farms have been there for a very long time. For instance, the Aztecs constructed the chinampas, which combined agriculture and aquaculture, 5,000 years ago. In the shallow lake bottoms, small, rectangular man-made islands were created. The floating weeds were decomposed and added to the recycling of organic material, while the mud was utilized as fertilizer. The system supported fish, ducks, poultry, corn, beans, vegetables, and fruit trees and was very productive. A acre of land might supply enough food annually for 15 to 20 people. More than 10 million Aztec emperors were nourished on the chinampas. Another example is seen in the Andes, where farmers continue to maintain terraces that date back 5,000 years. About 120,000 hectares of terraces are used to grow crops including sweet potatoes, potatoes, and protein-rich Andean grains like quinoa and amaranth. There are initiatives underway to restore abandoned terraces using lupin, a legume, as a green

manure. Raised beds and irrigation channels are combined in the Andes' historic waru system. Despite the cold, it enables the cultivation of wheat and potatoes at 4,000 meters above sea level. Heat is absorbed by water during the day and released at night, altering the microclimate. It was created thousands of years ago and is the ideal adaptability tactic. The system was damaged by colonialism, but it is now being rebuilt by hundreds of farmers. 4,720 hectares have been restored so far [3], [4].

Agroecological practices increase the natural resource base, provide ecological services, and reduce greenhouse gas emissions while increasing food production on less land, using less energy, and water. The issues with traditional agriculture cannot be solved by adding agroecology to the list of available tools. It represents an alternate system and a departure from traditional agriculture. Bypassing the industrial agricultural system in order to reduce globalization and increase localization of food systems, we are referring about food sovereignty. Strong social movements are necessary to organize and disseminate the technologies and put pressure on governments to open up markets, provide credit, and fund agroecological extension and research. Agroecology supplies the production techniques and methodology. Land reform is a key topic in order to provide peasants with access to land, water, and crops. The right to healthy harvests, the right to food that is suitable for one's culture, and the freedom for each nation or people to choose their own food policy without outside interference are all aspects of food sovereignty. The freedom to produce at prices that are fair to both farmers and consumers is a component of food sovereignty. Access to local and national markets is prioritized for small-scale producers; output is only exported once criteria at the local and national levels are satisfied [5], [6].

In order to protect small farmers against free trade, provide them access to financing, end monopolies, scale up agroecology projects, safeguard public goods research, and other things, governments must implement the proper regulations. Seeds are a vital component of food sovereignty since they are the cultural heritage of peoples serving humanity. Energy and technical sovereignty are closely linked to food sovereignty, and agroecology promotes the utilization of farm-saved seeds by negating the need for inputs. Appropriate technologies for impoverished farmers have the following qualities: they are founded on indigenous knowledge and logic; they are economically feasible, accessible, and utilize local resources; they are environmentally sound; they are just from a social perspective; they are risk-averse; and they increase overall farm production and stability. NATURAL disasters are often more expensive than technical and economic catastrophes, with Cuba serving as an incredible example of what may be accomplished with agroecological agriculture. These are probably going to happen more often with climate change. The phenomenon of climate refugees is already occurring at the same time. Can current agricultural ecologies withstand climate extremes? Industrial agriculture is connected to the consequences of climate change, and conventional large-scale monoculture systems have suffered greatly from the effects of climate change, such as drought, owing to their uniformity and concomitant vulnerability [7], [8].

Another concern is climate justice: who is most responsible for causing the problem and who suffers the most? Conventional agriculture generates greater emissions than traditional agriculture in the agricultural sector. Traditional farmers do not contribute much to pollution or climate change, but they are the ones who suffer the most. Therefore, people who support industrial agriculture incur an ecological obligation. Diversification at the genetic, species, and landscape levels (via agroforestry, polycultures, and animal integration), complexity of the landscape matrix, and soil and water management are the factors that contribute to agroecosystem resilience. Farmers in Peru's Andean area have altered their kinds in

accordance with the altitude, which is at least 4,000 meters above sea level. They separated the slopes into several bands and planted them in accordance with those divisions. Farmers have planted several crops at various elevations, minimizing risk in the event that a specific crop is damaged by frost. Due to the collaborative management of the slopes, there is also social resilience.

DISCUSSION

Polycultures are a method of boosting resilience as well; for instance, in the Mexican highlands, farmers do not just grow frost-sensitive maize but also other, complementing food crops like fava beans, which are better able to tolerate the cold. The preservation of genetic landraces that are drought-resistant is a crucial step in developing climate change adaptation strategies. Thousands of types are present in areas of origin and genetic variety, some of which might thrive in low water conditions or at various altitudes. Farmers in Mexico, for instance, grow a particular landrace of maize that can thrive with less water. The crop's tolerance to drought is also influenced by how it is raised; the maize is sown at a depth of around 20 cm, where compost is added to prevent desiccation.

Crop diversity may safeguard production capacity in a variety of ways, including by shielding crops from the impacts of harsh weather and changes in water and temperature. For instance, varied farms utilizing soil conservation techniques (such as mulch, live or dead barriers, and terraces) performed better than monoculture-managed farms during Hurricane Mitch, which destroyed sections of Central America in 1998. Compared to agroecological farms, traditional farms had more mudslides. Although the latter did likewise experience pain, they recovered more quickly, displaying resilience. Similar to this, when Hurricane Ike hit Cuba in 2008, regions with industrial monocultures showed less recuperation and greater damage. The former saw losses of 90–100% compared to the latter's 50%. Hedgerows served to safeguard the diverse crops, allowing them to recover more quickly even when they sustained harm. Additionally, complex systems like agroforestry systems provide greater ecosystem services. For instance, fruit trees are cultivated alongside coffee and other plants in Colombia. Without shade, coffee is more vulnerable to pests and climate change. More evapotranspiration occurs, and coffee grown in the absence of shade cannot withstand droughts. If it is cultivated in shadow, there will be reduced evapotranspiration, allowing the plant to endure a drought.

Drought is less likely to affect silvopastoral systems when trees improve the microclimate and where there are also legumes that animals may eat. Systems for silvopastoral digestion are crucial for cattle. The physical conditions of the animals are better, the system's carrying capacity is higher, and its output is higher when there is a greater biomass of trees and shrubs. In a silvopastoral system, grasses, shrubs and small trees, and huge trees make up the three strata. Because of these intricate networks, even in very dry situations, animals may still munch grasses and plants.

The landscape matrix that farms are put within is one aspect that affects resilience. When it comes to reducing the consequences of climate change, for instance, woods around farms help make such crops more resilient to extreme weather conditions like drought and flooding. The 2011 droughts in south China affected most rice farmers, but those in the Yuanyang terraced zone were spared since they were bordered by trees, which were crucial to preserving the local water cycle. Organic matter may improve soil composition and boost the soil's capacity to retain water. Due to their greater soil moisture levels and higher levels of organic matter, organic crops produce more during droughts than conventional crops do. Additionally, organic debris provides the right environment for plant roots. The ability of roots to absorb nutrients, the mobilization and transfer of nutrients, the plant's tolerance of

root infections, and the generation of plant growth hormones are all enhanced by fungal mycorrhizae. In order to reduce evapotranspiration, soil cover is also crucial. Increased organic matter in the soil and better water storage are two benefits of cover crops. Farmers in Central America use vetch as cover crops to fix nitrogen, stop slope erosion, and reduce weed growth. Additionally, mulching may decrease evaporation, preserving water for stressed crops. Priority should be placed on farmer adaptation, but there may also be advantages to mitigation. Following agroecological principles, effective adaptation for farms often has the following characteristics:

1. The local water cycle is influenced by the landscape matrix, which also affects how resilient agriculture fields are. Ecosystem functions like water-holding capacity are impacted as biodiversity declines.
2. For water-holding capacity, excellent infiltration, and other factors, organic matter and good soil structure are crucial.
3. Harvesting water at the home, agricultural, and landscape levels is crucial.
4. Diversity is important, including genetic diversity and the knowledge that goes with it, the preservation of traditional types, etc.

research indicates that complex landscape matrices with genetically diverse and varied cropping systems under management, as well as soils rich in organic matter and water conservation techniques, will make agroecosystems more robust. Enhancing farmers' ability for responding is also crucial. How do agroecosystems and farmers react to climate change? For instance, if a farmer plants a wide variety of crops and there is a drought, some crops may perish while others would thrive, demonstrating a strong capacity for reaction. We must engage with farmers' knowledge, managerial abilities, resource availability, and variety of businesses to improve reactive capability. Agroecology is insufficient to address climate change on its own. Farmers must band together, and researchers, consumers, and farmers must build bridges. Networks between farmers are crucial for information and skill sharing. Farmers' ability to adapt depends on their individual or collective human and social capital reserves, which might include things like safety networks, degrees of social structure, and traditional knowledge and skills. Highly cohesive social networks that can act collectively to mobilize local expertise and agroecological knowledge to improve the overall resilience of impacted farms will be present in a community with a high degree of reaction capacity.

Large amounts of food have been successfully supplied to international markets by the food and agricultural industries. However, it has been shown that certain agricultural practices degrade soil and aquatic ecosystems, produce large amounts of greenhouse gas emissions (GHGs), and contribute to the continuous loss of biodiversity. In response to these significant problems, scientists are working to develop sustainable food systems that will enable food production while protecting environmental functions. Agroecology, according to some researchers and farmers, offers a solution to this pressing problem. Agroecology, broadly stated, is the application of ecological ideas and tenets to farming.

Agroecology as a system improves interactions between organisms while preserving the social components of a just and sustainable food system. It is thought that agroecology may support economic stability while ensuring food security and ecological health. This means that agroecology is site- and circumstance-specific; there is no "one size fits all" strategy. Instead, it presents a set of principles that may be locally and regionally implemented to guide food and agricultural systems. The need for sustainable agricultural methods has never been higher due to the mounting impact on ecosystems and biodiversity. Students will learn about agroecology, its fundamental ideas, and its advantages via this study guide. Agroecological approaches promote interaction and productivity between farms and local

ecosystems while increasing biodiversity. These objectives are often achieved without the use of synthetic pesticides, avoiding the negative effects of inappropriate application and safeguarding ecosystem services and animal habitat. Agroecology stresses ecologically sound methods while also creating socially just technologies that will guarantee local and regional food security and ecosystem health. More than 70% of the food that was sold worldwide in 2012, according to estimates from the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). According to recent research, using agroecology principles in small-scale settings may result in up to a two-fold increase in food production over the next 10 years.

In order to guarantee that farms continue to provide the high levels of variety, integration, efficiency, resilience, and production required to adapt and feed the globe, as well as to safeguard and preserve ecosystem stability, agroecological principles are a sustainable method. Agroecology initiatives serve communities all over the world by providing more inexpensive food that has a minimum negative effect on the environment and assures sustainability for future generations. Agroecology methods and Best Management Practices (BMPs) have received greater attention as a result of the ongoing stress that conflicting demands are putting on aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems. As a result, food production may continue to be more environmentally friendly and sustainable. Several examples are as follows:

1. Biological pest management methods and Integrated Pest Management (IPM), which limit the usage of pesticides and other potentially hazardous chemicals.
2. Social media, blogs (such as Baute Bug Blog), and mobile applications that help gardeners recognize weeds and insects so they may learn the most effective pest management methods. There are other tools available to assist in choosing the best cover crops to improve soil health or safeguard water quality. These technologies enable farmers to exchange innovative ideas and local expertise.

Creation of knowledge-intensive resources tailored to particular landscapes that place an emphasis on low-cost/affordable approaches that fit with the local ecology, enhancing the local economy, society, and environment (e.g., Midwest Cover Crop Council). The combination of organic and mineral components found in soil has a defined shape, structure, and composition by nature. One-fourth of all living things are found in soil ecosystems, which are extraordinarily biodiverse. Because there is so much life present, soil ecosystems are able to store more carbon than the atmosphere and plants combined (Soil Association, 2003). Carbon dioxide is extracted from the atmosphere and retained in a liquid or solid state, in this example in soil ecosystems, a process known as carbon sequestration. In general, the components of mineral soils may be divided into three particle size classes: sand, silt, and clay. Soil is defined as particles with a diameter less than 2 mm. Coarse fragments are bigger pieces of matter. The name of the soil textural class is determined by the ratios or percentages of each size class. Soils may be categorized into distinct textural classes by calculating the proportions or percentages of sand, silt, and clay in samples in the field or laboratory. Triangle of Soil Texture: Using the proportions of sand, silt, and clay in a sample of mineral soil, this technique may be used to establish the soil textural class. The percentages of sand, silt, and clay must add up to 100%. Because of this, users may compute the third % to identify the soil texture by knowing the percentages of either of the triangle's two size classes.

The Soil Texture Triangle's use: Find the percentages of sand, silt, and/or clay on each axis to begin with. The name of the textural class will be revealed at the intersection of the lines. The name of the finer textural class is chosen when an intersecting line is between two textural classes. For instance, rather of being classed as a clay loam, a mineral soil sample that contains 40% clay, 30% sand, and 30% silt would be called a clay. The five soil-forming

forces interact to rearrange soil elements into horizons, which are observably, chemically, and/or physically separate layers. Both organic and mineral soil horizons may be found in soils. O horizons are the term used to describe organic horizons in poorly drained soils, whereas L, F, and H horizons are used to describe them in upland forests. The four main layers of mineral soil are A, B, C, and R.

More than 30% of the material in the O horizon is organic. O horizons are formed in saturated, anaerobic environments like wetlands where decomposition is hindered and organic material, mostly from bog vegetation, accumulates. The organic material in the horizon is in several states of decomposition, including extensively decomposed (humic), moderately decomposed (mesic), and slightly degraded (fibric) organic matter. These horizons are usually black or dark brown in color due to their organic composition. More than 30% of the organic matter is also found in the L, F, and H strata. These horizons are made up of woody debris, leaf litter, and twigs and are found in wooded environments. These horizons, like the O horizons, show a gradient of decomposition; L is litter that has not yet decomposed, F is partly degraded foliar material, and H is humus, which is a well-decomposed organic material with a preserved original structure. Since it develops near the surface, the A horizon, a mineral horizon, is also known as topsoil. Natural occurrences and processes, such as landslides and erosion, often bury the A horizon. It is clear that the earth and terrain have changed when the A horizon is covered. The A horizon is located in a zone of accumulation and is also known as the "illuviated" horizon. The A horizon can be dark (Ah) due to the accumulation of organic matter or be light (Ae) due to the eluviation (leaching) of organic matter, such as iron or aluminum. In this zone, things including organic debris, clay, soluble salts, and/or iron and aluminum may build up. Minerals in the B horizon could be changing chemically, changing the structure of clay, for example. When the horizon above it is stripped away by processes like erosion, the B horizon may sometimes be seen at the surface. This usually happens when the terrain has undergone extensive modification. The parent material that makes up the unweathered C horizon, which may comprise glacial till or lake deposits, has remained mostly unaltered throughout the soil-forming processes. There may be a few low-intensity processes, including the transport of soluble salts or the oxidation and reduction of iron.

CONCLUSION

The data put forward emphasizes the value of fostering ecological resilience, using local knowledge, and empowering communities. Because they enable communities to exercise control over their food systems, advance social justice, and improve environmental sustainability, these ideas are essential to both agroecology and food sovereignty. To incorporate agroecological concepts and frameworks for food sovereignty into agricultural policies and practices, policymakers, agricultural specialists, researchers, and stakeholders must collaborate. This involves encouraging agroecological education, defending the rights of indigenous populations, and assisting small-scale farmers. Adopting dynamic and changing techniques like agroecology and food sovereignty is crucial for creating fair and equitable food systems that are not only resilient and sustainable. These methods provide a roadmap for a future in which people and the environment come first in the production, distribution, and consumption of food.

REFERENCES:

- [1] A. M. Dumont, G. Vanloqueren, P. M. Stassart, et P. V. Baret, "Clarifying the socioeconomic dimensions of agroecology: between principles and practices", *Agroecol. Sustain. Food Syst.*, 2016, doi: 10.1080/21683565.2015.1089967.

- [2] L. A. Norder, C. Lamine, S. Bellon, en A. Brandenburg, “Agroecology: Polysemy, pluralism and controversies”, *Ambient. e Soc.*, 2016, doi: 10.1590/1809-4422ASOC129711V1932016.
- [3] H. Valenzuela, “Agroecology: A global paradigm to challenge mainstream industrial agriculture”, *Horticulturae*. 2016. doi: 10.3390/horticulturae2010002.
- [4] B. Oliver, “‘The Earth Gives Us So Much’: Agroecology and Rural Women’s Leadership in Uruguay”, *Cult. Agric. Food Environ.*, 2016, doi: 10.1111/cuag.12064.
- [5] B. Ciglovská, “Agroecology and agrotourism as a new cash cow for the farmers after the crisis: The case of fyrom”, *J. Environ. Prot. Ecol.*, 2016.
- [6] S. Gliessman, “The ecology in agroecology”, *Agroecology and Sustainable Food Systems*. 2016. doi: 10.1080/21683565.2015.1099585.
- [7] H. Nyantakyi-Frimpong, F. N. Mambulu, R. Bezner Kerr, I. Luginaah, en E. Lupafya, “Agroecology and sustainable food systems: Participatory research to improve food security among HIV-affected households in northern Malawi”, *Soc. Sci. Med.*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2016.07.020.
- [8] P. M. Rosset en M. E. M. Torres, “Agroecología, territorio, recampesinización y movimientos sociales Agroecology, territory, re-peasantization and social movements”, *Estud. Soc. Rev. Aliment. Contemp. y Desarro. Reg.*, 2016.

CHAPTER 4

DETERMINATION OF ECOLOGY AND ITS DOMAIN

Devendra Pal Singh, Assistant Professor

College of Agriculture Sciences, Teerthankar Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

Email Id- dpsinghevs@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

Ecology is a branch of science that investigates the complex interactions that exist between organisms and their surroundings. This essay offers a thorough investigation of ecology and its discipline, highlighting its core ideas, subfields, and applicability to comprehending and solving environmental problems. The article dives into the many facets that highlight the necessity of ecology in understanding and protecting our natural environment via an assessment of the various elements that come within the purview of ecology, such as population dynamics, community interactions, and ecosystem processes. The field of ecology includes many different factors, such as biodiversity protection and environmental sustainability, demanding multidisciplinary methods and cooperative efforts. The article examines terms associated with ecology and its field, such as population dynamics, ecological processes, biodiversity, and conservation. This study offers a thorough examination, making it a useful tool for academics, researchers, decision-makers, and anybody else looking to learn more about the extent and importance of ecology.

KEYWORDS:

Biodiversity, Conservation, Ecosystem Processes, Population Dynamics.

INTRODUCTION

According to Haeckel, ecology is the study of how organisms interact with their environment via a scientific lens. The term comes from the Greek word *oikos*, which means "home." Therefore, ecology may be described as the study of the "home life" of living things. Krebs (1972) offered a less ambiguous definition of ecology, saying that it is "the scientific study of the interactions that determine the distribution and abundance of organisms." It is important to define the term "environment" in order to understand why Krebs' definition omits it. All external elements and occurrences, whether they are physical and chemical (abiotic) or other creatures (biotic), that have an impact on an organism are collectively referred to as the organism's environment. Naturally, interactions with these same components are what Krebs refers to as "interactions" in his definition. As a result, the environment continues to have the important place that Haeckel assigned to it. Krebs' definition offers the advantage of focusing on the core concept of ecology: the distribution and abundance of species, including where they are found, how many are there, and why. In light of this, it would be best to describe ecology as the scientific study of the distribution and abundance of species as well as the interactions that affect these factors [1], [2].

The phrase "the distribution and abundance of organisms" is wonderfully brief when referring to the topic of ecology. We must make it bigger. The biological hierarchy of the living world may be thought of as ascending from subcellular particles to cells, tissues, and organs. The individual organism, the population (which consists of individuals of the same species), and the community (which consists of a higher or fewer number of species populations) are the next three levels that ecology works with. Ecology examines how people interact with their environment at the organismal level, including how they influence it and how it influences them. Ecology is concerned with the existence or absence of certain species, their abundance or rarity, and the trends and variations in their numbers at the population level. Then,

community ecology focuses on the structure and makeup of ecological communities. Ecologists also study the routes that matter and energy take as they flow between living and nonliving components of the ecosystem, which is made up of a community and its physical surroundings. In light of this, Likens (1992) would broaden our definition of ecology to encompass "the interactions between organisms and the transformation and flux of energy and matter." However, we consider energy/matter conversions to be included in the term "interactions" in our definition.

At each level of ecological organization, ecologists may choose one of two main strategies. First, there is much to be gained from using properties at a lower level. For example, physiology can be used to study organismal ecology, individual clutch size and survival rates can be used to study the dynamics of individual species populations, food consumption rates can be used to study interactions between predator and prey populations, and limits to the similarity of coexisting species can be used to study communities. Another strategy deals directly with the characteristics of the relevant level of interest, such as niche breadth at the organismal level, relative importance of density-dependent processes at the population level, species diversity at the level of communities, and rate of biomass production at the level of ecosystems, and attempts to connect these to biotic or abiotic aspects of the environment. Both strategies have their applications, and both will be utilized in each of the book's three sections: Communities and Ecosystems, Organisms, Species Interactions, and Communities. We may attempt a variety of various things at all levels of ecological structure. First, we may make an effort to explain or comprehend. This is a pursuit of knowledge in the strictest sense of the word. However, it is first required to explain in order to achieve this.

This also broadens our understanding of the living world. It goes without saying that in order to comprehend anything, we must first have a description of it. The most useful explanations are also those that are written with a specific issue or "need for understanding" in mind, albeit this is less visible. All descriptions are selective, but an unfocused description that is done for no other reason is often discovered to have chosen the incorrect items after the fact [3], [4]. Ecologists often make predictions about what will happen to a population, an ecosystem, a community, or an organism under a certain set of conditions, and we use these forecasts to attempt to influence the situation. By determining when they are most likely to happen and taking the necessary precautions, we strive to reduce the consequences of locust plagues. By anticipating when circumstances would be beneficial to the crop and unfavorable to its adversaries, we attempt to safeguard crops. We work to protect threatened species by forecasting the conservation measures that will ensure their survival. To sustain ecosystem "services" like the preservation of the chemical integrity of natural waters, we work to safeguard biodiversity. Certain types of prediction and control may be executed without justification or comprehension. But only when we can understand what is happening can we make certain forecasts, exact predictions, and predictions of what will happen in unique situations. In particular, mathematical modeling has been and will continue to be essential to our capacity for outcome prediction as ecology advances. But because we are interested in the actual world, the value of models must always be assessed in terms of the insight they provide into how natural systems function.

It's crucial to understand that proximal and ultimate explanations fall into two distinct categories in biology. In terms of the physical environment that a specific species of bird can endure, the food that it consumes, and the parasites and predators that attack it, for instance, the current distribution and abundance of that species of bird may be "explained." This serves as a nearby explanation. We can also wonder how this particular type of bird acquired the characteristics that now seem to guide its existence. A rational evolutionary explanation is

required to address this question. The ecological experiences of this bird's ancestors provide the best explanation for its current distribution and abundance. The question of "How have organisms come to possess particular combinations of size, developmental rate, reproductive output, and so on?" is one of several in ecology that calls for evolutionary, ultimate answers. In addition to communities, populations, and organisms found in nature, ecologists are also interested in artificial or human-influenced environments (such as plantation forests, wheat fields, grain bins, nature reserves, and so forth), as well as the effects of these influences on the natural world (such as pollution, overharvesting, and global climate change). We would struggle to locate an environment that is completely untouched by human activities since our impact is so ubiquitous. Ecologists undoubtedly play a key role in addressing environmental issues, which are now high on the political agenda. A sustainable future is largely dependent on ecological knowledge and our capacity to foresee or create results under many situations[5], [6].

DISCUSSION

The majority of ecologists would have classified themselves as pure scientists when the first version of this work was released in 1986. They would have defended their freedom to research ecology for its own sake and not wanted to be diverted into projects that were only narrowly applied. In the past 20 years, the situation has drastically changed, in part due to governments shifting the focus of grant-awarding bodies towards ecological applications, but more importantly because ecologists themselves have responded to the need to focus much of their research on the numerous environmental issues that have grown more urgent over time. This is reflected in the new edition's methodical consideration of ecological applications, which includes an applied chapter at the end of each of the book's three parts. We firmly think that a profound grasp of pure science must serve as the foundation for the application of ecological theory.

Much of the ecological interest in a community is provided by the specific species that are present there and their abundance. The balance between births, deaths, immigration, and emigration determines abundance and distribution (change in abundance from place to place). In Chapter 4, we look at some of the various birth and death rates, how they might be measured, and the patterns that emerge in so-called "life histories" lifetime profiles of development, differentiation, storage, and reproduction. We look at intraspecific competition for scarce shared resources, which is perhaps the most prevalent interaction occurring inside populations of a single species. Every plant and animal species has a unique capacity for dispersal. This controls how quickly people leave bad areas or develop them, as well as how quickly they find places that are suitable for settlement and exploitation.

A species' capacity to spread out (or migrate) to untamed areas, islands, or continents may indicate how common or rare it is. The final chapter in this section, examines how the theories covered in the earlier chapters such as niche theory, life history theory, patterns of movement, and the dynamics of small populations can be applied. Special emphasis is placed on environmental damage restoration, biosecurity (withstanding the invasion of alien species), and species conservation. It is evident from our definition of ecology in the Preface and even from a layperson's understanding of the word that the link between organisms and their surroundings sits at the core of ecology. This first chapter explains how this connection is primarily one of growth. Theodosius Dobzhansky, a notable Russian-American scientist, is credited as saying: "Nothing in biology makes sense, except in the light of evolution."

This holds true for all aspects of biology, including ecology. As a result, we attempt to both explain how certain species' characteristics enable them to survive in specific settings as well

as why they are unable to do so in other situations. Many of the issues that are covered in depth in following chapters will be introduced as we lay out this evolutionary context for the topic. The phrase "organism X is adapted to" followed by a description of the environment the organism is located in is the one that is used most often in daily speech to express the compatibility between organisms and environment. Thus, we often hear statements like "fish are adapted to live in water" or "cacti are adapted to live in drought conditions". Simply put, this may mean that cactus have traits that enable them to survive in arid climates or that fish have traits that enable them to exist in water (perhaps excluding them from other settings). The term 'adapted' in this context doesn't specify how the traits were attained. However, "X is adapted to live in Y" for an ecologist or evolutionary biologist indicates that environment Y has given forces of natural selection that have altered the lives of X's ancestors and have therefore shaped and specialized the development of X. The term "adaptation" denotes a genetic modification [7], [8].

Unfortunately, the phrase "adaptation" connotes that creatures are matched to their current circumstances, which might be interpreted as "design" or even "prediction." However, organisms have been shaped (by natural selection) by previous environments rather than being made for or adapted to the present. Their traits are a reflection of their predecessors' accomplishments and failures. Only because current settings often resemble earlier ones do they seem appropriate for the ones they now inhabit.

An ecological theory is the hypothesis of evolution via natural selection. Alfred Russell Wallace, a contemporary and Darwin's correspondent, also understood its essential. Charles Darwin initially developed it in 1859. It is supported by a number of hypotheses. The individuals that make up a population of a species are not all exactly the same; they differ in terms of size, pace of growth, reaction to temperature, and other factors, albeit sometimes only slightly. At least some of this variance is inherited. In other words, a person's traits are influenced by their genetic makeup to some level. People tend to share the traits of their ancestors since they inherit their DNA from them. All populations have the capacity to occupy the whole planet, and they would if every person lived and produced their maximum number of offspring. But they don't since a lot of people pass away before having children, and the majority of people only reproduce at a little below-average pace. Numerous descendants are left behind by various forebears. This implies much more than just stating that different people have various numbers of descendants. It also takes into account the likelihood that offspring will live to adulthood and reproduce, as well as the likelihood that these children will live and reproduce in turn, and so on.

In any environment, some individuals will typically have a tendency to survive and reproduce better than others, leaving them with fewer descendants. Conversely, some individuals will typically have a tendency to leave more descendants than others. It is considered that evolution by natural selection has taken place if as a result, the heritable traits of a population change from generation to generation. In a loose sense, nature may be regarded of as choosing in this manner. But unlike plant and animal breeders, nature does not use selection. Breeders have a specific goal in mind, such as larger seeds or a racehorse that runs quicker. Nature, however, does not actively select in this manner; rather, it only creates the context for the evolutionary game of differential survival and reproduction to take place. The population members who produce the most offspring are the fittest members of the population. In reality, the phrase is often used to refer to a kind or an average person rather than a specific person. For instance, we may claim that yellow-shelled snails are more physically fit than brown-shelled snails on sand dunes. Thus, fitness is a relative phrase rather than an absolute one. A population's most fit members are those who produce the most

offspring in comparison to the offspring produced by other members of the population[9], [10]. There is a tendency to see each situation as an illustration of evolutionary perfection when we are astounded by the variety of intricate specializations. But that would be incorrect. Utilizing the existing genetic diversity, evolution takes place. Because they are not "the best imaginable," natural selection is unlikely to result in their being "the fittest available" or "the fittest yet" in order to better suit their circumstances. The fact that an organism's current traits did not all develop in an environment that is exactly like the one it lives in today contributes to the lack of fit. An organism's distant ancestors may have developed a collection of traits during the course of their evolutionary history (phylogeny), known as evolutionary "baggage," that subsequently limit subsequent development. The evolution of vertebrates has, for many millions of years, been constrained to what is possible for creatures with a vertebral column. Koala bears can survive on Eucalyptus foliage, but from another angle, koala bears cannot survive without Eucalyptus foliage. This and other exact fits between a creature and its environment may be considered as limitations.

Specialization will become "the fittest available" or "the fittest yet" to meet their settings; they are not "the best imaginable." The fact that an organism's current traits did not all develop in an environment that is exactly like the one it lives in today contributes to the lack of fit. An organism's distant ancestors may have developed a collection of traits during the course of their evolutionary history (phylogeny), known as evolutionary "baggage," that subsequently limit subsequent development. The evolution of vertebrates has, for many millions of years, been constrained to what is possible for creatures with a vertebral column. Additionally, a large portion of what we now see as exact matches between an organism and its environment may also be considered as constraints: Koala bears thrive on Eucalyptus foliage, yet from a different angle, koala bears are unable to survive without Eucalyptus foliage.

Concentration on Because humans can distinguish between different sorts of organisms, the natural world does not consist of a continuous gradient of different creature types. However, there is often significant diversity within what we classify as species (described below), some of which is heritable. After all, natural selection and plant and animal breeders both depend on this intraspecific variation. We may anticipate natural selection to have favored various species variations at various places as the surroundings that a species encounters in different portions of its range are itself varied (at least to some degree). The term "ecotype" was initially used to characterize genetic variations across populations of plants within a species that reflect local adaptations between the organisms and their surroundings (Turesson, 1922a, 1922b). However, evolution only forces populations' traits to diverge from one another if: (i) there is enough heritable variation for selection to act; and (ii) the forces favoring divergence are powerful enough to overcome the mixing and hybridization of individuals from different sites. If individuals (or, in the case of plants, pollen) from two populations are continuously moving between them and mingling their genes, the populations will not totally diverge.

Among creatures that are sedentary for the most of their life, local, specialized populations become most pronouncedly differentiated. Motile creatures have a great deal of control over the environment in which they exist; they may actively seek out another habitat by recoiling or retreating from a harmful or undesirable one. Organisms that are sessile and immobile lack this independence. They must adapt to the surroundings where they dwell or perish. Sessile organism populations are therefore unusually intensely exposed to the processes of natural selection. On the seaside, where the intertidal habitat alternately shifts between the terrestrial and the aquatic, this difference is underlined. All of the fixed algae, sponges, mussels, and barnacles interact with each other and may survive in either extreme. But whereas shore-

feeding birds monitor their terrestrial environment, moving shrimp, crabs, and fish track their watery habitat. Such creatures can adapt their habitats to themselves thanks to their mobility. The stationary creature has to adapt to its surroundings.

CONCLUSION

The data put forward emphasizes the value of fostering ecological resilience, using local knowledge, and empowering communities. Because they enable communities to exercise control over their food systems, advance social justice, and improve environmental sustainability, these ideas are essential to both agroecology and food sovereignty. To incorporate agroecological concepts and frameworks for food sovereignty into agricultural policies and practices, policymakers, agricultural specialists, researchers, and stakeholders must collaborate. This involves encouraging agroecological education, defending the rights of indigenous populations, and assisting small-scale farmers. Adopting dynamic and changing techniques like agroecology and food sovereignty is crucial for creating fair and equitable food systems that are not only resilient and sustainable. These methods provide a roadmap for a future in which people and the environment come first in the production, distribution, and consumption of food.

REFERENCES:

- [1] S. Creer *et al.*, “The ecologist’s field guide to sequence-based identification of biodiversity”, *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*. 2016. doi: 10.1111/2041-210X.12574.
- [2] M. J. Wade *et al.*, “Perspectives in mathematical modelling for microbial ecology”, *Ecological Modelling*. 2016. doi: 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2015.11.002.
- [3] J. A. Bryant *et al.*, “Diversity and Activity of Communities Inhabiting Plastic Debris in the North Pacific Gyre”, *mSystems*, 2016, doi: 10.1128/msystems.00024-16.
- [4] M. R. Ghali, J. M. Frayret, en J. M. Robert, “Green social networking: Concept and potential applications to initiate industrial synergies”, *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 2016. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.12.028.
- [5] L. J. Stal en M. S. Cretoiu, *The marine microbiome: An untapped source of biodiversity and biotechnological potential*. 2016. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-33000-6.
- [6] L. J. Stal, *The Marine Microbiome*. 2016. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-33000-6.
- [7] Solha Lee Profile, Byeong Mee Min *et al.*, “Development and Implementation of Experience - Based on Animal Ecology Careers in the National Institute of Ecology”, *Korean J. Environ. Educ.*, 2016, doi: 10.17965/kjee.2016.29.2.205.
- [8] I. Markowska-Daniel, M. Mickiewicz, L. Witkowski, en J. Kita, “Characterization of a new influenza virus type D”, *Med. Weter.*, 2016, doi: 10.21521/mw.5565.
- [9] D. Font Vivanco, W. McDowall, J. Freire-González, R. Kemp, en E. van der Voet, “The foundations of the environmental rebound effect and its contribution towards a general framework”, *Ecol. Econ.*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.ecolecon.2016.02.006.
- [10] L. N. Guin, B. Mondal, en S. Chakravarty, “Existence of spatiotemporal patterns in the reaction-diffusion predator-prey model incorporating prey refuge”, *Int. J. Biomath.*, 2016, doi: 10.1142/S1793524516500856.

CHAPTER 5

A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW OF ECOLOGY AS A SCIENCE

Upasana, Assistant Professor

College of Agriculture Sciences, Teerthankar Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

Email Id- upasana35954@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

The primary emphasis of the scientific field of ecology is the study of interactions between organisms and their surroundings. This essay offers a thorough examination of ecology as a discipline, highlighting its core ideas, subfields, and crucial role in comprehending and resolving environmental problems. The study explores the many facets that underline the value of ecology in revealing the complexities of the natural world via an assessment of the various themes and approaches within ecology, such as population ecology, community ecology, and ecosystem ecology. Ecology, as a discipline, covers a broad variety of topics, from climate change to biodiversity protection, needing multidisciplinary methods. In the study, terms like biodiversity, ecosystem dynamics, species interactions, and environmental sustainability are discussed as they apply to ecology as a discipline. This study offers a thorough examination, making it an invaluable tool for academics, researchers, decision-makers, and anybody else seeking to comprehend ecology at a deeper level.

KEYWORDS:

Biodiversity, Ecosystem Dynamics, Environmental Sustainability, Species Interactions.

INTRODUCTION

A functional unit known as an ecosystem (or ecological system) is made up of all the creatures in a certain location that interact with one another and their physical environment. These organisms are linked by a continuous flow of energy and a cycle of materials. The English botanist Arthur Tansley first explicitly put out the idea of an ecosystem in 1935. The ecosystem is basically identical to the word "biogeocoenosis," which was coined in the 1940s by Soviet ecologist V. N. Sukachev and is commonly used in Russian literature. The phrase literally means "life and earth working together." Following the publication of a well-liked textbook by Eugene Odum, the ecosystem idea made significant strides in its acceptance. Odum's textbook was structured on the idea of an ecosystem. Following Odum's textbook, Francis Evans wrote a well-known essay in Science in 1956 that referred to the ecosystem as "the basic unit in ecology." An ecosystem, in its widest meaning, is the interconnected system made up of all the items in a given physical location, both living and non-living. The size, location, and timeline at which ecosystems are described may therefore perfectly fit the topic that the scientist is attempting to answer, according to this straightforward description. Depending on the communities being researched, an ecosystem may be any size, and its borders could be both actual and artificial. An ecosystem may be studied across time spans of millions of years and can be as little or as huge as the whole Earth [1], [2].

A functioning unit of nature that functions as an ecosystem. It possesses all the biological and physical elements required for living. As a result, it serves as the fundamental unit around which ecological theories and experiments are structured. Insofar as energy and matter are exchanged with their environment, all ecosystems are open systems. Theoretically, it could be feasible to identify specific instances of ecosystems that are closed systems that do not interchange materials with their surrounds, although almost all ecosystems do so. Ecosystems evolve throughout time. These changes might be slow and subtle (such as mineral losses from weathered soil) or abrupt and spectacular (such as a forest fire). Temporal changes in

ecosystems are influenced by both internal dynamics (such as the buildup or depletion of materials in a soil or a lake) and external influences (such as variations in the climate or fertilizer inputs). Changes may be general and easy to foresee in certain situations (such as soil weathering or the filling of a lake basin), but they can also be specialized and challenging to anticipate in other situations (such as the introduction of an invasive species). To examine the connections between organisms and their environment, ecologists have established four basic levels of structure. The individual organism, population, community, and ecosystem are among these levels of organization. As a result, ecology may be studied on a variety of scales, from the individual organism to populations to communities to ecosystems[3], [4].

The individual (a single plant, insect, or bird) is where the ecological organization begins at its most fundamental level. Ecology examines how certain species interact with their environment and how that interaction affects them. Focus is placed on how individual creatures respond to their environments via their behavior, physiology, morphology, and other characteristics. The population is the degree of organization after that. In different academic disciplines, the word "population" is used and understood in a variety of ways. A population in ecology is a collection of members of the same species that live in a certain location. Population ecology studies how and why populations vary through time, as well as population increase.

Different species' populations in a region do not operate independently of one another. They communicate with one another. As a result, the community represents the next, more intricate level of organization for the interacting population of various species. Within a certain geographic region, ecological communities are made up of interacting populations of various species. Community ecology focuses on the structure and makeup of ecological communities as well as community growth. From tiny pond communities to enormous tropical rainforests, communities may exist on a broad range of sizes. These groups of people are referred to as "biomes" at the biggest sizes. A biome is a unique biological community of plants and animals that coexist in a certain environment, such as tropical rainforests, coniferous forests, and savannas. It is distinguished by unique vegetation that is dispersed over a huge geographic area and mostly determined by regional climate conditions. The interdependent system made up of all the living and non-living elements in a geographically specified region is known as an ecosystem (or ecological system). An ecosystem has limits since it is a system. An ecosystem may be thought of as any system that includes interacting biotic and abiotic components. Ecosystems are multi-layered, open, hierarchically structured, self-regulating systems. Ecosystems ecology examines the movement of nutrients and energy within a community of organisms as well as between those creatures and their surroundings.

The biosphere is the highest degree of organization for ecological research. It is the pinnacle of ecosystems. It encompasses every ecosystem that exists on Earth. The biosphere, taken literally, is a representation of every living thing on Earth. However, the biosphere (also known as the ecosphere) is a useful term in ecology that stresses the interdependence of all living things and their surroundings on a global scale. Although 'ecosystem' has been acknowledged by many ecologists as the fourth degree of structure for understanding ecological processes. Because it does not contain any new biological structure, some ecologists believe that using the term "ecosystem" to describe the fourth level of organization is improper. According to some, the word "landscape" would be appropriate to describe a degree of organization that is described as an ecological system with several community types[5], [6].

The principles of ecological energetics were established by Lindeman's seminal 1942 work. He made an effort to quantify the idea of food chains by taking the effectiveness of energy

transmission across trophic levels into account. Primary producers are found at the lowest trophic levels, followed by herbivores at the second level and carnivores at higher levels (secondary consumers). Many consumers, including omnivores, are multi-trophic level consumers whereas other consumers only occupy one trophic level. A food chain may be used to explain the link between one trophic level and its neighboring trophic levels.

A food chain is defined as "the transfer of food energy from producers (plants) through a series of organisms that consume and are consumed." By displaying the route taken by food from a producer to a consumer at the end, a food chain illustrates the flow of energy across a system. Food chains typically consist of 3 to 5 trophic connections and 15 to 20 species. The size of the food chain may also be a good indicator of an ecosystem's physical qualities. Compared to a temperate or tropical environment, a severe arctic terrain has a far shorter food chain. What makes food chains so short? There are basically two theories. One, the energetic hypothesis, postulates that the inefficiency of energy transmission along a food chain is what limits the length of the chain. We are aware that only around 10% of the energy contained in each trophic level's organic matter is transformed into organic matter in the next trophic level. At each transfer, a part of the potential energy is dissipated as heat (sometimes as much as 80% to 90% [7], [8]).

As a result, the population has access to more energy the lower the food chain is, or the closer the creature is to the lowest trophic level. The dynamic stability hypothesis, the second theory, contends that lengthy food chains are less stable than short networks. Higher trophic levels magnify population fluctuations at lower levels, which may lead to the local extinction of top predators. According to this theory, food chains should be shorter in unstable situations. The energetic theory is supported by the majority of the evidence. There are two main food chains in every ecosystem: the grazing food chain and the detritus food chain. The difference between these two food chains is what gives primary consumers their energy. The source of energy in the grazing food chain is live plant biomass, also known as net primary production. Detritus, or dead organic matter, is the source of energy in the detrital food chain.

Primary producers of grazing food chains are photosynthetic plants. In the grazing food chain, primary consumers (also known as herbivores) are the second link. They eat primary producers to get their energy. The third link in the cycle, secondary consumers (or main carnivores), get their energy by eating herbivores. Animals known as tertiary consumers (or secondary carnivores) get their energy from eating main carnivores. Such simplistic food chains are often oversimplified representations of the reality of feeding connections. Instead, there are often several, connected routes and a variety of species active at every trophic level. These intricate pathways Ecosystems based on autotrophs are utterly dependent on sun radiation. Their dependency on the energy acquired by photosynthetic autotrophs and, secondly, the transport of that energy through the system through herbivory and carnivory, are characteristics of these organisms.

This is how many ecosystems work, and many herbivores, carnivores, and omnivores rely on such autotrophic environments. Some ecosystems rely more on the inflow of dead organic matter, or detritus, created in one environment and less on the direct integration of solar energy. Ecosystems, including those in caves, rely on the input of debris for energy since they are not directly heated by the sun. They are thought of as detritus-based ecosystems. Living things are connected to one another in ecosystems via feeding interactions. Producers, also known as autotrophs, may fix carbon via photosynthesis using the chlorophyll in their leaves. The main consumers of the organic compounds fixed by the producers are herbivores. As secondary consumers, carnivores survive by consuming the organic compounds produced by herbivores.

DISCUSSION

In any given ecosystem, there may be several levels of carnivores; in these circumstances, the apex carnivore will occupy the highest level. The last types of species in an ecosystem are those that can degrade the intricate organic compounds found in dead things and waste products, such as bacteria and fungus. The NPP and the efficiency with which food energy is converted to biomass energy within each trophic level affect the quantity of energy that reaches each trophic level. Ecological efficiency (also known as transfer efficiency or Lindeman's efficiency) is the proportion of energy in the biomass generated by one trophic level that is integrated into the biomass produced by the next higher trophic level. Transfer efficiencies refer to how effectively energy is utilized and transferred from one stage to the next, and they determine the amounts of net primary production that flow down each of the potential energy paths. To forecast the pattern of energy flow, knowledge of three areas of transfer efficiency is necessary. These are production efficiency, consumption efficiency, and assimilation efficiency. The proportion of herbivore productivity consumed by carnivores in the case of secondary consumers. In most environments where vascular plants predominate, herbivore consumption efficiencies are often low since these plants are frequently well protected from herbivores.

For instance, in the majority of terrestrial ecosystems, herbivores only eat less than 10% of primary output. Aquatic habitats often experience higher levels of herbivory than terrestrial ecosystems, particularly in algal-based ecosystems where herbivores frequently devour more than 50% of primary output. Similar to grasslands, where the majority of plants are nonwoody, herbivore consumption efficiencies are lower in terrestrial forests. With regard to matter, the Earth is basically a closed system since everything on it cycles. Every substance utilized by living things travels between the Earth's biotic and abiotic components. We refer to atoms (such as carbon, nitrogen, and oxygen) or molecules (such as water) as "matter." The flow of elements through the Earth's biotic and abiotic components is known as nutrient cycle, or more accurately, element cycling. It involves the movement and modification of substances both within and across ecosystems. It entails the assimilation of materials by living things and their eventual decay and return to the environment. It connects ecosystems' biotic and abiotic components. A biogeochemical cycle is the phrase used to describe the flow of elements through the atmosphere, hydrosphere, lithosphere, and biosphere. The kind of element determines the exact path through a biogeochemical cycle that it takes. All elements found in living things participate in biogeochemical cycles.

These substances cycle via abiotic ecosystem components in addition to being a part of living creatures. Gaseous and sedimentary biogeochemical cycles are the two main categories. Based on the main source of elements entering the ecosystem, this categorization was created. The atmosphere serves as a significant reservoir for the element in gaseous cycles. Such cycles demonstrate little or no long-term changes in the element's quantity and distribution. The two biogeochemical cycles with the most notable gaseous phase are carbon and nitrogen. In the sedimentary cycle, the lithosphere serves as the main reservoir from which the elements are mostly released by weathering. Phosphorus, sulfur, and the majority of the other biologically significant elements provide as examples of how the sedimentary cycles may become stagnant. In such cycles, a part of the supply may collect in significant amounts, as in the sediment of the deep ocean, and thereafter become inaccessible to life and to ongoing cycling. Sulfur and iodine are two examples of the elements that are associated with sedimentary cycles that do have a gaseous phase, but these phases are unimportant since there isn't a major gaseous reservoir [9], [10].

The precise path an element takes in a biogeochemical cycle varies depending on the element. However, there are two main classifications of biogeochemical cycles based on geographical scale: global and local cycle. There are no mechanisms for long-distance element transfer in local cycles like the phosphorus cycle, but the atmosphere is involved in long-distance element transfer in global cycles like the nitrogen cycle. The Earth is unified into a single, vastly linked ecosystem by global cycles. The atmosphere contains gaseous versions of the following elements: carbon, oxygen, sulfur, and nitrogen, and these elements' cycles are basically worldwide. Other, less mobile elements, such as calcium, potassium, and phosphorus often cycle on a smaller scale, at least in the near term. The major abiotic reservoir of elements involved in local cyclic processes is the lithosphere.

A deep-water lake in a temperate location has a temperature profile that varies from season to season and follows a cyclical pattern. Seasonal variations in the amount of solar energy that reaches the water's surface cause changes in the temperature's vertical profile. Let's start with the springtime. The lake water often has the same temperature from the top to the bottom once the ice on a lake melts. The lake water may be mixed and circulated thanks to it. Bottom water may rise to the top and surface water can be driven to the lake bottom. The lake bottom might get a lot of oxygen because to this circulation pattern. Spring overturn refers to the mixing of the lake water at this time of year. In the summer, heat from the Sun starts to warm the lake as the ambient temperature increases. The epilimnion is the name for the warm water layer that lies on the lake's surface. The hypolimnion is the chilly layer that lies underneath the epilimnion. A layer of water that quickly changes temperature with depth separates these two levels. The thermocline, also known as a metalimnion, is this. Stratification in the summer prevents lakes from fully mixing. The warm water of the epilimnion cannot pass through the cold, thick water of the hypolimnion, despite the wind moving the surface water. The water is thus only combined in the epilimnion.

The depth of the epilimnion starts to diminish as fall approaches and the temperature drops. The lake loses its stratification when the epilimnion eventually becomes too shallow to be maintained as a distinct layer. As a result, the lake water in the fall is typically the same temperature as it is in the spring, and the wind may once again properly mix the lake water. Additionally, surface water cools more quickly than deeper water because it is in direct touch with the cold air. More oxygen and nutrients are restored throughout the lake as a result of this cold, thick water sinking and contributing to additional lake mixing. Autumn overturn is the term for this procedure. The only ecosystems on land are called terrestrial ecosystems. The term "terrestrial," which typically refers to everything taking place on land, is the key to understanding what terrestrial ecosystems are. Therefore, the term "terrestrial ecosystem" refers to the interdependent system of living and non-living things that exists on land. Terrestrial ecosystems cover just 28% of the Earth's surface. A forest is a sophisticated ecosystem made mostly of trees and plants.

According to the UN FAO, it is "a land spanning more than 0.5 hectares with trees higher than 5 meters and a canopy cover of more than 10%." Land that is primarily used for agriculture or for urban purposes is excluded. The predominant terrestrial ecosystem on Earth is the forest, which is found all throughout the world. Forests hold 80% of the planet's plant biomass and provide 75% of the biosphere's gross primary output. According to the canopy cover, a forest can be classified as very dense (all lands with a canopy density of 70% or higher), moderately dense (all lands with a canopy density of 40% to 70% or higher), or open (all lands with a canopy density of between 10% and 40%). Numerous variables, such as latitude, height, temperature, rainfall patterns, and soil composition, influence the different kinds of forests. The primary determinants of the kind of forest are topography, soil types,

and climate (temperature and rainfall). Taiga woods, temperate forests, and tropical forests are the three main kinds of forests.

Taiga forest, also known as coniferous forest or boreal forest, is made up mostly of evergreen trees with needle-like leaves that can withstand dryness at higher latitudes near the polar regions. The subarctic climate of the taiga or boreal forest. Summer is brief and chilly, while winter is lengthy and very frigid. 40–100 cm of precipitation falls each year, the majority of which is snow. In both the Northern and Southern Hemispheres, temperate woods may be found in the temperate climatic zone, which is located between the tropics and the boreal areas. Because these woods undergo four different seasons, they might also be referred to as "four-season forests." Winters are pleasant and rainfall is average in the temperate zone. Coniferous evergreen, deciduous, and broad-leaved trees all coexist in temperate woods. They host fewer tree species and have a simpler structure than tropical forests. Weather conditions may further separate temperate woodlands. Grass is the predominant kind of plant in temperate grasslands. There are no huge bushes or trees. The quantity of rainfall (25 to 75 cm) is less in temperate grasslands than in savannas, which have hot summers and chilly winters. Seasonal dryness and sporadic fires are crucial for maintaining biodiversity, just as they are in the savanna. In contrast to savannas, temperate grasslands don't see the same dramatic consequences. Precipitation has a significant impact on the sort of grassland community that emerges and the productivity of grasslands.

Tall grasses with a great variety of grasses grow when there is more precipitation. Further divisions may be made within temperate grasslands. Steppes are grasslands with low grasses, while prairies have tall grasses. Tropical rainforests are low-lying regions close to the equator (located within 23.5° latitude of the equator), and they are distinguished by their high temperatures, heavy rainfall, and maximum species richness. The year-round temperature ranges from 20 to 25 degrees Celsius on average. There is no winter. More than 200 cm of rain falls annually. Despite the fact that the climate in tropical rainforest areas varies depending on geography, it is normally characterized by a mean temperature of at least 18°C for all months and a minimum of 6 cm of precipitation each month. Strong chemical weathering and quick leaching of soluble compounds are promoted by warm, humid environments.

Every species has a population that exists in an environment. A population is a collection of people living in one area who belong to the same species. A population is made up of individuals who depend on the same resources, are affected by the same environmental forces, and are bred together. In other terms, a population (also known as a biological population) is a collection of creatures that coexist or have the ability to coexist in the same place at the same time. Population ecology is the study of populations (particularly population abundance) and how they change through time. It investigates the processes causing geographical and temporal patterns in the number and distribution of organisms. Understanding, elucidating, and predicting population dynamics, control, and growth are all included in the study of population ecology. There are two types of multicellular creatures: unitary organisms and modular organisms. Unitary organisms make up the majority of animal populations. In unitary creatures, the shape is largely predetermined and often consists of a small number of precisely defined elements (such legs or wings) that are only set during embryogenesis. Their course of development and ultimate shape are known. Dogs and squid, for instance, both have four legs and two eyes. On the other hand, time and shape are unpredictable in modular organisms. These creatures develop by the repetition of modules repeatedly, which often results in a branching pattern.

Plants and many sessile benthic invertebrates are examples of modular creatures. A single genetic person (or genet) in modular organisms may be made up of several modules (or ramets) that are capable of existing on their own. A genet in plants is a person that developed from a seed. A new plant known as a ramet is one that has grown via vegetative propagation and is now a fully independent plant with its own roots and branches. A population of grasses, for instance, may be made up of numerous genets, each of which contains a number of ramets. A population has a number of traits or features that pertain to the overall group rather than the individual.

These characteristics may be used to compare various populations. These characteristics include dispersion, natality, mortality, and population density. Demography is the study of a population's collective traits, how they have changed through time, and how they will change in the future.

CONCLUSION

The supporting data highlight the significance of biodiversity preservation, ecosystem dynamics research, the complicated web of species relationships, and environmental sustainability concepts. These ideas are basic to ecology as a discipline and are crucial for guiding conservation efforts and environmentally sound management. For practical problems like habitat preservation, species protection, and reducing the effects of climate change, researchers, policymakers, educators, and conservationists must continue to collaborate. This involves promoting environmental education, advancing scientific research, and putting into practice evidence-based policy. The study of ecology is continuously developing and evolving, reflecting the increasingly complex nature of environmental problems. We may improve our capacity to understand and safeguard the ecosystems that support life on Earth and contribute to a more sustainable and peaceful connection with the natural world by adopting the ideas and practices of ecology.

REFERENCES:

- [1] A. E. Thessen, "Adoption of machine learning techniques in ecology and earth science", *One Ecosyst.*, 2016, doi: 10.3897/oneeco.1.e8621.
- [2] T. McPhearson *et al.*, "Advancing Urban Ecology toward a Science of Cities", *BioScience*. 2016. doi: 10.1093/biosci/biw002.
- [3] E. David, K. W. Dixon, en M. H. M. Menz, "Cooperative Extension: A Model of Science-Practice Integration for Ecosystem Restoration", *Trends in Plant Science*. 2016. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2016.01.001.
- [4] A. C. White, A. Rogers, M. Rees, en C. P. Osborne, "How can we make plants grow faster? A source-sink perspective on growth rate", *J. Exp. Bot.*, 2016, doi: 10.1093/jxb/erv447.
- [5] A. S. Teller en A. M. Porcelli, "Feminist ecology: Doing, undoing, and redoing gender in science", *Int. J. gender, Sci. Technol.*, 2016.
- [6] B. C. Patten, "Systems ecology and environmentalism: Getting the science right. Part II: The Janus Enigma Hypothesis", *Ecol. Modell.*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2015.10.020.
- [7] S. S. Shaukat, T. A. Rao, en M. A. Khan, "Impact of sample size on principal component analysis ordination of an environmental data set: Effects on eigenstructure", *Ekol. Bratislava*, 2016, doi: 10.1515/eko-2016-0014.

- [8] K. Riedinger en A. Taylor, “‘I Could See Myself as a Scientist’: The Potential of Out-of-School Time Programs to Influence Girls’ Identities in Science”, *Afterschool Matters*, 2016.
- [9] J. D. Pérez Cebada, “Mining corporations and air pollution science before the Age of Ecology”, *Ecol. Econ.*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.ecolecon.2015.12.001.
- [10] D. Boscolo, P. A. Ferreira, en L. E. Lopes, “Da Matriz a Matiz - Em Busca de uma Abordagem Funcional para a Ecologia de Paisagens”, *Filos. e História da Biol.*, 2016.

CHAPTER 6

CORRELATIONS BETWEEN TEMPERATURE AND THE DISTRIBUTION OF PLANTS AND ANIMALS

Ashutosh Awasthi, Associate Professor

College of Agriculture Sciences, Teerthankar Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

Email Id- ashuaw@yahoo.in

ABSTRACT:

Temperature is a crucial element in ecology and biogeography since it intimately affects the distribution of both plants and animals. This study examines the relationships between temperature and the distribution of living things, highlighting how crucial a role temperature plays in determining species distributions, ecosystems, and patterns of biodiversity. The research dives into the intricate linkages that underpin the effect of temperature on the natural world by examining temperature-related phenomena such as latitudinal gradients, altitudinal zonation, and climate change consequences. A variety of factors, including adaptations, habitat appropriateness, and reactions to environmental change, have a role in how plants and animals are distributed in relation to temperature. Climate change, distribution patterns, habitat appropriateness, and thermal adaptations are just a few of the terms the article examines in relation to temperature and how it affects biota. This work offers a thorough investigation, making it a useful tool for ecologists, biogeographers, climate scientists, and environmentalists who want to comprehend and deal with the biological effects of temperature changes.

KEYWORDS:

Climate Change, Distribution Patterns, Habitat Suitability, Thermal Adaptations.

INTRODUCTION

Latitudinal, altitudinal, continental, seasonal, diurnal, and microclimatic influences, as well as the effects of depth in soil and water, are some of the factors that influence temperature variations on and within the surface of the planet. It is difficult to distinguish between latitudinal and seasonal fluctuations. Seasonal variations in the tilt of the earth's axis in relation to the sun account for some of the major differences in surface temperatures. The effects of altitude and 'continentality' are superimposed on these general geographic tendencies. In dry air, there is a decrease of 1°C and a drop of 0.6°C for every 100 m rise in height. This is the end outcome of the air expanding "adiabatically" when the atmospheric pressure decreases with height. The consequences of continentality are mostly due to the varying rates at which the land and the sea warm up and cool down. Land surfaces reflect less heat than water surfaces, therefore they warm up faster but also cool down faster. As a result, the sea exerts a moderating, "maritime" influence on coastal places and particularly islands, where daily and seasonal temperature changes are far less pronounced than at more interior, continental sites at the same latitude [1], [2].

Additionally, similar effects may be seen within land masses: drier, barren regions, like deserts, have more daily and seasonal temperature extremes than moister places, like forests. As a result, many local variations are concealed by global maps of temperature zones. The possibility of significant microclimatic change on a smaller scale is considerably less often understood. The winter sun, shining on a cold day, can heat the south-facing side of a tree (and the habitable cracks and crevices within it) to as high as 30°C, and the air temperature in a patch of vegetation can vary by 10°C over a vertical distance of 2.6 m from the soil surface to the top of the canopy (Geiger, 1998). For instance, the sinking of dense, cold air into the

bottom of a valley at night can make it as much. Therefore, while looking for evidence of how temperature affects the distribution and abundance of species, we should not limit our focus to global or regional trends. The preceding chapter covered long-term temporal fluctuations in temperature, such as those related to ice ages. However, a few medium-term patterns have started to emerge between these and the extremely visible daily and seasonal variations that we are all aware of. El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) and North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) are notable examples of these, between pp. 000 and 000, and beyond, the ENSO originates in the tropical Pacific Ocean off the coast of South America and is an alternation between a warm (El Niño) and a cold (La Niña) state of the water there. It shows that the NAO, which impacts climate in general rather than simply temperature, is a north-south alternation in air mass between the subtropical Atlantic and the Arctic, between pages 000 and 000. For instance, warm weather in North America and Europe and chilly conditions in North Africa and the Middle East are related with positive index values. An illustration of how changes in the NAO have an impact on species abundance [3], [4].

A collection of organisms that live in a particular location and period and engage in direct or indirect interactions is referred to as an ecological community. To many ecologists, the word "community" has varied meanings. The concept of a group of species located in one location is included in the majority of definitions of ecological communities. An ecological community, for instance, is described by Robert Whittaker (1975) as "...an assemblage of populations of plants, animals, bacteria, and fungi that live in an environment and interact with one another, forming together a distinctive living system with its own composition, structure, environmental relations, development, and function."

An ecological community is, put simply, a collection of organisms that coexist in a certain place and time. The majority of communities are quite complicated. The following are the primary characteristics of ecological communities. First off, a community is a living, or biotic, part of the environment. A community's main producers, consumers, and decomposers are all types of organisms. In terrestrial communities, the vegetation significantly determines the community organization. In addition, communities consist of creatures with intertwined food chains, and each species within a community relies on a large number of other species within the community that are taxonomically unrelated. A community may be of any size, thirdly. Small pond communities and enormous tropical rain forests may both be found there.

Of all the environmental elements, temperature most likely has the most impact on the rates at which insects grow. This is mostly due to the fact that insects are poikilothermic, or cold blooded, meaning that their body temperature tends to match the outside temperature. This does not imply that an insect's body temperature is always the same as its surroundings, however. Within specific bounds, an insect will grow more quickly the higher the temperature. Because chemical reactions take place more often and quickly at higher temperatures, developmental rate rises as temperature rises. However, the impact of temperature is listed below under several headings. The impact on lifespan Temperature also has an impact on how quickly food reserves are used up when there is a restricted supply of food available. Blood-sucking insects like tsetse flies, *Glossina* spp., which rely on stored food reserves between meals, are a good example of this. A fly's ability to survive between meals is shortened as the temperature rises; if it uses all its reserve before finding another food, it will die. Most of the metabolic processes in animals, microorganisms, and plants are controlled by various types of enzymes, and since enzyme activity is influenced by temperature up to a certain point, an increase in temperature will increase the rate of metabolism. For instance, it has been shown that when the temperature rises from 17°C to 48°C, the activity of the liver arginase enzyme toward arginine amino acid increases gradually and gradually. However, it

is discovered that a temperature rise beyond 48°C has a negative impact on this enzymatic activity's metabolic rate, which slows down quickly [5], [6].

DISCUSSION

A temperature that varies from species to species is required for the gametogenesis and gonad maturation processes, which have an impact on the breeding season. For instance, some species reproduce continuously throughout the year, while others only do so in the summer or the winter, and still others have two breeding seasons, one in the spring and one in the autumn. The majority of organisms base their breeding seasons on temperature. Influences on fertility Animal fecundity is also affected by temperature. Fecundity, or the total number of offspring produced throughout an animal's lifetime, is defined as its reproductive capability. For instance, the acridid *Chrotogonus trachypterus* lay the largest number of eggs per female at temperatures of 30°C. When the temperature is increased to 30-35°C, the number of eggs decreases from 243 to 190. The fertility of certain species, including the cotton stem weevil (*Pemphrus affinis*), is reported to decrease when temperature increases over 32°C.

The sex ratio of a species may sometimes be influenced by ambient temperature. For instance, on days when the mean temperature stays between 21 and 25°C, male plague fleas (*Xenopsylla cheopis*) outnumber rats, but the situation is reversed on colder days. development-related impact: *Metriocnemus hirticollis*, a chironomid fly, needs 26 days at 20°C, 94 days at 10°C, 153 days at 6.5°C, and 243 days at 2°C to grow a whole generation. Some insects need to be cooled before they can hatch or grow properly. The lower and higher limits of the temperature range from the egg to the adult, as in the case of *Sitophilus oryzae* (15° to 34° C) and *Rhizopertha dominica* (18° to 30° C), affect the pace and speed of growth. Effect on color: Temperature has an impact on the size and color of animals. Many kinds of animals, including insects, birds, and mammals, are darker in color in warm, humid conditions than they are in cold, dry regions. This occurrence is referred to as the "Gloger rule." Carouges, the walking stick, has a history of becoming black at 15°C and brown at 25°C.

Influence on morphology According to Bergman's rule, temperature also has an impact on an animal's absolute size and the relative characteristics of its different bodily sections. For instance, birds and animals grow bigger in colder climates than in warm climates, and larger species may be found in colder climates. But in colder areas, poikilotherms tend to be smaller. Because it affects the rate of heat loss, body size has been a major factor in adaptation. Depending on the species, insects can withstand a wide range of temperatures. At temperatures between 47°C and 52°C, even the heat-resistant insects perish. Warm-weather-adapted species may tolerate less heat, such as the mountainous genus *Grylloblatta* (*Grylloblattodea*), which thrives best at approximately 3°C and is typically active between -2 and 16°C. For instance, the confused flour beetle will perish at 7°C in a few weeks. Even at temperatures only a few degrees below 0°C (32°F), many insects perish. Most northern insects' hibernating phases are highly cold-resistant.

Promethia moth pupae may hibernate at temperatures as low as -35°C, while some other insects have been reported to endure temperatures as low as -50°C. Influence on oviposition The ideal temperature for oviposition varies depending on the species, and typically, the greatest rate of egg production occurs quite close to the maximum rates of reproduction. *Toxoptera graminum*, an aphid, prefers temperatures between 5° and 35° C for oviposition. Only very few eggs are produced at temperatures above and below these in *Sitophilus oryzae*, where the number of eggs deposited reaches a maximum at 26° C for the thinner population

and at 29° C for the more dense ones. In Australia, thripsimagmis that live on roses lay relatively few eggs at 8° C, but considerably more between 13° and 23° C.

Insects range in water content from less than 50% to more than 90% of their entire body weight. Both variation within and between species as well as between distinct life stages within the same species are present. Many insects with hard bodies (i.e., relatively thick cuticles) tend to contain considerably fewer quantities of water in their tissues than many insects with soft bodies, such as caterpillars. In contrast to dormant phases, active stages often contain more water. We'll talk about how humidity affects insects below.

Insect mortality: Insects are able to balance the water they consume orally or via their integument with the water they lose through respiration, excretion, and transpiration through their integument. The rate of transpiration is intimately related to humidity because an insect will perish if it loses water more quickly than it can take it in. Because of this, the typical humidity in a given location might affect how far a nuisance bug is distributed. Up to a point, more wetness lengthens an insect's life, but beyond that, it shortens. The curve marginally decreases in the acceptable zone because insect metabolic activity is high and more eggs are laid there. For instance, fly longevity is higher at 88% RH than in 100% RH. The armyworm, *Pseudaletia unipuncta*, the gypsy moth, *Lymantria dispar*, and the autumn webworm, *Hyphantria cunea*, are all susceptible to viruses more easily under warm, humid conditions. It is unclear if high humidity impacts the pathogen's growth or the host [7], [8].

However, many viruses do not grow quickly until conditions are between 21 and 29.4°C and relative humidity is between 50 and 60%.

development-related impact: *Tenebrio* larvae are nocturnal, and when the silkworm *Bombyx mori*'s third instar larvae are kept in the dark, they mature into the fourth instar in five days, but in light, the pupa matures in 3.5 days.

Light's impact on fertility By regulating the quality of food, light indirectly affects insect population. Light is necessary for plants to produce their own food, which insects eat. Insect reproduction requires protein. For example, cabbage aphids were reared in both bright and dull light conditions, but in dull light they only produced 160 young in 24 hours. Bright light enhances the protein content of plants. Because cabbage grown in high light conditions has more protein than cabbage grown in low light conditions.

Effect on kind of reproduction: This difference in light or dark arrangements also affects aphids' sexual and parthenogenetic forms. It has been discovered that short days in late summer and early autumn cause a transformation from non-sexual to sexual reproduction. Under long days of light, reproduction is parthenogenetic and viviparous; under short days, it is oviparous and sexual. The aphid *Megoura viciae* reproduces sexually in the laboratory under photoperiods of fewer than 14.5 hours of light each day, but generates non-sexual forms during photoperiods of more than 15 hours.

Impact on everyday motion: The daily cycle of light and dark with crepuscular (dawn and dusk) intervals between also functions as a clock by which the eating, mating, etc. are controlled. The way insects respond to photoperiod and other light-related factors varies across species as well as between various life stages within the same species, much as how they respond to temperature and moisture. Insect deaths may be caused by air movement in one of two ways.

First, the combination of violent wind and persistent rain may result in death. The gradient of water vapor concentration is increased by the movement of air above surface evaporation, such as the cuticle of an insect, which tends to accelerate evaporation. The rate of evaporation is proportional to air velocity, all things being equal. On the other hand, if humidity levels are high, air movement could be advantageous. Most flying insects are likely restrained by wind. Many insects have a tendency to hunch down and cling on in reaction to vigorous air movements. Strong down drafts over marshes and lakes may cause flying insects to fall to the

water's surface, where they are then exposed to the water's adhesive forces and possible predators that live in the water. These insects have well-adapted bodies and legs, such as clinging legs and streamlined bodies characteristic of swift-moving water. The larvae of black flies attach themselves to rocks or other fixed objects in the water. Several Trichoptera caddis flies attach their cases to submerged objects. In flowing water, many aquatic insects (like mosquito larvae) cannot live. The movement of dissolved gases, salts, and nutrients is a crucial feature of currents in the aquatic environment [9], [10].

Which bug species will reside in a certain location is often determined by water currents. For instance, the numerous genera of mayflies (Ephemeroptera) may be divided between forms that live in still water or those that live in rushing water. Space, food, nutrition, light, waste products, and other factors all contribute to the competitive interaction and increase vulnerability to predators, illness, and other factors. When two or more closely related species that have evolved to the same niche engage in interspecific competition, one of the species is always eliminated. As a result, it stands to reason that two closely related species cannot coexist in the same niche, and that the method by which closely related species are separated is via interspecific competition. The competitive exclusion principle or "Gauss's principle" is what this has come to be known as. The result of putting two kinds of flour beetles in the same flour container is a nice illustration of competitive exclusion. *Tribolium castaneum* always prevails if the container is kept in a moderate, high-humidity environment. *T. confusum* achieves a "victory" when the beetles are kept under low humidity and temperature conditions. Both species can be sustained forever in either wet and warm or dry and cold environments in the absence of the other.

Daphnia pulicaria and *D. magna* compete with one another in cultures; *Daphnia pulicaria* eventually drives out the closely related species *D. magna*, but when both species eat yeast, *D. magna* survives longer since yeast is less suited to the dominant species. Due of their overlapping habitats, *Daphnia* and *Simocephalus* face less intense competition. In a mixed culture, the populations of both species have normal development and coexist for 40 days until *Simocephalus* is progressively wiped out. It has been shown that populations of the grain beetles *Gnathoceros cornutus* and *Trogoderma versicolor* were raised together in order to research and discover the same rivalry mentioned above.

Symbiosis and Mutualism Obligate mutualism is a partnership between two or more species that benefits both parties' population development and survival and cannot exist without them. Mutualism is a necessary interaction; when it doesn't happen, both parties get depressed. Such a connection does not appear to occur amongst closely related creatures. There are several instances of bug mutualistic relationships. There is no significant group of animals that does not include symbiotic species, and it is likely that no individual animal does not support at least one symbiotic activity. Symbiosis is a very common phenomenon. Every mammal with an alimentary canal, mostly in the lower intestine, is home to billions of intestinal bacteria. These bacteria freely pull substances that the body hasn't digested, and fecal waste decomposes as a consequence of their actions. The host often benefits from the additional digesting performed by the bacteria and is frequently reliant on particular bacterial byproducts.

A single species of Yacca moth pollinates all the different Yacca plant species, which are many. The moth's adult stage of development occurs when the Yacca flower blooms. The pollen is gathered up by the female moth and rotated into a ball. After penetrating the ovary wall of a different flower, she flies there and deposits her eggs amid the ovules. She emerges from the style and inserts the pollen ball through the stigma's entrance. When the larvae first

appear, they consume a tiny amount of Yacca seed. In order to complete the life cycle, they then gnaw their way out of the ovary.

This reciprocal dependence is so complex that neither the moth nor the larva can get nourishment from any other plant, nor can any other agent pollinate the flower. In addition to this, typical examples of mutualism include lichens (algae + fungi), mycorrhizae (fungi + higher plants), symbiotic nitrogen-fixation (bacteria or blue-green algae + higher plants), pollination (insects, birds, or mammals + flowering plants), zoochory (animal dispersal of plant propagules), and myrmecophytes (ants + woody plants). Both partners are stimulated by the encounter, but it is not necessary since sustained development may still occur without it. The grafting of roots between individuals of the same or other species is an example of protocoooperation. Ants and aphids (plant-sucking insects) exhibit an attractive kind of protocoooperation. The ants 'milk' the aphids by caressing them with their foreleg and antennae, and the aphids react by spitting forth droplets of partially digested sugar-based plant sap (or honeydew) that have already gone through their digestive tracts. Sugar is used by ants, but because plant sap is low in amino acids and heavy in carbohydrates, aphids cannot benefit from it. In exchange, the ants defend the aphids against stinging wasps, beetles, and other predatory insects. On some islands off Florida's west coast, water moccasins and huge birds like herons and ibises coexist. This is another example of protocoooperation. Snakes gather around the bases of trees with little protection, while birds build their nests in the lower branches.

the bird against predators that climb trees, including raccoons. The snake's diet also includes fish left behind by birds and the rare young bird that falls from its nest. The cleaning symbiosis that occurs in the waters on coral reefs is one of the most well-known examples of protocoooperation. In cleaning stations, the pacific cleaner fish wrasses (*Labroides* spp.: Labridae) do a brief dance to announce their presence. Then a variety of huge species, including butterfly fish (*Chaetodon miliaris*), visit the station and begin to clean it. By feeding often and cleaning their lips and gills, cleaning fish eliminate parasites and loose scale. Wrasses and gobies (*Gobidae*) are obligatory cleaners, whereas angelfish (*Pomacanthidae*) are facultative cleaners and perform cleaning duties as juveniles.

CONCLUSION

The distribution of plants and animals is greatly influenced by temperature, which has profound effects on ecosystems and biodiversity. This essay has offered a thorough analysis of the interconnections between temperature and the dispersion of living things, highlighting how complex these connections are. The information put forward emphasizes how important climatic change, habitat appropriateness, and thermal adaptations are in mitigating the effects of temperature on biota. It also emphasizes how many species are susceptible to changes in distribution brought on by changes in temperature. To solve the issues brought on by shifting temperature trends, scientists, politicians, and environmentalists must collaborate. This entails keeping track of and reducing climate change's effects, safeguarding vital ecosystems, and putting conservation measures in place that take temperature-related changes in species' ranges into consideration.

REFERENCES:

- [1] C. D. Ives *et al.*, "Cities are hotspots for threatened species", *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.*, 2016, doi: 10.1111/geb.12404.
- [2] C. E. Valdivia, J. P. Carroza, en J. I. Orellana, "Geographic distribution and trait-mediated causes of nectar robbing by the European bumblebee *Bombus terrestris* on

- the Patagonian shrub *Fuchsia magellanica*”, *Flora Morphol. Distrib. Funct. Ecol. Plants*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.flora.2016.09.010.
- [3] M. Schleuning *et al.*, “Ecological networks are more sensitive to plant than to animal extinction under climate change”, *Nat. Commun.*, 2016, doi: 10.1038/ncomms13965.
 - [4] M. J. McDonnell en I. MacGregor-Fors, “The ecological future of cities”, *Science*. 2016. doi: 10.1126/science.aaf3630.
 - [5] V. L. Sork, P. F. Gugger, J. M. Chen, en S. Werth, “Evolutionary lessons from California plant phylogeography”, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2016, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1602675113.
 - [6] R. Abiri *et al.*, “A critical review of the concept of transgenic plants: Insights into pharmaceutical biotechnology and molecular farming”, *Curr. Issues Mol. Biol.*, 2016, doi: 10.21775/cimb.018.021.
 - [7] R. Petryszak *et al.*, “Expression Atlas update - An integrated database of gene and protein expression in humans, animals and plants”, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 2016, doi: 10.1093/nar/gkv1045.
 - [8] F. Yang, J. Hu, en R. Wu, “Combining endangered plants and animals as surrogates to identify priority conservation areas in Yunnan, China”, *Sci. Rep.*, 2016, doi: 10.1038/srep30753.
 - [9] N. A. Castro-Guerrero, M. C. Isidra-Arellano, D. G. Mendoza-Cozat, en O. Valdés-López, “Common bean: A legume model on the rise for unraveling responses and adaptations to iron, zinc, and phosphate deficiencies”, *Frontiers in Plant Science*. 2016. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.00600.
 - [10] L. E. Escobar en M. E. Craft, “Advances and limitations of disease biogeography using ecological niche modeling”, *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 2016. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.01174.

CHAPTER 7

THE ENVIRONMENTAL DIMENSION OF AGROECOLOGY

Anil Kumar, Assistant Professor

College of Agriculture Sciences, TeerthankerMahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

Email Id- anilsingh2929@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

A comprehensive kind of farming known as groecology heavily emphasizes the environmental component. This essay explores the environmental elements of agroecology, stressing its tenets, applications, and effects on the sustainability of food production and the health of ecosystems. This research examines the significant influence of agroecology on environmental sustainability by focusing on the fundamental components that characterize agroecological systems, such as biodiversity, soil health, and resilience. The study of agriculture with an emphasis on the health of ecosystems and the communities they sustain is known as agroecology. The protection of biodiversity, ecological resilience, soil regeneration, and sustainable agriculture are some of the terms covered in the article that are associated with the environmental aspect of agroecology. This report is a significant resource for scholars, policymakers, farmers, and advocates interested in the environmental advantages of agroecological methods via its thorough investigation.

KEYWORDS:

Biodiversity Conservation, Ecological Resilience, Soil Regeneration, Sustainable Agriculture.

INTRODUCTION

Agroecology aids in the development of more complex agro-ecosystems via its environmental component and the application of principles that often mirror those found in natural ecosystems. When climate threats are prevalent, agroecology improves systems' resilience and ability to adapt to climate change. For instance, "it has been shown that increased soil biodiversity improves crop plants' ability to use water, absorb nutrients, and resist disease." Biodiversity often serves as a "buffer against environmental and economic crisis" by fostering resilience[1], [2].

Agroecology consequently contributes to the development of self-sufficient, healthy, pollution-free systems that provide a wide variety of safe food, energy, and other home necessities. By putting its principles into practice, agroecology also helps to mitigate climate change. For example, it can build healthy soils and restore depleted soils, which helps to sequester carbon, or it can reduce direct and indirect energy use, which helps to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.¹⁵ Agroecology also helps to increase efficiency and resilience via the effective use of resources (such as water and energy utilization). Agroecology also offers a healthy, safe working environment for farmers and farm laborers as well as a healthy environment for rural, periurban, and urban communities while providing them with a variety of healthy, wholesome foods. This goes beyond the significant potential for resilience, mitigation, and adaptation. Agroecology is especially well-suited to ensuring the right to food of farmers and other food producers since it builds on their existing knowledge, abilities, and traditions. It enables the creation of technologies that are suitable and closely adapted to the demands and conditions of particular small-scale farming, peasantry, indigenous, pastoralist, fisherfolk, herder, and hunter-gatherer cultures in their unique environments.

Agriculture, which continues to be the most prevalent employment in the majority of developing nations, presents the finest potential for inclusive growth. As a result, it may aid

in reversing family disintegration and rural-to-urban migration. Rural life and food production (in rural or urban environments) will once again be attractive and valued by society if people learn and apply agroecological practices and develop and control the value chain up to the end user, contributing to thriving local economies, social cohesion, and stability. Agroecology helps to give a new value to peasant identities and strengthen peasant confidence and involvement in their local food system by putting food producers at the center of food systems (peer-to-peer exchanges of practice, promotion of food producers' skills, etc.), increasing autonomy, and revitalizing rural areas. Agroecology helps to restore justice to the food system by divorcing it from corporate control by bringing farmers and consumers closer together in shorter, more local value chains and enhancing both groups' role and voice. It encourages cooperation and solidarity between producers and consumers and offers both groups with nourishing, wholesome, and culturally acceptable food. It encourages a variety of local foods, preserving regional cultural identities in the process. By eliminating processing, packing, and shipping, more direct marketing also lowers the carbon footprint and pollution of the food chain[3], [4].

Agroecology expands the variety and importance of positions that are open to males while giving women possibilities to strengthen their economic independence and, to some degree, influence power dynamics, particularly in the home. Because it is inclusive, acknowledges and supports the role of women in agriculture, and promotes women's involvement, agroecology as a movement is supportive of women's rights. Since the agroecological movement is fundamentally a fight for social justice and liberation, it should always partner with aggressive feminism.¹⁸ Given that agroecology's effects on gender relations are not always favorable, a special emphasis on women must be placed when applying agroecology in all of its forms. Agroecology has the ability to strengthen local economies by using local resources and supplying food to local and regional markets. It may also help to lessen the detrimental effects of global "free" trade on the lives of small-scale food producers. Agroecological techniques are financially sustainable because they allow for more financial and technical independence and autonomy for food producers by lowering the cost of external inputs.

Food producers are less subject to market-related risks like price fluctuation or loss due to harsh weather occurrences made worse by climate change by diversifying output and peasant activities. Agroecology implementation benefits small-scale farmers in particular since it allows them to generate revenue, improve their food and nutrition security, and sustainably increase yields. Agroecology is essentially "pro-poor" since it benefits less wealthy families more than others in terms of production and income.²⁰ Agroecology also boosts economies by giving rural and peri-urban communities access to the right technologies and job possibilities centered around agriculture. In addition, it may provide urban residents with a small piece of land or access to public property with a means of subsistence. Agroecology aims to generate fair employment that upholds human rights and gives farmers a respectable living wage. Agroecology lowers expenses associated with storage, refrigeration, and transportation as well as food loss and waste by reducing the distance between producer and consumer. Agroecology completely considers social and environmental externalities since it decreases waste and health consequences and promotes beneficial externalities like ecological health, resilience, and regeneration. Through its political component, agroecology shifts the source of power in food systems from concentrating on the interests of an ever-shrinking number of big industrial agricultural corporations to direct producers, i.e., small-scale food producers who produce the bulk of the world's food.²³ It criticizes and aids in redressing the inequities brought about by corporate power's hegemony over the present food system. Agroecology, when incorporated into a food sovereignty strategy, represents a democratic

shift in food systems that empowers peasants, pastoralists, fishermen, indigenous peoples, consumers, and other groups, allowing their voices to influence decision-making at all levels of society, from the local to the global. This enables these communities to assert or realize their entitlement to food[5], [6].

DISCUSSION

Small-scale food producers are at the center of the policy-making processes and choices that impact them thanks to the political component of agroecology. With long-term, sustainable solutions that support agroecological diversity and food sovereignty, it aims to address a variety of concerns, including the security of access to food and nutrition security via climatic resilience, as well as the security of access to productive resources (land, water, and seed). Agroecology movements, which are often driven by consumers and comprised of small-scale food producers, advocate for the horizontal scaling up or scaling out of agroecology to other farms and communities.

The political factor necessitates favorable public policy conditions for the proliferation of agroecological solutions in addition to scaling out. The social, environmental, and economic crises we are now experiencing demand for a significant restructuring of our food systems, as was said in the opening. It becomes necessary and has a greater feeling of urgency because of climate change. These demands addressing all four agroecological aspects simultaneously. The potential of agroecology may be better understood by breaking it down into many components, but this approach must be seen holistically as a whole. Indeed, many farmers and peasants emphasize the agroecology's holistic nature as a way of life, something that gives life meaning. For them, it goes beyond only ensuring a healthy agro-ecosystem and a means of subsistence to include living in peace with the environment and other people. The potential influence of agroecology must also not be confined to just one aspect.

Unfortunately, others have attempted to undermine the idea of agroecology by claiming that it has suddenly become popular with everyone, from grassroots social movements to the FAO, governments, universities, and businesses. However, not everyone is thinking about agroecology in the same way. While traditional institutions and businesses have long rejected and mocked agroecology, they are now attempting to monopolize it. They aim to exploit the technological component, which is helpful to them, to improve industrial agriculture while adhering to the monoculture paradigm and the predominance of capital and corporations in power structures. This essay is our own effort to define agroecology, describe what it entails, and demonstrate how, when seen holistically, agroecology and its numerous principles may have a significant beneficial impact on both human rights and the right to food. In addition, it helps undermine present power structures while addressing the underlying roots of the problems that our society are now confronting. This is why the agroecology movement is important to us. We are fully aware that for agroecology to succeed and its principles to be implemented cooperatively and gradually, several complementary political efforts, a transition process, and a paradigm change would be necessary. We also understand that the agroecology in practice may change, that the aforementioned principles may need to be amended, that they may not be precisely expressed, etc.

However, we view this as the beginning of a larger process that will ultimately result in an update and further examples of the existing list of principles we found. The creation of a "practical guide to using the principles" is one of the next stages, which should serve as a foundation for discourse within the larger agroecological movement as well as amongst our organizations (on advocacy methods and programs and consistency across them). This should

be seen as a live document and a call to action to begin a conversation about what agroecology is and entails[7], [8].

Concentrations that are higher than what is typically permitted and have observable effects on people, animals, plants, or materials. Any chemical compound that may be inhaled that is either anthropogenic (created by humans) or natural is a substance. They might be gases, liquid droplets, or solid particles in the atmosphere. Any solid, liquid, or gaseous material that is present in the atmosphere in such concentration as may be or tends to be harmful to humans, other living things, plants, property, or the environment is considered an air pollutant, as defined by the Air (prevention and control) Act of 1981. This includes noise. The atmosphere is made up of a heterogeneous combination of several gases called air. The gaseous mass or envelope that surrounds and is held in place by the gravitational field of the Earth is known as the atmosphere.

The lowest layer of the atmosphere on Earth is called the troposphere. It makes up around 80% of the mass of the atmosphere. Dry air is composed mostly of nitrogen (78.08%), oxygen (20.9%), argon (0.9%), carbon dioxide (0.033%), and other gases in smaller proportions. The composition of air may be expressed in one of two ways: as a proportion of gas by volume or as a percentage of gas by mass. It is vital to remember that whereas the percentage composition of the gases by volume or mass in wet air (i.e., air containing moisture), is dependent on humidity or the amount of moisture in the air, the composition of various gases (in dry air) is a constant one. The atmosphere is made up of a heterogeneous combination of several gases called air. The gaseous mass or envelope that surrounds and is held in place by the gravitational field of the Earth is known as the atmosphere.

The lowest layer of the atmosphere on Earth is called the troposphere. It makes up around 80% of the mass of the atmosphere. Dry air is composed mostly of nitrogen (78.08%), oxygen (20.9%), argon (0.9%), carbon dioxide (0.033%), and other gases in smaller proportions. The composition of air may be expressed in one of two ways: as a proportion of gas by volume or as a percentage of gas by mass. It is vital to remember that whereas the percentage composition of the gases by volume or mass in wet air (i.e., air containing moisture), is dependent on humidity or the amount of moisture in the air, the composition of various gases (in dry air) is a constant one. In-situ or ex-situ bioremediation techniques are also possible. Ex-situ bioremediation entails removing the contaminated material from the site to be treated elsewhere, while in situ bioremediation treats the polluted material at the site. The best approach is often in-situ bioremediation since it is less expensive and causes less disruption because it treats the toxins on-site rather than excavating and transporting them. Prior to remedial procedures, ex-situ bioremediation calls for the transportation of contaminated water or the excavation of contaminated soil.

Different methods, including bioventing, biosparging, bioreactor, composting, land farming, bioaugmentation, and biostimulation, are used in in-situ and ex-situ tactics. An in-situ bioremediation technique called bioventing employs microorganisms to break down organic compounds adsorbed on soils in the unsaturated zone, which is the region between the top of the earth and the water table. By causing air or oxygen flow into the unsaturated zone and, if required, by adding nutrients, bioventing encourages the natural in-situ biodegradation of polluted materials in soil and increases the activity of local bacteria.

Another in-situ bioremediation technique is known as "biosparging," which employs local microorganisms to break down organic materials in the soaked zone. In order to improve the biological activity of the local microorganisms, air (or oxygen) and nutrients (if required) are pumped into the saturated zone during biosparging. By modifying the environment, existing

microorganisms that are capable of bioremediation are stimulated. This may be accomplished by adding several types of rate-limiting nutrients and electron acceptors, such as carbon (for example, in the form of molasses), nitrogen, oxygen, and phosphorus. In the process of bioaugmentation, standardized bacteria are introduced to an area that has been polluted with an undesirable material. These microorganisms break down pollutants. Organic materials undergo controlled breakdown during composting. It entails combining polluted soil with organic wastes that aren't dangerous, such as manure or agricultural waste. These organic components' presence aids in the development. The long-term pattern of the weather of a place, a region, or even the whole world is called the climate [9], [10].

It consists of meteorological facts, often over a 30-year period. It is determined by examining the patterns of fluctuation in a certain area over extended periods of time in terms of temperature, humidity, air pressure, wind, precipitation, and other meteorological variables. The word "climate" is often used to refer to the average weather, or more precisely, to the statistical description of important parameters across timescales ranging from months to thousands or millions of years. The World Meteorological Organization specifies 30 years as the traditional time frame for averaging these variables. Most often, surface factors like temperature, precipitation, and wind are important values. In a broader sense, climate is the condition, i The long-term, widespread alteration in the planet's weather patterns is known as climate change. 'Climate change refers to a change in the state of the climate that can be identified (e.g. by using statistical tests) by changes in the mean and/or the variability of its properties, and that persists for an extended period, typically decades or longer,' the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) states. Climate change may result from internal natural processes or external forcings including variations in the sun's cycle, volcanic eruptions, and enduring manmade changes in the atmosphere's composition or in land usage.

Climate change is defined by the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) as "a change in climate that is attributed directly or indirectly to human activity that alters the global climate system." Solar radiation, which comes from the Sun, provides energy to Earth. The atmosphere contains a variety of gases that absorb solar energy. The wavelength affects how well atmospheric gases can absorb radiation. Oxygen and ozone completely absorb all sun energy with wavelengths shorter than 0.3 m. The stratosphere is where this absorption mostly takes place. The majority of solar energy is not absorbed by the atmosphere and flows through it. This radiation is mostly absorbed by the land and the sea. Longwave infrared radiation is then sent upward from the Earth's surface as a result of this absorbed energy. Water vapor (H₂O), carbon dioxide (CO₂), nitrous oxide (N₂O), and methane (CH₄) are the most significant atmospheric gases for absorbing longwave infrared radiation (greater than 4 m) that is re-radiated by the Earth's surface. Gases that are radiatively active and absorb wavelengths greater than 4 m are referred to be greenhouse gases. As a result of this absorption, the atmosphere becomes warmer, radiating heat back to the Earth. The result is an increase in Earth's temperature caused by the greenhouse gases acting as a thermal blanket surrounding the planet. The term "greenhouse effect" refers to this impact. In the stratosphere, ozone is constantly being produced from molecular oxygen by the absorption of short-wavelength ultraviolet (UV) light, and it is constantly being destroyed by a variety of chemical processes that turn it back into molecular oxygen.

The amount of ozone in the stratosphere depends on the rates of synthesis and destruction at any particular moment. Increasing levels of chlorine and bromine in the stratosphere, which speed up the destruction process, are having an impact on this equilibrium. Before it is eliminated from the stratosphere, one chlorine atom may destroy more than 100,000 ozone molecules. It is estimated that the bromine atom is 40 times more corrosive than chlorine

molecules. When exposed to the strong UV radiation in the stratosphere, several substances emit bromine or chlorine. Chlorofluorocarbons, carbon tetrachloride, methyl chloroform, hydrochlorofluorocarbons, hydrobromofluorocarbons, and halons are among the substances that include chlorine and bromine that significantly reduce the ozone layer. These substances help with a landmark law, the Scheduled Tribes and Other Traditional Forest Dwellers (Recognition of Forest Rights) Act, 2006, was passed to address the poor living conditions of many tribal families living in forests as a result of the non-recognition and vesting of pre-existing rights. This law recognizes and vests the forest rights and occupation of forest land in Scheduled Tribes and other traditional forest dwellers who have been residing in such forests for many years. Nitrogen has a significant role in the atmosphere, soil, and water. Reactive nitrogen (all forms of nitrogen other than N_2) is vital for life, but when present in excess, it may have negative effects on ecosystems and human health. Reactive nitrogen comes in many forms, and they all contribute to smog and poor air quality, acid rain, eutrophication, poor drinking water quality, biodiversity loss, global warming, and other problems.

The quantity of reactive nitrogen emitted into the environment as a consequence of human activity is calculated as the "nitrogen footprint." The key components are NO_x , N_2O , NO_3 , and NH_3 emission.

The two primary processes by which humans discharge reactive nitrogen into the environment are the burning of fossil fuels and the production of food. Reactive nitrogen is released into the atmosphere as a byproduct of burning fossil fuels. On the other hand, nitrogen is used on purpose when food is produced. A crucial component for food production, nitrogen is found in fertilizers. The nitrogen footprint comprises nitrogen emitted along the whole chain of food production, distribution, and preparation in addition to nitrogen present in the food that is eaten. The amount of nitrogen (in the form of NO_x emissions) released from the burning of fossil fuels in relation to energy use for housing (such as cooking, heating, and cooling); transportation (such as use of private or public transport); and the energy used to produce goods and provide services is determined by the other component of the nitrogen footprint.

Evidently, the population levels out as N approaches K , the equation $(K - N/K)$ approaches 0, and as a consequence, the instantaneous rate of growth approaches zero. The carrying capacity (K) of the ecosystem is the population size at which the leveling off takes place. The saturation point, also known as the carrying capacity, is the point beyond which no significant expansion is possible. The symbol K stands for the carrying capacity or equilibrium density. Identifying the population's maximum growth rate is often helpful. This quantity, sometimes abbreviated as r , describes the growth rate of an indefinitely tiny population and is known as the intrinsic rate of natural increase.

Numerous variables, such as the amount of predation and the availability of food, affect the environment's carrying capacity (K). The time on the X-axis and the number of organisms on the Y-axis must be plotted in order to visually depict the sigmoid growth of the population; this produces the distinctive S-shaped sigmoid curve. In a lab setting, the development of yeast, *Drosophila*, or rabbits all follow a similar S-shaped population expansion. In examining population increase, the logistic growth model is only a starting point. The seasons and years have an impact on carrying capabilities. Furthermore, the relationship between birth and mortality rates is not always clear-cut (even malnourished animals may continue to consume food and have offspring). On one of the Pribilof Islands in Alaska, for instance, four male and twenty-two female reindeer were imported in 1910. The population grew to 2000 within thirty years, thus "overshooting" the carrying capacity. The reindeer's food source almost vanished, and in 1950 its head size shrank to eight members.

CONCLUSION

The research put forward emphasizes the significance of agroecological techniques in regenerating soils, supporting sustainable agriculture, and boosting biodiversity. These methods are crucial for reducing the negative effects of traditional agriculture on the environment, such as soil erosion and biodiversity loss. Agroecology must be embraced by decision-makers, farmers, and communities if we are to create a food system that is more ecologically responsible and sustainable. This entails encouraging sustainable agricultural methods, funding agroecological research, and advancing laws that place an emphasis on ecosystem health. Agroecology's emphasis on the environment heralds a possible paradigm change in agriculture that acknowledges the deep links between agricultural methods and the health of the ecosystem. We may strive to improve our connection with the environment and guarantee that our food systems are resilient in the face of global problems by implementing agroecological concepts and practices.

REFERENCES:

- [1] M. S. DeLonge, A. Miles, en L. Carlisle, "Investing in the transition to sustainable agriculture", *Environ. Sci. Policy*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.envsci.2015.09.013.
- [2] M. S. Delonge, A. Miles, en L. Carlisle, "Environmental Science & Policy Investing in the transition to sustainable agriculture", *Environ. Sci. Policy*, 2016.
- [3] C. Royle *et al.*, "Assessing ecosystem services for informing land-use decisions: a problem-oriented approach", *Ecol. Soc.*, 2016.
- [4] B. Zhao *et al.*, "Incertezas Na Estimativa Da Variabilidade Espacial Da Emissão De Co 2 Do Solo E Espacial Da Emissão De Co 2 Do Solo E", *Plant Soil*, 2016.
- [5] S. Baltazar *et al.*, "Can we avoid extractivism while doing research in agroecology? A critical view on co-optation and institutionalisation of agroecology", 2017.
- [6] P. C. Struik en T. W. Kuyper, "Sustainable intensification in agriculture: the richer shade of green. A review", *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*. 2017. doi: 10.1007/s13593-017-0445-7.
- [7] J. Ryschawy *et al.*, "Assessing multiple goods and services derived from livestock farming on a nation-wide gradient", *Animal*, 2017, doi: 10.1017/S1751731117000829.
- [8] C. Rigolot, "An evolutionary approach of worldviews to think the transformations of agriculture", *Cah. Agric.*, 2017.
- [9] S. A. D. A. S. Fernandes, "A Autarquia Local, O Estado E A Sociedade Civil: Uma Abordagem Baseada Em Mafra", *Diss. Mestr.*, 2017.
- [10] S. Maqrot, S. de Givry, G. Quesnel, en M. Tchamitchian, "A mixed integer programming reformulation of the mixed fruit-vegetable crop allocation problem", 2017. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-60045-1_26.

CHAPTER 8

EXPLORING THE BRANCHES, APPLICATION AND SCOPE OF ECOLOGY

Kusum Farswan, Assistant Professor

College of Agriculture Sciences, Teerthankar Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

Email Id- kusumfarswan.14feb@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

The study of interactions between organisms and their habitats is included in the vast scope of ecology as a scientific subject, which includes a diverse range of branches, applications, and subfields. This essay examines ecology's many dimensions, highlighting its different branches, useful applications, and the broad range of ecological study. This study highlights the range of ecological investigation by covering the major subfields within ecology, such as population ecology, community ecology, and ecosystem ecology. Ecology has applications in a variety of industries, from conservation biology to environmental management, and it is essential for solving urgent global problems like biodiversity loss and climate change. The protection of biodiversity, ecological modeling, environmental surveillance, and sustainability are only a few of the ecology-related terms covered in the study. This document is a great resource for students, academics, policymakers, and anyone else interested in developing a better grasp of the complex field of ecology via its thorough investigation.

KEYWORDS:

Biodiversity Conservation, Ecological Modeling, Environmental Monitoring, Sustainability.

INTRODUCTION

The word ecology in 1868, but it wasn't until 1869 that German biologist Ernst Haeckel gave it its correct definition. The Greek words "oikos" (meaning home) and "logos" (meaning study) are the roots of the term ecology (or its older version, "oekologie"). Therefore, the term ecology literally refers to the study of living things in their natural environment. Various ecologists have provided definitions of ecology. Eudgene Odum (1963) described ecology as the study of the composition and operation of the natural world, among other things. According to Allee et al. (1949), ecology is "the science of the interactions between living organisms and their environment, including both the physical and biotic environments, and emphasizing both inter- and intra-species relations." ecology is the study of how each creature interacts with its environment. Ecology is the scientific study of interactions that influence the distribution and abundance of species. It is "the scientific natural history concerned with the sociology and economics of animals," according to Clements Elton.

The study of plant and animal populations, plant and animal communities, and ecosystems are all included in the field of ecology. It was in 1935 when Arthur Tansley, the British ecologist, created the word ecosystem. Ecosystem is shorthand for "ecological systems." The study of ecosystems is what is meant by ecology. Ecologists research how different animals in an environment interact with one another. The web or network of relationships between species at various organizational sizes is referred to as an ecosystem. Ecologists study everything from small bacteria's involvement in nutrient recycling to the impact of tropical rainforests on the Earth's climate since ecology refers to any type of biodiversity [1], [2].

Ecology examines a wide range of elements of nature, including climate, plants, animals, soil, litter on top of the soil, production, dominance, decomposition, variety, etc. The concepts of ecology were deeply ingrained in human history, even if contemporary ecology has mostly

emerged after 1900. Prehistoric man used environmental knowledge to find food, shelter, medicines, and other things. Theophrastus, who is considered to be one of the first ecologists, wrote detailed descriptions of the interactions between animals and their environment as early as the fourth century BC (Ramalay, 1940). Early in the eighteenth century, two schools of thought dominated the expanding field of ecological research. First, the idea of Arcadian ecology is credited to Gilbert White, a "Parson-naturalist" who developed and supported it [3], [4].

Arcadian ecology promotes a "simple, humble life for man" and a positive coexistence between humans and the natural world. The "imperial ecology" philosophy of Francis Bacon, on the other hand, stands in opposition to the Arcadian viewpoint. According to Imperial Ecology, man can dominate nature by using reason and putting forth a lot of effort. Through the early 18th century, the two points of view remained at odds until Carl Linnaeus came out in favor of imperialism. As a result of Linnaeus' fame, imperial ecology quickly rose to the top of the subject. is widely renowned for his contributions to taxonomy, the study of identifying and categorizing species. In his work "Systema Naturae," Linnaeus described a huge variety of new plants and animals. His ideas contributed to the development of contemporary ecology. Charles Darwin put forward his hypothesis of adaptation and evolution in 1859. This idea states that inherited features and personalities drive organisms to change throughout time. These evolutionary modifications are what make it possible for them to better adapt to their surroundings.

Ernst Haeckel first used the word "ecology" in 1869, and ever since then, ecology has been the study of how organisms interact with their surroundings. The word "biosphere" was originally used by Eduard Seuss in 1875 to refer to the system made up of living things and their surroundings. Le Cog Sendtner and Kerner established the plant community in ecology, whereas Karl Mobius (1877), Warming (1909), Elements (1916), Cowles (1899), etc. brought the animal community. The word "Synecology" was first used in literature by Schroeter and Kirchner in 1896. The word "ecosystem" was first used by Arthur Tansley in 1935 to describe the biological community of interdependent species and their physical surroundings. Ecology thus evolved into the science of ecosystems. The first ecology textbook was written by Eugene and Howard Odum in 1953, and ecology was later made into a university course.

In their 1954 study, Andrewartha and Birch stressed the significance of climate and other variables in determining population growth. The unifying principles of ecology have been highlighted by Margolef (1968), who also analyzes the maturity of ecosystems in terms of variety and energy, Gaia, according to James Lovelock's theory from the 1970s, is a single living organism that will secure its own life even if humans kill themselves. Conservation, 1978 Biology has a history of emphasizing environmental management. The study of ecology first became a separate academic field around the start of the 20th century, and it came to public attention in the 1960s as environmental concerns became more widespread. In the 1950s and early 1960s, regional floristic and vegetation studies were replaced by ecosystem approaches. The science of ecology has undergone a number of changes. Ecology has a vast range, including all living things on earth as well as their physical and chemical environments. Because of this, the topic is often separated into many levels of study, such as organismal ecology, population ecology, ecosystem ecology, and community ecology. The study of organismal ecology examines how people interact with their surroundings. The study of population ecology looks at the variables influencing population dispersion and density. In community ecology, population interactions are examined. Organismal, population, and community ecology are all subsets of ecosystem ecology. All the biotic and abiotic elements of that region make up the ecosystem. An ecosystem biologist studies how nutrients and

energy are stored, how they are transferred between species[5], [6].As people's awareness of environmental issues has grown, the field of ecology has significantly broadened. People have been warned by ecologists about the effects of humans gradually destroying and removing natural resources from the environment. With the right and informed understanding of ecological studies, man may use ecological studies and management to create a healthy and long-lasting balance between the living things and their environment, which may address many significant issues.

DISCUSSION

The development of ecological concepts in redressing or reversing the progress of environmental degradation will lead to significant advances in ecology. Many of the issues brought on by overuse of the resources may be solved with the aid of environmental expertise. Ecology has several subcategories. The study of differences and similarities among distinct plants in varied climatic and ecological conditions is known as plant ecology. The study of plants in their natural habitat has produced a vast amount of information that supports the science of resource conservation. Agriculture, food production, and horticulture all need ecological knowledge. The International Biological Programme (IBP) was established on July 1, 1967, with the goal of examining the biological underpinnings of organic production and resource conservation in relation to human wellbeing. With a focus on birds, the Bombay Natural History Society (a science-based NGO) has carried out admirable long-term ecological research in the wetlands of Bharatpur, Bhitarkanika, and point Calimer. Ernst Haeckel gave ecology its correct definition after H. Reiter had first used the word.

Literally, ecology is the study of living things in their natural environment or habitat. Ecology examines a wide range of natural phenomena. Ecology may be separated into two divisions, autecology and synecology, depending on whether an organism is being studied alone or in a group. Synecology refers to ecological studies at the community level, while Autecology refers to ecological research at the species level. Cytoecology, Paleoecology, Conservation Ecology, Ecological Energetics and Production Ecology, Space Ecology, Microbial Ecology, Habitat Ecology, Ecosystem Ecology, and Taxonomic Ecology are only a few of the divisions ecologists have made in ecology. Ecological applications are the use of ecological research to solve environmental issues. Major fields such as wildlife management, soil conservation, watershed management, agriculture, aquaculture, land use, air pollution, and forestry all have implications for ecology. An ecosystem is described as a naturally occurring, functioning ecological unit made up of living things and the non-living surroundings in which they interact to create a stable, self-sustaining system. Earnst Hackel first used the term ecology in 1869. Its origins are two Greek terms, "oikos" (home, dwelling, or place of life) and "logos" (study).

Ecosystems are made up of both living and non-living things. All biotic and abiotic organisms depend on one another in order to survive, so living things cannot exist separately from their non-living surroundings since the latter supplies them with food and energy. Over the course of millions of years, the natural environment has developed, resulting in the manifestation of many living forms that interact and coexist in harmony. For personal advantage, humans have altered the environment, and these changes have significantly altered the ecology. As a result of its deviation from natural patterns and testing of time and development, it is losing balance. The ecosystem may thus get out of balance if any of the factors' functions are interrupted. In order to keep the ecosystem stable, they must constantly interact with one another. The kind of creature that can survive in a certain ecosystem relies on their physical and metabolic adaptations to that ecosystem's environment as well as on specific events in our planet's history that have influenced which species have been able to

migrate to different parts of the globe. On Earth, there exist groups of ecosystems that are geographically separated from one another yet subjected to the same climatic circumstances. These ecosystems are characterized by dominating species that have comparable life cycles, climatic adaptations, and physical structures. Man has artificially preserved this collection of them. An artificial ecosystem is one that has been created as part of a waste water treatment facility. Its maintenance may span across a broad variety of activities. Agriculture may be seen as a limited kind of ecological management. Here, energy addition and deliberate modification are used to disseminate natural balance on a regular basis. Examples of places where man seeks to regulate the biotic community as well as the physiochemical environment are wheat, maize, and rice fields. Folsom bottles are the smallest artificial ecosystem that has failed to support life over an extended length of time. Professor Claire Folsom of the University of Hawaii built these physically isolated ecosystems by putting water, algae, bacteria, and sediment from Honolulu Bay in a liter flask and closing the top. In order to allow the biotic components to use some energy throughout the day, the sealed bottles were positioned close to a window. Some of them have managed to maintain life for over twenty years. The following list of significant abiotic component functions.

A combination of worn rock fragments, highly changed soil mineral particles, organic matter, and living creatures make up soils, which act as a container for fragments and organisms as well as a source of nourishment, hydration, and structural support. Soils are significantly more complicated than basic sediments. Through nitrogen cycling, the plant that is observed growing on top of a soil is strongly related to this element of an ecosystem. The atmosphere supplies oxygen for respiration and carbon dioxide for photosynthesis to organisms located in ecosystems. The exchange of water between the atmosphere and the earth's surface occurs via evaporation, transpiration, and precipitation[7], [8].

It is a visual depiction of the quantity of biomass the entire living or organic matter that is present in an ecosystem at any one time that is present per square meter at various tropical levels. A typical biomass pyramid is more basic since it illustrates the quantitative correlations between the standing crop. The biomass pyramid may be upright or upside-down. The biomass of species at each tropic level, from producers to apex predators (uprights or straight pyramids), gradually declines in grassland and forest ecosystems. On the other hand, predators are the largest species in the pond ecosystem, while producers are the smallest. As a consequence, the biomass of Aryanisms gradually increases at each subsequent tropic level, from producers to apex predators, resulting in an inverted pyramid. There, the biomass of zooplankton will be lower than that of main predators (such as tiny fish), while that of phytoplankton will be smaller yet. A tiny standing phytoplankton crop supports a huge standing zooplankton crop in such an inverted pyramid of biomass. A visual representation of communal energy flow is an energy pyramid. The many layers depict various assemblages of creatures that might make up a food chain. They are as follows, starting from the bottom up: producers provide the community with energy from nonliving sources. when a community's output is expressed in terms of energy. We discover that each tropic level leads to the formation of a pyramid. After that, it was added. The energy pyramid provides the most detailed information on the types of states in which food mass passes through the food chain.

Energy content constantly gradually decreases at progressively lower levels, from producers to consumers. The sun serves as the world's primary energy source. The sun now emits 1366.75 W/m² of energy. When research on how energy is captured by producers (photosynthetic organisms) was being conducted, the sun irradiance (SI) was 1365.45 W/m².

The energy required by photosynthetic organisms is 697.04 W/m², but they only consume 0.65 W/m². The remaining incident energy on the surface is transferred to the biotic

environment (oceans, soil, atmosphere, etc.), from where it is released into space and into the gravitational field. The atmosphere absorbs 191.345W/m^2 , keeping the earth's troposphere at a comfortable 35.40°C (95.720°F). Energy flow is the transfer of energy from the external environment through a succession of creatures and back to the external environment within an ecosystem. Energy is a resource that every ecosystem needs to survive. To keep biotic structures in place and ensure that they continue to function, energy must be continuously supplied. The term "energy flow" describes a cyclical movement of energy that originates in an environment that is external to the ecosystem, travels through a number of organisms, and then returns to the original external environment. A very important necessity for an ecosystem is the movement of energy. The kind and amount of energy flow determines how wealthy or poor and how long a life will last. The sun is the most important source of energy for the biosphere. Ecosystems keep themselves going by recycling nutrients and energy from outside sources.

Primary producers utilize sun energy to create organic plant material via photosynthesis at the highest trophic level. The second level of the tropics is populated by herbivorous creatures. If bigger predators are present, they represent an even higher trophic level, and creatures that graze at survival trophic levels are categorized as the highest on the trophic levels at which they feed. Predators that consume herbivores threaten the third trophic level. Waste and dead creatures are broken down by decomposers, such as bacteria, fungus, worms, and insects, and then returned to the soil. A trophic level's energy output typically transfers roughly 10% to the level below it. However, high-quality food supplies may be converted into new living tissue more effectively by consumers than low-quality food sources. In terms of energy flow, decomposers are often more significant than producers because to the slow pace of energy transfers across trophic levels. Decomposers break down a lot of organic matter and then recycle it. Can an ecosystem sustain a certain number of trophic levels? The response is based on several factors, such as the quantity of energy entering the ecosystem, energy transfer across trophic levels, and the developed structure and physiology of organisms at each level. Because they can only use a small portion of the energy generated at the level below them at higher trophic levels, predators must cover an ever-larger area to satisfy their calorie requirements.

Most ecosystems contain no more than five layers owing to energy losses, and marine ecosystems are probably different from terrestrial ecosystems in fundamental ways. The majority of phytoplankton's primary output is devoured and utilised for energy by grazing creatures that graze on them since they are tiny organisms with very basic structures. On the other hand, a significant portion of the plant's biomass, including its roots, trunks, and branches, cannot be used by herbivores for The food chain metaphor, in which energy moves from one trophic level to the next without taking into account other factors, is the simplest approach to explain the flows of energy through ecosystems. For instance, the ecosystem of the remote wind spot Taylor valley in Antarctica consists primarily of bacteria and algae, which are more frequently consumed by nematodes. However, producers and consumers are connected in an intricate food web, with some consumers breeding at multiple trophic levels. This is an example of a very simple ecosystem that may consist of a food chain with only a few trophic levels.

Important effects of the bioaccumulation process, which occurs when toxins gather in animal tissue between trophic levels, A well-known example of bioaccumulation is the pesticide DDT, which was used extensively in the USA from the 1940s through the 1960s. Eagles and other raptors accumulate DDT to amounts that affect reproduction, forcing the birds to deposit eggs with thin shells. Fortunately, population of eggs that broke in their nests have turned over

nutrients to the environment in an organic form, which are subsequently taken up once more by primary producers. During decompositions, energy is not regenerated but instead is mostly emitted as heat[9], [10].

Gross primary productivity measures how much organic matter is produced through photosynthesis in an ecosystem, while net primary productivity measures how much energy is left over for plant growth after deducting the portion used for respiration. Productivity in land ecosystems typically increases with temperature up to 30°C, after which it declines and is positively corrected with moisture on land, making it a high-value ecosystem.

Light and temperature are crucial productivity-controlling elements in the seas. In surface and near-surface water, photosynthesis takes place. The harm posed by bioaccumulation extends to people and animals. For instance, numerous federal and state organizations in the USA today advise consumers to avoid or restrict their eating of big predatory fish, such as shark and swordfish, that contain high levels of mercury. to prevent birth abnormalities and neurological impairment caused by rest. Productivity is defined as the quantity of food energy generated, acquired, or stored by a certain tropic level per unit area, in a unit period. It is a rate function that is described in terms of the amount of dry matter and energy that is absorbed per unit of land area and per unit of time. The most common units of measurement are gm-2 year-1 or kcal m-2 year-1.

Productivity or production in ecology refers to the pace at which biomass is produced within an ecosystem. Grams per square meter per day (gm-2d-2) is a common unit of measurement for this. It is also known as mass per unit surface (or volume) per unit time. The mass unit might refer to the mass of dry stuff or the mass of carbon produced. Primary productivity refers to the output of autotrophs like plants, while secondary productivity refers to the output of hetrotrophs like animals, who synthesize organic compounds like sugar, even if chemosynthesis only accounts for a minor portion of primary production. Land, plants, marine algae, and certain bacteria (including cyanobacteria) are among the organisms that are involved in primary production. Synthesis of organic molecules from air or aqueous carbon dioxide is referred to as primary production. It mostly happens as a result of photosynthesis, which utilizes light as its energy source, but it may also happen as a result of chemosynthesis, which gets its energy from the oxidation or reduction of inorganic chemical molecules. Almost all life on Earth is dependent on primary production, either directly or indirectly. The primary producers, also known as autotrophs, are the creatures that make up the foundation of the food chain. These are mostly plants in terrestrial ecoregions, while algae predominate in aquatic ecoregions. Ecologists differentiate between net and gross primary production, with the former taking into account losses from processes like cellular respiration and the latter not.

CONCLUSION

The supporting data highlights the significance of biodiversity preservation, ecological modeling, environmental monitoring, and sustainability as crucial components of ecological study and application. Informing conservation policies, regulating ecosystems, and reducing the effects of environmental change all depend on these elements. To solve the complex environmental issues confronting our world, researchers, politicians, educators, and environmentalists must continue to work together and fund ecological study. In order to improve environmental sustainability, this entails improving our knowledge of ecosystems, modeling ecological processes, and putting evidence-based policies into practice. Because ecosystems on our globe are dynamic and constantly changing, the study of ecology is also dynamic and developing. We may improve our capacity to preserve and safeguard the natural

world and strive toward a more peaceful and sustainable cohabitation with the environment by adopting the ecological principles and practices.

REFERENCES:

- [1] W. H. Lowe, R. P. Kovach, en F. W. Allendorf, "Population Genetics and Demography Unite Ecology and Evolution", *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*. 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2016.12.002.
- [2] A. Germond *et al.*, "Raman spectroscopy as a tool for ecology and evolution", *Journal of the Royal Society Interface*. 2017. doi: 10.1098/rsif.2017.0174.
- [3] B. C. Wallace *et al.*, "OpenMEE: Intuitive, open-source software for meta-analysis in ecology and evolutionary biology", *Methods Ecol. Evol.*, 2017, doi: 10.1111/2041-210X.12708.
- [4] C. E. Morris, M. A. Barny, O. Berge, L. L. Kinkel, en C. Lacroix, "Frontiers for research on the ecology of plant-pathogenic bacteria: fundamentals for sustainability: Challenges in Bacterial Molecular Plant Pathology", *Molecular Plant Pathology*. 2017. doi: 10.1111/mpp.12508.
- [5] G. K. Silber *et al.*, "Projecting marine mammal distribution in a changing climate", *Frontiers in Marine Science*. 2017. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2017.00413.
- [6] B. H. Robison, K. R. Reisenbichler, en R. E. Sherlock, "The coevolution of midwater research and ROV technology at MBARI", *Oceanography*, 2017, doi: 10.5670/OCEANOGRAPHY.2017.421.
- [7] K. Brinck en H. J. Jensen, "The evolution of ecosystem ascendancy in a complex systems based model", *J. Theor. Biol.*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.jtbi.2017.06.010.
- [8] T. F. Wright en C. R. Dahlin, "Vocal dialects in parrots: Patterns and processes of cultural evolution", *Emu*, 2017, doi: 10.1080/01584197.2017.1379356.
- [9] J. W. Brownscombe, S. J. Cooke, en A. J. Danylchuk, "Spatiotemporal drivers of energy expenditure in a coastal marine fish", *Oecologia*, 2017, doi: 10.1007/s00442-016-3800-5.
- [10] A. Potocko, "Reconquista river basin in the metropolitan planning of Buenos Aires (Argentina). Scope, problems and proposals", *Urbe*, 2017, doi: 10.1590/2175-3369.009.003.AO05.

CHAPTER 9

ANALYSIS OF ORGANIC FARMING AND GARDENING SKILLS

Kuldeep Mishra, Assistant Professor

College of Agriculture Sciences, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

Email Id- mishraypikuldeep@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

Organic farming and gardening are examples of ecologically responsible and sustainable methods of agricultural production. In order to support healthy ecosystems, food security, and environmental resilience, this study examines the fundamental knowledge and techniques involved in organic farming and gardening. This study emphasizes the value of learning and using organic farming and gardening skills by looking at important aspects of organic agriculture, such as crop diversification, insect control, and soil health. Composting, crop rotation, and integrated pest control are just a few of the techniques used in organic farming and gardening, all of which are essential to the growth and sustainability of organic systems. The study examines terms like agroecology, biodiversity, regenerative agriculture, and sustainable food production that are associated with organic farming and gardening techniques. This study is a helpful resource for anyone looking to embrace and promote organic farming and gardening techniques via its thorough investigation.

KEYWORDS:

Agroecology, Biodiversity, Regenerative Agriculture, Sustainable Food Production.

INTRODUCTION

Living things and their non-living (abiotic) surroundings are inextricably linked and have an impact on one another. An ecological system, often known as an ecosystem, is any region of nature where living things and inanimate objects interact to generate a flow of materials between the living and inanimate elements. In terms of function, an ecosystem is made up of two parts: an autotrophic (autotrophic = self-nourishing) component, which is characterized by the predominance of the fixation of light energy, synthesis of simple inorganic substances, and accumulation of complex materials; and a heterotrophic (heterotrophic = other-nourishing) component, which is characterized by the utilization, rearrangement, and decomposition of complex materials. It is useful to identify the ecosystem's four components as follows: (1) Abiotic substances, the basic inorganic and organic compounds of the environment; (2) Producers, autotrophic organisms, primarily green plants, which can produce food from simple inorganic substances; (3) Consumers (or Macroconsumers), heterotrophic organisms, primarily animals, which consume other organisms or particulate organic matter; and (4) Decomposers [1], [2].

Since both organisms (biotic communities) and the abiotic environment have an impact on the qualities of the other and are required for the maintenance of life as we know it on the planet, the ecosystem serves as the fundamental functional unit in ecology. An ecosystem is an example, such as a lake. Since no creature can survive independently of its environment or without it, our first principle may readily be related to the "interrelation" portion of our fundamental concept of ecology. The biosphere is the area of the planet that supports living things and, as a result, the functioning of ecosystems. The biosphere, which includes the seas and the atmosphere, is the thin outer shell of the planet since life only penetrates a small portion of its surface. The biosphere is crucial because it is not only a space where living things may dwell, but also because it is where the sun's incoming radiation energy causes the

earth's inert material to undergo basic chemical and physical changes. These differences are mostly caused by how different ecosystems work.

The idea of an ecosystem is and should be wide since it emphasizes causal links, interdependence, and necessary interactions in ecological theory. Different sized ecosystems may be conceptualized and examined. A simple unit of study may be a small aquarium, lake, pond, or even a section of woodland. The system may be regarded as an ecosystem as long as the essential elements are present and work in concert to produce some level of functional stability, even if only momentarily. Even if its active existence is only restricted to a brief period of time, a temporary pond, for instance, is a definite ecosystem with distinctive inhabitants and activities. The interplay of the autotrophic and heterotrophic components, as described in the Statement, is one of the characteristics shared by all ecosystems, whether terrestrial, freshwater, marine, or man-dominated (agricultural, etc.). These functions and the creatures in charge of them are typically stacked one above the other, partly separating them in space. Additionally, the fundamental operations are somewhat separated in time since the heterotrophic use of the byproducts of autotrophic organisms may take a long period. For instance, the above-ground component of a forest ecosystem is dominated by photosynthesis. Only a portion, frequently a very small portion, of the photosynthesized energy is immediately and directly utilized by the plant, herbivores, and parasites that feed on foliage and new wood; the majority of the photosynthesized energy eventually makes its way to the soil and litter, which together make up a heterotrophic ecosystem as it is known today[3], [4].

A useful ecological categorization is provided by further segmenting the ecosystem into four groups: abiotic substances, producers, consumers, and decomposers. Since they are dependent on the sort of nourishment and energy source employed, the three living components might be regarded of as the three "functional kingdoms of nature." There are some similarities between these ecological categories and taxonomic kingdoms, as pointed out by Whittaker (1957), but they should not be mistaken with them. Ecological taxonomy is based more on function than specifics. Since some species of organisms hold intermediate places in the series and others are able to change their method of nourishment in response to environmental factors, there are no clear cut boundaries between the groups. Although it seems particularly arbitrary, dividing heterotrophs into big consumers and decomposers (i.e., tiny consumers) is warranted in reality due to the extremely different research approaches needed. Organisms that get their energy via the heterotrophic absorption of breakdown products are those that we have designated as decomposers. These organisms, which are mostly heterotrophic microorganisms (bacteria, fungus, etc.), are extremely tiny, stationary, and have high rates of metabolism and turnover. They are often embedded in the medium being degraded. Because morphological specialization is less obvious than biochemical specialization, it is often impossible to discern an organism's function in an ecosystem by just seeing it or counting its population. Organisms that we have categorized as macroconsumers get their energy from particulate organic materials via heterotrophic ingestion. These are definitely "animals" in the broadest sense. The macro-consumers are bigger than decomposers, have slower metabolic rates, and are easier to see directly than decomposers. They seem to have developed sophisticated sensory-neuromotor as well as digestive, respiratory, and circulatory systems in the higher species, showing morphological adaptation for active food collection or searching.

A significant ecosystem might only be made up of producers and decomposers; for instance, early aquatic communities could be made up of algae and heterotrophic bacteria. But sooner or later, macroconsumers or animals encroach almost everywhere on our world. It is assumed that a system devoid of animals would be less effective over time because energy transfer and

material recycling would occur at a slower pace. The gravity flow of organic molecules from above keeps large portions of the biosphere, including the soil and the deep ocean, alive despite the absence of producers. Given that they exhibit similar structural and functional traits, we may often refer to dirt, fallen logs, or deep-sea basins as ecosystems—so long as we acknowledge that these systems are insufficient since they only have the heterotrophic component. Going outside and observing a little pond is one of the finest ways to start studying ecology. Ecologists have spent many years researching specific ponds and lakes without going into all the specifics or comprehending all the processes, but a student may quickly learn the fundamental ideas without having to do a thorough investigation. Let's treat the pond's ecology as a whole, saving the investigation of its smaller systems for the second part of this book. The first sample taken immediately demonstrates the interdependence of living things and their surroundings. The pond is made up of both plants and animals, who not only live there but also contribute to its overall appearance[1], [5].

DISCUSSION

Therefore, a bottle of pond water or a scoop of bottom ice include a variety of living things, both plant and animal, as well as organic and inorganic substances. It is possible to remove some of the bigger creatures and plants from the sample for analysis or counting, but it would be challenging to totally remove the countless tiny living species from the nonliving matrix without altering the fluid's characteristics. True, the sample of pond water or pond soil might be autoclaved to remove all living stuff, but this would result in a residue that no longer resembled pond water or pond soil and had radically different properties. Despite its complexity, the pond ecology may be broken down into four fundamental parts.

Although it is common knowledge that the abiotic environment (also known as "physical factors") regulates how organisms behave, it is not always understood how organisms also impact and regulate the abiotic environment. Organisms continually alter the physical and chemical properties of inert materials by recycling new molecules and isotopes back into the nonliving environment. The chemical composition of the ocean and its bottom "oozes" is substantially controlled by marine creatures. A sand dune's vegetation creates a soil that is very different from the original substrate. A stunning illustration of how creatures affect their abiotic environment is a coral island in the South Pacific. Whole islands are formed from simple marine raw materials as a consequence of the activity of animals (corals, etc.) and vegetation. The basic nature of our atmosphere is governed by living things. In fact, without living things, our universe would likely be a largely immutable mass made up of fewer types of elements. It would really be a dreary planet, much like our moon. The Copper Basin near Copperhill, Tennessee, and a region identical to it east of Butte, Montana, provide striking examples of what happens when live organisms are absent. All the rooted plants across a wide area were eradicated in these areas by copper smelting gases. The stunning desert that resulted from the complete erosion of the soil. Modern smelting techniques no longer emit fumes, but flora has not grown back and efforts at artificial regeneration have generally failed. The environment is too "raw" for life to establish itself and begin the process of reconstruction. Even the environment is different; the denuded region has greater temperatures and less rainfall than the neighboring forest. Chemically, portion of the sunlight's energy is stored throughout the photosynthetic process as the potential or "bound" energy of food. The procedure is far more involved than the above word form suggests, but the essentials are clear.

The production of food by photosynthetic means is sometimes referred to as the "business of green plants." The synthesis of amino acids, proteins, and other essential components is currently thought to take place simultaneously with the production of carbohydrates

(glucose), with some of the fundamental stages being shared. Naturally, the "business of all organisms" is the opposite of photosynthesizing, or respiration, which causes the oxidation of food and the release of energy (making it possible for growth, movement, the generation of heat, etc.). Of course, the creators of the synthetic food utilize some of it themselves. Both the surplus and the producer proloplasm are then consumed by the consumers and decomposers, or, as is frequently the case, part of it is stored or transported into oiler systems, but they are able to grow anaerobically, do not produce oxygen as a byproduct, and can function as heterotrophs in the dark[6], [7].

This category includes the purple bacterium *Rhodospirillum rubrum*, which is often seen in stagnant pools as a noticeable purple layer under a green algal layer. Instead of using photosynthetic energy, chemosynthetic bacteria use chemical oxidation to produce simple inorganic molecules like ammonia to nitrite, nitrite to nitrate, sulfur to sulfate, and ferrous to ferric iron. They may develop in the absence or presence of oxygen while growing in the dark. Examples of this category of bacteria include the sulfur bacteria, which are often prevalent in sulfur springs, and the nitrogen bacteria, which are crucial to the nitrogen cycle.

The majority of higher plant species (Spermatophytes) and human-made forms of algae are entirely autotrophic since they only need basic inorganic nutrients. However, certain algal species need a single complex organic "growth substance" that they are unable to produce on their own; other species, which are somewhat heterotrophic, need one, two, three, or many different complex growth substances. In the land of "the midnight sun" in northern Sweden, has provided evidence to suggest that algae in lakes are producers during the summer; however, during the long winter "night" (which may last for several months), when they are apparently able to utilize the accumulated organic matter in the water, they are consumers.

Despite the fact that nature exhibits a wide diversity of functions, the straightforward producer-consumer-decomposer categorization provides a useful framework. Most types of organisms, especially the evolutionarily more advanced species, appear to be restricted to a rather narrow range of function, leaving the job of filling in the gaps to less specialized organisms because specialization in function tends to result in greater efficiency under the competitive conditions of nature. It is still unknown how significant non-specialists (or "jack-of-all-trades" as it were) are to the overall metabolism of different ecosystems. Given that man is the biggest customer we are concerned with, consumer behavior is quite well understood. Similarly, the significance of decomposer activity in nature is well acknowledged but rarely understood. The ecology of bacteria and fungus has only just begun to get the attention that it merits. Therefore, it could be worthwhile to think more carefully about the decomposers' function in the ecosystem.

Of course, the process by which bacteria and fungus feed on an object leads to decomposition. Nevertheless, autophagy is a vitally essential function since, if it did not take place, all the nutrients would quickly be consumed by dead bodies and no new life could be created. There are sets of enzymes found in the fungus, mycelia, and the bacterial cells that are required to carry out certain chemical processes. These enzymes are released into the dead material; some of the byproducts of decomposition are taken up by the organism as food, while other byproducts either stay in the environment or are expelled by the cells. A dead corpse cannot be completely decomposed by a single type of decomposer. But there are several species of decomposers that are common in the biosphere, and they may cause total breakdown via their graded activity. Different body parts of plants and animals decompose at various rates. Proteins, carbohydrates, and fats disintegrate quickly, whereas cellulose, wood lignin, animal fur, and hooves decay relatively slowly. Studies on the breakdown of marsh grass are used to highlight this. Note that under the best circumstances, decomposition started

off quickly and slowed down significantly over the following two weeks. A little under 25% of the organism's dry weight was swiftly decomposed, while the remaining 75% (mainly cellulose) was acted upon more slowly.

Temperature had a significant impact on how quickly grass left submerged in water broke down, with summertime seeing a greater rate of breakdown than wintertime. 10% of the yearly crop of grass was still there after nearly a year. In dry or cold settings, the "delay in the complete heterotrophic utilization of the products of autotrophic organisms" may be much more pronounced. "Humus" refers to the class of organic compounds that are more durable. It is reasonable to think of the breakdown as occurring in two stages: the quickly produced humus and the more slowly formed mineralized humus. Particularly, the degradation of cellulose seems to constitute a bottleneck in ecosystems. In the breaking down process, the fungus and bacteria may cooperate or alternate. While fungus may be more significant in the decomposition of wood, bacteria seem to be more crucial in the breakdown of animal tissue. Trilio (Williams and Spicer, 1957) details an intriguing sequence of organisms that totally decomposed cellulose film after it was buried in the ground.

The first organisms to inhabit him were fungi, with bacteria only later appearing in other organisms. As soon as the jelly had disintegrated, nematodes and other invertebrate species came and ate the minute fragments, including the opponents of course. Therefore, decomposers also indirectly or directly offer food to a variety of consumers, which slows down the decomposition process. Large creatures are slowly decomposing, whereas a diversity of species have a unique environment. For instance, a fallen wood in a forest nourishes a flourishing subcommunity that eventually succumbs to decay. The ability of decomposers as a group to perform under a variety of environmental situations is one of its key traits. For instance, bacteria will develop whether there is oxygen present or not. However, the items from Le Cud are somewhat disparate. Under both anaerobic and aerobic conditions, the same strain of bacteria.

In the presence of oxygen, almost all of the glucose was converted into bacterial protoplasm and carbon dioxide, but when oxygen was absent, only a small portion of the glucose was converted to cell carbon, and a number of organic compounds were released into the environment. In actuality, bacteria cause anaerobic conditions in soil by consuming oxygen more quickly than it can enter the medium. Although it has been emphasized that the "mineralization of organic matter" and the creation of plant nutrients are the fundamental functions of decomposition, this is still an aspect of decomposition that is garnering growing interest from ecologists. The organic materials released into the environment during decomposition may have significant consequences on the survival of other creatures in the ecosystem, in addition to their potential usage as food by other animals [8], [9].

terill "ectocrin e." The phrase "environmental hormone" also clearly conveys what is intended. These substances may be stimulators (such as various vitamins and other growth substances, such as thiamin, Vitamin B12, biotin, histidine, e, uracil and other substances, many of which have not yet been chemically identified) or inhibitory, as in the case of the "antibiotic" penicillin (which is produced by a fungal fungus). Organic molecules regularly react with trace metals in the environment to create substances that resemble hormones. The renowned Pütter-Krogh debate, which is still ongoing, focused on the function of dissolved organic compounds, which are prevalent in water and soil, and it is this debate that first sparked interest in environmental hormones. The first claimed that these compounds were widely consumed as food by higher plants and animals, whereas the latter provided experimental data demonstrating their insignificance as food, at least for zooplankton and other similar creatures. It is gradually becoming more and more obvious that many "waste

products" of decomposition may serve a greater use as chemical regulators than as actual food. The ecologist finds this intriguing since these regulators provide a method for coordinating ecological units and assist to explain how evolution and the succession of species, which he often encounters in nature, work. There is still more work to be done before we get too excited about this. For instance, vitamin B₁ has recently been extensively investigated in nature, although researchers are divided on whether or not this chemical is significant from an ecological point of view. It is unquestionably necessary for many creatures, but it is also plentiful and widely dispersed; whether it will ever become rare enough to limit the increase of producers has not yet been decided in a way that is satisfactory (see, for instance, the divergent opinions of researchers. The inhibitory chemicals' function may now be more clearly understood. At this point, it should be noted that while decomposers seem to be the primary producers of environmental hormones, algae also excrete these chemicals. In this sense, the waste materials of higher organisms, such as root excretions, may also be significant. Additional discussion of this broad topic may be found in the works mentioned above as well as the review by Saunders (1957) and the symposium edited by Williams and Spicer (1957).

In conclusion, it would seem that the decomposers, in addition to meeting their own needs for growth and survival, have at least three roles in the ecosystem: (1) the mineralization of dead organic matter, (2) the production of food for other organisms, and (3) the production of "ectocrine" substances. Microorganisms have a significant role in the creation of inert materials because they significantly alter the chemical composition of the environment. One example is the vast bacterial precipitation of carbonates in the sea. Although there are a lot of decomposers, their biomass as a whole is far smaller than that of producers and consumers. Decomposers make up for their size by having a fast metabolic rate and a quick protoplasmic turnover. The temperature rise that may precede decomposition may be used to get a general notion of this strong activity. Many individuals have benefited from the heat produced by a made-up compost pile. ZoBell, Sisler, and Oppenheimer (1953) discovered that the temperature of the bottom mud was as much as 6° C. above that of the surrounding water in the rapidly accumulating sediments of Lake Mead, which is formed by the enormous Hoover Dam on the Colorado River. They showed that at least some of this heat was caused by the enormous populations of microorganisms that are trying their best to keep up with the man-accelerated erosion in the watershed.

Decomposers are challenging to research due to their tiny size and lack of morphological specialization. As with a stand of trees or a colony of animals, one cannot study a microbial population just by looking at it and counting the individuals since similar-appearing bacteria may have completely distinct types of metabolism. The total activity must be measured in situ, or isolated and cultivated species must be used; examples of the latter method will be covered in Chapter 3 and Part II of this chapter. Unfortunately, microbial ecology is often totally excluded from the normal college ecology course due to the technical challenges of research. This doesn't have to be the case. Certainly, a class activity based on the cellulose film and marsh grass investigations may be developed. By monitoring the CO₂ evolution from soil using very basic equipment that may be developed for field use, one can get a general notion of the activities of decomposers.

CONCLUSION

Knowledge of organic farming and gardening is crucial for developing ecologically friendly and sustainable agricultural methods. This essay has offered a thorough analysis of these abilities, highlighting their contribution to the development of healthy ecosystems, improved food security, and increased environmental resilience. The supporting data emphasize the

significance of agroecology, biodiversity preservation, regenerative agriculture, and sustainable food production as fundamental components of organic farming and gardening. The viability and sustainability of organic systems depend on the soil health, pest control, and crop variety that these abilities promote. To solve the urgent issues of contemporary agriculture, such as soil erosion and pesticide misuse, farmers, gardeners, and communities must adopt and promote organic farming and gardening techniques. Supporting educational and training initiatives, using regenerative techniques, and promoting laws that place an emphasis on sustainable food production are all examples of how to achieve this.

REFERENCES:

- [1] Melissa L. Horning, Gunnar Liden, en Barbara J. McMorris, “Sprouting Seeds of Connectedness: Associations between Gardening and Cooking Skills and Youth Connections to Peers, Adults, and Community”, *Child. Youth Environ.*, 2017, doi: 10.7721/chilyoutenvi.27.1.0001.
- [2] D. L. English *et al.*, “Effects of video modeling with video feedback on vocational skills of adults with autism spectrum disorder”, *Dev. Neurorehabil.*, 2017, doi: 10.1080/17518423.2017.1282051.
- [3] E. DL *et al.*, “Effects of video modeling with video feedback on vocational skills of adults with autism spectrum disorder.”, *Dev. Neurorehabil.*, 2017.
- [4] T. Santos, S. Thungwa, en K. Worrapimphong, “How well do Hat Yai, Thailand urban gardeners meet their aims?”, *Int. J. Agric. Technol.*, 2017.
- [5] K. G. Burt, P. Koch, en I. Contento, “Development of the GREEN (Garden Resources, Education, and Environment Nexus) Tool: An Evidence-Based Model for School Garden Integration”, *J. Acad. Nutr. Diet.*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.jand.2017.02.008.
- [6] J. Foulkes, “The Value of Community Engagement in Botanic Gardens with Examples from the Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh”, *Sibbaldia Int. J. Bot. Gard. Hortic.*, 2017, doi: 10.24823/sibbaldia.2017.227.
- [7] S. D., “Plot to Pot to Plate - The Therapeutic Journey from Derelict Allotment to Cookbook for Mental Health Clients in Fife...RCOT (Royal College of Occupational Therapist) Annual Conference 2017”, *Br. J. Occup. Ther.*, 2017.
- [8] S. McIntosh, “Book review: The Creativity Challenge: How We Can Recapture American Innovation”, *J. Res. Int. Educ.*, 2017, doi: 10.1177/1475240917718703.
- [9] A. Lamborn en S. Fla State Hort, “Learning Landscapes: A Valuable Tool for Developing Skill Sets and Adopting Florida-Friendly Landscaping (TM) Practices in Baker County”, *Proceedings Of The Florida State Horticultural Society, VoL 130*, 2017. 2017.

CHAPTER 10

CONCEPTS OF HABITAT AND ECOLOGICAL NICHE

Heejeebu Shanmukha Viswanath, Assistant Professor

College of Agriculture Sciences, Teerthankar Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

Email Id- shanmukhaviswanath92@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

Ecological concepts such as habitat and ecological niche are crucial to understanding how organisms interact with their surroundings. This essay examines the meanings and relevance of these ideas, focusing on their importance for understanding the distribution, behaviour, and adaptations of species. This research clarifies the ecological mechanisms that control the distribution and abundance of species by diving into the intricate details of habitats and ecological niches. Ecological niches explain an organism's functional role within its habitat, including interactions with other species and resource use. Habitats are the physical and biological areas where organisms' dwell. The themes biodiversity, competition, and niche specialization are discussed in relation to habitat and ecological niche ideas. This work is a great resource for ecologists, students, researchers, and conservationists looking to better grasp these core ecological ideas via its thorough investigation.

KEYWORDS:

Biodiversity, Competition, Ecological, Habitat, Niche Specialization.

INTRODUCTION

An organism's ecological niche refers to its place or status within its community and ecosystem as a consequence of its structural adaptations, physiological responses, and distinctive behavior (inherited and/or acquired). An organism's ecological niche is influenced by both its environment and its activities. In a biological sense, the habitat may be compared to the organism's "address" and the niche to its "job." The word "habitat" is often used, not only in ecological contexts. In most cases, it is considered to simply refer to the habitat of an organism. Therefore, the shallow, vegetated parts (littoral zone) of ponds and lakes are the habitat of the water "backswimmer," *Notonecta*; here is where (De would go to collect this specific creature. The habitat of a trillium plant is a damp, shady area in an established deciduous forest; here is where trillium plants are found. When various species of the genus *Notonecta* or *Trillium* are found in the same general environment but show slight variations in location, we would argue that the microhabitat has changed [1], [2].

The term "habitat" may also refer to the space that a whole community occupies. For instance, the succession of sand-filled hills that line the north banks of rivers in the southern Great Plains area of the United States provide the habitat of the "sand sage grassland community". In contrast to the definition of habitat given above, which encompasses both living and nonliving things, this definition of habitat focuses primarily on physical or abiotic systems. According to TILIS, an organism or population of organisms' habitat also includes the abiotic environment and other species. The latter is the sole thing that would be included in a description of the community's environment. It is important to distinguish between these two distinct meanings of the word habitat in order to prevent misunderstandings. The notion of an ecological niche is relatively new and is not well understood outside of the study of ecology. It is, however, a crucial idea. One of the first to use the word "niche" in the sense of the "functional status of an organism in its community" was Charles Elton in England; it has progressively gained acceptance as it has become evident that niche is by no means a

synonym for habitat. For the moment, let's stick with the straightforward comparison between "address" and "profession" from before.

In order to get to know someone in our human society, we would first need to know his address—that is, where he might be located. However, in order to really understand him, we would need to learn more about him than just the area in which he lives or works. vVc would be interested in learning more about his job, his hobbies, his friends, and the role he plays in community life in general. In the same way, knowing about an organism's environment is just the first step in studying it. We would need to know something about the organism's activities, particularly its source of nutrition and energy, as well as its rate of metabolism and growth, its impact on other organisms with which it comes into contact, and the degree to which it alters or is capable of altering significant ecosystem processes[3], [4].

Going back to the Notonecta and the Trillium, we can see that finding the habitat or the location to gather it does not always lead to the detention of the biological niche. We can learn some things about an organism's behavior by studying its structure in a lab, but we would almost likely need to do some fieldwork or experimentation in nature as well. Notonecta is an active predator, swimming about, grabbing, and devouring other creatures in its broad size range, as we would soon discover. It is a secondary consumer in its marginal pond community as a result, and bigger animals or tertiary consumers then feed on it. Contrarily, other water bugs of the genus Corixa found in the same environment and resembling Notonecta consume decomposing plant matter. As a result, Corixa participates in community life in a very different way and fills a very different need. Trillium may be categorised as a producer right away since it contains chloroplasts and can make food. In comparison to the huge forest trees, which significantly influence and govern community life, the forest community as a whole is a fairly tiny producer. It does, however, have a distinct and significant role within its specific strata, the forest Hoar, of the forest community because of its capacity to survive under intense shadow and to convert the limited light energy into food energy. It is crucial for the animals who consume its leaves, are drawn to its blooms, or utilize its body as a resting or hiding spot as well as for other forest floor plants that compete with it for nutrition and sunlight.

Similar to how understanding of the basic morphology of the parts (cells, tissues, organs) of the individual organism is a prerequisite to an understanding of its physiology, the description of ecological niches of organisms is necessary as a foundation for all understanding of the functioning of the community and ecosystems operating in major habitats. The number of individuals present their collective metabolism, the effects of the abiotic environment on the organisms, the effect of the organisms on the abiotic environment, and the interaction of the organisms with one another all play a role in the process of discovering and describing the niches of the various organisms. Sometimes it is rather simple to identify and describe the niche, but usually it is not. There are several difficulties. Depending on the structure of the local community, the same species often fills somewhat different niches in various geographic locations. Although species within the same taxonomic group, such as a family or genus, often display similarities in their niche relationships (as should be anticipated given their comparable morphological adaptations), they seldom ever really inhabit the same niche in the same habitat. This crucial idea will be covered in Chapter 7 (Section 2) of the book. Numerous organisms—particularly animals with separate life stages—occupy a number of radically diverse niches in succession. As a result, mosquito larvae are the primary consumer in ecosystems with shallow water, such as ponds. The stability of ecosystems may be understood from both the perspective of the organism and the abiotic environment. In other words, it is beneficial to examine not just the relationships between organisms and their

environments, but also the fundamental nonliving environments in connection to organisms. Between 30 and 40 of the 90 or so elements that have been seen in nature are known to be needed by living things. Some substances, including carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, and nitrogen, are required in vast amounts, whereas others are just somewhat or even barely required[5], [6].

DISCUSSION

Whatever the necessity, it seems that both essential and non-essential elements exhibit distinct geochemical cycles. Some cycles, like the one involving carbon, are more perfect than others; in these cycles, the material is returned to the environment at the same rate that it is removed, and even though there may occasionally be critical "shortages" in some environments, the distribution of the element across the different ecosystems of the biosphere does not change significantly or permanently. Other cycles could be less efficient, which means that a percentage of the supply might spend a lot of time "lost" in locations or chemical forms that are unavailable to living things.

man is exceptional in that he not only needs the 40 essential elements but also almost all other elements and the more modern synthetic ones as well in his complex culture. Due to the fact that he has accelerated the flow of numerous elements, the cycles often deteriorate or become "acyclic," which leads to man's ongoing shortages. In its widest definition, conservation of natural resources aims to increase the cyclicity of acyclic processes. The more perfect cycles, fortunately, contain so many compensatory systems that man hasn't altered them too much yet. However, it is arguably riskier to disrupt or manipulate a cycle that contains a crucial component than it is to disrupt one that is less ideal, since the whole system may disintegrate if the disruption exceeds the cycle's capacity for recovery. The energy required for the nitrogen cycle's functioning is shown for each component.

In contrast to the return stages, which need energy from external sources like organic matter or sunshine, the steps from proteins down to nitrates give energy for the organisms that carry out the breakdown. Similar to nitrogen fixers, which convert atmospheric nitrogen into nitrates, nitrogen fixers must use part of their carbohydrate or other energy reserves. It goes without saying that the nitrogen-fixing bacteria linked to legumes are important, and in contemporary agriculture, crop rotation with legumes is just as important for maintaining a field's fertility as using nitrogen fertilizer. The initial phase in nodule development is caused by root hair deformation, which is caused by bacterial secretions, which are released from the legume root.

Both bacteria and legumes need each other to fix nitrogen. Bacterial strains have developed that exclusively thrive on certain types of legumes. The host provides the bacteria with carbohydrates, and the bacteria in turn provide the host with nitrogen, some of which is excreted into the soil and may be used by other plants. Other nitrogen-fixing bacteria exist naturally in the soil without the assistance of a vascular plant. Blue-green algae often carry out the crucial task of nitrogen fixation in water and wet soil. These organisms may also be connected to higher plants, although they seem to be considerably less specialized symbionts. The blue-green algae that naturally grow in rice paddies in the Orient have been found to be very important. As these algae gradually erode, phosphates are released into the ecosystems, but a large amount of phosphate escapes into the sea, where part of it is deposited in the shallow sediments and part of it is lost to the deep sediments. It's possible that the methods for adding phosphorous back into the cycle are now insufficient to make up for the loss. In certain regions of the earth, there is now no significant elevation of sediments, and the activity of marine birds and fish (brought to land by other animals and people) is insufficient.

As shown by the amazing guano deposits on the Peruvian coast, sea birds seem to have played a significant part in adding phosphorus back into the cycle. Although it seems that the pace has slowed down from earlier times, birds are still moving phosphorous and other pollutants from the water to land. Unfortunately, it seems that man is speeding up the rate of phosphorus loss and impairing the phosphorus cycle. Although many marine fish are harvested by humans, Hutchinson calculates that, in contrast to the one to two million tons of phosphate rock that are mined, and of which the majority is washed away and lost, only around 60,000 tons of elementary phosphorus each year gets returned in this way. Given the size of the known phosphate rock deposits, agronomists say there is no immediate reason for alarm. The phosphorus cycle may eventually need to be completed on a vast scale for man to escape starvation. Of course, a few geological turbulences rising the "lost sediments" may do it for us. In any case, pay close attention to the diagram of the phosphorus cycle since its significance could become quite apparent in the near future.

Biogeochemical cycle diagrams like those merely depicts their general contours. Quantitative relations, or how much material travels down the arrow-depicted channels and how quickly it does so, are not well understood. However, more research is being done on the rates of cycling in certain ecosystems. Since these isotopes may be employed as "tracers" or "tags" to track the movement of materials, they have been widely accessible since 1946 and are acting as a significant impetus for such investigations. It is important to note that tracer studies in ecosystems and organisms are planned such that the radioactive element injected is very little in contrast to the quantity of non-radioactive element.

Although there is always some back-and-forth movement, extensive movement between dissolved states is frequently erratic or "jerky," with periods of release from the sediments followed by periods of net by the organisms or sediments, depending on seasonal teme conditions and organism activities. Uptake often occurs more quickly than release rate. During times of fast development in producers, which often occur in the spring, all of the available phosphorus may get bound up in producers and consumers. However, plants rapidly absorb phosphorus in the dark or under other circumstances when they cannot. Since little new protoplasm can be synthesized until the decomposers have had a chance to work on the corpses, excrement, etc., the system must then "slow down." As a result, the level of phosphorus in the water may be greater after the "bloom" than while it is occurring. To put it another way, the quantity of dissolved phosphate in the water at any one moment is not always a reliable indicator of the overall amount of phosphorus present. In general, phosphorus is eliminated from water more quickly the more surface area there is per unit of volume (as provided by both living and inanimate substances [7], [8]).

Radiophosphorus has proved particularly helpful in quantifying phosphorus flux rates in ecosystem components, or the rates at which phosphorus enters and exits components once equilibrium has been reached. It is important to introduce two notions in order to comprehend this. The 'Holling' rate is the percentage of the total quantity of a substance that is released (or enters) during a certain period of time. The time required to replace a quantity of substance equal to the amount in the component is known as the reciprocal of this, or $T_{1/2}$ over time (see Rohertson, 1957, for an explanation of these ideas). The turnover rate, for instance, is 10% or 0.1 per cent per hour if there are 1,000 units in the component and 10 move in or out every hour. Then, the turnover time would be $1000/10$ or 100 hours. Data on how the water and sediments in three lakes have changed through time are provided in this book. Because the ratio of the bottom "mud" surface to the volume of water is higher in smaller lakes, it is presumed that these lakes have a quicker turnover period. For small or shallow lakes, the turnover period for the water is typically about one week; for huge lakes, it may be

two months or more. Studies using phosphorus-tagged fertilizers in terrestrial ecosystems have shown similar trends; 111111 of the phosphorus is "locked up" and inaccessible to plants at all times (see Comar, 1957, for an overview of some of these experiments). One very useful outcome of in-depth research on fertilization cycles has been the widely accepted finding that overfertilization may be just as detrimental to humankind as under fertilization. When more materials are introduced than what the organisms in existence at the moment can consume, the surplus is often swiftly absorbed by the soil or sediments or perhaps lost entirely. When the amount of phosphate in the soil is already high, a little amount of tagged phosphate fertilizer supplied to the soil gets up in the crop. The "blind dumping" of fertilizers in ecosystems like ponds is not only inefficient but might also have the opposite effect of what is intended. Since various organisms are suited to varying amounts of substrate, ongoing excess fertilization may lead to a shift in the types of organisms, maybe discouraging the ones a person wants and boosting the ones he does not. For instance, the algal species *Botryococcus braunii* develops at its finest at 18 mg/ M³, whereas *Nitzschia palea* exhibits its optimum growth at a phosphorus concentration of 89 mg/ rvp.

If other factors were favorable for both species, increasing the quantity of P from 11 to 89 would probably lead to *Botryococcus* replacing *Nitzschia*, which may have a significant impact on the sorts of animals that could be sustained. the total devastation of an oyster business brought on by increasing phosphate and nitrogen fertilizer The two main categories of biogeochemical cycles are extremely well shown by the nitrogen and phosphorus cycles. Similar to the nitrogen cycle, the cycles of oxygen, carbon dioxide, and water include a continual flux between inorganic and organic states and depend on a gaseous phase. The cycles of the majority of elements and compounds are more earth-bound and are similar to those of phosphorus in that they are primarily regulated by biological movement, erosion, sedimentation, mountain-building, and volcanism.

The arrows include some estimations of the quantities of material that go through the cycle. Naturally, very little is known about the movement of materials deep below the ground. The term "fall out" refers to the movement of solid material as dust through the atmosphere. The atomic age man is adding extra materials, which are little in number but important physiologically, to the natural fallout, as well as the later that was previously addressed. The chemical elements that are accessible to the biosphere's communities are those that, due to their geochemical nature, are often contained inside the kinds of rocks that rise to the surface. At the surface, elements that are plentiful in the mantle are rare. As has previously been mentioned, one effectively illustrates the overall "downhill" trend of the sedimentary cycle; during times of low geological activity, the lowlands and the seas tend to obtain soluble or useable mineral nutrients at the cost of the uplands. Under such circumstances, regional biological recycling systems play a crucial role in preventing downhill loss from outpacing the renewal of fresh materials from underlying rocks. In other words, the less fresh material will be required from the outside the longer important materials may be retained inside a region and utilised again by succeeding generations of organisms.

A lack of awareness of the symbiosis between life and matter, which may have taken thousands of years to form, leads to man frequently unintentionally disrupting this balance, as was previously discussed in the topic of phosphorous. For instance, in some high-altitude locations in the western United States, it is currently hypothesized, though not yet proven, that the stopping of salmon flows by dams is causing a drop in non-migratory fish, wildlife, and even wood output. Salmon deposit a significant amount of important nutrients that have been collected from the sea when they breed and die in the uplands. In instances where the supply of nutrients was already scarce, the removal of substantial amounts of timber without

the return of the contained minerals to the soil (as would typically take place during the decomposition of logs) surely also adds to the depletion of uplands. Since it would take time for a circulating pool of minerals to re-establish, one can easily see how the loss of such biological recycling systems may result in the poverty of the whole ecosystem for many years to come. Instead of stocking fish or planting trees, it would be considerably more beneficial to figure out ways to return limited items (and keep them in place). It should be stressed that the sudden influx of materials into the lowlands brought on by man-accelerated erosion does not necessarily benefit the lowland ecosystems because these systems might not have enough time to absorb the nutrients before they enter the sea beyond the range of light and become completely out of biological circulation.

The components necessary for life are included in the biogeochemical cycles that we have been talking about. In addition to moving back and forth between organisms and their surroundings, non-essential materials play a significant role in the broader sedimentary cycle. Even though they are unknown to the organism, many of these substances concentrate in particular tissues, maybe due to their chemical resemblance to certain essential substances. The majority of the non-essential elements seem to have minimal impact at amounts typically seen in most natural ecosystems, despite the fact that some of them may become hazardous when present in very high concentrations. Therefore, if it weren't for the radioactive isotopes of some of these elements that come from atomic bombs and nuclear power plants and end up in the environment, the environmentalist would have little interest in the majority of the non-essential elements. The cycling of elements like strontium, cesium, cerium, ruthenium, yttrium, and many more, of which the previous generation seldom ever heard, must thus be of concern to the present generation of ecologists. Because a little quantity of material (from a biogeochemical viewpoint) may have significant biological effects, even a very uncommon element can cause biological concern if it exists as a radioactive isotope.

Due of radioactive strontium's apparent specific threat to humans and other vertebrates, strontium is now the subject of special study. Due of strontium's similar behavior to calcium, it may cause radiation damage to blood-forming tissue when it comes into touch with radioactive strontium. Since there are many radioactive elements in the environment, calcium, one of the most common elements in the sedimentary cycle, is washed down rivers, deposited as limestone, elevated in mountain ranges, and then washed back down to the sea. Calcium makes up around 7% of all the silt that flows down the rivers. In contrast, just around 1% of the calcium in the cycle is made up of phosphorus. Strontium moves to the sea at a rate of 2.4 strontium atoms for every 1000 calcium atoms, much like a black sheep among a herd of white sheep. Radioactive strontium is becoming more pervasive in the biosphere as a consequence of nuclear weapons testing and the generation of waste products from scientific and industrial applications of atomic energy. Since this strontium is a byproduct of uranium fission, it is new material that has been incorporated into the biosphere. Consequently, a few additional black sheep are being introduced, but these sheep are, so to say, wolves in sheep's clothing. Small levels of radio-strontium have now been found in flora, animals, human food, and human bones after calcium from soil and water.

For every gram of calcium in milk in 1956, there were around 5 micro-microcuries of radioactive strontium present, compared to 0.7 micro-microcuries per gram of calcium in children's bones to some experts, should these levels rise by ten or one hundred times, detrimental consequences on the human population might occur over time as a result of research on the role of radiostrontium in the development of cancer. The definition of energy is the capacity for work. The laws listed below explain how energy behaves. The first rule of thermodynamics asserts that while energy may change forms, it never gets destroyed or

wasted. For instance, light is a kind of energy since it has the ability to be turned into labor, heat, or the potential energy of food depending on the circumstance, but none of it is destroyed.

There are various ways to express the second law of thermodynamics, including the ones listed below: No process involving the transformation of energy will happen on its own until the energy is degraded from a concentrated form into a distributed one. For instance, heat in a hot item will often spontaneously spread out into the colder surrounds. The following is another way to state the second rule of thermodynamics: No spontaneous conversion of energy (such as light) into potential energy (such as protoplasm), for example, is 100% effective since some energy is always diffused into inaccessible heat energy.

CONCLUSION

The supporting data emphasize the significance of niche specialization, competition, and biodiversity in the context of habitat and ecological niche ideas.

These elements are essential in determining how ecological communities' structure and dynamics are formed. To better understand how species interact with their habitats and to guide conservation and management policies, ecologists, conservationists, and researchers must continue to investigate and use these principles.

This entails researching the effects of habitat loss and fragmentation on species' niches and creating plans to safeguard and improve habitats in order to conserve biodiversity.

Ecological niche and habitat ideas provide a framework for understanding the intricate web of interactions that controls ecosystems. We may strive toward a more educated and sustainable approach to managing and maintaining the natural environment by accepting and deepening our grasp of these ideas.

REFERENCES:

- [1] S. L. Pallas, "The impact of ecological niche on adaptive flexibility of sensory circuitry", *Front. Neurosci.*, 2017, doi: 10.3389/fnins.2017.00344.
- [2] B. Klarner, H. Winkelmann, V. Krashevskaya, M. Maraun, R. Widayastuti, en S. Scheu, "Trophic niches, diversity and community composition of invertebrate top predators (Chilopoda) as affected by conversion of tropical lowland rainforest in Sumatra (Indonesia)", *PLoS One*, 2017, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0180915.
- [3] B. P. Struecker en J. Milanovich, "Predicted suitable habitat declines for midwestern United States amphibians under future climate change and land-use change scenarios", *Herpetol. Conserv. Biol.*, 2017.
- [4] J. A. Rader, S. D. Newsome, P. Sabat, R. T. Chesser, M. E. Dillon, en C. Martínez del Rio, "Isotopic niches support the resource breadth hypothesis", *J. Anim. Ecol.*, 2017, doi: 10.1111/1365-2656.12629.
- [5] A. M. FitzGerald, "Division within the North American boreal forest: Ecological niche divergence between the Bicknell's Thrush (*Catharus bicknelli*) and Gray-cheeked Thrush (*C. minimus*)", *Ecol. Evol.*, 2017, doi: 10.1002/ece3.3080.
- [6] J. M. Walter, F. H. Coutinho, B. E. Dutilh, J. Swings, F. L. Thompson, en C. C. Thompson, "Ecogenomics and taxonomy of Cyanobacteria phylum", *Front. Microbiol.*, 2017, doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.02132.

- [7] C. Alfonso-Corrado *et al.*, “Effects of environmental changes on the occurrence of *Oreomunnea mexicana* (Juglandaceae) in a biodiversity hotspot cloud forest”, *Forests*, 2017, doi: 10.3390/f8080261.
- [8] J. P. Zurano, P. A. Martinez, J. Canto-Hernandez, J. I. Montoya-Burgos, en G. C. Costa, “Morphological and ecological divergence in South American canids”, *J. Biogeogr.*, 2017, doi: 10.1111/jbi.12984.

CHAPTER 11

THE FOOD CHAIN PHILOSOPHY IN AGROECOLOGICAL

Devendra Pal Singh, Assistant Professor

College of Agriculture Sciences, Teerthankar Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

Email Id- dpsinghevs@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

A comprehensive and sustainable approach to agriculture, agroecology stresses the interdependence of ecological, social, and economic elements. It is both a science and a practice. The idea of the food chain, which goes beyond conventional agricultural borders to include the full system of food production, distribution, and consumption, is fundamental to agroecological thought. This essay examines the agroecological view of the food chain with a focus on how it supports biodiversity, resilience, and moral food systems. The agroecological food chain theory acknowledges that food systems are intricate networks of interactions including producers, consumers, and ecosystems. It emphasizes the significance of taking into account food production's social and environmental aspects in addition to agricultural productivity. In this work, the terms biodiversity preservation, food sovereignty, resilience, and sustainable agriculture are discussed in relation to the agroecological view of the food chain. This report is a significant resource for anyone interested in adopting and promoting agroecological methods to food production because to its thorough investigation.

KEYWORDS:

Biodiversity Conservation, Food Sovereignty, Resilience, Sustainable Agriculture.

INTRODUCTION

The term "food chain" refers to the process through which food energy moves from its source in plants via a number of species with recurrent eating and being eaten. A significant amount of the potential energy is dissipated as heat with each transfer. A sequence may only have a certain number of "links" or stages, often four or five. The amount of available energy that can be turned into biomass (= living weight, including stored food) and/or wasted through respiration increases with shorter food chains (or with organisms that are closer to the chain's origin). There are three different kinds of food chains: the predator chain, which develops from smaller to bigger animals beginning with plants; the parasite chain, which develops from larger to smaller creatures; and the saprophytic chain, which develops from dead stuff into microbes. Food chains link to one another and are not independent sequences. The term "food web" refers to the interconnected structure. Organisms in complex natural ecosystems are considered to belong to the same trophic level if they consume plants in the same number of stages. Green plants thus occupy the first trophic level, plant-eating animals the second, herbivorous carnivores the third, and secondary carnivores the fourth. A population of a certain species may occupy one or more trophic levels depending on how much of the energy fixed by plants is lost before the ultimate attack by a predator. The "top carnivore" must thus be a relatively rare but not necessarily "luxury" component of the ecosystem. In ecology, it is useful to refer to a trophic level's total assimilation (i.e., biomass production plus respiration) as the energy flow through the level. This phrase may also apply to people, groups, or other elements that we would want to research. It is obvious that each trophic level's energy flow must always be lower than the one below it [1], [2].

While the majority of the energy losses in the ecosystem as a whole are accounted for by respiration at all levels, there are additional losses that have an impact on the various biotic elements. part of the food that is available is not used, at least not right away (this aspect of

the ecosystem's metabolism and some of it may even be exported from the community. The arrows going from the tops of the herbivore and carnivore show that not all of the potential energy consumed or absorbed by heterotrophs is actually digested. For instance, the pig is often regarded as the most effective "converter" among farm animals; with proper management, up to 20% of the total energy provided to a pig may be recovered in forms suitable for human consumption. The majority of the 80% loss is caused by respiration, but part of it also comes from food that the pig consumed but did not digest, as well as from food that is converted to pig biomass but is not used by humans [3], [4].

As was stressed in the chapter before, it is important to emphasize that trophic level categorization of organisms is based on function rather than species. More than one trophic level may be occupied by a particular species. For instance, if a population of animals gets 20% of its energy from eating animals and 80% from eating plants, then 20% of its energy flow may be attributed to the proper carnivore levels for far northern circumstances and 80% to the herbivore level. Thus, just a small number of species make up the whole of the ecosystem's living component. Studies in the arctic help to understand more complicated circumstances elsewhere because fundamental interactions like food chains, food webs, and trophic levels are easily understood and are broken down into manageable chunks. Charles Elton was one of the first to explain the aforementioned principles and notions since he recognized this early on and spent a lot of time researching the ecology of arctic territories in the 1920s and 1930s. As a result, we may very well go to the Arctic for our earliest illustrations of food chains.

The area between the line of trees and the never-ending ice is often referred to as the tundra. The reindeer lichens (or "moss"), *Cladonia*, one of the significant plant groupings on the tundra, are a collaboration between fungus and algae, the former being the producers, of course. These plants make up the food of the caribou of the North American tundra and of its ecological equivalent, the reindeer of the Old-World tundra, together with the grasses, sedges, and dwarf willows. Wolves and humans, respectively, both prey on these creatures. Lemmings, which are short-tailed, bear-like voles with shaggy fur, and ptarmigans or arctic grouse also prey on tundra vegetation.

The lemming and other similar rodents may be a major source of food for the snowy owl and the arctic white fox during the long winter as well as the short summer. Because there is often little in the way of alternative food options, the food chain in each of these cases is quite short, and any drastic shift in population at any of the three trophic levels has an immediate and severe impact on the other levels. This will be covered in more detail later, but it seems possible that this is at least one reason why certain groups of arctic creatures experience abrupt changes in population size, ranging from extreme abundance to near extinction. It is interesting to note that, incidentally, similar events often occurred to prehistoric human societies that relied on only one or a small number of regional foods [5], [6].

Insects emerge during the short northern summer, and migrating birds may be plentiful nearby. As in more southern locations, food chains lengthen and distinct food webs emerge. As far as is now understood, the concept behind food chains is the same whether they are found in the north or the south, but the specifics are very changeable, depending on the season and age of the species in addition to location. The intriguing scenario on the island of Spitsbergen, where there are no lemmings, is described by Summerhayes and Elton in 1923. In the summer, foxes may eat the birds, insects, or plants food web that has been developed for microscopic creatures in a stream environment. This figure highlights the interconnectedness of the food chains and the three primary trophic levels as well as the fact that certain creatures exist in a transitional stage between the three trophic levels. The net-

spinning caddis is consequently intermediate between primary and secondary consumer levels since it consumes both plant and animal matter.

DISCUSSION

The distinction between a predator chain and a parasite chain may also be attributed to size; in the latter, organisms at successive stages are often smaller and smaller rather than usually bigger and larger. Nematodes therefore parasitize the roots of vegetable crops, and these nematodes may be destroyed by bacteria or other tiny organisms. To provide another example, fleas, which carry protozoan parasites of the genus *Leptomonas* in their guts, often parasitize mammals and birds. Since both parasites and predators are "consumers," there is no real distinction between predator and parasite chains from the perspective of energy. Because of this, no distinctions have been made in the energy, which places an animal parasite in the same position as a herbivore while placing a parasite of a green plant among the several carnivore groups. Since the metabolism per gram quickly rises with the organism's shrinking size, leading to a rapid fall in the biomass that can be sustained, as will be covered in the following part of this chapter, parasite chains should theoretically average shorter than predator chains.

The saprophytic (or saprohic) chain, which we have named to describe how dead matter is broken down and energy is transferred to decomposer microorganisms. This pathway is a vital and significant pattern in aU ecosystems, and in certain cases, a significant amount of the energy flow may use this channel. For instance, a significant portion of the energy from the first trophic level is "detoured" by microorganisms in the vast salt marshes located along the coastlines of many regions of the globe before it enters the herbivore-carnivore cycle. For instance, marsh grass (*Spal'tina*) on the south Atlantic coast is mostly unutilized until it dies and is washed into estuaries, where it is partially digested and transformed into organic detritus (finely split particulate matter) by microorganisms. Fiddler crabs (*U ca*) and other filter or deposit feeders then eat the debris, and they in turn are preyed upon by other crabs, clapper rails, raccoons, etc. 6the fiddler crab is in the "herbivore" position[7], [8].

Crabs and microbes are "sharecroppers" in this situation, but since they use less energy than most herbivores to earn their substantial share, the latter may make effective use of it. Given the comparatively high efficiencies achieved in electric motors and other mechanical systems, the very low primary efficiencies that seem to be typical in intact natural systems have perplexed many people. Naturally, this has prompted many people to carefully investigate methods to improve nature's effectiveness. Actually, in this respect, long-term, large-scale ecosystems cannot be readily compared to short-term mechanical systems. One reason is because a significant part of fuel is used to maintain and repair live systems, and depreciation and repair are not taken into account when measuring an engine's fuel efficiency. In other words, maintaining machinery requires a lot of energy (human or otherwise) in addition to fuel; it is not fair to compare engines and biological systems without taking this into account since the latter are self-repairing and self-perpetuating. Second, it's probable that faster growth per unit of time has a higher survival value than maximal fuel use. So, to take a simple illustration, it can be more crucial to get at your destination fast at 50 mph than to drive as slowly as possible to maximize fuel economy!

Experiences with algal cultures are relevant to the consideration of these points since significant efforts are being made to enhance the supply of food for humans by creating methods for mass-culturing simple single-celled green plants (*Chlorella*, *Scenedesmus*, and others). Numerous studies have shown that tiny cultures may achieve fairly high efficiency when the light intensity is modest (10, 20, or even 50%). However, there is relatively little

food produced in a given length of time. When using full daylight and huge tanks, the efficiency tends to decline quickly with rising yields until it is between 2 to 6%, which is barely greater than in productive natural communities or in the finest grade of conventional agriculture. Additionally, it is harder to prevent consumer organisms (zooplankton) from contaminating the harvest and lowering the yield to humans as the operation's scale grows. Therefore, it would seem that man should not now rely on enhancing fundamental efficiency as a way of raising the global food supply. The large cultivation of algae is still beneficial, despite this. In addition, it provides a potential complement to other crops, particularly in populated nations like Japan where adequate area for terrestrial crop cultivation is very limited.

The amount of standing crop biomass—the total dry weight of all organisms presents at any one time—that can be sustained by a constant supply of energy in a food chain is greatly influenced by the size of the individual organisms. The higher the organism's metabolism per gram of biomass, the smaller it is. As a result, the biomass that can be sustained at a given trophic level in the environment decreases with decreasing organism size. In contrast, the biomass of standing crops increases with the size of the organism. Even if all groups used the same amount of energy, the quantity of bacteria present at any one moment would be far lower than the "crop" of fish or mammals. The rate at which oxygen is used (or created, in the case of photosynthesis), or both, is often used to estimate the rate of metabolism of an organism or group of organisms. There is a general trend for the metabolic rate per animal to rise as the two-thirds power of the volume (or weight) grows or for the metabolic rate per gram of biomass to fall as the length increases. Although structural variations between plants and animals make precise comparisons in terms of volume and length impossible, a similar link seems to exist in plants as well. The illustrations depict these relationships, which roughly depict the relationship between size and metabolism. Diffusion mechanisms have been the focus of several ideas put out to explain these patterns; bigger creatures have less surface area per gram through which diffusion processes could occur [9], [10].

There is no consensus on the actual cause of the correlation between size and metabolism, however. Naturally, same temperatures should be used for comparisons as metabolic rates are often higher at higher temperatures than at lower ones does not always hold when organisms of the same general order of magnitude are examined. This is to be anticipated since a variety of variables, in addition to size, influence the pace of metabolism. For instance, it is generally known that warm-blooded animals of the same size breathe more quickly than their cold-blooded counterparts. When compared to the distinction between a vertebrate and a bacterium, the difference is really rather little. The standing crop of cold-blooded herbivorous fish in a pond may thus be of the same order of magnitude as that of warm-blooded herbivorous animals on land, given the same quantity of available food energy. In the study of size-metabolism in plants, it might be challenging to define what a "individual" is. As a result, while we may often think of a huge tree as being made up of just one individual, in terms of size-surface area connections, the leaves may really function as independent trees. Recent research on many kinds of seaweeds (big Communities with a distinct trophic structure often indicative of a certain kind of environment (lake, woodland, coral reef, pasture, etc.) are produced by the combination of the food chain phenomenon (energy loss at each transfer) and the size-metabolism link. At successive trophic levels, trophic structure may be quantified and characterized in terms of either the standing crop per unit area or the energy fixed per unit area per unit [11], [12].

Trophic structure and function may be represented visually using ecological pyramids, where the base is the initial or producer level and the tiers that follow are the levels that make up the

apex. Ecological pyramids can be of one of three general types: (1) the pyramid of numbers, which shows the number of distinct organisms; (2) the pyramid of biomass, which represents the total amount of dry weight, caloric value, or other living material; or (3) the pyramid of energy, which displays the rate of energy flow and/or "productivity" at descending trophic levels. If production organisms are typically smaller than consumers in size, the numbers and biomass pyramids may be inverted (or partially inverted), meaning that the base may be smaller than one or more of the higher levels. On the other hand, if all of the system's dietary energy sources are taken into account, the energy pyramid must always assume the form of a real upright pyramid. Three phenomena, which often occur concurrently, combine to form the pyramid of numbers. One of these occurrences is the well-known mathematical truth that, whether the units are living things or mere building blocks, a huge number of little ones must add up to one big one's mass. The number of tiny species would thus be far higher than the number of bigger ones, even if the weight of large organisms were equal to that of the smaller ones. Therefore, due to geometry, the presence of a true pyramid of numbers in a collection of naturally occurring creatures does not imply that there are less of the bigger species overall.

The food chain is the second factor that affects the pattern of many little creatures and few giant ones. The transmission of useable energy through each stage of the food chain invariably results in energy loss (in the form of heat), as shown in Section 2. Consequently, the higher trophic levels have significantly less energy available to them, with the exception of areas where organic matter is imported or exported. The inverse size-metabolic rate pattern outlined in the preceding section is the third element in the pyramid of numbers.

Since the relative impacts of the "geometric," "food chain," and "size" variables are not stated, the pyramid of numbers is really not particularly essential or helpful as an explanatory technique. Depending on whether the generating individuals are little (phytoplankton, grass) or huge (oak trees), the shape of the numbers pyramid will vary greatly across groups. Similar to how statistics vary so much, it is challenging to depict the whole community on the same scale. This is not to say that the number of people present is uninteresting; rather, it only means that it is usually better to show such data in a tabular format. Given that the "geometric" element has been removed and the quantitative relationships of the "standing crop" have been clearly shown, the biomass pyramid is of greater fundamental relevance. The biomass pyramid generally paints a basic picture of the overall impact of the linkages throughout the food chain for the ecological group as a whole. As long as the size of the creatures does not vary much, a progressively sloping pyramid may be anticipated when the total weight of individuals at different trophic levels is displayed. The biomass pyramid might be reversed if species at lower levels are, on average, significantly smaller than those at higher levels. For instance, if the size of the producers is relatively tiny compared to the size of the consumers, the overall weight of the latter may always be higher. In these situations, despite the fact that more energy must always travel through the producer trophic level than via consumer levels, the quick metabolism and turnover of the tiny producer organisms achieve a higher yield with a lesser amount of standing crop biomass.

It is evident from the explanation above that the quantity and mass of organisms that may exist at any level in any circumstance rely on the pace of food production rather than the amount of fixed energy that is available at any one moment in the level directly below. The pyramid of energy, which shows the number of organisms created in a certain length of time (often stated in terms of calories, a commonly used unit of energy), introduces the crucial time aspect. The energy pyramid, one of three different ecological pyramids, provides by far the clearest overall image of how communities work; it truly demonstrates "what goes on" in the ecosystem's living portion. The energy pyramid depicts the speeds at which food mass

moves through the food chain, as opposed to the numbers and biomass pyramids, which are images of the standing states, or the organisms present at any one time. Individual differences in size and metabolic rate have no impact on its structure, and the second law of thermodynamics dictates that it will always be "right side up" when all energy sources are taken into account. The magnitude of the different tiers is a direct indicator of the flow of energy at various levels, as will be covered in greater depth in the next section. The energy pyramid should, in theory, be compatible with and specific to a certain environment provided an acceptable time period is taken into account. This kind of visual summary does have one disadvantage in that it often requires challenging data to generate.

The Silver Springs, Florida, graphic is particularly fascinating since it provides an estimation of the whole population, including the decomposers, and is visited by thousands of visitors every year. In this spring, the majority of the producers are beds of freshwater eelgrass (*Sagittaria*), which are also supported by connected algae. The main consumers are various aquatic insects, snails, herbivorous fish, and turtles. Bass and gar are the main "top carnivores," with smaller "crops" of other fish and invertebrates making up the secondary consumers. The latter category also contained parasites that affect animals. It is natural to depict this component as a π bar resting on the main trophic level but extending to the top of the pyramid as well because the decomposers are primarily concerned with the breaking down of the major bulk of plants but also decompose all other levels as well. Decomposers' biomass is really quite little compared to how important they are to a community's ability to operate. As a result, the biomass pyramid significantly underrates decomposers whereas the pyramid of numbers significantly overrates them.

Only measurements of real energy use as might be depicted on the energy pyramid will put the decomposers in a true connection with the macroscopic components. Numbers and weights alone have little value in establishing the function of decomposers in community dynamics. For this reason, as well as the fact that there aren't many, the standing crop pyramids of springs, lakes, coral reefs, open seas, and fields reveals a number of intriguing insights. The examples were used to show the variety of nature-related disorders. Biomass pyramids are typically upright but may sometimes be partially inverted for the reasons previously mentioned. An inverted pyramid is only to be anticipated when the majority of producer organisms are extremely small, such as microscopic algae or photosynthetic bacteria. We can make the following generalizations for the time being by assuming that the examples are representative of the range of circumstances to be anticipated. Such inverted pyramids have primarily been reported from open water communities where plants growing on the bottom were not considered or where the water is free. (1) A broad-based, mostly stable pyramid is to be anticipated in terrestrial and shallow water habitats, where producers are substantial and generally long-lived. As seen by the "old-field" pyramid in contrast to that of the coral reef, there is some evidence to suggest that pioneer or freshly formed communities will tend to have fewer consumers in proportion to producers (i.e., the apex of the hierarchy pyramid will be tiny). Consumer animals in terrestrial and shallow water ecosystems often have more complex life histories and habitat needs (specific housing, etc.) than do green plants; hence, animal populations may need more time to reach their full potential. (2) The standing crop condition at any one time may be very varied in open water or deep-water circumstances where producers are tiny and transient, and the biomass pyramid may be reversed. Additionally, even if the total energy fixed yearly is the same, the overall size of the whole standing crop will probably be lower than that of communities on land or in shallow water (as graphically shown by the area of the biomass pyramid). Finally, (3) it is possible to anticipate that lakes and ponds with major populations of both microscopic algae and deeply rooted plants would have a middle-ground configuration of standing crop units.

CONCLUSION

In the framework of the food chain concept in agroecology, the data provided emphasizes the significance of biodiversity protection, food sovereignty, resilience, and sustainable agriculture. These concepts serve as the foundation for agroecological activities and regulations aimed at improving food systems. To solve the issues facing contemporary agriculture, such as environmental degradation and food insecurity, farmers, communities, governments, and consumers must accept and support the food chain concept of agroecology. This entails implementing agroecological methods, supporting sustainable laws, and advancing regional and moral food systems. Agroecology's food chain concept provides a possible route to a future where food production is more equal and sustainable. We can strive toward food systems that promote biodiversity conservation, resilience, and social justice by acknowledging the interconnectedness of food production, distribution, and consumption. This will ensure that everyone has access to wholesome food that has been produced responsibly.

REFERENCES:

- [1] J. M. Soon, M. Chandia, en J. Mac Regenstein, “Halal integrity in the food supply chain”, *Br. Food J.*, 2017, doi: 10.1108/BFJ-04-2016-0150.
- [2] R. Zhong, X. Xu, en L. Wang, “Food supply chain management: systems, implementations, and future research”, *Ind. Manag. Data Syst.*, 2017, doi: 10.1108/IMDS-09-2016-0391.
- [3] M. D. R. P. Leglise en A. Smolski, “An eco-egalitarian solution to the capitalist consumer paradox: Integrating short food chains and public market systems”, *Agric.*, 2017, doi: 10.3390/agriculture7090076.
- [4] R. Accorsi, M. Bortolini, G. Baruffaldi, F. Pilati, en E. Ferrari, “Internet-of-things Paradigm in Food Supply Chains Control and Management”, *Procedia Manuf.*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.promfg.2017.07.192.
- [5] E. Y. Nyamah, Y. Jiang, Y. Feng, en E. Enchill, “Agri-food supply chain performance: an empirical impact of risk”, *Manag. Decis.*, 2017, doi: 10.1108/MD-01-2016-0049.
- [6] D. Pigni en M. Conti, “NFC-based traceability in the food chain”, *Sustain.*, 2017, doi: 10.3390/su9101910.
- [7] E. Monastyrnaya, G. Y. Le Bris, B. Yannouc, en G. Petitd, “A template for sustainable food value chains”, *Int. Food Agribus. Manag. Rev.*, 2017, doi: 10.22434/IFAMR2015.0061.
- [8] R. K. Srivastava, “How Differing Demographic Factors Impact upon Customer Loyalty Towards National or International Fast-Food Chains: A Comparative Study in Emerging Markets”, *J. Food Prod. Mark.*, 2017, doi: 10.1080/10454446.2017.1266542.
- [9] K. Mattsson, E. V. Johnson, A. Malmendal, S. Linse, L. A. Hansson, en T. Cedervall, “Brain damage and behavioural disorders in fish induced by plastic nanoparticles delivered through the food chain”, *Sci. Rep.*, 2017, doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-10813-0.
- [10] R. Mahajan, S. Garg, en P. B. Sharma, “Processed food supply chain: a framework for literature review”, *Journal of Advances in Management Research.* 2017. doi: 10.1108/JAMR-05-2016-0035.

- [11] J. Nuutila en S. Kurppa, “Reaching goals for organic food in Finland: which changes should occur in the food chain?”, *Org. Agric.*, 2017, doi: 10.1007/s13165-016-0158-2.
- [12] M. M. Siddh, G. Soni, R. Jain, M. K. Sharma, en V. Yadav, “Agri-fresh food supply chain quality (AFSCQ): a literature review”, *Industrial Management and Data Systems*. 2017. doi: 10.1108/IMDS-10-2016-0427.

CHAPTER 12

EXPLORING THE CONCEPT OF PRODUCTIVITY IN AGROECOLOGY

Ashutosh Awasthi, Associate Professor
College of Agriculture Sciences, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India
Email Id- ashuaw@yahoo.in

ABSTRACT:

Agroecology is a multidisciplinary method of farming that aims to increase output while fostering sustainability, adaptability, and ecological balance. This essay examines the idea of productivity in agroecology, highlighting its complexity and the many variables that affect it. This study provides light on the potential of agroecology to meet the global concerns of food security and environmental sustainability by analyzing the concepts and practices related to agroecological production. Agroecology productivity extends beyond typical agricultural production metrics. Instead, it includes a more comprehensive definition of productivity that takes into account its ecological, social, and economic aspects. The effective use of resources, the improvement of soil fertility, the preservation of biodiversity, and the empowerment of local people are given top priority by agroecological methods. Crop variety, ecological intensification, food sovereignty, and regenerative agriculture are some of the terms used in this research to describe productivity in agroecology. This report is a significant resource for farmers, policymakers, academics, and practitioners interested in adopting and supporting agroecological agricultural practices via its thorough investigation.

KEYWORDS:

Crop Diversity, Ecological Intensification, Food Sovereignty, Regenerative Agriculture.

INTRODUCTION

A community's or an ecological system's basic or initial production Or any portion thereof, is the rate at which energy is stored in the form of organic compounds that may be utilised as food by the photosynthetic and chemosynthetic activities of producer organisms (mostly green plants). It is crucial to make the following distinctions between two categories of primary productivity: Gross photosynthesis productivity is the rate of photosynthesis as a whole, taking into account the organic material lost to respiration throughout the measuring time. This is also referred to as "total photosynthesis" or "total assimilation." The rate at which more organic matter is stored in plant tissues than the plants are using for respiration during the measurement time is known as net primary productivity. In addition, this is referred to as "apparent photosynthesis" or "net assimilation" [1], [2].

Estimates of gross output are often obtained by correcting observations of "apparent" photosynthesis by the quantity of respiration. Secondary productivities, which are obviously decreasing with increasing trophic levels, correspond to the rates of energy storage at consumer and decomposer trophic levels. Since consumers only use food that has already been generated, with adequate respiratory losses, and convert to various tissues via a single, integrated process, there is only one kind of secondary production. (In other words, total energy flow at heterotrophic levels, which is comparable to gross autotrophic output, should the crucial term in the aforementioned definition is rate; the temporal element, or the quantity of fixed energy in a particular period, must be taken into account. Thus, productivity in biology is distinct from "yield" in a chemical or industrial meaning.

In the latter scenario, the reaction results in the creation of a specific amount of material; but, in biological communities, the process is ongoing across time, necessitating the designation of a time unit, such as the quantity of food produced daily or annually. In broader terms, an ecosystem's production is referred to as its "richness." While it's possible that a wealthy or productive community has more organisms than a less wealthy or productive group, this is not necessarily the case. Standing biomass or standing crop should not be confused with productivity at any particular period. Although this issue has already been raised in previous sessions, it won't harm to stress it once again since students of ecology sometimes conflate these two variables[3], [4].

Although accurate estimates of net productivity can be obtained from standing crop data in situations where large organisms are present and living materials accumulate over time without being used (such as in cultivated crops, for example), it is typically impossible to determine the productivity of a system simply by counting and weighing (i.e., "censusing") the organisms that are present at any given moment. However, since microscopic organisms "turnover" quickly, the standing crop may not directly correlate with production. In contrast to a less productive pasture that is not being grazed at the time of measurement, a fruitful pasture that is being grazed by animals will likely have a considerably lower standing crop of grass. In a broad range of natural ecosystems where all trophic levels are present and functioning, the "grazed pasture" scenario is to be anticipated, meaning that "consumption" takes place more or less simultaneously with "production."

the various production types and the crucial differential between gross and net primary production will become obvious. Be aware that the amount of food (net production) that is accessible to higher trophic levels is significantly decreased by the respiration of plants (at least 10 percent, frequently more).to the principles of energy in ecosystems. The following is crucial: materials. Energy does not circulate, though. The system's circulation of the elements that make up living organisms, such as nitrogen, phosphorus, carbon, water, and others, is varied and complicated. However, energy is only utilized once by a specific creature before being transformed to heat and being lost to the environment. As a result, the nitrogen cycle exists. Grams of dry organic matter generated per square meter per day or per year are used to indicate values. Since one gram per square meter is 8.9 pounds per acre, multiplying these numbers by 10 will give you an approximation of pounds per acre. It should be noted that various employees and different approaches were used to get the estimations. To make the numbers comparable with one another, the original data in each instance was thoroughly examined and the appropriate changes and corrections were performed. It's important to keep in mind that not all "dry matter" has the same amount of energy; a crop of sugar cane, for instance, has more carbohydrates per gram than a crop of soybeans or wheat since it is mostly made up of carbohydrates[5], [6].

When all plants are developing quickly under the best circumstances, all numbers should be converted to Calories per square meter (approximately four times dry weight), but measurement techniques. However, in large communities, whether agricultural or natural, where both quickly growing, slowly growing, and senescent individuals the latter of which consumes more food than they produce are mixed together in some sort of balance, 50% or more of the gross production may be used by the plants themselves. Therefore, when taking into account any sizable portion of the earth's surface, it is by no means safe to assume, as have many food scientists, that man can "get" 90% of what plants produce. One would need to keep replacing people when they reach the height of their photosynthesis with others who are likewise at the pinnacle if one wanted to collect 90%. Algae may allow for this on a practical level, but as we have seen, their rapid respiration rate balances their greater harvest

efficiency (as compared to field crops), so we do well to harvest 50% of the gross, which means that no more food is obtained overall than with some other crops that may require less labor.

Production is year-round thanks to a few crops (such as sugar cane) and several aquatic and terrestrial natural populations. In terms of geography, take notice that the average crop output across the globe is almost always substantially lower than averages found in tiny European nations, where intensive cultivation is only conducted under the best soil and weather circumstances. The average yield per acre of staple crops for the United States as a whole is lower than for tiny European nations or Japan, despite the fact that Americans usually believe their agriculture to be the most efficient in the world. This simply implies that in a region as huge and diverse as the United States, areas that are locally advantageous (and would compare well to any in Europe) are combined with parts that are less favorable to get at the average. We simply need to note for the time being that big regions often exhibit much lower output than tiny advantageous areas. Normal farmland, grasslands, coastal seas, shallow lakes, and lakes vary from 1 gm/M²/day and higher. (3) Natural communities on alluvial plains, wet forests, intense agriculture, and some shallow-water systems, such as estuaries, coral reefs, or mineral springs, may produce between 10 and 20 gms/M² per day. Numerous reports of production rates greater than 20 have been made for experimental crops, contaminated streams, and small natural communities. Net productivity and the possible "yield to man" are geographically severely constrained unless strong limiting constraints can be overcome. Second, basic primary productivity is influenced by the local raw material supply, solar energy, and the capacity of local communities as a whole (including man!) to utilize and regenerate materials for continuous reuse.

DISCUSSION

Basic primary productivity is not necessarily a function of the type of producer organisms or the type of media (whether air, fresh water, or salt water). Depending on the local environmental circumstances, "rich" and "poor" may coexist. If the circumstances for light, water, and nutrients are identical in terrestrial and aquatic systems, respectively, then there is no intrinsic difference between them; but, as was pointed out before, productivity in huge bodies of water may be limited by light penetration. The gross output of cultivated crops seems to be on par with that of natural "crops" growing on similar places. In actuality, the "ordinary" or "average" agriculture produces a relatively modest amount of food each year since it only uses the light energy that is accessible all year round for about half of the time. Many ecological communities, even in very cold climates, extend their "production season" by the "rotation" of producer species that are suited to several seasons. It is crucial to keep in mind that the greatest output rate that man can reach via intensive agriculture is equal to or lower than that observed in certain productive natural systems.

For instance, it has recently been demonstrated that some coral reefs are exquisitely adapted systems with symbiOSis between plants and animals, effective nutrient circulating mechanisms (also known as "self-fertilizing"), and an annual gross productivity that is significantly higher than that of the majority of agricultural endeavors (Odum and Odum, 1955; Kahn and Helfrich, 1957). A fertile rice field differs from a coral reef in that a major amount of the net output from the latter is eaten by people, but on the coral reef, consumption is shared among a wide range of creatures with people typically being a small consumer. In other words, channeling primary output into specified secondary levels of direct human use, as does efficient agriculture, is one thing, but initially increasing primary productivity is quite another. One should be cautious not to mistake fundamental primary production with "yield to man," which is what many people mean when they talk about productivity[7], [8].

You may utilize the harvesting technique. Since efforts are taken to prevent insects and other animals from removing material, this is the typical scenario with respect to cultivated crops. The rate of production begins at zero at the time of seed planting and reaches a maximum at the time of harvest. It is simple to measure the growth that is generated by farmed crops; the productivity of the crops. The harvest technique may also be employed in non-cultivated terrestrial environments with a predominance of annual plants (as in a ragweed field) or if plants are not fully eaten until after growth is complete. In these situations, it is preferable to collect harvest samples periodically throughout the season as opposed to relying only on a terminal harvest (see Penfound, 1956; Where food is being taken away as it is produced, as in many natural societies, such a strategy could not be applied. If the consumers are huge, long-lived animals, one may be able to estimate primary production from secondary productivity by harvesting the consumers, who are removing the food at a constant pace. Of course, range managers or guys who work in animal husbandry regularly use a technique like this. For instance, the productivity of a western winter range may be measured in terms of the number of cattle that can be kept on a certain number of acres (or acres per "animal unit"). The procedure's potential hazards have previously been mentioned. The harvest technique always calculates net production since food consumed by the plants themselves is not included.

Measurement of oxygen. Since the production of food and oxygen are clearly equivalent, productivity may be based on the production of oxygen. However, most of the time, bacteria and plants themselves, as well as animals, are quickly depleting the oxygen present, and there is often gas exchange with other surroundings (particularly in terrestrial settings). But in aquatic environments, oxygen production may be gauged as follows: Water with a typical concentration of phytoplankton or other production units is placed in a bottle and suspended at the level from where it was drawn. Similar to the first container, this one has a black opaque top to block out all light. While no new oxygen is generated in the black bottle, some of the oxygen in the water is used up during breathing. In the first bottle, oxygen is produced by photosynthesis and some of it is used up during respiration. Therefore, the total oxygen generation equals the sum of the oxygen utilized in the dark bottle and the oxygen created in the light bottle.

Since it is believed that respiration in the dark bottle is the same as respiration in the light bottle discovered that the heavy isotope was used up at the same rate in the dark and the light, at least for a few hours. This finding suggests that respiration should be the same in both the dark and the light bottles during short-term experiments. It's debatable if this holds true for all plants. Gaarder and Gran invented the "light and dark bottle method" in 1927, and it is now very popular in freshwater and marine settings alike. The Winkler technique is often used to test dissolved oxygen, and a particular experiment is only allowed to last one 24-hour cycle or fewer. The gross primary production is calculated using this technique, which also accounts for plant respiration. The metabolism of the portion of the community in the bottom is not measured, and the consequences of putting the community inside a bottle have not been precisely defined.

In certain aquatic habitats, oxygen generation may also be assessed using the "diurnal CUI"Ve technique." In this instance, measurements of dissolved oxygen in the water are taken periodically throughout the day and night so that the area under the diurnal curves may be used to estimate the production of oxygen during the day and its consumption during the night. According to H. T. Odum (1956), this approach is especially useful for moving water systems like streams and estuaries. Since diffusion is governed by well-established physical rules, acceptable modifications may be made if oxygen diffuses out of or into the body of water from the atmosphere at a considerable pace. The "diurnal curve method" calculates

gross primary production by adding the O₂ generated during the day to the O₂ needed at night, which automatically accounts for community-wide respiration. (hypolimnion), which during the majority of the production (summer) season are not in circulation with the higher waters and thus not creating any oxygen. Therefore, more dead cells, corpses, excrement, and other organic debris sink to the bottom where they decompose under the influence of bacteria and fungus with the aid of oxygen as production in the top, lit waters (epilimnion) increases. Therefore, the rate of oxygen depletion is inversely related to production. The "hypolimnetic method" calculates the net production of the whole community (i.e., both primary and secondary production) of the epilimnion since both plant and animal degradation consumes oxygen. This technique was effectively used by Edmondson and Anderson (1956) to track production changes that occurred over a number of years in Lake Washington.

It is more practicable to monitor changes in CO₂ than in O₂ in terrestrial settings. Ecologists have tried several times to quantify production in whole intact communities in this manner, starting with the pioneering studies of Transeau (1926). Plant physiologists have long utilized CO₂ uptake to estimate photosynthesis in leaves or single plants. The community is covered with a large bell jar or plastic bag, and air is pulled through the enclosure and bubbled via a column of KOH or another CO₂ absorbent. The use of an infrared gas analyzer is also possible. A dark jar or bag-covered sample from the community and a sample from the ambient air are used to compare the quantity of CO₂ collected during a certain length of time. The dark enclosure monitors respiration, and the illuminated enclosure calculates gross production less respiration, or net production, much as in the "light and dark bottle" aquatic approach. Therefore, if both dark and light enclosures are employed, the CO₂ technique estimates gross primary production.

The use of radioactive tracers in ecology brings up new opportunities for estimating productivity, much as in many other scientific disciplines. Even in the steady state system indicated above, the rate of transfer may be monitored using a known quantity of "marked material," which can be identified by its radiations, with the additional benefit of lessening system disruption. The measurement of aquatic plant production using radioactive carbon (C¹⁴) delivered as carbonate in bottles is one of the most accurate approaches available. The plankton or other plants are removed from the water, dried, and then put in a counting device after a brief amount of time. The quantity of carbon dioxide fixed during photosynthesis may be calculated from the radioactive counts that were made. Lower results than those recorded in many earlier studies based on oxygen changes in bottled water (the light and dark bottle technique) were discovered when Steeman-Nielsen (1952), the method's creator, undertook a series of observations in the world's tropical waters. If Ryther (1954a) is true, the radioactive carbon approach measures net production rather than gross production, as does the O₂ method. It seems to measure the surplus organic matter that is stored above and beyond the concurrent demands for respiration.

Currently, there is significant research being done into the potential of utilizing the chlorophyll content of whole natural ecosystems as a gauge of productivity. Offhand, it would seem that measuring plant production using chlorophyll would be preferable to measuring plant standing crop, however it turns out that this is often the case. The abundance of reports in the plant physiological literature that showed that a variety of factors, such as age and light intensity, can alter significantly the amount of chlorophyll in leaves and other plant parts discouraged ecologists from studying chlorophyll in communities. It actually develops "per square meter" tends to be similar in a variety of communities, strongly indicating that the green pigment content in entire communities is more uniform than in individual plants or plant parts. We may be looking at an instance of "community homeostasis" where the total is

not just distinct from the sum of its parts but also defies simple explanation. Young and elderly, sun-lit and shaded, different plants are integrated and adapt to the incoming solar energy as much as local limiting conditions permit in intact communities. Of course, this energy impacts the ecosystem on a "square meter" basis.

Accurate information on the chlorophyll concentration in grams per square meter of freshwater, marine, and terrestrial habitats. Shown also for some of the instances is the assimilation ratio, which is the ratio of photosynthetic (gross) production to chlorophyll, represented as $\text{gm O}_2/\text{gms. chlorophyll/ hour}$. It's amazing how similar different communities can be. It does not seem to matter much whether the photosynthetic zone is extremely "thin" as in an algal film or grassland, or very "thick" as in a lake or forest; the concentration of chlorophyll per square meter tends to adjust to approximately one gram when circumstances are relatively favorable for production. Numerous studies conducted since Liebig's time have shown the need to extend the notion to include the factor of interaction subsidiary premise. As a result, the rate of consumption of the latter may be altered by excessive concentration, availability, or the action of a component other than the minimal one. Sometimes, an environment-deficient substance may be partially replaced by one that is chemically similar to it by an organism. Therefore, when strontium is plentiful, mollusks may partially replace calcium in their shells with strontium.

A given quantity of zinc in the soil would be less restricting to plants in the shadow than under the same circumstances in sunshine for certain plants, which have been proven to need less zinc while growing in the shade than when growing in full sunlight. Within a single species, the regional (and seasonal) limits of tolerance and the ideal range for a physical element often change; in other words, organisms frequently adapt their rate functions to local circumstances. For instance, McMillan (1956) discovered that prairie grasses of the same species—and seemingly identical—transplanted into experimental gardens from various sections of the range reacted to light remarkably differently. Each time, the timing of the grasses' development and reproduction was adjusted to the environment from which they were moved. A nice instance of temperature adjustment. The northern *Aurelia* marine jellyfish can swim as fast as possible under conditions that would make it impossible for the southern species to do so. Although certain species have a considerably better capacity to adapt than others, this kind of temperature adjustment seems to be widespread. Even though it would seem that low temperatures should be restricting to the whole ecosystem, compensatory processes assist to explain how northern waters may be just as productive as southern seas (as was addressed in the previous chapter). If circumstances are adjusted gradually, a person may sometimes be able to adapt, although local races or strains typically have genetically established tolerance limitations [9], [10].

CONCLUSION

The research put out emphasizes how crucial crop diversification, ecological intensification, food sovereignty, and regenerative agriculture are to production in agroecology. Agroecological techniques that improve food security, protect biodiversity, and advance social and economic fairness are guided by these concepts. The notion of productivity in agroecology must be embraced and supported by farmers, politicians, academics, and practitioners if we are to successfully solve the urgent issues of our day, such as global food security and environmental sustainability. This entails implementing agroecological methods that increase biodiversity, soil fertility, and resource efficiency while also giving local populations more autonomy over their food systems. A more resilient, fair, and sustainable agricultural future is possible with agroecology. Agroecology reveals its ability to not only

increase production but also to boost the wellbeing of people and the earth by stressing ecological principles and highlighting the multifunctionality of agricultural systems.

REFERENCES:

- [1] A. Narmilan, “E-Agricultural Concepts for Improving Productivity : A Review”, *Sch. J. Eng. Technol.*, 2017, doi: 10.21276/sjet.2017.5.1.3.
- [2] J. B. Abugre, “A phenomenological study of time concept and management and productivity in a sub-Saharan African context”, *Int. J. Cross Cult. Manag.*, 2017, doi: 10.1177/1470595817704005.
- [3] M. Alunica, “Labour Productivity: Concept and Tendencies”, *Ovidius Univ. Ann. Econ. Sci. Ser.*, 2017.
- [4] K. Kittony, “Presentation on challenges of industrial development and productivity improvement in Kenya”, *Kenya Natl. Chamb. Commer. Ind. ...*, 2017.
- [5] S. Klasen en M. Reimers, “Looking at Pro-Poor Growth from an Agricultural Perspective”, *World Dev.*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.worlddev.2016.09.003.
- [6] V. Goel, R. Agrawal, en V. Sharma, “Factors affecting labour productivity: An integrative synthesis and productivity modelling”, *Glob. Bus. Econ. Rev.*, 2017, doi: 10.1504/GBER.2017.083964.
- [7] R. G. Randall, M. J. Bradford, D. T. de Kerckhove, en A. van der Lee, “Determining Regional Benchmarks of Fish Productivity Using Existing Electrofishing Data from Rivers: Proof of Concept”, *Can. Sci. Advis. Secr. Res. Doc.*, 2017.
- [8] R. Jimoh, L. Oyewobi, S. Suleiman, en R. Isa, “Influence of supervision on labour productivity on construction sites in Abuja-Nigeria”, *Indep. J. Manag. Prod.*, 2017, doi: 10.14807/ijmp.v8i1.523.
- [9] T. Niemelä, K. Levy, R. Kosonen, en J. Jokisalo, “Cost-optimal renovation solutions to maximize environmental performance, indoor thermal conditions and productivity of office buildings in cold climate”, *Sustain. Cities Soc.*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.scs.2017.04.009.
- [10] U. Safriel, “Land degradation neutrality (LDN) in drylands and beyond – where has it come from and where does it go”, *Silva Fennica*. 2017. doi: 10.14214/sf.1650.