

# **PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION IN INDIA**

**Som Ambardar  
Amit Verma**





# ***Public Administration in India***

|||||  
Som Ambardar, Amit Verma





# ***Public Administration in India***

|||||

**Som Ambardar, Amit Verma**

**Dominant**  
Publishers & Distributors Pvt Ltd  
New Delhi, INDIA



*Knowledge is Our Business*

**PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION IN INDIA**

*By Som Ambardar, Amit Verma*

This edition published by Dominant Publishers And Distributors (P) Ltd  
4378/4-B, Murarilal Street, Ansari Road, Daryaganj,  
New Delhi-110002.

ISBN: 978-93-82007-95-1

Edition: 2022 (Revised)

©Reserved.

*This publication may not be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the publishers.*

# **Dominant**

**Publishers & Distributors Pvt Ltd**

**Registered Office:** 4378/4-B, Murari Lal Street, Ansari Road,  
Daryaganj, New Delhi - 110002.  
Ph. +91-11-23281685, 41043100, Fax: +91-11-23270680

**Production Office:** "Dominant House", G - 316, Sector - 63, Noida,  
National Capital Region - 201301.  
Ph. 0120-4270027, 4273334

**e-mail:** dominantbooks@gmail.com  
info@dominantbooks.com

---

**w w w . d o m i n a n t b o o k s . c o m**

# CONTENTS

|  |    |
|--|----|
| <b>Chapter 1.</b> Evolution of Indian Administration .....                             | 1  |
| — <i>Amit Verma</i>  |    |
| <b>Chapter 2.</b> Comprehensive Review of Mughal Empire Administration.....            | 8  |
| — <i>Sourabh Batar</i>   |    |
| <b>Chapter 3.</b> Legacy of British rule over Politics and Indian Administration ..... | 15 |
| — <i>Bhirgu Raj Maurya</i>   |    |
| <b>Chapter 4.</b> Indianization of Public Services .....                               | 25 |
| — <i>Yogesh Chandra Gupta</i>  |    |
| <b>Chapter 5.</b> Brief dialog on Public Administration and Constitution .....         | 32 |
| — <i>Pradip Kumar Kashyap</i>  |    |
| <b>Chapter 6.</b> Salient Features of Indian Constitution.....                         | 39 |
| — <i>Dal Chandra</i>   |    |
| <b>Chapter 7.</b> A Critical Review of Bureaucracy and Democracy.....                  | 46 |
| — <i>Amit Verma</i>  |    |
| <b>Chapter 8.</b> A Brief Analysis Bureaucracy and Development.....                    | 55 |
| — <i>Sourabh Batar</i>   |    |
| <b>Chapter 9.</b> Inclusive Review of Executive: President .....                       | 62 |
| — <i>Bhirgu Raj Maurya</i>   |    |
| <b>Chapter 10.</b> Evaluating features of Executive: Prime Minister .....              | 68 |
| — <i>Yogesh Chandra Gupta</i>  |    |
| <b>Chapter 11.</b> Understanding a Cabinet Secretariat.....                            | 74 |
| — <i>Pradip Kumar Kashyap</i>  |    |
| <b>Chapter 12.</b> Brief Discussion on Central Secretariat.....                        | 80 |
| — <i>Dal Chandra</i>   |    |
| <b>Chapter 13.</b> A Critical Review of Field Organizations .....                      | 87 |
| — <i>Yogesh Chandra Gupta</i>  |    |

## CHAPTER 1

### EVOLUTION OF INDIAN ADMINISTRATION

---

Amit Verma, Associate Professor  
College of Law, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India,  
Email Id- amitverma2@gmail.com

#### **ABSTRACT:**

The dynamic and convoluted journey that has been the growth of Indian government is a reflection of the nation's varied history and shifting socioeconomic structures. India's administrative environment has continuously changed, from its ancient beginnings in tribal councils and kingdoms to the complex administrative structures of the Maurya and Gupta empires. The influence of Islamic monarchs throughout the mediaeval era resulted in a fusion of administrative procedures. With the British creating a centralised bureaucracy during the colonial period, India's government underwent a fundamental upheaval. India developed a democratic and federal structure after gaining its independence, placing a strong emphasis on the values of social justice and inclusion. This summary captures the complex history of Indian administration and demonstrates its flexibility and reactivity to societal, political, and cultural developments.

#### **KEYWORDS:**

Complexities, Imperial Control, Indian Administration, Monarch.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The interesting tale of how Indian government has changed through time is a reflection of the nation's rich history, diversified cultural heritage, and altering socio-political dynamics. This journey, which spans millennia, includes a wide range of administrative systems and governance structures that have changed through time in response to the shifting needs and ambitions of its inhabitants. Indian administration has experienced considerable metamorphoses, from the ancient origins of decentralised government and monarchy to the complexities of imperial control, including the Maurya and Gupta empires, and the transforming influence of British colonisation. After gaining its independence, India embraced a democratic and federal system that was strongly based on the ideas of equity, equality, and inclusion. Understanding this historical evolution is important for understanding the country's current administrative practises as well as for appreciating the remarkable adaptability and resiliency that have allowed Indian administration to successfully navigate the challenging landscape of governance in a diverse and dynamic country. The development of Indian government is a fascinating narrative that spans millennia and reflects the complex interaction of politics, culture, and history in one of the oldest civilizations in the world. This story spans thousands of years and features a variety of governing and administrative systems, each formed by the particular possibilities and difficulties of its time. Indian administration's evolution is a testament to adaptability, resilience, and the enduring spirit of a diverse and dynamic nation. From the ancient roots of decentralised governance to the complexities of imperial rule, and from the transformative impact of British colonialism to the post-independence democratic framework.

Ancient India's system of government was firmly based on regional customs and traditions. Tribal councils, kingdoms, and republican regimes, each with its own administrative procedures, were characteristics of different areas. In the Maurya and Gupta empires, well defined positions and responsibilities for officials were created, signaling a substantial move towards centralised government. These empires introduced ideas like taxes, law, and justice,

laying the groundwork for a more organised administrative system. The Indian subcontinent had a series of rulers and kingdoms throughout the mediaeval era. Islamic kings established their administrative frameworks, fusing old Indian customs with governance features from Persia and Central Asia. A diversified and eclectic administrative environment was produced as a consequence of the blending of administrative traditions [1], [2].

Under British colonial authority, Indian government saw the most significant changes. Initially establishing itself via trade operations, the British East India Company steadily expanded its dominance over broad lands. They established a highly centralised bureaucratic structure to simplify control. The Indian Civil Service (ICS), which was composed of British officials holding important administrative posts, developed into the core of the British administrative system. India's administrative environment bears a permanent imprint of the colonial government. It brought contemporary ideas of government, such as a codified legal system, a standardised method of taxation, and the development of railway and telegraph networks. However, it also overused India's resources and stifled domestic industry, creating problems on the economic and social fronts. India finally gained its independence in 1947 under the leadership of individuals like Mahatma Gandhi and Jawaharlal Nehru. The leaders of India envisioned a democratic, federal system that would uphold equity, equality, and inclusive growth. This new administrative period was established by the 1950 adoption of the Indian Constitution. It created a federal government with a separation of powers between the federal government and the states, as well as social justice and basic rights.

In the years after independence, Indian government worked to strike a balance between tradition and modernization. The ICS was superseded by the Indian Administrative Service (IAS), and Indian officials now hold important administrative positions. Five-year plans, focusing on industries including agriculture, industry, and education, were created to direct economic growth. To solve concerns with land ownership and tenancy and to lessen economic inequality, land reforms were started. In the twenty-first century, India's administrative development has continued. India was made more accessible to globalisation and private investment via economic changes that began in 1991 with economic liberalisation. Advances in information and communication technologies have revolutionised governance and service delivery, improving the usability and effectiveness of administrative procedures. However, India continues to confront issues like corruption, ineffective bureaucracy, and regional imbalances that need for constant reform efforts.

The story of how Indian government has changed throughout the centuries is enthralling and represents the persistence and flexibility of the people. This path has been characterised by dynamic change and continuity, from ancient tribal councils to the difficulties of imperial control, from the transformational influence of British colonialism to the democratic and federal framework of post-independence India. For understanding India's modern administrative practises and appreciating the country's exceptional capacity to negotiate the complications of government in a varied and dynamic society, it is crucial to know this progress. The structure of Indian government as it is now is not only the consequence of legislation passed by the Parliaments of free India after independence or the British Parliament when that country was under British control. Its origins are deeply ingrained in the nation's long administrative history. For in the enactment and enforcement of law, it is important to consider not only the outward administrative behaviour of a state's citizens, but also the beliefs and attitudes of the vast majority of individuals and the diverse communities that have developed as a result of social, economic, religious, tribal, and political events in various eras and environments.

The true pillars of Indian government were not only established during the Mauryan era of Indian history, but also during the Indus Valley Civilization. But there are several references to the Mauryan regime. Mauryan Government The founder of the Mauryan Empire, Chandragupta, was not only a superb warrior but also a skilled administrator. In his huge dominion, he established an effective administrative structure. The famous statesman and



diplomat Kautilya, also known as Chanakya, helped him with the work of setting up his government. His form of governance was essentially based on the rules outlined in Chanakya's book, the Arthashastra. Our primary literary source for information on Chandragupta's government is the Arthashastra. Another crucial source of knowledge is the notation made by the Greek diplomat Megasthenes in his Indica. As was previously said, the Indus Valley civilisation, which is over 5000 years old and the foundation of our civilisation and culture, is where Indian administration can be traced. We are familiar with the Magadha, Mauryan, and Gupta eras of antiquity. Between 321 and 296 B.C., Kautilya wrote his political treatise Arthashastra, which studies statecraft and provides an overview of state management. We shall first examine the Magadha Empire's administration before learning about Mauryan administration [3], [4].

## DISCUSSION

**The Magadha Government** The domination of the Ganges valley by the Magadha monarch Bimbisara (543 BC–491 BC) was at the heart of political action in the sixth and fifth centuries BCE. The fort at Pataligrama, which would later become known as Pataliputra (modern Patna), was erected by Bimbisara's son Ajatashatru on the Ganges River. He also upgraded the defences of the Magadhan capital of Rajagrhā. The lower Ganges was under the sovereignty of the Magadha government, which benefited from its advantageous position and generated income from both the river commerce and the lush plain. The eastern coastal commerce also generated significant revenues thanks to access to the delta. Forests nearby offered construction materials and elephants for the army. Above importantly, huge iron ore reserves close by provided Magadha a technological edge. One of the early Indian monarchs, Bimbisara, placed a strong emphasis on effective administration, and the seeds of an administrative structure were planted. primitive ideas of land revenue emerged. Each hamlet had a headman who was in charge of collecting taxes, as well as supervisors who oversaw the process and sent the money to the royal treasury [5], [6].

### **The Mauryan Empire: Administration**

The passage that provided a chronological connection to early Indian history—Alexander meeting the youthful prince Sandrocottos, whose name was later recognised as Chandragupta in the 18th century—was the most important to historians. In Indian history, Chandragupta Maurya's ascension is noteworthy because it marked the beginning of the first pan-Indian empire (reigned around 321-297 BCE). Except for the region south of modern-day Karnataka, the Mauryan dynasty dominated almost the whole subcontinent, in addition to a sizeable portion of modern-day Afghanistan. Megasthenes, a Greek historian, recorded his findings in a book called the Indica. Numerous citations from the lost original may still be found in the writings of subsequent Greek authors including Strabo, Diodorus, and Arrian. The Arthashastra of Kautilya (or Canakya, as he is frequently referred as) is a significant work on political economics in Sanskrit. Although this assertion has been challenged, Kautilya is said to have served as Chandragupta's prime minister. Kautilya makes reference to modern political and economic theory while defining an ideal government, and his description of how that government should operate sometimes accords with our current understanding of real situations as gleaned from other sources. With possible dates ranging from the 4th century BCE to the 3rd century CE, the Arthashastra's inception date continues to be a mystery. The majority of experts concur that while the majority of the current text is post-Mauryan, the book's core was initially composed during the early Mauryan era.

### **Financial administration in Mauryan Empire**

The MaryAnn achieved this by uniting the many regions of the subcontinent into a unified political entity and by sustaining an imperial government for nearly a century. Land revenue and, to a lesser degree, commerce revenues supplied the foundational funding for an imperial

system. The income from land revenue rose as the agricultural economy gradually grew and the administrative infrastructure for collecting taxes improved. Both Kautilya's ideas and Megasthenes' narrative support this. Kautilya argued that the state should coordinate the removal of waste land and settle it with Sudra cultivator communities. It's believed that this is how 150,000 of the people Ashoka banished from Kalinga after the campaign were settled. There has been debate concerning the kind of land revenue. While some academics insist that the state alone owned the property, others argue that there was both private and individual ownership. It would appear that there are too many references to private property to ignore. There are additional mentions of the royal lands, whose cultivation was crucial to the local economy. There were two different taxes imposed: one on the quantity of farmed land and the other on the output of the land. In certain locations and for specific lengths of time, the state maintained irrigation. Irrigation systems were typically privately owned and managed by farmers and landowners. The idea that command of the hydraulic system was essential to gaining political control of the nation is unsupported. Taxes on both domestic and international commerce were another source of income that was becoming more and more significant. The aim to enhance political governance contributed to reducing regional economic isolation. Roads constructed to facilitate speedy connection with the local government gradually turned into busy exchange and commerce routes [7], [8].

### **Social Administration**

Megasthenes said that there were seven different professions represented in Mauryan society: philosophers, farmers, warriors, herders, craftsmen, magistrates, and counsellors. These groupings are now regarded as castes because of his definition of them as endogamous and hereditary occupations. They were the smallest group but the most revered, free from taxes, and the only ones allowed to marry into the other groups. The philosophers comprised a variety of priests, monks, and religious professors. The majority of the people were farmers. The troops were well paid, and if Pliny's estimates of the army 9,000 elephants, 30,000 cavalry, and 600,000 infantry are accurate, it must have taken a substantial financial investment to sustain them. Although the agricultural economy was growing and had taken over as the main source of state revenue, the inclusion of herders as a socioeconomic category implies that pastoralism continued to play a significant economic role. Undoubtedly a sizable portion of the urban population was made up of craftspeople. The fact that magistrates and council members are listed separately shows that there is a sizable and recognisable administrative staff [9], [10].

### **Personnel administration**

The top ranks of the Mauryan administration were said to have a tendency towards oppression. This may have been the case throughout the reigns of the first two emperors, from whom the evidence is referenced, but because Ashoka was in charge of significant decentralisation at the highest levels as well as ongoing checks and inspections, oppression is unlikely to have taken place during his rule. The recruiting method, which was presumably arbitrary and included hiring locals to fill the hierarchy of officials, was a more basic issue.

### **Administrative Structure**

The king served as the focal point of the Mauryan administration. Ashoka believed that his position was primarily paternal: "All men are my children." He travelled often across his empire and recruited a specific category of officials to measure popular opinion since he was keen to be in regular contact with it. His orders show that he consults with his ministers often, the ministerial council being primarily an advisory body. The centre of the revenue administration was comprised of the offices of the sannidhatri (treasurer), who maintained the accounts, and the samahartri (chief collector), who was in charge of maintaining revenue

records. Each administrative division served as a conduit between local administration and the federal government via its superintendents and underling representatives.

Kautilya thought that the salary of the officials should be paid out of a fourth of the entire profits. The wages proposed by Kautilya and the significant gap between a clerk's income (500 panas) and those of a minister (48,000 panas) show that senior officials were expected to receive good pay. Grants and public works projects consumed yet another sizable portion of state revenue. Four provinces, each governed by a prince or governor, made up the empire. The four provincial capitals were Tosali (in the east), Ujjain (in the west), Suvarnagiri (in the south), and Taxila (in the north), according to Ashokan Edicts. The monarch, who had the authority to establish laws, stood in the middle of the building. When the social order based on the Varnas and Ashramas (stages in life) dies, Kautilya urges the King to proclaim dharma. Since no technique of impersonal administrative office recruitment is described, local officials were most likely chosen from among the local population. The emperor sent officials to inspect the province governments once every five years. In rural regions, several officer classifications, such as *rajukas* (surveyors), merged judicial and evaluation responsibilities. The most typical type of punishment was fines, however the death penalty was sometimes used. Districts, which were further split into smaller entities, were used to separate provinces.

The village served as the fundamental administrative unit and has done so ever since. The headman, the accountant, and the tax collector (*sthanika* and *gopa*, respectively), all continued to hold prominent positions. Kautilya advocates keeping a census for the bigger entities. A committee of 30 officials, broken up into six subcommittees, was described by Megasthenes as overseeing Pataliputra's government. The city superintendent (*nagaraka*), who effectively held complete authority over all facets of municipal administration, was the most significant individual official. Governmental centralization should not be seen as indicating an equal degree of development throughout the empire. Magadha, Gandhara, and Avanti were under tighter central authority than Karnataka, where it's possible that the Mauryan system's major goal was to collect resources without becoming a permanent fixture in the province. Megasthenes said that the empire used 9,000 war elephants, 30,000 cavalries, and 600,000 infantrymen. A huge espionage network was in place to keep an eye on the officials and messengers as they travelled for the sake of internal and foreign security. To collect taxes from merchants, farmers, herders, craftspeople, and other people, kings employed officials [11], [12].

## **Administration of Chandragupta II**

The report of the Chinese Pilgrim Fahien, who travelled to India during Chandragupta II's rule, provides information on his administration. Compared to the Mauryan age, the government under Chandragupta II was more ordered and liberal. The population were law-abiding, the taxes were low, and the criminal laws were quite lenient. A fee was often seen to be an adequate penalty. No criminal received the death penalty, and those who committed the most heinous crimes of rebellion and reason were sentenced to have their right hands amputated. The government did not meddle in the citizens' daily lives. Without visas or passports, anybody may travel freely across the nation, even foreigners. According to Fahien, "the people need not register themselves or their households with any magistrate." If they want to go, they do so; if they choose to remain, they do so. Over the course of nearly nine years, Fahien travelled across India, yet he never felt frightened because of the good roads. The absence of a spy apparatus under Chandragupta II's perfect government is the most intriguing fact. The empire was split up into several provinces for administrative reasons. Compared to the Mauryan era, the provincial governors were more autonomous. Districts were created inside the provinces. The people received their proper share of the government. District councils were in place to provide administrative advice to the government officials.

These councils were made up of elected citizens as well as representatives from the government. The Grama or village served as the lowest level of government. A headman and the Panchayat, or village assembly, were in charge of running it. Thus, the Gupta government had a democratic structure. The majority of the state's income came from land revenue, which typically represented one-sixth of the agricultural output. Ever since the Mauryas' demise, India had fallen apart [13], [14].

## CONCLUSION

Finally, the development of Indian governance is a monument to the strength and flexibility of a country that has seen several eras and political ideologies. India's administrative environment has continually changed, beginning with its ancient origins in decentralised administration and continuing through the complex administrative structures of the Maurya and Gupta empires and, later, the revolutionary effects of colonial control. After gaining independence, the country embraced democratic ideals and worked to promote social justice and diversity via a federal system. This trip serves as a reminder of the value of adaptability and responsiveness in governance, which enable the Indian government to combine tradition with modernity and create a thriving and dynamic country. As India continues to traverse the possibilities and difficulties of the twenty-first century, the ever-evolving dynamics of Indian administration continue to be essential to the country's success and development.

## REFERENCES:

- [1] M. Srivastava, "Evolution of the System of Public Administration in India from the Period 1858- 1950: A Detailed Study Highlighting the Major Landmarks in Administrative History Made During this Period," *SSRN Electron. J.*, 2011, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.1482528.
- [2] A. A. da Fonseca, "Os mapas da população no Estado do Grão-Pará: Consolidação de uma população colonial na segunda metade do século XVIII," *Rev. Bras. Estud. Popul.*, 2017, doi: 10.20947/S0102-3098a0034.
- [3] P. Anu, "Integrated Public Service Delivery in Agile e-Government System through Service Oriented Enterprise Model in the Context of India," *Electron. Gov. Electron. Particip. Jt. Proc. Ongoing Res. Proj. IFIP WG 8.5 EGOV ePart 2015*, 2015.
- [4] Y. Fukutomi and T. Yasunari, "Structure and characteristics of submonthly-scale waves along the Indian Ocean ITCZ," *Clim. Dyn.*, 2013, doi: 10.1007/s00382-012-1417-x.
- [5] Dr. S. M. Hasan Zakirul Islam, "Historical Background of the Municipalities of Bangladesh: An Analysis," *IOSR J. Humanit. Soc. Sci.*, 2015.
- [6] S. Karan, P. Dash, H. Kaushik, P. K. Sahoo, L. C. Garg, and A. Dixit, "Structural and Functional Characterization of Recombinant Interleukin-10 from Indian Major Carp *Labeo rohita*," *J. Immunol. Res.*, 2016, doi: 10.1155/2016/3962596.
- [7] V. Agrawal and H. Nair, "From Jan Sunwai to Rajasthan Right to Hearing Act 2012: Fostering Transparency and Accountability through Citizen Engagement," *Stud. Indian Polit.*, 2018, doi: 10.1177/2321023018797537.
- [8] J. Jaffe, "Gandhi, Lawyers, and the Courts' Boycott during the Non-Cooperation Movement," *Modern Asian Studies*. 2017. doi: 10.1017/S0026749X1600024X.
- [9] S. P. Somashekhar, A. K. Rajagopal, S. S. Zaveri, R. K. Chandrashekhar, A. Rauthan, and S. H. Rakshit, "First Indian Study on Pressurized Intraperitoneal Aerosol Chemotherapy (PIPAC) Procedure for Advanced Peritoneal Carcinomatosis Secondary

- to Epithelial Ovarian Cancer,” *Indian J. Gynecol. Oncol.*, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s40944-018-0193-x.
- [10] M. Kania, “The Rights of Indigenous Peoples in Peru,” *Ad Am.*, 2016, doi: 10.12797/adamericam.17.2016.17.02.
- [11] M. C. Finn, “MATERIAL TURNS in BRITISH HISTORY: I. LOOT,” *Transactions of the Royal Historical Society*. 2018. doi: 10.1017/S0080440118000026.
- [12] “DR. AMBEDKAR: AN ECONOMIST PAR EXCELLENCE,” *GAP Interdiscip. - A Glob. J. Interdiscip. Stud.*, 2018, doi: 10.47968/gapin.12008.
- [13] J. Martens, “‘Between Two Fires’: Racial Populism, Indian Resistance and the Beginnings of Satyagraha in the Transvaal, 1902–06,” *J. Imp. Commonw. Hist.*, 2016, doi: 10.1080/03086534.2016.1210252.
- [14] M. Mubeen, “The Modern State, the Politicization of Sufi Rituals, and the Local Religious Authority of Sufi Shrines: A Study of the Shrine of Baba Farid (Pakpattan-Punjab),” *Glob. Polit. Rev.*, 2017, doi: 10.31703/gpr.2017(ii-i).13.

## CHAPTER 2

### COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW OF MUGHAL EMPIRE ADMINISTRATION

---

Sourabh Batar, Assistant Professor  
College of Law, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India,  
Email Id- battarsourabh@gmail.com

#### ABSTRACT:

The Mughal Empire, which ruled the Indian subcontinent for more than three centuries, had a unique administrative structure that combined centralization with cultural variety. This summary gives a general overview of the government of the Mughal Empire at its height, from 1526 and 1761, including its organizational structure and distinguishing characteristics. Babur founded the Mughal Empire in 1526, and it is a prime example of efficient governance and fusion of cultures. At its height, this empire covered a vast and diversified area, necessitating a highly developed administrative structure to properly rule. To retain authority over such a large area, the Mughal administration system was characterised by centralization. The Mughal Emperor, sometimes known as the "Padishah" or "Badshah," possessed absolute power over the whole empire. The administrative system was divided into many tiers under the emperor, each with distinct functions and responsibilities. The Divan, a council of ministers that advised the emperor on problems of rule of law, was at the Centre of the government. The Divan was made up of important figures such the chief justice (Sadr-i-Sadur), the vizier (Wazir) in charge of finances, and the commander in chief of the army (Mir Bakshi). The Mughal government also understood the value of religious and cultural diversity inside its own realm. In particular, Emperor Akbar advocated for a policy of religious inclusion and tolerance, working to include many religious and ethnic groups into the political and social structure of the empire. His Sulh-i-Kul policy, which intended to foster unity among people of many religions, served as an example of this strategy. The Mughal Empire was renowned for its support of the arts, literature, and construction. The creation of beautiful structures like the Taj Mahal and Red Fort was overseen by emperors like Akbar, Jahangir, and Shah Jahan, who were avid supporters of the arts. The administrative structure was strongly entwined with this cultural blossoming since artists and intellectuals often occupied positions of authority and enjoyed royal patronage.

#### KEYWORDS:

Administration, Consequence, Empire, Mughal.

#### INTRODUCTION

At its height, the Mughal Empire had riches unheard of in Indian history and spanned practically the whole continent. The Mughal Empire had a reasonably effective and centralised organisation from 1556 to 1707, when it was at the height of its incredible riches and grandeur, with a large complex of persons, resources, and knowledge devoted to the service of the emperor and his aristocracy. Akbar was principally responsible for the growth of the Mughal government. Akbar was able to build an organised administrative structure because to Sher Shah's development of an administrative machinery. The Mughal state was basically militaristic, with the Emperor's word being the law. The organisational layout was quite centralised. In addition to being a great conqueror, Akbar was also an excellent administrator. In the lands he had conquered, he instituted helpful reforms and set up a first-rate administrative structure. Akbar was able to construct a robust empire in India as a



consequence of his effective rule. The government of Akbar lasted for ten centuries longer than that. Therefore, we may completely comprehend the Mughal administration by studying Akbar's administration.

Central Management the Emperor served as the leader of the Mughal government. Even though the monarch had ultimate authority, he selected a lot of officials in various government offices to handle the endless amount of matters. The post of Wazir or Wakil held a position of immense influence from 1526 to 1560, or from Babur's tenure through the first four years of Akbar's rule. During Bairam Khan's reign (1556–160), the Wazir's office had unrestricted authority. The post became less significant when Akbar stole the Wakil's financial authority from Bairam Khan. There were typically four primary state departments. The Diwan, who had exclusive authority over finances and income, served as the head of the exchequer and was often the highest person in the state. He had close communication with the provincial Diwan and personally reviewed all financial transactions and payments in all ministries. Mir Bakshi was given responsibility for the office of military pay and accounting, and he carried out a number of tasks. He was in charge of paying all state authorities who "theoretically belonged to the military department" and was also in charge of keeping a list of Mansabdars and other senior officials. He was also in charge of recruiting recruits for the army. He gave the Emperor with a full master-roll of the army before to a fight.

The official of the Imperial Household division who investigated all issues "in reference to both great and small things" was Khani-Saman. Sadr-us Sudur, who served as both the minister of education and the head of religious endowments and charitable organizations', held the last but still crucial position. Before Shah Jahan, the Chief Qazi's office and Sadr-us Sudur's were united into one, with Sadr serving in that capacity. These two positions were split up and given to two different people by Aurangzeb. The heads of the various departments, such as Mustaufi, the Auditor General, Chief Mufti, Chief Muhtasib, the Censor of Public Morals, and Daroga-i-Dak Choki, the Officer of Intelligence and Postal Department, were of secondary significance. The Nazir-i-Buyutat (Superintendent of Imperial Workshop), Mir Barak (Superintendent of Forests), Mir Arz (Officer who presented petitions to the Emperor), Mir Tazak (Master of Ceremonies), and Mir Atish (Superintendent of Artillery) were the other officials, somewhat lower in status than those mentioned above [1], [2].

### **Provincial Government**

The empire was split into various regions called as Subas to facilitate efficient administration and tax collection. Twelve Subas made up Akbar's dominion. At the end of his reign, the number increased to fifteen as a result of his conquests of further Deccan provinces. They then increased to seventeen during Jahangir's reign, to nineteen under Shah Jahan's, and to twenty-one during Aurangzeb's. During the Mughal era, the provincial government's organisational structure was an identical replica of the national one. A Suba's leader was Subedar, who was selected by the Emperor himself. Each Suba's civil and military administrations were led by him. The provincial Diwan was chosen by the Emperor to serve as the head of the province's revenue division. He was a neutral official who responded to all inquiries about provincial finances. The military establishment was under the control of the provincial Bakshi. He also maintained a record of all Mansabdars' pay and benefits. Provincial Sadr, Qazi, Buyutat, Muhtasib, and other officials had to carry out the same responsibilities as their central counterparts. Waqai Navis and Waqai Nigars were employed to give reports directly to the monarch, and certain Sawanih Nigars were also hired to ensure the confidentiality of information sent to the king. Additionally, Daroga-i-Dag Choki was built in every Suba for conducting intelligence and postal systems [3], [4].

## DISCUSSION

The administrative structure below the Subas was split into Sarkars, and the Sarkars were further divided into Parganas. The top executive head of a Sarkar was known as a Faujdar, and his principal responsibilities were upholding law and order in his area and carrying out regal directives. He also had to maintain control over the strong Zamindars. The revenue collector known as Amalguzar was the next significant Sarkar official. To maintain peace and order, certain Thanas were built in troubled regions and in and around the towns. Thanedars served as their leader. A Shiqdar was in charge of a Pargana's general administration and the upkeep of law and order. A few Bitikchis (Writers or Clerks) as well as an Amil (Revenue Collector), an Amin (Revenue Assessor), a Potadar (Treasurer), and a Qanungo (Record Keeper of Land) supported him. In the Mughal system of government, a village served as the lowest administrative level. The village's leader went by the name Muqaddam. The Patwari, who looked after the village's financial records, helped him. The Mughals virtually exactly adopted the same administrative structure as Sher Shah at this level. Kotwal, who was chosen by the central, was given responsibility for managing the urban areas. His responsibilities included controlling the market, preventing social injustices, caring for and disposing of heirless property, among other things. The Mughal forts, known as Qilas, were situated in key strategic locations where tiny towns arose as a result of the construction of massive garrisons. High ranking Mansabdars were often chosen to serve as Qiladars to oversee the Qilas. To manage the ports, one Mutasaddi was chosen. In certain circumstances, this position was put up for auction and awarded to the highest bidder [5], [6].

### Mughal Judicial System

The Mughal emperors had a strong sense of justice and worked to create a productive judicial administration system. They initially adhered to the legal system of the Sultanate era, which was founded on Islamic law and separated the subjects into two classes: Believers and Zimmis, the latter of whom was not acknowledged as a member of the nation. Akbar was the one who made certain adjustments to the court system and did not exactly follow the Islamic doctrine of kingship. The monarch, who was revered as the source of justice, presided over the whole court system. On certain days of the week, he conducted court and presided over all significant matters. Only the monarch had the authority to execute anyone. The Sadr-us-Sadur court, which handles religious matters, and the Qaziul-Qzat court, which handles all other cases, were situated next to the king's court. The province level had a distinct judicial system. The Subedar, the Diwan, and the Qazi were in charge of overseeing judicial administration in the main. The Subedar made decisions in all criminal matters and dealt out punishment to rebels, robbers, and thieves. The Diwan made decisions in the civil matters. Additionally, the Qazi court had extensive judicial authority. The Qazi administered civil and criminal justice to Muslims and Hindus with the assistance of authorities like the Mufti and Miradi. The Siqdar served as the top judge at the Sarkar and Pargana levels and presided over all criminal trials. The Amil decided the civil matters. Certain civil and criminal matters might be tried by the Qazi and the Kotwal as well. The Panchayat was the lowest level of judicial administration. In the legal system of the Mughals, there were no codified laws. Muslims' legal problems were determined in accordance with directives from the Quran, the prophet's hadiths or sayings, prominent judges' fatwas, etc. Hindu matters were handled in line with their ancient and customary laws. However, there were several regulations that applied to all groups' members equally in criminal proceedings. Special tribunals made up of civil and military personnel heard the political matters [7], [8].

### Jagirdari System

The older iqta system, which was a component of the feudal structure before to the Mughal era, was continued under the Mughals as the jagirdari system. During the Sultanate era, it was



a transferable administrative process involving revenue allocations. Under this system, the ruling class of a certain area was in charge of managing revenue appropriation directly under the direct control of the central government, without having any hereditary rights to the territories they were in charge of. As the son ascended to the official offices, earlier permanent and hereditary privileges regulated the income distributions. The Afghan nobility, however, received such income assignments under the Lodis and only partially benefitted from the king's favour. The assignee of this Mughal method of tax assignment is known as a Jagirdar, and the system itself is known as Jagirs. The Mughal jagirdari system was a strictly revenue-based assignment, in contrast to the iqta system, which was an administrative and fiscal structure. The territory was split into two groups by Akbar: Khalisa and Jagir. In contrast to Jagirs, which were distributed to Jagirdars in accordance with rank, Khalisa land's land income was immediately transferred to the royal treasury. Mansabdars who got payment in cash were referred to as Naqdi. The Mansabdars and the imperial ruling elite were often given Jagirs. Under the Mughals, Jagirs might be transferred after three or four years, and no Jagirdar was permitted to hold onto the same Jagir for an extended period of time. This element of the 13 system let the Jagirdars take long-term actions for the development of the territories while also keeping a lid on them. In general, there were four different kinds of Jagirs:

- (a) those given in lieu of pay, known as Jagir Tankha;
- (b) those given to someone under specific conditions, known as Mashrut Jagirs;
- (c) those independent and involving no obligation to serve, known as Inam Jagir; and
- (d) those assigned to Zamindars in their own country, known as Watan Jagir.

The last mentioned was the sole instance when the normal Jagir mechanism of transfer did not apply. In the latter years of Aurangzeb's rule, a crisis in the Jagirdari system was brought on by the rise of Mansabdars, the scarcity of available land, the unrest in the nation's administration and finances, and all of these factors together. It was difficult for many people who were appointed as Mansabdars to get their Jagirs. One of the key factors contributing to the agricultural crisis in the Mughal Empire was the Jagirdari system. Despite not being in the official document before to Akbar, the word "jagir" started to be employed progressively after him. Since 1561, the word "jagir" has been used more often, but by 1575, its usage had decreased. This may be as a result of the original papers using different administrative lingo for various assignments. Wajah was utilised throughout Babur's rule, and the first four years of Akbar's reign saw the employment of hazy phrases like hukumat, sardari, dawari, and hirasat. The decision by Sher Shah to designate military commanders at the Sarkar level may have led to the distinction being made between holding administrative positions and receiving revenue assignments. Islam Shah continued this method and attempted to pay all of the troops who worked for him with cash. He only controlled the areas where the jagirs of the top nobility were situated. The region, however, went much beyond the assigned income. There were sometimes disagreements over whether the senior commander would be in charge of his territory's earnings or just his own personal jagir.

Conflict between the central government and the regional commanders resulted from this rising tension. The jagir system developed some characteristics about 1575. A noble's jagir was intended to be a fixed sum of money determined by a set of rules rather than a share of the money he made from the areas he controlled. Second, the valuation of the jagirs (local and notional) of the nobility or those working under the higher hakims was solely determined by the central diwan. On behalf of the monarch, Ali Quli Khan (hakim) of Jaunpur made the official assignment of Sarkar Banaras to his brother Bahadur Khan. According to Bayazid Bayat in the *Tazkira-i-Humayunwa- Akbar*, there is one unique instance in which an actual decision on assignment was made without any previous authorization from the central authorities. When a central diwan was present, the top nobility started receiving jagirs. This is

according to Abul Fazl's account of the Malwa's 1562 assignment. Sanads issued by the central diwan also served to determine the worth of the jagir and the area. Additionally, breaking the rules was seen as an infraction and was not tolerated. Prior to 1560, a noble's jagir was never divided up and applied to the combined partly assessed revenue (Jama) of two or more parganas. The assessed revenue (Jama) of the parganas against which it was awarded served as the basis for settling the jagirs. The central portion of the income from the various areas was set during the early years of Akbar's administration, but the nobles plundered it when it came time to collect and send it to the treasury.

This may have been the cause of the treasury's depletion in the start of 1561. There were certain areas where the jagirs of the nobles from prominent clans were concentrated. The Uzbeks in Jaunpur, the Mirzas in Sambhal, the Jalairs in Lucknow and Awadh, the Qaqshals in Kara and Manikpur, and the Atka clan in Panjab all shared jagirs with other members of their own clans. The transfer of jagirs was slowed substantially as a result of this. There were several modifications made to the manner jagirs were apportioned starting in 1561. *Ain-i-dahsala* attests to the fragmentation of the jagirs, which were allocated in pieces throughout a number of parganas.

### **Mansabdari System**

The Mansabdari system, which Akbar himself established and had taken as his own model from Persia, served as the foundation for both civil and military administration during the Mughals. The word "Mansab" literally refers to the position its bearer (Mansabdars) had in the Mughal administrative structure. The Mansabdars were the Mughals' paid service officials from that perspective. Mansabs were awarded to both civil and military personnel based on merit or service to the state, making them a crucial component of the Mughal bureaucracy. The Mansabdars were therefore a member of both the civil and military departments. From the civil to the military department, and vice versa, the Mansabdars might be moved. According to their rank, the Mansabdars were required to provide the state with a specified number of troops. The Mansabdars were divided into 33 categories under the reign of Akbar, ranging from commanders of 10 to 10,000 men. Princes and other members of the royal family were often reserved for Mansab in the rank of 7,000 and higher. High ranking officials were given the title of Mansabdar, while lower ranking officers were given the title of *Rouzinder*. There were two key distinguishing traits. First off, in a broad sense, the monarch was above all Mansabdars. This indicates that in a military expedition, a Mansabdar of lesser rank had to submit to a Mansabdar of greater rank. Second, *Zat* and *Sawar* were the ranks by which the Mughal Mansabdars were distinguished. The amount of horses, carts, elephants, and other animals that a Mansabdar must keep was specified by *Zat*. The number of horsemen the Mansabdar was required to provide or keep was decided by *Sawar*. The *Sawar*'s rank was either the same as the *Zat*'s or lower.

As a result, the *Zat* number, not *Sawar*'s, was used to decide the Mansabdar's rank. A Mansabdar with 4000 *Zat* and 2000 *Sawar*, for instance, had a greater rank than one with 3000 *Zat* and 3000 *Sawar*. Under the Mughals, the Mansab status was not inherited. A Mansabdar's son does not inherit the title from his father. This award was subject to the Emperor's will. The statute of escheat (*zabti*), which required the monarch to seize all of a Mansabdar's property upon his death, was another significant aspect of the system. This restriction was put in place to prevent the Mansabdars from exploiting the populace at will. There was a mechanism in place for paying Mansabdars that allowed for either monetary payments or the assignment of a Jagir. A Mansabdar often preferred a Jagir over monetary payment because of the higher social standing it carries. A distinctive aspect of the Mughal Empire's administrative structure was the Mansabdari system, which Akbar instituted. Of course, it was a complicated system. The Mansab holders came in many grades. Mansabdars

were referred to as "Omrah" if they had a rank of one Hazari or above, and "Mansabdar" if they did not. They carried out the same kinds of tasks.

Mansabdars came in three different classes:

- (a) The Mansabdar belonged to the first class if the Zat and Sawar grades were equivalent.
- (b) The Mansabdar belonged to the second class if his Sawar rank was equal to half of his Zat rank.
- (c) The Mansabdar would belong to the third class if the Sawar rank was less than half that of the Zat rank or if there was no Sawar rank at all.

The Mughals emphasised the importance of the ruler's central power in maintaining order throughout the Empire. The Mansabdari system came to be the method used to elevate chieftains, clan chiefs, and aristocrats who had high prestige and authority into the nobility. The Emperor was the sole authority to whom the mansabdar was required to report, not another official of a higher man sab or rank. The constant presence of the aristocracy gave the Emperor considerable influence over the bureaucrats. The nobility was able to keep their position as elites as a result. In contrast to the eras of the Tughlaqs and the Lodhis, the nobility was unable to secure their position via inherited claims. After Akbar abolished this custom, an officer's rank ceased upon his or her passing. Additionally, the mansabdars were moved to avoid any territorial power consolidation. The Mughal princes received positions that were under the Emperor. The technique was successful in recruiting as many soldiers as possible into the Mughal army. The best possible warlord and recruit absorption was made possible. Warlords who hired exceptional horse breeds were enticed with rewards and substantial upkeep allowances. The Mughal grip or control over Indian military power remained shaky throughout due to the indirect recruiting technique.

There were no stringent controls or rules. Instead, there were more Mansabdar, which allowed the empire to reach fresh lands. Jagirs were only given to Mansabdars stationed in the Deccan for 3 or 4 months. Greater than the rise in the jama was the mansab's increase. The zat and sawar pay were drastically decreased to deal with this circumstance, which created a military and financial crisis. By developing a personal and semi-bureaucratic connection with the Muslim nobility and Hindu chieftains, Akbar hoped to increase the support for his reign. Under Akbar, the majority of the mansabdars were Rajput chieftains, Persians, Turanis, and Muslims who were natives of India. A commander of 10 cavalry had the lowest mansabdar rank, while a commander of 10,000 cavalry held the highest mansabdar rank. Most of the mansabdars above the rank of 5000 in Akbar's period were his sons. In the reign of Akbar, a historian named Abdul Kadir Badauni said that a mansabdar's contingent included his personal dependents, known as Khas Khailan, as well as mercenaries known as bargirs. Mansabdars were either promoted to higher ranks or relegated to lower ones based on their performance. Akbar established a descriptive roll system, and compensation was based on how well imperial inspectors examined these records. To stop mansabdars from borrowing horses from one another, the branding horse system was made mandatory. The majority of senior mansabdars were subas governors. Less mansab than his father was passed down to the sons. According to Sir Thomas Roe, the mansabdar's children received a meagre inheritance that included "horses, staff, and some stock." As a result, the law of escheat was effective in preventing the mansabdars from engaging in corruption and other acts of bribery. However, it also caused a rise in the mansabdars' propensity for extravagant spending. J.N. Sarkar said that because of the statute of escheat, nobles were a self-centered group eager to support the victor in every conflict of succession in order to protect their worldly goods since their privileges were based on the king's pleasure [9], [10].

## CONCLUSION

The management of the Mughal Empire from 1526 to 1761 is a noteworthy period in the history of India and global administrative structures. The Mughals established an administrative structure that successfully controlled a large and varied empire via a combination of centralization, cultural inclusion, and novel policies. The Mughal Emperor, who held the ultimate power and was guided by a cabinet known as the Divan, was at the centre of this system. The "Zabt" system, which was the empire's effective revenue system and was based on land productivity, was crucial to the stability of its economy. Additionally, Akbar's administration displayed a dedication to pluralism and cultural diversity via its policy of religious tolerance. The Mughal Empire's governance was strongly correlated with its extensive artistic and cultural output. Emperors like Akbar, Jahangir, and Shah Jahan weren't only good rulers; they were also passionate supporters of the arts, which led to the creation of famous monuments and a thriving cultural milieu. The Mughal Empire did, however, experience a fall in the 18th century that was characterised by administrative issues, regional disintegration, and financial mismanagement. The once-cohesive administrative structure failed to change with the times, which ultimately contributed to the collapse of the empire. The Mughal era's administrative legacy, however, continues to be a vital component of India's historical and cultural history. It demonstrates the ability of a varied and complicated empire to rule successfully and preserve a rich cultural history. The centralization, financial innovation, and religious tolerance administrative ideals of the Mughal Empire continue to shape Indian subcontinental politics and cultural norms, leaving a permanent imprint on the history of the area.

## REFERENCES:

- [1] P. B. Calkins and I. H. Qureshi, "The Administration of the Mughal Empire.," *Pac. Aff.*, 1967, doi: 10.2307/2754507.
- [2] E. A. Jamsari *et al.*, "Akbar (1556-1605) and India unification under the mughals," *Int. J. Civ. Eng. Technol.*, 2017.
- [3] I. Habib, "The Agrarian System of Mughal India (1556-1707).," *J. Asian Stud.*, 1964.
- [4] M. H. Fisher, *A Short History of the Mughal Empire*. 2016. doi: 10.5040/9780755604913.
- [5] "The last Mughal: the fall of a dynasty: Delhi, 1857," *Choice Rev. Online*, 2008, doi: 10.5860/choice.45-3343.
- [6] S. Moosvi, "Mughal administration in rural localities," *Stud. Peoples Hist.*, 2014, doi: 10.1177/2348448914549902.
- [7] S. Parpia, "Reordering nature: Power politics in the mughal Shikargah," *Int. J. Islam. Archit.*, 2018, doi: 10.1386/ijia.7.1.39\_1.
- [8] H. Irfan, "The Agrarian System of Mughal India," *MYIdeas*. 2013.
- [9] N. Kumar, "The Eighteenth Century in Indian History: Evolution or Revolution," *Indian Hist. Rev.*, 2004, doi: 10.1177/037698360403100219.
- [10] C. A. Bayly, *Rulers, Townsmen and Bazaars: North Indian Society in the Age of British Expansion 1770-1870*. 2012. doi: 10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198077466.001.0001.

## CHAPTER 3

### LEGACY OF BRITISH RULE OVER POLITICS AND INDIAN ADMINISTRATION

---

Bhirgu Raj Maurya, Assistant Professor  
College of Law, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India,  
Email Id- brmourya.mourya321@gmail.com

#### ABSTRACT:

The political and administrative environment of the Indian subcontinent has been permanently impacted by the British colonial authority in India, which lasted for over two centuries from 1757 to 1947. This summary gives a general overview of the significant and long-lasting effects of British rule on Indian politics and government, focusing on important factors including governmental frameworks, legal systems, and the development of political thinking. India's history under British colonial rule saw significant change during this time. The early presence of the East India Company cleared the path for British dominance over major portions of India, which brought about significant changes to the political and administrative structures. The establishment of a centralised bureaucratic administration was one of the most important effects of British rule. The British constructed a highly organised system of government that was made up of a hierarchy of civil workers, district collectors, and judges. With the use of this administrative framework, Britain hoped to more effectively control India's many provinces while also enhancing tax collection. The legacy of British authority, meanwhile, was not without its complications and difficulties. Tensions between religious and ethnic groupings were exacerbated by the exploitation of India's resources, economic inequalities, and the use of "divide and conquer" strategies. The political and social cohesiveness of India is still challenged by these historical differences. India successfully freed itself from British colonial domination in 1947. Even though the end of colonialism was a significant victory, nation-building remained a difficult task. An administrative and bureaucratic structure mostly created by the British was handed down to independent India. To satisfy the demands of a multicultural and democratic society, it had to modify and change these structures.

#### KEYWORDS:

British rule, Indian Administration, Legacy, Politics.

#### INTRODUCTION

The British occupation left behind a significant impact in the Indian administrative system. British rule laid the foundation for many structural and operational aspects of Indian administration, including the secretariat system, all-India services, recruitment, training, and office procedures, as well as local and district administration, budgeting, auditing, a tendency towards centralization, police administration, revenue administration, and others. The Administration's Style the East India Company, a monopolistic, commercial company that received permission from the British crown to do business in the eastern regions, was established on December 31st, 1600. Factory was regarded as a trade station with a variety of characteristics. An agent oversaw a settlement (a large number of industries). The word "factor" was used to describe an agent who conducted business on place of another in commercial activities. Writers, factors, and merchants were all rated. The hiring of officials, their nomenclature, terms of service, and circumstances surrounding their employment were



controlled by laws and customs relevant to commercial enterprise. Patronage was often used in the services as a recruiting and promotion strategy.

The Company's Directors or Proprietors controlled patronage. During the early years of Company regulations, moving officials from one region to another was common. They were not given any on-the-job training, and they had to learn by making mistakes. They had no knowledge of the locals' laws, traditions, or languages. The Company's staff were known to be crooked since they received very low pay. The form of government used was one with a commercial bent. Council essentially served as the means of governing. The Governor or the Governor-General had the deciding vote, and the Council held both legislative and executive responsibilities. More authority was centralised in the head of the council, or the chairman, as a result of the expansion of territorial sovereignty and the need to make decisions quickly, but the core idea of community governance and accountability persisted. Boards served as another form of the government. However, the Board of Revenue had the most illustrious history and body of work. The Railway Board also existed afterwards. The Board enabled activities including counselling, debate, decision-making, and even legislative and judicial processes. The Board resolved disputes involving behaviour, action, and questions of policy and philosophy. It was government business as usual. Records were concise and easy to read when transactions were for business purposes.

However, due to political maneuvering, record keeping became laborious and extensive. Reports, dispatches, notes, and minutes were a crucial component of British administration. All of this was somewhat required since authorities in the governmental hierarchy could only exert control via written reports and records. The Company kept records to help limit absolutism and unchecked authority, despite having its headquarters in distant England. The administration of newly acquired Indian regions was poorly handled by the East India Company. Clive's Double or Dual Government of Bengal, Bihar, and Orissa is one example of it. Internal affairs, like as income collection, were still left to the Nawab and his officials who worked on behalf of the Company, even though the Company had direct responsibility for protecting these regions from external invasion. This occurred as a result of the Company's lack of familiarity with regional conventions and practises and its comfort level in maintaining the current method of revenue collecting. However, when the greatest amount of money was taken from the people, this led to usage of the worst kind. The Company suffered because of what was done even though it was done in its name; as a result, the Nawab and his men amassed vast wealth at the expense of the Company [1], [2].

## DISCUSSION

Because it was the first step taken by the British Government to control the Company's operations in India, this Act warrants particular attention. Through a Charter, the Company had only been granted trade privileges by the British Crown. The Parliament was unable to recognise and legalise this evolution as it began acquiring regions in India and slowly but surely transforming itself into a governing body. Furthermore, rumours circulated that the Company bought any estates in the King's name and on his behalf. Therefore, the Crown had to be in charge of these lands' governance. Once again, businessmen and dealers could rarely perform administrative duties on par. The escalating level of corruption and poor management of territorial conquests served as evidence for this. Because the company was simultaneously trading and governing, the shareholders were clamouring for more dividends, but the company was making significant losses and needed to be bailed out. The Company petitioned the British Parliament for a loan of £ 1,400,000 to get through a difficult time when funds were tight due to Indian conflicts and growing demand for larger dividends. This provided Parliament with a long-overdue opportunity to use its authority to regulate the political activities of the East India Company. They provided the financing with the condition

that the British Parliament would have final say over Indian rule. The Regulating Act of 1773 was therefore enacted [3], [4].

### **Changes Introduced through the Regulating Act in England**

The Company's Court of Proprietors underwent revision. Previously, a shareholder who owned stock valued at £ 500 or more qualified to join the Court of Proprietors. It was increased to £ 1000 as a minimum by the Regulating Act. This improved the Court of Proprietors' ability to carry out both its duties and obligations as a compact, well-organized organisation. Additionally, the Board of Directors underwent changes. Instead of having all of the Directors elected annually as previously, it would now have 22 members who are chosen by the Court of Proprietors every four years and 6 directors who retire each year. This increased managerial efficiency and provided the Board with some stability.

### **Changes Introduced through the Regulating Act in India**

Governors of other Indian provinces were now subject to the Governor of Bengal, who was now known as the Governor-General of Bengal. A council of four people appointed from England was to help the Governor General. The Governor-General Warren Hastings had a casting vote, and decisions had to be made by a majority of votes. Bengal, which was under the sovereignty of England, eventually came to rule the British holdings in India. The Supreme Court of Calcutta was established by the Regulating Act with the Lord Chief Justice and three justices. The highest court in British India at the time was the Supreme Court of Judicature. It possessed the authority to exercise jurisdiction over civil, criminal, admiralty, and religious matters. It had authority over both Company employees and British nationals. However, its relationships with the current courts were not made clear.

### **Effects of the Regulating Act**

The Company's management body at headquarters became more effective as a result of the adjustments to its organisational structure in England. The Act established a centralised government in India, with the Governors of Bombay and Madras reporting to the Governor-General of Bengal. A unified policy was deemed necessary for all of British India, preventing a lot of unnecessary spending. The Supreme Court's establishment improved justice for British people. Introducing a system of checks and balances was the Regulating Act. It effectively put the Supreme Court in charge of the Governor-General in Council, made the Governors answerable to the Governor-General, and made the Governor-General answerable to his Council. The Regulating Act established a system of parliamentary oversight and provided the groundwork for a central government. It signalled the start of the Company's metamorphosis into a Corporation of a new kind, with only administrative as its goal and subject to Parliament [5], [6].

### **Defects of the Regulating Act**

Although it was anticipated that the Act would control and centralise administration to improve justice and provide a system of checks and balances, it was discovered that it had significant flaws in actual use. For example, with regards to the Supreme Court, it had the following flaws: A flaw in the Act of 1773 was the uncertainty of the Supreme Council's and the Governor-General's in Council's respective jurisdictions. The Governor-General and Council were given complete civil and military control over the diwani provinces by the Regulating Act. However, the Supreme Court was also given the authority to hear matters involving local workers of the Company as well as British ones. All those who engaged in oppressive behaviour during the exercise of civil authority or the collecting of money may be punished. However, the Act did not state whose decision would be definitive in the event of a dispute between the Council and the Court. These issues occurred because the Company, who effectively held the title of king over the provinces of Diwani, was not recognised as such by

Parliament. Before being enforceable as law, the regulations approved by the Governor-General in Council had to be registered with the Supreme Court. There was no justification given as to how the Court's unwillingness to act may hinder the efficient operation of the administration. The Act was ambiguous about which law had to be used when deciding situations. Even in circumstances when Indians were accused of crimes, the court adopted English law in all instances. The Indians were angry about this. Other courts, including provincial ones, were not acknowledged. All of these flaws caused significant damage.

The Amending Act of 1781 was an amendment passed by the British government to fix these flaws. The following were included among the disadvantages of the Governor General in Council: The Directors held the Governor-General accountable for all administrative actions in India and had him or her liable to them. He was however restrained by the majority judgements of his council, which bound him. Despite the fact that this is comprehensible as a function of the system of checks and balances, it led to the Council making decisions for which only the Governor-General was responsible. Administration was hampered by ongoing conflict between the Governor General and his Council. Although they reported to the Governor-General, the Governors really operated independently of Bengal in reality. They used the argument that taking action needed to be done immediately since the situation was urgent. The idea of unity and uniformity intended by the Act was thus effectively disproved. The Regulating Act mandated that the East India Company turn over to the British Government any communications pertaining to military, administrative, and financial affairs. The Proprietors and Directors adopted a policy that was driven more by personal convictions than by administrative need since this indirect control did not function successfully in practise.

### **The Amending Act of 1781**

The Supreme Court's purview was altered by this Act. It lost the ability to take legal action deriving from the revenue collection. The Supreme Court did not provide protection to landowners, farmers, or other people involved in land income activity. In a similar vein, no individual could fall within the Court's jurisdiction just by virtue of working for the Company. Even though the Court had jurisdiction over every Calcutta resident, it was nonetheless required to follow Hindu personal law when dealing with Hindus and Quranic law when dealing with Muslims. The Amending Act recognised the Governor-General and Council's appellate jurisdiction and reaffirmed their judicial power to consider all relevant arguments and appeals, just as they had previously done as a Court of Record. Additionally, the Governor-General and Council were given the "power and authority" to sometimes enact rules for the provincial courts and councils. According to this Act, their legislation had to be ultimately authorised by the Crown rather than being subject to registration in the Supreme Court of Judicature [7], [8].

### **The following are the constitutional changes from 1784 to 1834:**

#### **Pitt's India Act 1784**

The Regulating Act's inadequacies quickly became clear. It was difficult to fix these flaws since doing so required completely separating the Company's economic and political roles, which was unpopular in England. Change was desperately needed, and it was impossible to stave off the impulse for long. A measure was brought via Dundas in 1783, but it was unsuccessful. Fox proposed two measures in the same year, but the House of Lords rejected both. When William Pitt was appointed to lead the government, he was resolved to present and pass a measure on India. It was created when Pitt's party was restored to power after being defeated in the first attempt by a slim majority. Pitt's India Act established the Board of Control, a group of six commissioners known by this name. It was made up of a Chancellor of the Exchequer, a Secretary of State, and four Privy Councillors who were all nominated by



the monarch and served at his discretion. A quorum was formed by three of the six, and in the event of a tie, the President had a casting vote. Meetings of the Board were to be presided over by the Secretary of State; in his absence, this was done by the Chancellor of the Exchequer or a Senior Commissioner. The Board of oversee was given the authority to supervise, manage, and oversee all business, military, and revenue operations conducted by the Company in India. Copies of all communication with the Company from the Directors of the Company were required to be given to the Board. The Directors were now required to follow the Board's directives on India's civil and military governments and income. According to the Act, the Board might provide India covert instructions on war, peace, or diplomatic negotiations with any of the other foreign powers via a secret committee made up of three Directors.

### **The Departments of Secretaries to Government**

A Secretary and a few Assistants assisted in handling all commercial transactions before to 1756 via one general department. The General Department had to be reorganised to ensure efficiency and dispatch due to the demands of business and the war. As a result, a plan was created to have two departments: the Public Department, which handled matters relating to trade, shipping, revenues, accounts, and other matters of a public nature, and the Secret Department, which handled all dealings with foreign powers as well as military plans and operations. It is best to keep separate records for each. A Secretary, an Assistant Secretary, and a sub-Secretary connected to each Department were required to jointly manage the two departments. The Secret Department had seven assistants, whereas the Public Department had eight. Their exact responsibilities were laid down. This strategy was approved and put into action in 1764 by the President and Council at Fort William. Under the Regulating Act of 1774, the Governor General and Council assumed control of Bengal's whole civil and military administration. The Public and Secret Departments each had a Secretary due to the increase in administrative work and the monitoring of military operations against the Marathas and Mysore. The position of assistant secretary was eliminated, and each of the two departments received a sub-secretary in its place. In order to prevent its records and documents from being "exposed to improper inspection," the Secret Department was transferred to a different residence and the responsibilities of each were once again stated.

### **Revenue Department**

When the Company acquired the provinces of Diwani in 1765, the tax collection was delegated to Indian officials who served as British envoys. This system was in use until 1769, when the Governor-General and Council appointed Supervisors in each area to learn about income assets and report on abuses of the prevailing system. But since their authority was constrained and they did not perform their tasks, a new management was instituted. A controlling council of revenue was to be established in Murshidabad and another in Patna. A Controlling Committee of tax was established in 1771 in Calcutta with the authority to oversee, supervise, and administer tax matters since they were not coordinated. The Company made the decision to assume the role of diwan and handle all tax administration via its own staff in 1772. As a result, the Controlling Committee of Revenue and the Committee of Route were formed. Finally, a Revenue Department was established in Calcutta in 1772 to take the role of these many organisations. A Persian Translator, an Accountant-General, an Assistant Secretary, a Sub-Secretary, and several Assistants were all employed by the Department.

There were three subordinate Boards to handle the specifics of implementation in addition to Department Secretaries to Government who worked under the guidance and control of the Council. Which were: To oversee finances, justice, and law enforcement, the Committee of Revenue was established in 1781. In 1775, the Board of Ordinance was established to oversee military supplies. In 1774, the Board of Trade was established for business dealings. These

were reorganised as the Board of Trade, the Military Board, and the Board of Revenue in 1785. The previous Secret Department changed its name to Secret Political Department in 1786. The Secret and Foreign Department was assigned to the Foreign Department. Edward Rav was appointed as the Secretary of the three agencies, including the new Secret and Military Department. The previous Military Department was split off from the Secret and Military Department in 1786 and reorganised as the Military Department of Inspection. These continued after 1787 with only minor nomenclature modifications, such as eliminating the term "Secret" from Department names and forming a new Secret Department.

### **Financial and Colonial Departments**

As a result of Wellesley's structure, secretaries acquired more responsibilities and gained a reputation for being exceptional administrators. When Minto assumed leadership, he opted to rely on and be directed by his Secretaries rather than acting in accordance with his own beliefs and values. Colonial and financial were introduced as two new departments by Minto. In 1810, the government's financial operations were split from the public department and given their own Financial Department. The Colonial Department was created to oversee the affairs of Java and Mauritius once they were placed under the Company's control [9], [10].

### **Departments under the Governor-General and Other Civil Departments**

The formal staff of the Governor-General's office included a number of Assistants, his Interpreter, and his Private Secretary. The administration of Darbar charges, which served as stipends for the Nawab of Bengal and other individuals, was one of the Private Secretary's key responsibilities. Several regions of the nation appointed residents. To fully understand what occurred at local monarchs' courts and to defend British interests in opposition to those of other foreign powers, a resident was chosen. Though handled by the Secretary to Government in the Secret and Political Departments, the management of political residencies was basically a function of the office of the Private Secretary to the Governor-General. Residents quickly grew in authority and had a sizable administrative staff. The Treasury, which dealt with money, oversaw the government's financial assets, and controlled its spending, the Department of Audit and Accounts, the Persian Department, and the Agencies designated as the Agent for Stationery, Agent for Indigo, and Agent for Despatching Ships to Europe were included among the other civil departments. Under the Civil Department, there was also the Post Office, the Mint, and other businesses including those of surgeons and chaplains, the clerk of the market, and the coroner.

### **District Administration and the District Collector**

The District Officer functioned as the cornerstone of British control in India. Since the beginning of time, district administration via the Central Government's intermediaries has been a crucial aspect of our governmental structure. The Mauryan Empire was split into a number of provinces, with districts within each province. Village communities were in charge of running the villages. The Provincial Governor, then the Emperor, were the district officer's ultimate bosses. Under the Guptas, a similar setup was in place. Even under the British, the District continued to be a prominent administrative region. Warren Hastings appointed a British Collector to oversee a territory in 1772. This agreement was given up after two years and resumed in 1781. The area gradually ascended to the centre of local governance by the end of 1786. Some districts were combined in 1829 to form a Division that was governed by a Commissioner of Revenue and Route. This Commissioner was granted administrative oversight and management authority over the districts. Later, sub-divisions of districts were created, each under the control of a sub-divisional officer. This system for an official who evaluated the income and had to face complaints about that assessment did not sit well with Lord Cornwallis. The temptation would be to use his judicial abilities to defend the actions he had taken while serving as a revenue officer. As a result, in 1793, a new Regulation was

passed by the Governor General in Council, which stated that Collectors would no longer try tax matters. There were two important authorities in each district: Collectors for revenue collection and Judge Magistrates for maintaining order, supervising police activity, catching robbers and thieves, trying them as magistrates, and serving as civil judges.

### **Board of Revenue**

Several Provincial Revenue Councils were active during the early days of British governance, and a Secretariat was located in Calcutta. A Board of tax was established to replace these Provincial Revenue Councils, and over the course of the next 140 years, it grew to play a significant role in both tax collection and general administration. The Board's authority included all aspect of tax administration, including the allocation, collection, and receipt of public funds. Cornwallis changed the Board of Revenue's organisational structure in 1788. The Board was worried about the supervision, control, and discussion. Collectors, who answered to the Board, were given control over the specifics of revenue management. With the ultimate approval of the government, the Board might punish or even suspend any official as part of the exercise of its authority to bring them to account for their actions. The Collectors played a huge role since they first provided all the information needed to create the Board's report to the government. After choices were made and orders were given, it was up to the Collectors, who, thanks to the discretionary authority they had, rose to the top of district administration, to carry out the specifics. On the basis of John Shore's suggestions in 1788, the Board of Revenue underwent two more revisions. Through the covenanted public workers, they aimed to achieve complete control over the collection of taxes. A rule that gave the Board authority to serve as both an appeals court and a court of review in all tax issues was approved in 1790. The Board of Revenue was transformed into a Court of Wards by the Governor-General in Council in the same year. This was done in order to put all estates that belonged to women, children, fools, lunatics, and anyone of questionable character under the control of the Board. Regulations were sometimes published to direct the Board in its action. Divisional Commissioners were subsequently appointed.

### **The Civil Service**

The British Governors and Councillors required help at the Central offices and in the districts to carry out their duties of overseeing territorial holdings in India. Additionally, they had to update the populace's manners and traditions, gather required data, and formulate pertinent suggestions. The persons who were first selected for this important position in the government came from the ranks of the Company's authors, factors, and merchants. Some of these officials weren't given duties and designated as supervisors over sizable territories until 1769. Although the majority of the men did not prove up to the challenge, there were a handful who excelled, including John Shore, Charles Stewart, Charles Grant, and Jonathan Duncan. Without realising that the Company's position and duties had undergone a revolutionary transformation and that more prepared personnel were thus needed, the Court of Directors maintained the practise of sending a new batch of writers every year. The education and training of public officials in India were not addressed in any of the Acts of Parliament that were flanked by the years 1773 and 1793. Cornwallis answered the open issue of whether administration would be properly handled by just Indians, a mixed agency, or entirely by the British by opting for the strategy of total Europeanization.

The Company's British covenanted workers were used to occupy all senior posts in the government. This was addressed by the Charter Act of 1793, which also established the Charter or Rights of Civil Servants. Seniority was a factor in promotion. Different departments' responsibilities were laid forth. Pay was in line with level of responsibility. Wellesley understood that Company public officers had to carry out duties as magistrates, judges, ambassadors, etc. They needed to be well-versed in the languages, customs, and laws

of the people as well as the British Constitution, ethics, civil law, international law, and general history in order to perform their tasks effectively. Wellesley founded the College of Fort William in Calcutta to provide for all of them. The three-year training programme at the College was required of the government officials of Madras, Bengal, and Bombay. The three-year programme included lessons in liberal arts, historical and contemporary literature and history, the law of nations, ethics, and jurisprudence. Additionally, Indian languages, different norms, and rules were included in the curriculum. The institution significantly improved the government officials' mental and academic capacities as well as their moral character. But the College didn't last long. It continued as merely a language school after seven years. The establishment of Haileybury College in England in 1805 effectively put an end to the College at Fort William. For the next 50 years, the ICS was produced at Haileybury College. The new recruits to the covenanted Civil Service were required to spend two years there. The Haileybury College adhered to the curriculum created by Wellesley for his College. The young public workers were required to complete two years of specialised instruction in mathematics and classical studies. In addition, they had to learn about political economy, jurisprudential principles, aspects of Indian history, the basics of Indian legal systems, and Indian languages. However, patronage was still a factor in admittance. The Chairman and Deputy Chairman each had the ability to propose two candidates, whereas each of the Company's Directors could only submit one candidate.

Although there was an admission exam, nobody ever failed it since it was so easy. Although applicants had a liberal education, the level at Haileybury was not very good, which would have led to a high failure rate. Although it was eventually amended, the admissions system was at most a qualified patronage system. Despite this, the College had a positive reputation, and its graduates were praised for the business approach and camaraderie they brought to India. These guys continued to support the old Haileybury ties in remote areas of India. In particular, they established honourable traditions of integrity and honesty. However, they also felt smug and powerful, and some of them did adopt a tyrannical attitude and authoritarian behaviours. An agreement was created for the entrance exams to Haileybury College in 1837. However, it did not provide the anticipated outcomes. The guys that travelled to India lacked the level of proficiency required for the occupation. Since the Company lost its last remaining commercial monopoly in 1833, hostility to patronage has been growing in England. The Northcote Trevelyan Report, which was presented to Parliament in 1854, recommended that open competitive examinations be held in order to satisfy patronage needs. Macaulay stood in the midst of individuals who were eager to advance the merit system. The relevant rules had to be drafted when the competitive premise was recognised. An expert panel was established for this, and Macaulay served as its chairman. The committee proposed that applicants be between the ages of 18 and 22, and that the test be in liberally revised topics. It must be underlined that the Civil Service enjoys a solid reputation as a mostly effective, trustworthy, and ethical branch of government. However, the tasks that government employees could accomplish were few. They were primarily focused on maintaining peace and order and administering the tax system [11], [12].

### **Reforms in British Administration: 1858 To 1919**

The First War of Independence, referred to as the eruption of 1857 by Dr. P. T. S. Sitaramayya, came as a shock to the British administration and its bureaucracy. Political turmoil, social distress, and economic use all contributed to the 1857 eruption. The British emperors were forced to alter their conquering and annexation policies and embrace a thoughtful and strategic strategy of affiliation and collaboration. The Pitt's India Act, 1784, which established the Company rule and the double government structure of the Board of Control in England and the Company's Court of Directors, was repealed by the Act of 1858. Directly under the Crown was the Indian Administration. The Act established the position of

Secretary of State, a British cabinet minister. His establishment and pay were supported by income from India. A council of fifteen people helped him get acquainted with Indian issues. The British Parliament showed little interest in Indian issues after the East India Company's demise, and the Secretary of State for India assumed the role of India's de facto leader. He had veto authority over the Council's decisions, appointments, and the widely acknowledged superiority of the Home Government over the Government of India. The Indian public's informed opinion has consistently criticised this council's structure and operations [13], [14].

### CONCLUSION

One of the most important chapters in the history of the Indian subcontinent, the legacy of British dominance over Indian politics and administration continues to influence the course of the country. A centralised administrative framework and legal system were introduced during the colonial era, which resulted in some degree of consistency and efficiency. This legacy was not clear-cut, however. The British Empire was marred by the exploitation of India's resources, the widening of economic gaps, and the stoking of racial and religious conflicts. The political and social cohesiveness of India is still threatened by these differences. However, the fight for independence, which was sparked by British colonialism, sparked a passion for self-rule and finally resulted in India's freedom in 1947. India had the difficult challenge of constructing a nation after gaining its independence, modifying and modernising the old administrative and bureaucratic institutions to fit a democratic and varied country. The long-lasting effects of British rule serve as a continual reminder of the Indian people's tenacity and tenacity in overcoming the complicated effects of colonialism in their quest for an equitable and inclusive society. India continues to use the knowledge gained from its imperial past in the modern world. It works to solve the economic and social inequalities left over from the colonial past as well as to nurture democratic principles and social fairness. The legacy of British rule continues to shape India's political and administrative environment as it navigates the possibilities and difficulties of the 21st century, serving as a living memorial to the country's transition from colonialism to self-determination.

### REFERENCES:

- [1] R. A. . Ranasinghe, "Role of Ethnicity in Sri Lankan Politics," *Int. J. Innov. Educ. Res.*, 2014, doi: 10.31686/ijer.vol2.iss12.286.
- [2] C. Mazaffar, "Accommodation and Acceptance of Non-Muslim Communities within the Malaysian Political System," *Am. J. Islam Soc.*, 1996, doi: 10.35632/ajis.v13i1.2349.
- [3] S. AIYER, "FROM COLONIAL SEGREGATION TO POSTCOLONIAL 'INTEGRATION' – CONSTRUCTING ETHNIC DIFFERENCE THROUGH SINGAPORE'S LITTLE INDIA AND THE SINGAPORE 'INDIAN,'" *Post Colon.*, 2006.
- [4] G. Sjöstrand, "The Return of the Gift – European History of a Global Idea," *Eur. Soc.*, 2015, doi: 10.1080/14616696.2013.865065.
- [5] C. H. Heimsath, "III. The Provincial Political Reform Movements," in *Indian Nationalism and Hindu Social Reform*, 2016. doi: 10.1515/9781400877799-005.
- [6] J. A. Laub, "Assessing the servant organization; Development of the Organizational Leadership Assessment (OLA) model. Dissertation Abstracts International," *Procedia - Soc. Behav. Sci.*, 1999.
- [7] A. Radjab, Enny Jam'an, "Metode Penelitian Bisnis," *Syria Stud.*, 2017.

- [8] H. Kim, "Understanding modi and minorities: The BJP-led NDA government in India and religious minorities," *India Rev.*, 2017, doi: 10.1080/14736489.2017.1378482.
- [9] S. Mondal and B. K. Roy, "Information use pattern on sub-subjects of Political Science research: A citation analysis," *Libr. Philos. Pract.*, 2018.
- [10] S. Ruparelia, "Minimum Government, Maximum Governance: The Restructuring of Power in Modi's India," *South Asia J. South Asia Stud.*, 2015, doi: 10.1080/00856401.2015.1089974.
- [11] B. P. Statistik, "Laporan Bulanan Data Sosial Ekonomi Juli 2018," *Badan Pus. Stat.*, 2018.
- [12] S. Siyoto and A. Sodiq, "Dasar Metodologi Penelitian," *Syria Studies*. 2015.
- [13] S. Siyoto and A. Sodik, "Dasar Metode Penelitian," *Syria Stud.*, 2015.
- [14] F. Alejandro Martín and M. J. E. Danner, "Elusive justice: tribal police officers' perception of justice in an American Indian community," *Contemp. Justice Rev. Issues Crim. Soc. Restor. Justice*, 2017, doi: 10.1080/10282580.2017.1307108.



## CHAPTER 4

### INDIANIZATION OF PUBLIC SERVICES

---

Yogesh Chandra Gupta, Assistant Professor  
College of Law, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India,  
Email Id- COGNITOR.YOGESH@GMAIL.COM

#### ABSTRACT:

The term "Indianization of public services" describes a process that changed the make-up and nature of the country's administrative and bureaucratic infrastructure in India after independence. This summary gives a general overview of the idea of "Indianization," along with information on its historical background and importance in relation to nation-building and governance. India began a path of nation-building after achieving independence from British colonial authority in 1947, including all sectors of society, including its public services and administration. The process of Indianization, a conscious attempt to indigenize and diversify the nation's public services, which had been mostly manned by British officers under colonial rule, played a key role in this transition. Indianization entailed the hiring of Indian nationals and the elevation of them into important administrative, clerical, and public service posts. By replacing the colonial heritage with a system that mirrored the nation's unique social, cultural, and linguistic fabric, the project attempted to do just that. Indians' feeling of ownership, representation, and dedication in the governance of their own nation were greatly aided by this change. To guarantee that Indians held important posts in the government, the Indian Civil Services (ICS), a vestige of British control, was reorganized into the Indian Administrative Service (IAS) and the Indian Police Service (IPS). In order to oversee certain facets of the country's growth and administration, All India Services (AIS), which includes the Indian Forest Service (IFS), were founded concurrently. In addition to being an issue of representation, the Indianization of public services was also a reflection of India's democratic values. It aspired to create a responsive and responsible government by better integrating the executive branch with the ambitions and demands of the people. As a consequence, a more diversified collection of administrators with backgrounds from many countries, ethnic groups, and faiths arose. Indianization had a crucial role in strengthening the varied populations of India's feeling of oneness and national identity. It recognised the value of linguistic and regional variety, which was shown in the distribution of civil servant cadre regions, ensuring that officers worked in communities that were acquainted to their language and culture.

#### KEYWORDS:

Acquainted, Civil Services, Indianization, Public Services.

#### INTRODUCTION

The "Indianization of Public Services" is a crucial transition that has changed the structure and nature of India's administrative and bureaucratic machinery since independence. This initiative, which has lasted for decades, aims to diversify and indigenize the civil services, which were long controlled by British officers during the colonial period. This change aims to increase Indians' feeling of ownership, representation, and dedication to running their own country in addition to increasing their sense of representation. The historical background, relevance, and development of the Indianization of public services are all covered in this article. India's history underwent a sea change in 1947 when it was freed from British colonial authority. But founding a country required more than just raising the tricolour and writing a constitution. It included a thorough restructuring of India's administrative and bureaucratic environment, a change known as the "Indianization of Public Services." The

British had constructed a highly centralised administrative organisation throughout the colonial period that fit their needs. The foundation of this system was the Indian Civil Services (ICS), with British officials holding the top administrative posts. Despite often ignoring the many socio-cultural subtleties of the Indian subcontinent, the administrative machinery was created to be effective in serving the colonial overlords [1], [2].

India aimed to liberate itself from this colonial heritage after gaining independence by creating a bureaucracy that was more representative, responsible, and sensitive to the interests of its varied people. A key element in attaining this objective was the Indianization of governmental services. The division of the Indian Civil Service (ICS) into the Indian Administrative Service (IAS) and the Indian Police Service (IPS) was one of the first stages. Indians were guaranteed key posts in the administrative apparatus because to this reorganisation. Additionally, the Indian Forest Service (IFS) and other All India Services (AIS) were established to handle certain development and governance areas. Indianization included more than just replacement. By recognising the significance of linguistic, regional, religious, and cultural differences, it sought to embrace the nation's variety. In order to guarantee that government workers worked in locales acquainted with their language and culture and to increase efficiency and knowledge of regional concerns, cadre regions were formed.

The impact of the Indianization of public services went beyond simple administrative restructuring, however. It was strongly related to the democratic principles of India. By encouraging more inclusion, the approach sought to close the gap between the government and the governed. In a multicultural and heterogeneous society, it was crucial in fostering a feeling of national pride and solidarity. This process of transformation was not without its difficulties and complexity. Although praised, India's variety presented administrative difficulties. Careful planning was necessary to strike a balance between linguistic and regional goals, and affirmative action measures were eventually implemented to remedy past injustices and guarantee representation for Scheduled Castes (SCs), Scheduled Tribes (STs), and Other Backward Classes (OBCs).

The Indianization of public services has developed throughout the years as India has advanced. The demand for increased gender inclusion and more fair representation of marginalised populations has been addressed as a result of its adaptation to shifting social and political circumstances. Despite being crucial for social justice, these affirmative action rules have also improved administrative services by incorporating a variety of viewpoints and experiences. A more responsive and citizen-focused government has also been fostered as a result of the Indianization process. Public officials are better able to solve local problems and close the gap between the government and the populace when they are familiar with the linguistic, cultural, and geographical quirks of their particular regions. The continuous and significant change of India's administration and attempts at nation-building is represented by the Indianization of public services. It alters the administrative system of the country in ways that go beyond simple representation. India's dedication to democratic principles, inclusiveness, and social justice is shown by this. The Indianization of public services is evidence of the country's commitment to fostering good governance in order to build a fairer, equitable, and representative society as it develops as a democratic powerhouse and a varied, dynamic society. This transformational journey is a reflection of India's flexibility, resilience, and commitment to change in order to meet the ever-evolving demands and ambitions of its populace. The establishment of the Indian Civil Service is the most significant legacy of British rule in India. With the Macaulay report's submission in 1854, the concept of a merit-based service first appeared in India. In 1854, the notion of a set examination age also began to take shape.

The concept of having an independent body administer the competitive tests was another significant contribution of British administration. As a result, the Federal Public Service



commission was established in 1926 and given the responsibility of hiring government employees. The British institutionalised the training system. William College was founded in Calcutta in 1880, and it remained there till the end of British administration in India while undergoing several changes and improvements. In an effort to make government officials more approachable to the general public, Indian languages were given priority. Additionally, local laws were emphasised more to help public officials become competent.

### **Secretariat Staffing**

The idea of a central pool for drawing manpower is an evidence of the practical genius of the British. In 1920, on the basis of the recommendations of the Secretariat Procedure Committee (1919), the Government of India made upper division clerks of the secretariat part of an Imperial Secretariat Service and appointed a Central Staff Selection Board to supervise the recruitment process. The board consisted of three persons – an independent Chairman and two members to be nominated one each by the home and education departments. The Public Service Commission in 1926 took up the functions of the Board. The Maxwell Committee of 1937 recommended that the ministerial staff should be divided into two main grades, namely, assistants and clerks. The staffing pattern of the Central Secretariat System is still evident today such as heavy reliance on precedents, incapacity of the lower grades of officials to share responsibility and the practice of excessive record keeping and noting.

### **Pay, Promotion and Transfer**

The British created a sophisticated pay system for public officials. 'Superior' and 'inferior' categories for jobs were established in 1918. Native Indians had some degree of social mobility because to the British-developed promotion system that followed well thought-out guidelines.

Provincial Civil Service Establishment. As was previously indicated, the covenanted civil service was renamed the Indian Civil Service and the covenanted civil service was renamed the Provincial Civil Service based on the Aitchison Commission's recommendations in 1887. The PCS was supposed to be recruited individually in each Indian province and fill the higher positions now held by the Covenanted Service. A distinct Subordinate Service was formed from the Covenanted Service's lowest levels. In order to pack a social justice punch, a component of discrimination was included to the PCS recruiting process [3], [4].

## **DISCUSSION**

The Accountant General of Fort William in Bengal was initially in charge of managing the finances of the Government of India. Later efforts were made to distinguish between the local Bengali accounts and the Indian accounts. The ex-officio accountant general of India with the authority to oversee and investigate Indian finances was appointed in 1846 by the Secretary to the Government of India's finance division. The establishment of a general department of accounting in place of distinct accounts for each president was another crucial step. As a result, the system became integrated. The adoption of the budget system under the terms of the financial resolution of April 7, 1860, was the most significant administrative innovation in this area. According to this method, the Government of India had to organise, analyse, and approve the financial projections for the year before they were put into effect. A central revenue department was established in Calcutta as part of the same resolution to oversee and coordinate the operations of all tax agencies. Also established for the inspection is an imperial audit department. The British also made a significant contribution by introducing paper money for the government in 1860. The Bengal Governor acted as the publisher, issuer, and distributor of the note 1 to 1,000-rupee paper notes were produced. The district treasury was given to the District Collector in 1882.

### **Financial accountability**

In 1919, when the C&AG became answerable to the national assembly, a comprehensive and systematic control mechanism emerged. A central public accounts committee was established

in 1922, composed of elected and appointed members, with the finance member serving as the body's ex-officio head. It took into account the appropriations amounts as reported by the auditor generals. Therefore, the Indian legislative exerted influence over the management of public finances via these two organisations.

### **Law and Order**

The British Act of 1861, which established a structured constabulary system, set the stage for the modern police administration in India. The 1861 British Police Act continues to control the Indian police force today. A new era of reform in police administration started with the adoption of the Police Commission's recommendations (1902-03). The District Magistrate was supposed to minimise his intervention, but the commission did not challenge the DM's authority over the Superintendent of Police. The police commission seldom suggested that the police force should include in its daily administration or in its method of conducting investigations

1. A European service to be recruited entirely in England.
2. A provincial service to be recruited entirely in India
3. An upper subordinate service consisting of inspectors and sub-inspectors
4. A lower subordinate service comprising head constables and constables
5. A province should be divided into ranges, each under a deputy inspector general of Police.

### **Administration of Justice**

The judicial administration sector as well showed strong signs of the administrative ethos of British control. Warren Hastings integrated judicial and financial duties in the Collector's office. It should be emphasised that the Amalguzar had the authority to try certain cases and collect taxes for the Mughals. In 1793, Lord Cornwallis removed the collector's judicial authority by separating the court from the administrative branch with the Cornwallis code. As a result, the groundwork for an independent judiciary was built. The Zillah and municipal courts were at the bottom of the three-tiered system of European judges established by the Cornwallis code, followed by the provincial court of appeal and the Sadar diwani and Nizamat adalat.

### **District Administration**

During their rule over India, the British established the district as an administrative division inside a province. Each of India's "Presidencies," or divisions, was headed by a Governor. The Governor General, who would ultimately become the Viceroy, stood above them. There were several major presidencies. Additionally, the British had a relatively limited group of very competent individuals to run the nation. In such circumstance, they established administrative districts, where the officer in charge of tax collection, which mostly consisted of land income, had the most significant position. He was named the Collector, of course. All officials reported to him, and he eventually reported the provincial administration to the Governor. The Collector was given more authority as the demand developed. He eventually rose to the position of district magistrate across India, where he was in charge of resolving conflicts mostly involving revenue. Another district magistrate was appointed to handle legal issues and report to the Collector. The institution of the Collector was well established and much regarded at the time of Independence. It was integrated into Indian governance. Under William Bentinck's leadership, the district collector, who was given the title of district magistrate and collector, took over the district judge's magisterial responsibilities. The positions of deputy collector were established at the same time to support the district magistrate and collector's offices. No native person could hold the position of district collector until the latter half of the nineteenth century. Although no native person had held

the position of district collector until the very end of the eighteenth century, there was no longer a barrier to entry after competitive exams for the Indian civil services were introduced. The position of district judge was more accessible to local people due to colonial policies than those of district magistrate and collector [5], [6].

### **The Collector in Independent India**

The British Raj brought the customs of district administration to modern-day India. Some of the Mughal institutions in India were handed down to the British authority. Tehsils or Taluka, with minor modifications in various states, make up the district. The district's deputy commissioner, who oversaw the upkeep of law and order during the British era, was the district's most influential official. As the State's responsibilities evolved and their scope expanded over time, these functions were added to the collector's portfolio, which is today known as the Deputy Commissioner. As line departments grew and stationed their own officials in the districts, they fell under the control of the collector, who was almost always from the Indian Administrative Service, the ICS's successor. The income role lost prominence over time, but the importance of the other two functions law and order, as the District Superintendent of Police was placed under the collector and development programs grew. The collector effectively took over as the district's leader. A development bureaucracy, centred on the Block Development Officer, was established with the introduction of the community development project in the mid-1950s. Naturally, the Collector was responsible for these personnel.

A Deputy Collector, or Additional Collector, was appointed to assist the Collector. He served as the collector's liaison with the younger officers. The District Rural Development Authority, the District Urban Development Authority, and other organisations that were established in India all fell under the purview of the Collector. The Balwant Rai Mehta group examined the Community Development Programme in the late 1950s. It was noticed that in order for development programmes to be successful, the local population had to cooperate and voluntarily participate, and only their elected representatives could do this. Many states passed laws based on the recommendations of this committee; they were often referred to as panchayats. However, they were all employees of these organisations and were under the authority of the Collector, who served as their senior officer. The collector took over the role of these bodies' Administrator when the government replaced them a situation that wasn't all that unusual. The collector was, in a very genuine sense, the local government. He was a representative of the state government, which was the only government that existed, and he had a lot of power. No official would make a decision even where delegated power existed without the Collector's approval since everyone reported to him and he was the one with a direct line to the state administration. Without the Collector, not much could be said about district administration. Additionally, the state and federal governments gave him increasing control over additional tasks. This still holds true today.

### **Local Self-government**

While keeping certain elements of the traditional village system, the British made several adjustments to local government. "The people of this country are perfectly capable of administering their own local affairs," reads a Government of India Resolution from 1864. Panchayats were therefore given official legitimacy as tiny courts in Bombay and Madras. The panchayats were also incorporated in the administration of funding for public works, education, and other purposes in 1870 by Mayo's government. In 1882, Lord Ripon, the pioneer of local self-government in India, enacted a new Act that set out a new course of action. The enlargement of the elective component in rural bodies, the decrease in the size of the official component, the election of a non-official to serve as chairman of rural bodies, and financial decentralisation were all suggested in this resolution.

The Decentralisation Commission of 1909 thoroughly researched the issue of local administration and proposed a three-tiered structure made up of:

- (i) The village panchayat or union boards
- (ii) The local tehsil or sub-district boards
- (iii) The district board

Additionally, it advocated for limiting governmental influence over local organisations. Madras had a city municipal corporation established in 1688, while Calcutta and Bombay had theirs in 1726. The 1918 Montague-Chelmsford report on constitutional changes in India backed the idea that local governments should have more authority and outside interference should be reduced. Local self-government was moved to a province and was given to an Indian minister with responsibility as a result of the Act of 1919. The Act of 1935 established provincial autonomy, but because to the Second World War, little further could be done in this area until independence [7], [8].

### **Secretariat Reforms**

The creation of several commissions and committees reflects the British attitude towards change. A pyramidal structure with a secretary at the top and at least two deputy secretaries was suggested by the secretariat process committee in 1919 for each department. With the exception of the finance department, it suggested eliminating the position of undersecretary. The tenure system in place since Lord Curzon's administration in 1905 was reexamined by the Wesley Smith committee in 1920. It was suggested that in order to maintain stability in the secretariat's operations, the term of office for secretary and deputy secretaries should stay set at four years. The Secretarial Committee (1935), also known as the Wheeler committee, investigated the issue of secretarial work delays and proposed the use of double nothings to speed up the process. According to the Maxwell committee (1937), the department secretary should answer to the minister and is the official most qualified to advise the minister. The Tottenham committee (1945–1966) produced a report on the reorganisation of the whole secretarial system, the personnel issue, and the departmental organisation. It suggested that various classes of secretarial officers be given specialised responsibilities, and it established nomenclatures for each grade [9], [10].

### **CONCLUSION**

The amazing and continuing process of the Indianization of public services has had a tremendous influence on India's post-independence attempts at governance and nation-building. This transition has affected the core of the country's character and administrative culture, going beyond simple representation. A feeling of ownership, diversity, and responsibility has been cultivated in India's administrative and bureaucratic machinery as a result of the country's transition from British colonial authority to a system that places a priority on local talent and representation. India wanted to guarantee that its enormous and varied people had a role in the nation's administration as well as to right past wrongs by diversifying the public service. Over time, as the social and political climate of the nation changed, the Indianization of public services changed as well. Additionally, enhancing diversity and inclusivity in jobs in the public sector, it has made accommodations for affirmative action programmes to boost up previously marginalised populations. India's dedication to social justice and equal chances is reflected in these measures. A more responsive and citizen-focused administration has also emerged as a result of the Indianization process. Public employees that are knowledgeable with the geographical, linguistic, and cultural quirks of their particular regions are better able to solve local problems and act as efficient go-betweens for the populace and the government. In essence, the Indianization of public services has played a significant role in strengthening a feeling of national unity despite India's amazing variety in addition to redefining the makeup of

administrative entities. It reaffirms the country's dedication to democratic principles, inclusiveness, and social justice. The Indianization of public services is evidence of India's commitment to fostering good governance in order to build a fairer, egalitarian, and representative society as it advances as a democratic superpower and a varied, dynamic country.

## REFERENCES:

- [1] W. Ernst, *Colonialism and transnational psychiatry: The development of an Indian mental hospital in British India, c. 1925-1940*. 2012.
- [2] "Russia's Policies towards Foreign Investment," 2005. doi: 10.1787/iip-2004-3-en.
- [3] S. Kelly and C. Noonan, "The doing of datafication (And what this doing does): Practices of edification and the enactment of new forms of sociality in the indian public health service," *J. Assoc. Inf. Syst.*, 2017, doi: 10.17705/1jais.00477.
- [4] V. Gupta, S. Chopra, and R. K. Kakani, "Leadership competencies for effective public administration: a study of Indian Administrative Service officers," *J. Asian Public Policy*, 2018, doi: 10.1080/17516234.2017.1353942.
- [5] N. Sharma, A. Venkat Raman, S. Dhaked, and P. Kumar, "Human resource challenges in indian public health services," *South Asian J. Hum. Resour. Manag.*, 2016, doi: 10.1177/2322093716677414.
- [6] R. Kant and D. Jaiswal, "The impact of perceived service quality dimensions on customer satisfaction: An empirical study on public sector banks in India," *Int. J. Bank Mark.*, 2017, doi: 10.1108/IJBM-04-2016-0051.
- [7] J. Sharma, H. H. Leslie, M. Regan, D. Nambiar, and M. E. Kruk, "Can India's primary care facilities deliver? A cross-sectional assessment of the Indian public health system's capacity for basic delivery and newborn services," *BMJ Open*, 2018, doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-020532.
- [8] "Aadhaar becomes increasingly key to Indian public service delivery," *Biometric Technol. Today*, 2013, doi: 10.1016/s0969-4765(13)70061-4.
- [9] A. Ghosh and K. Kalra, "Institutional and Financial Strengthening of Intermediate Public Transport Services in Indian Cities," in *Transportation Research Procedia*, 2016. doi: 10.1016/j.trpro.2016.05.063.
- [10] V. Tripathi, A. Kumar, and G. Nema, "Measuring Service Quality in Indian Public Transport Ssystem: A Comprehensive Review," *Asia-Pacific Mark. Rev.*, 2012.

## CHAPTER 5

### BRIEF DIALOG ON PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION AND CONSTITUTION

---

Pradip Kumar Kashyap, Assistant Professor  
College of Law, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India,  
Email Id- pradiprgnul@gmail.com

#### ABSTRACT:

A crucial and complex component of democratic governance is the interaction between the government and the constitution. This summary gives a general overview of how the constitution and public administration interact, examining how constitutional concepts influence administrative practices and how the latter, in turn, maintain and carry out the principles of the constitution. Public administration is crucial to converting constitutional ideals into workable government in democratic countries. The constitution establishes the basic principles, rights, and institutional framework of the state and acts as the highest law of the nation. On the other hand, it is up to public administration to apply these basic tenets to how government is run on a daily basis. The organisations and operation of public administration are significantly influenced by constitutional ideas including the separation of powers, federalism, and the protection of individual rights. For instance, the executive, legislative, and judicial departments of government each have specific responsibilities under the concept of the separation of powers. This separation must be navigated by public administration to make sure that each branch functions within its legal restrictions. The link between public administration and the constitution is further complicated by federalism, another important constitutional feature in many democracies. It involves a separation of responsibilities between the national and local governments, requiring collaboration and coordination between various administrative organisations. While adhering to the constitutional framework, public administration must strike a balance between the sometimes conflicting demands of the federal and state governments. A fundamental component of democratic constitutions is the preservation of individual rights and freedoms. It is the responsibility of public administration to uphold these rights in all of its decisions and activities. When implementing laws and regulations, administrative agencies are required to follow due process, equal protection, and other constitutional protections.

#### KEYWORDS:

Circumstance, Constitutional Protections, Independence, Political Masters.

#### INTRODUCTION

With the arrival of independence, this environment and circumstance underwent a dramatic transformation. The rise of the Indian politician as a competent ruler was the most significant new element. The administrative hierarchy's senior civil workers were forced to serve Indian Ministers rather than the English Crown as their employer. The condition of government employees and the way the administrative system operated may have undergone a significant shift as a result. However, the actual impact of this adjustment was little. It was partly because Indian leaders, like Jawaharlal Nehru, were not concerned with negatively affecting the authority and stature of the administrative system and, in particular, of the top operators. Members of the Indian Civil Service were promised a variety of advantages and Nehru clearly had no desire to alter their status in any manner. Nevertheless, the privileged positions of the top civil employees were increasingly undermined by the exercise of political power by



Ministers and then by lawmakers, and they were forced to become more and more adept at managing their political masters.

As a result, the relationship between the top civil service cadres and other administrative components changed gradually. The shift in circumstances was most significant in that the public servant was no longer able to see himself as an outsider or as a representative of an external authority. He gradually started to integrate himself more and more into Indian culture. Although the line between rulers and the ruled did not fully disappear, it did become more clear how involved government workers were in local, national, and international politics as well as their caste and area ties. However, the time of transition has not yet ended, and remnants of the previous bureaucracy continue to exist in major part until now. The Indian civil servant, or "generalist," and his successor, the I.A.S., continue to utterly dominate the scene today. There is a huge concentration of power at the top; new parts of specialists or business managers still have a low status and level of authority. In the administration, the I.C.S. and the I.A.S. continue to have an exclusive position. The ancient psychology of antagonism is still heavily present in both the public's and officials' views towards the public, which has had a negative impact on the plans for development. An essential aspect of the local administrative system that permeates every aspect of its functioning is the British notion of the single British District Officer or Collector, who has the coordination of all power in all departments over an entire region. Today, the civil service has a lot of powerful influence. However, the absence of the previous balance of authority and accountability is a very significant factor [1], [2].

The senior group of civil service employees took more duty under the previous government, when power and responsibility were primarily concentrated in their hands. They always acted with a complete feeling of responsibility since they were ultimately answerable to the Crown for the effective handling of Indian affairs. Since the political Minister is intended to directly wield all authority, the civil worker no longer feels this kind of responsibility. Therefore, the peculiar scenario that exists at the moment is that, despite the fact that the public servant really exercises the authority on a daily basis, the civil servant no longer feels any overall responsibility. This, in his opinion, is the responsibility of the Ministers, who in part act on the counsel of the civil officials themselves. The advice is likely to be disregarded in certain situations since this exercise, particularly when it comes to personal or party affairs, may be based on factors other than those the civil servant has accepted or has put out. The public servant no longer appears to feel as if they are bearing the bulk of the administrative load as a result of this adjustment. As a result, the cabinet or an inner group of ministers have not taken over this duty in any completely effective way. The informal activities of a group of senior civil workers as generally overseeing the whole issue have also disappeared. In contrast to this, one must take into account the appropriateness and relevance of India's current administrative structure to the unique demands of the attempt at planned economic growth. Aside from the outcome of the transition to self-rule after independence, planned economic growth has greatly increased the scope of government endeavour. The scope, depth, and intensity of government activity have all significantly grown. Today, the government's direct responsibilities include a wide range of areas in social and economic life.

The government assumes responsible for building the infrastructure of public services and utilities necessary for the growth of a modern industrial society and for ongoing maintenance of that infrastructure. Additionally, it engages in a wide range of charitable endeavours and runs several industrial manufacturing enterprises that are essential to the industrial framework. The government controls significant portions of commerce and attempts to control many other facets of social and economic life. The number of administrators at different levels needed in the government has greatly expanded, and the credentials required of them have become very diverse. The difference between now and, say, 1939 is quite obvious. The scope of direct government action and official control of socioeconomic activity has greatly expanded, and the way operations are carried out has also grown very precise.

The reform and activation of rural economic society is a massive challenge that the government has embarked on. This has an impact on the millions of tiny, scattered agricultural and rural activity units. Agriculture development and improvement are fundamentally specialist jobs that must be carried out in regard to every location.

In some states during the British era, revenue collection was correlated with the upkeep of thorough village records and village officials. However, the rural administrative framework in the provinces of the landlord was rather primitive. An administrative system for controlling or guiding the activities of specific local communities was not provided for a significant portion of the nation at the time of independence, it can be stated by looking at the area of the landlord's provinces and of the Indian States. This needed to be built, expanded, and fortified. Planning calls for ongoing maintenance of a long-term and coordinated direction of socio-economic growth, in addition to executing several activities and activating or controlling economic life throughout the nation. Due to the size, variety, and federal political system of the nation as well as its great importance and difficulty, these tasks have only become more tough and crucial. All these objectives must be considered in administration. Thus, it will become clear that there is still a significant amount of territory to be covered between the administrative system needs of the anticipated growth today and the very constrained government activities of the British era for which the specific structure was designed. First and foremost, it must be acknowledged that the Indian administrative system has expanded quickly, partially adapted to the new environment, and made an effort to meet the vast number of new requirements. Inefficiency and corruption have not decreased, but overall there has not been a breakdown of the system. However, there are still significant issues that need immediate attention [3], [4].

## DISCUSSION

The Indian Constitution is a complex text that goes beyond only outlining the fundamental governing bodies, their roles, and connections. It is also a type of administration hand-book, defining the subjects of administrative significance. The Indian Administrative Service and India Police Service are already recognised as two all-India services, with the Indian Forest Service being added subsequently. It also specifies the method for developing new all-India services. It focuses on issues such as hiring and working conditions for individuals working for the Union or a state, the length of public employees' tenures, and the removal or lowering of public employees' ranks. The Rajya Sabha is responsible for driving the construction of All-India services. For the establishment of one or more all-India services, this chamber must adopt a resolution with a minimum two-thirds vote of those in attendance. With this information, Parliament must enact legislation mandating their establishment. The provision relating the all-India services is created under Article 312 Article 311 of the Constitution affects all the members of the public service and refers to their dismissal, removal or decrease in rank. According to this Article, no public worker may be fired, demoted, or lowered in rank before a hearing at which he has been made aware of the allegations against him and has had a fair chance to respond. This constitutional clause is thought to provide government officials an excessive amount of job security. Its harshness has reportedly been lessened, as per a recent Supreme Court ruling that was previously mentioned in a previous chapter. In addition, the Indian Constitution lists a few organisations whose existence may be justified by the society's solemn resolve to bestow upon them the greatest degree of dignity and reputation. They may operate impartially and independently with no interference from the Executive according to provisions in the Constitution. The following authorities were formed under the Indian Constitution, which the Indian Constituent Assembly approved:

1. Comptroller and Auditor-General of India
2. Union (and State) Public Service Commission
3. Election Commission
4. Attorney General of India



### 5. Commissioner for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes.

Today (2000), the constitutionally-anointed bodies are much more and the more important ones are listed below:

1. Union Public Service Commission
2. Comptroller and Auditor -General of India
3. Election Commission
4. Attorney General of India
5. National Commission for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes
6. Administration Tribunals (Art. 323 and Art. 323B)
7. Commission for Linguistic Minorities in India
8. Finance Commission
9. State Finance Commission
10. National Commission for Women
11. National Commission for Backward Classes.

The following is an explanation of their roles: In several nations, particularly those inspired by British administrative practises, like India, a public service commission has been envisioned in order to depoliticize the recruitment and selection of candidates, their advancement, and to guarantee objective punishment. The Union Public Service Commission is a multi-member organisation that was specifically created to work impartially and independently of the government. According to established laws and for certain kinds of services, the Commission, which is a body responsible for hiring public officials, is also consulted in matters involving promotions and disciplinary action taken against them. The task of recruiting is accomplished using two techniques: conducting competitive written exams and conducting interviews. A public service commission is also provided for at the state level under the Constitution. There are non-governmental commissions that are equally autonomous as those in government [5], [6].

Another supporter of democracy in India is the Comptroller and Auditor-General of India. He is chosen by the Indian President with his approval and seal. The independence of the Comptroller and Auditor General of India is protected by the following constitutional provisions:

1. The CAG can be removed from office only on grounds of proven misbehaviour or incapacity after an address by both the houses of Parliament.
2. His salary and other conditions of service cannot be varied to his disadvantage after he is appointed.
3. He is not eligible for further office under the Government of India or of any state after he quits office.
4. His administrative powers and conditions of service of persons serving in his department are prescribed by rules made by the President only after consulting him.
5. The administrative expenses of his office are 'charged' upon the Consolidated Fund of India and as such are not subject to vote of Parliament

The CAG audits the accounts and transactions of the government of India and the state governments. This audit covers the following aspects:

1. audit of expenditure
2. audit of receipts
3. audit of stores and stocks
4. certification audit
5. audit of commercial accounts

**6. Audit of autonomous bodies and authorities Elections are to democracy as oxygen is to life.**

The importance of free and fair elections cannot be overstated. The Election Commission is envisioned in the Constitution as a means of ensuring this, and it is under its supervision and control that elections for the President and Vice-President of India, as well as for the Parliament and state legislatures, are conducted. The Election Commission is made up of a chief election commissioner and any other commissioners that the President may nominate. Currently, there are three commissioners. The National Commission for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes took the role of the Commissioner for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes established according to Article 338 of the Constitution in 1990. It is a multi-member body that the President, acting with his hand and seal, appoints. The National Commission for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes looks into, monitors, and provides recommendations for the socioeconomic development of all subjects relevant to the protections given for the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes under the Constitution or any other legislation. Every year, the National Commission sends a report to the legislature. The Constitution was revised in 1976 to provide provisions for the creation of administrative tribunals to resolve disagreements and grievances over the hiring of and working conditions for public employees. But the Central Administrative Tribunal was first established in 1985. Its duties include resolving issues involving the hiring process and employment terms for central and all-India government employees [7], [8].

The Central Administrative Tribunal is a multi-member body with members with experience in both administration and the judiciary. The Constitution assigns the Attorney-General of India additional legal responsibilities, and he is chosen by the President to advise the Government of India on such topics as well. The President appoints the Attorney General to his or her position. There should be a Special Officer for Linguistic Minorities, who shall be nominated by the President, according to Article 350B of the Constitution, which was adopted in 1956. The Special Officer looks into all issues pertaining to the protections afforded to linguistic minorities under the Constitution and submits reports to the President at intervals that the President may specify. The Finance Commission is established every five years to propose a revenue split between the Union and the states as well as to provide recommendations about the guidelines for grants to the latter. Although the Constituent Assembly advocated income sharing between the federal government and the states, it did not see the need of a similar arrangement between state governments and their local governments. The 73rd and 74th constitutional amendments were approved in 1992, completing the symmetry. With the authority to suggest revenue-sharing between the state government and local governments, including rural and municipal, these changes establish a state finance commission. Every five years, the state finance commission is chosen. The National Finance Commission is obligated to take its report into consideration.

Two more institutions that, although not being explicitly stated in the Constitutions, are crucial to India's pursuit of social fairness must be included here. These statutory organisations are addressed more below. The National Commission for Women was founded in 1992 under the terms of the 1990 National Commission for Women Act. It comprises six additional members, including a member-secretary, and is chaired by the chairman. The chairwoman and all of the members are female. This body is tasked with a wide range of duties. It examines the constitutional provisions and other laws' legal protections for women and offers suggestions on how to put such provisions into practise. It investigates complaints, takes suo moto notice of situations involving the denial of women's rights, and raises the concerns with the relevant authorities. It examines detention facilities for women, such as prisons and remand houses, and makes recommendations for improvement. Additionally, it offers guidance on the process of planning for women's socioeconomic growth and assesses

the results of such planning. According to the Supreme Court's instructions, the National Commission for Backward Classes Act was passed by Parliament in 1993 to create a permanent body at the Centre to consider requests for inclusion and complaints of over- and under-inclusion in the list of OBCs (other backward classes). In August 1993, the National Commission for Backward Classes was established. Here, only constitutionally recognised standing bodies are discussed. Therefore, organisations like the Official Language Commission and the Backward Classes Commission, which have sometimes been established, are not taken into consideration [9], [10].

### CONCLUSION

Democratic governance is based on the complex interrelationship between the constitution and public administration. This dynamic interaction brings to light the crucial role that public administration plays in incorporating the values and principles stated in the constitution into routine governance procedures as well as its crucial role in preserving the principles enshrined in the constitution. Public administration is created and runs on constitutional ideas, including the separation of powers, federalism, and the preservation of individual rights. These guidelines must be followed by public officials to ensure that policies are consistent with the legal framework. A careful balance between the three parts of government, adherence to the federal-state dynamic, and protection of individual rights are also required. On the other hand, maintaining the constitution is crucially dependent on public management. In accordance with constitutional guidelines, administrative agencies are in charge of carrying out legislation, providing public services, and regulating numerous industries. Government employees have the responsibility of ensuring that policies are consistent with democratic principles, the rule of law, and the protection of individual rights. They are the guardians of the constitution. The function of public administration within the framework of the constitution has grown in importance in the ever-evolving world of today, when democratic institutions are confronted with complicated difficulties and shifting social expectations. Administrative authorities must carefully analyse the constitutional implications of matters like privacy, national security, and environmental preservation. In the end, the link between government and the constitution acts as a pillar of democratic governance, ensuring that the ideals and ideas expressed in the constitution are not just words on paper but are sustained and realised in the everyday lives of people. It supports the notion that public administration is more than just an administrative duty; it is a crucial tenet of democratic governance that protects individual rights and preserves the credibility of democratic institutions. This interconnectedness underlines the need of a strong public administration that is responsive, responsible, and committed to upholding the rule of law and democratic principles within a legal framework.

### REFERENCES:

- [1] A. Hudson, "When Does Public Participation Make a Difference? Evidence From Iceland's Crowdsourced Constitution," *Policy and Internet*, 2018, doi: 10.1002/poi3.167.
- [2] A. Shupitskaya, "The Constitution and Public Administration," *Public Governance, Adm. Financ. Law Rev.*, 2018, doi: 10.53116/pgaf.2018.2.7.
- [3] N. I. Abdelgabar, "International Law and Constitution Making Process: The Right to Public Participation in the Constitution Making Process in Post Referendum Sudan," *Verfassung R. und Übersee*, 2013, doi: 10.5771/0506-7286-2013-2-131.
- [4] M. W. Spicer, "Public Administration and the Constitution: A Conflict in World-Views," *Am. Rev. Public Adm.*, 1994, doi: 10.1177/027507409402400105.

- [5] A. Schrock and S. Close, "Expertise and the constitution of publics," *Commun. Public*, 2017, doi: 10.1177/2057047317722798.
- [6] D. J. Solove, "Access and Aggregation: Privacy, Public Records, and the Constitution," *SSRN Electron. J.*, 2005, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.283924.
- [7] M. De Lurdes Rodrigues and P. E. Adão Silva, "A constituição e as políticas públicas em Portugal," *Sociologia, Problemas e Práticas*, 2016. doi: 10.7458/SPP2016NE10347.
- [8] T. Iida and T. Matsubayashi, "Constitutions and public support for welfare policies," *Soc. Sci. Q.*, 2010, doi: 10.1111/j.1540-6237.2010.00680.x.
- [9] J. Přibán, "Constitutional imaginaries and legitimation: On potentia, potestas, and auctoritas in societal constitutionalism," *J. Law Soc.*, 2018, doi: 10.1111/jols.12118.
- [10] L. Hansen, "Theorizing the image for security studies: Visual securitization and the Muhammad Cartoon Crisis," *Eur. J. Int. Relations*, 2011, doi: 10.1177/1354066110388593.

## CHAPTER 6

### SALIENT FEATURES OF INDIAN CONSTITUTION

---

Dal Chandra, Assistant Professor  
College of Law, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India,  
Email Id- degautambahjoi@yahoo.co.in

#### ABSTRACT:

The Indian Constitution is a magnificent text that establishes the framework for the biggest democracy in the world. It incorporates a number of key characteristics that set it apart from previous constitutions and represent India's diversity and dynamic existence. This summary gives a general overview of some of the Indian Constitution's most important aspects while underlining its dedication to social justice, diversity, and democracy. Adopted on January 26, 1950, the Indian Constitution is a monument to the goals and ambitions of a country that had just gained independence. Its dedication to democracy is one of its most salient characteristics. India is a federal, parliamentary, and representative democracy, not simply a democracy. The Constitution provides a government that is run by, for, and of the people, with regular free and fair elections and adult suffrage as its cornerstones. The Fundamental Rights and Directive Principles of State Policy are another noteworthy aspect. The Constitution provides its residents a wide range of basic rights, such as the freedom of speech and expression, the right to equality, and protection against discrimination. Individual liberties and freedoms are protected by these rights. The Directive Principles of State Policy also provide the government direction on how to pursue initiatives that advance social justice, economic welfare, and the general welfare of the populace. The dedication to secularism in the Indian Constitution is noteworthy. It guarantees that the state maintains its religious neutrality and preserves the idea of treating all faiths equally. The Constitution upholds the freedom of religion and the right to practise, profess, and promote any religion.

#### KEYWORDS:

Constitution, Indian Constitution, Economic Welfare, Social Justice.

#### INTRODUCTION

The Indian Constitution, which was ratified on January 26, 1950, is a unique and thorough legal constitution that establishes the framework for the biggest democracy in the world. Its distinguishing characteristics capture the spirit of India's vibrant, varied nation and reflect the ambitions and ideals of its people. The main characteristics that set the Indian Constitution apart and make it a unique and lasting instrument in the history of democratic government are summarised in this introduction. India's devotion to democracy, justice, liberty, and equality is reflected in its constitution. It serves as a ray of hope for a civilization that overcame colonial oppression's hardships and attempted to forge its own course towards development and inclusion. Its key characteristics include a broad spectrum of beliefs and ideals that have influenced India's democratic development for more than 70 years.

The Indian Constitution is fundamentally a celebration of democracy. It certifies India as a sovereign, socialist, secular, and democratic republic, guaranteeing that the people have the ultimate authority. Its founding principles include universal adult suffrage, frequent free and fair elections, and representative democracy, which define it as a government "of the people, by the people, and for the people." Another distinguishing trait of the Constitution is its dedication to social justice. Through affirmative action policies, such as reservations for Scheduled Castes (SCs), Scheduled Tribes (STs), and Other Backward Classes (OBCs) in different aspects of society, it tries to right past wrongs and empower marginalised

populations. The Indian Constitution is distinguished by its dedication to equality and inclusion. The Indian Constitution also supports federalism and acknowledges the value of a dual system of governance with powers shared between the federal and state administrations. While maintaining the unity and integrity of the country, it promotes collaboration and coordination between different governmental levels [1], [2].

A notable characteristic is secularism, which guarantees that the state maintains its objectivity on religious issues and that all faiths are given the same respect and protection. The freedom of religion guaranteed by the Constitution permits people to adhere to, proclaim, and spread any religion. The Indian Constitution places a strong emphasis on diversity, which reflects the wide variety of languages, cultures, and traditions found throughout the nation. With 22 recognised official languages, it preserves linguistic variety by enabling various areas to utilise their native tongues for official reasons. Through a thorough list of Fundamental Rights, the Constitution also guarantees the preservation of personal freedoms and rights. These rights, which guarantee the preservation of individual liberty, include the right to equality, the freedom of speech and expression, and protection against discrimination. The government is also directed under the Directive Principles of State Policy to pursue programmes that promote social justice, economic development, and human wellbeing. Although not legally binding, these guidelines act as a guide for good governance. The Indian Constitution is amendable since it is a living document, but this process is carefully planned to guarantee that fundamental constitutional principles are upheld. The key components of the Indian Constitution embody the heart of India's democratic experience. They demonstrate the country's dedication to diversity, social justice, democracy, and secularism. These characteristics have helped India on its path to democracy throughout time, allowing it to adapt to changing conditions while retaining the fundamental values that underpin its vibrant and diversified democracy [3], [4].

## DISCUSSION

The administration of independent India became the means by which the goals outlined in our constitution, which took effect on January 26, 1950, were accomplished. The Indian government is dedicated to upholding the high principles of social, economic, and political justice as well as equality, liberty, unanimity, and brotherhood outlined in the constitution's preamble. Two opposing ideologies, liberal capitalist and communist, were not only available as guiding factors in the analysis of the compulsion and needs or requirements of the various political systems in relation to social and economic development, but also actively attempting to influence the new nations on the basis of theory and practise. The liberal world suggested that by distributing money from cities, the might be developed or encouraged. On the other hand, the less developed nations of the communist world had progressed rather quickly and had been able to provide social justice to their people to a substantial extent while not being compelled to worry about individual freedom. The Indian leadership was forced to follow one of these directions or create their own.

There were significant ideological disagreements among the patriotic elite. The three primary schools of thinking were as follows. The Gandhian Ideals stood for the first. He proposed a decentralised system based on local panchayats, which he said was more in keeping with native custom. Gandhi favoured cottage industry above heavy industry in order to decentralise the economy of the nation and build it in the future on economically independent rural communities. Socialists and communities was the second primary institution. Communists want to undermine Gandhian principles. Leftists could be divided into two groups: those who believed in a complete Marxist reconstruction of society and wanted to abolish the feudal system; those who supported egalitarianism and to some extent opposed the concept of private property; and those who believed in peaceful change without



unnecessary conflict. The second category was more visible and involved in the Constituent Assembly. Right-wing organisation headed by Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel comprised the third major school. He advocated for a governmental structure that fosters the expansion and unhindered expansion of private industry. After all these battles, India approved a new constitution to speed up its social, economic, and political development. Let's now examine the key components of the Indian constitution.

1. Longhiest constitution in the world.
2. Sovereignty resides in the people
3. Parliamentary form of Government.
4. Unique blend of rigidity and flexibility.
5. Fundamental Rights.
6. Directive principles of the state policy.
7. Quasi – federal in nature.
8. Adult suffrage.
9. Independence of Judiciary
10. Judicial Review
11. Fundamental duties
12. Sovereign.
13. Democracy.
14. Republic.
15. Secular.
16. Single citizenship.
17. Uniformity in Basic Administration.
18. Revolutionary.
19. Lawyer's paradise.
20. Judicial Review and Parliamentary Sovereignty Compromised

## **Legislature**

The Parliament of India is the highest legislative body. According to Article 79 of the Indian Constitution, the Council of Parliament of the Union is made up of the President and the two Houses known as the Rajya Sabha and the Lok Sabha. Either House of Parliament may be called to order by the President, as well as the Lok Sabha. Within six months of its preceding session, each House is required to reconvene. In certain circumstances, two Houses may meet together. The Legislature's primary duties include supervising administration, approving the budget, airing public complaints, and debating numerous issues such national policy, foreign relations, and development plans. In accordance with the method outlined in the Indian Constitution, the Parliament is also empowered to impeach the President, dismiss judges of the Supreme Court and High Courts, the Chief Election Commissioner, and the Comptroller and Auditor General. Each piece of legislation must get approval from both Houses of Parliament. The Indian Parliament has the authority to propose revisions to the Constitution [5], [6].

## **Executive**

The President is both the Supreme Commander-in-Chief of the armed forces and the Executive Head of the State. According to Article 74(1) of the Indian Constitution, the President must be assisted and advised by a Council of Ministers, whose chairman shall be the Prime Minister. The Prime Minister, Cabinet Ministers, Governors of States and Union Territories, Supreme Court and High Court Judges, Ambassadors, and other diplomatic officials are all chosen by the President. When the Parliament is not in session, the President is also permitted to issue Ordinances that have the same legal effect as a Parliamentary Act.

Before making any executive decisions, the President must confer with the Prime Minister and the Council of Ministers. It is crucial to remember that the Prime Minister and the Council of Ministers, collectively referred to as the "Cabinet" and made up of representatives of the ruling political party or alliance, are both elected members of Parliament. As a result, by custom, the legislative and executive authority of the Centre rests in their hands. The federal entities, or the States, are organised similarly to the Centre in terms of state administrative wings and legislatures (often referred to as the "State Legislature"). Although the Chief Minister and his or her council of ministers have the actual authority in this situation, the Governor is the head of the executive. In India, there are certain areas that are not States but rather are Union Territories, and the Centre has direct control over these areas. The Union and the States are to have separate legislative and administrative authority, according to the Indian Constitution. Defence, railroads, marine, interstate commerce, aviation, banking, and other fields are within the purview of the Centre (Union List), whilst public order, law enforcement, agriculture, and other fields under the purview of the States (State List). There is a third classification of list, known as the Concurrent List. It encompasses topics like criminal law and procedure, economic and social planning, trusts, bankruptcy, etc., over which both the Centre and the States have legislative and executive authority; nevertheless, in cases of dispute between the two, the Centre's viewpoint is taken into consideration [7], [8].

### **Judiciary**

The British legal system put in place by the English in the middle of the 19th century is still in use in India today. India was ruled by rules based on the Arthashastra, which dates from 400 BC, and the Manusmriti, which dates from 100 AD, before the advent of the Europeans. However, even today, the impact of the British system is evident in the fact that India is classified as having a common law system, despite the fact that these famous treatises in India were works that were regarded authoritative legal counsel. The common law system is responsible for the country's procedural and substantive legislation, as well as the design and operation of the judicial system. The Indian judiciary is a stand-alone institution that operates independently from the country's legislative and executive branches of government. In India, the judiciary gives the populace the "auxiliary precaution" they need to make sure that the government works in their best interests, for their uplift, and for the advancement of society. India's legal system is organised into four fundamental tiers. The Supreme Court is the highest court in India and is located in New Delhi. According to the Indian Constitution, it is responsible for protecting and interpreting the document. The Supreme Court is followed by High Courts at the State level, District Courts at the District level, and Lok Adalats at the village and panchayat level. The "Fundamental Rights" of the citizen are protected by Part III of the Constitution, and it is the Supreme Court and High Courts' specific constitutional duty to uphold these rights.

### **Supreme Court**

The Supreme Court has jurisdiction in original cases, appeals, and advisory matters. Any dispute between the Centre and a State or between States, as well as issues involving the enforcement of an individual's basic rights, are within its exclusive original jurisdiction. A certificate issued by the High Court in question may be used to request the Supreme Court's appellate jurisdiction with regard to any judgement, decree, or final order of a High Court that involves significant legal issues pertaining to the interpretation of the Constitution in both civil and criminal cases. All courts and tribunals in the nation must follow Supreme Court rulings, which also serve as models for lesser courts. All Indian courts must adhere to the Supreme Court's ruling as the law under Article 141 of the Constitution.

### **High Courts**

The States in which the High Courts are situated are subject to their authority. In India, there are now 23 high courts.<sup>1</sup> However, the Bombay (Mumbai) High Court, the Guwahati High Court, and the High Courts of Punjab and Haryana each have jurisdiction over several States. For instance, Mumbai, the state capital of Maharashtra, is home to the Bombay High Court. However, it also has jurisdiction over the Union Territories of Dadra and Nagar Haveli as well as the States of Maharashtra and Goa. A few High Courts have original jurisdiction and the ability to trial cases, while highest Courts may only exercise writ and appellate authority. All subordinate courts in the State that the High Court has jurisdiction over must abide by its rulings.

### **District Courts**

In India, district courts handle court cases that arise at the district level. These courts, which are presided over by a judge, are administrated and adjudicated by the High Courts of the individual States to which the District belongs. The separate High Courts are superior to the District Courts. The State's High Court hears all appeals in civil cases from the District Courts. This level also has a large number of subordinate courts that report to the District Courts. Both the court of the Chief Judicial Magistrate and the court of the Civil Judge exist. The latter examines criminal cases and crimes while the former handles civil issues [9].

### **Lower Courts**

Munsif's Courts and Small Causes Courts are two terms for inferior courts that exist in various States (below the District Courts). These courts can only hear cases with a modest amount at stake and only have original jurisdiction. As a result, Presidency Small Causes Courts are unable to hear a lawsuit if the sum sought exceeds Rs 2,000. Civil courts, however, may have unrestricted financial jurisdiction in several States. The success in competitive exams given by the several States' Public Service Commission's determines the appointment of judges to these courts [10], [11].

### **Alternate Dispute Resolution (ADR) in India**

The emergence of volunteer organisations

known as Lok Adalats (Peoples' Courts) is an intriguing aspect of the Indian judicial system. The Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987 governs these forums, which use techniques like conciliation and negotiation to settle disputes. Each Lok Adalat decision is considered to be a civil court ruling and is enforceable against the disputing parties. One of the most effective methods for resolving international economic conflicts is alternative dispute resolution (ADR). In India, legislation governing conflict resolution have sometimes been changed to support quick dispute settlement in line with the times. To reduce the mounting backlog of cases awaiting trial, the judiciary has also promoted out-of-court settlements. Organisations like the International Centre for Alternate Dispute Resolution (ICADR) and the Indian Council of Arbitration (ICA) were founded to successfully apply the ADR methodology. With its headquarters in New Delhi, the ICADR is an independent body that works with the Ministry of Law & Justice of the Government of India to promote and advance ADR tools and procedures in India. The top arbitral institution in the country is ICA, which was founded in 1965. The ICA's primary goal is to encourage the rapid and peaceful arbitration of industrial and commercial disputes. Additionally, the Arbitration Act of 1940 was abolished, and The Arbitration and Conciliation Act of 1996 replaced it with a modern, efficient arbitration system. This legislation is based on the International Commercial Arbitration Council model developed by the United Nations Commission on International Trade legislation (UNCITRAL). Likewise, the Legal Services Authorities Act of 1987 has sometimes been revised to support the employment of ADR techniques in order to increase the effectiveness of the ADR mechanism and bring it into line with the demanding social

environment. Conciliation, mediation, and pre-trial settlement techniques have been added to Section 89 of the Code of Civil Procedure as revised in 2002 for the purpose of efficient conflict resolution. As efficient alternative dispute-resolution techniques, mediation, conciliation, negotiation, mini trials, consumer forums, Lok Adalats, and Banking Ombudsman have already gained acceptance and recognition [12], [13].

### CONCLUSION

The key components of the Indian Constitution stand as a tribute to the variety, ambitions, and ideals of the greatest democracy in the world. These elements, which the Constitution's authors carefully and thoughtfully crafted, serve as the foundation for India's democratic structure. The Indian Constitution, at its heart, reflects a strong dedication to democracy, making sure that the people own the power and that it is exercised via free and fair elections. Through a comprehensive list of Fundamental Rights, it upholds the rights and liberties of people while protecting the freedoms of every person. In addition, it puts a lot of focus on social justice, working to correct past injustices and advance disadvantaged groups in society. The Indian Constitution's federal system balances the authority between the national and state governments, promoting collaboration and unity despite diversity. Its secular nature guarantees that all faiths are treated equally and upholds the state's neutrality in questions of religion, fostering peace among varied populations. The Constitution also establishes a number of official languages in order to recognise and promote the linguistic and cultural diversity of India. It also permits changes to reflect evolving conditions while preserving the fundamental ideas and values it entrenched. The Indian Constitution has shown to be a living, flexible instrument that reflects the changing needs and ambitions of the country throughout the years. It has managed to stay relevant and resilient while navigating the difficulties presented by a large and varied society. Essentially, the key components of the Indian Constitution have been crucial in guiding the country's democratic development, protecting the rule of law, and promoting an inclusive and fair society. These characteristics remain a compass, ensuring that the goals of democracy, social justice, secularism, and diversity continue to define the nation's identity and ambitions as India advances and faces new difficulties.

### REFERENCES:

- [1] B. Chakrabarty and R. Pandey, "Salient Features of the Indian Constitution," in *Indian Government and Politics*, 2014. doi: 10.4135/9788132100584.n1.
- [2] P. S. Akhila, "A Conceptual Study on GST – A Substitute to Indirect Taxes in India," *Int. J. Curr. Res. Multidiscip.*, 2017.
- [3] "Political Dimension of Indian Federal System: An Evaluation," *Int. Res. J. Eng. IT Sci. Res.*, 2016, doi: 10.21744/irjeis.v2i7.116.
- [4] D. Goswami, "'Women Empowerment in the Context of Feminism & Ecofeminism'-A Rational Approach," *Int. J. Sci. Res.*, 2018.
- [5] D. K. Adhana, "Goods and Services Tax (GST): A Panacea for Indian Economy," *SSRN Electron. J.*, 2015, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.2686979.
- [6] S. P. Sathe, "India: From Positivism to Structuralism," in *Interpreting Constitutions: A Comparative Study*, 2010. doi: 10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199226474.003.0006.
- [7] D. L. Van Cott, "Andean indigenous movements and constitutional transformation: Venezuela in comparative perspective," *Lat. Am. Perspect.*, 2003, doi: 10.1177/0094582X02239144.

- [8] E. Wibbels, "Decentralized governance, constitution formation, and redistribution," in *Constitutional Political Economy*, 2005. doi: 10.1007/s10602-005-2234-6.
- [9] L. R. Yadav, N. Kapoor, and R. S. Singh, "Strengthening government decision making process through integrated SPIDER & e-MANCHITRA Online Portals," in *Proceedings - 2012 3rd International Conference on Emerging Applications of Information Technology, EAIT 2012*, 2012. doi: 10.1109/EAIT.2012.6407901.
- [10] K. Kumar, "Learning and Money-Children as Pawns in Dependency Game," *Econ. Polit. Wkly.*, 1995.
- [11] C. Rout, "Poverty inequality and right to food: A note," in *Development and Deprivation in the Indian Sub-continent*, 2014. doi: 10.4324/9780429331756-21.
- [12] O. S. Verma, "EDITORIAL-EXTENSION SYSTEMS FOUNDATION INTERNATIONAL," *J. Ext. Syst.*, 2017, doi: 10.18765/jes.v33i01.10575.
- [13] H. M. M. Kumari and D. A. Kumar, H, "Socio-economic and political status of panchayats Elected representatives (A Study of Mysore District-Karnataka)," *IOSR J. Humanit. Soc. Sci.*, 2014, doi: 10.9790/0837-19515155.

## CHAPTER 7

### A CRITICAL REVIEW OF BUREAUCRACY AND DEMOCRACY

---

Amit Verma, Associate Professor  
College of Law, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India,  
Email Id- amitverma2@gmail.com

#### ABSTRACT:

One of the most important aspects of contemporary government is the complex interaction between bureaucracy and democracy. This summary provides a general overview of the intricate interactions between bureaucracy and democracy by examining how democratic systems are impacted by bureaucratic institutions and how bureaucratic institutions are impacted by democracy. In contemporary democracies, bureaucracy—often seen as the executive branch of the state plays a crucial role. It is in charge of carrying out policies, providing public services, and making sure that governmental institutions run efficiently. But this abstract explores the complex nature of this connection, revealing both its potential advantages and disadvantages. Bureaucracy may, on the one hand, strengthen democracy by bringing knowledge, consistency, and continuity to governmental processes. It supports the implementation of programmes, protects citizen rights, and guarantees the rule of law. Furthermore, in complicated, contemporary cultures, a professional bureaucracy is necessary for effective and responsible government. However, there are certain conflicts in the dynamic interaction between bureaucracy and democracy. Conflicts with elected leaders may arise when bureaucracies retain their independence and defy political pressure. In democratic institutions, finding the ideal balance between democratic control and bureaucratic skill is a constant problem. Furthermore, the distinction between bureaucracy and democracy has become hazier as a result of the increase of technocratic decision-making, the expansion of regulatory bodies, and the complexity of contemporary government. This abstract examines these changing dynamics and how they may affect the effectiveness of democratic government.

#### KEYWORDS:

Bureaucracy, Criticism, Democracy, Democratic government.

#### INTRODUCTION

Although the name "bureaucracy" was coined in the seventeenth century, organisational structures resembling bureaucracies have been there ever since, although in various forms, to carry out governmental responsibilities. During the British Raj, modern bureaucracy in India developed and served to uphold and advance British interests until 1947. The primary duty of nation-building had been given to the Indian bureaucracy after Independence. After India gained its freedom, the bureaucracy successfully lifted the country until it began to wither. Because of its poor performance, bureaucracy has come under increased criticism, especially with the introduction of the LPG model. As a result, the public services must be prepared to adapt to changing social mores and satisfy residents' needs. New delivery models, which provide value for money and improve policy implementation, are required to meet the current developmental and public service delivery issues. According to Weber, modernity is the primary cause of the demand for bureaucracy. That is, the contemporary state's growing



needs for bureaucratization are a result of the civilization's growing complexity. As opposed to this, "The larger the state, and the more it is or the more it becomes a great power state, the more unconditionally is this the case." The need for transport infrastructure is a crucial element that increases this complexity. The distinctly contemporary forms of communication come into play as pacemakers of bureaucratization among other primarily technological aspects. Public lands, waterways, railways, the telegraph, etc. must be managed in a public and cooperative manner in part because of need and in part because it is technically practical to do so.

The growth of commercial markets and the centralised regimes they gave rise to are what fuel this complexity that Weber portrays. A market economy, for instance, "functions only if it is supported by the necessary institutional and legal framework." Therefore, the contemporary state, the private market, and only the highest evolved institutions of capitalism have fully formed bureaucracies. When economic outcomes are desired, the necessity for rationally regulated activities arises, and the well-trained bureaucratic staffs are the only ones capable of achieving the accuracy, promptness, and consistency required for a market economy. Furthermore, the rise of democracy and capitalism occurred simultaneously. According to Dahl, "modern democratic countries have generally tended to provide a more hospitable environment in which to achieve the advantages of market economies and economic growth than have the governments of nondemocratic regimes." According to Weber, the growth of democracy is aided by the bureaucratization process. The ancient institutions of dominance, like feudalism or patriarchy, are destroyed by the logical nature of bureaucracy, which contains rules, means, aims, and matter-of-factness.

As a result, bureaucracy and democracy are closely related. According to Schumpeter, one of the fundamental tenets of democracy is the establishment of a professional, apolitical bureaucracy. Suleiman believed that "government authority cannot be exercised without professional state structures, and democratic legitimacy cannot be attained without such an instrument." For instance, during the vital stage of the transitions in Central and Eastern Europe, there was a lack of understanding of the significance of a professional bureaucracy to the imperatives of economic growth and the consolidation of democracy. If one accepts the claim that solid legal-rational frameworks are necessary for the continued survival of democracy and capitalism, bureaucracies are the most effective. The sheer technological superiority of bureaucratic organisation over other organisational forms has always been the main driver of its growth. In other words, institutions acquire more logical formal structures as a result of the comparative benefits of those structures that contain related rules and routines that specify what behaviour is appropriate given a certain role and circumstance. Weber said that "the management of the office follows general rules, which are more or less stable, more or less exhaustive, and which can be learned which the official possess." However, the strong relationship between market capitalism and democracy masks a paradox since a market capitalist economy always produces significant inequities.

To be more precise, a nation's democratic political system and non-democratic economic system are inextricably linked, which poses a complicated and persistent challenge to democratic principles and practises. However, according to Weber, the desire for equality before the law has a direct impact on the guiding principles of bureaucracy. In other words, "Equality before the law" and the requirement for legal protections against arbitrary behaviour necessitate a formal and logical "objective" of governance. This becomes even more important since tax revenues or compelled contributions used to pay for government programmes must, in theory, benefit everyone equally and equitably. By protecting disadvantaged parties from the unfavorable externalities of development, government bureaucracies may assure fairness (or procedural due process) in the practise of civil engineering. The environmental permitting procedure, which includes steps such as "giving notice," "holding hearings," and "encouraging participation," is how Caltrans ensures impartiality. The Army Corps of Engineers, for instance, "can specify precisely how a dam

should be built and verify that it was built that way, but woe betide it if it goes ahead with a dam without extensive public consultation and close attention to environmental issues." Citizens must have the right to consider alternatives and to take part in choosing how and what should be included on any agenda that affects their environment in line with democratic ideals.

This, however, masks still another conundrum. Administrators end up processing a significant amount of discretionary power in an endeavour to ensure justice and equality via the bureaucratic process. In a democracy, voters not only transfer power to their elected officials, but also "by an even more indirect and circuitous route, they delegate authority to administrators, bureaucrats, civil servants, and judges." According to Weber and in concordance, democracy specifically responds against the bureaucracy's inescapable status nature. Bureaucrats are particularly difficult to remove from office and often have lifetime positions, while democracy strives to restrict the appointment of elected officials for brief periods via the democratic process. Despite these seeming conflicts, Dahl does not believe that giving ultimate authority over certain choices to experts equates to doing so. As an example, "democratic government requires bureaucratic accountability, which means that no one completely can be trusted to make important decisions free of legal and administrative constraints." Nevertheless, Weber issues a warning that bureaucracy may serve a variety of political and economic goals in order to consolidate power. It follows that "the measure of its parallelism with democratisation must not be exaggerated [1], [2].

### DISCUSSION

The key components of Weber's rational-legal ideal bureaucracy and how, for the most part, Caltrans resembled this ideal type before to being reorganised in accordance with the New Public Management framework. The guidelines or standard operating procedures are one of the most crucial elements of this kind. According to Weber, the institutional mechanism for applying general norms to particular instances, which makes government acts fair and predictable, is the bureaucracy's greatest asset. The principles of administrative function specialisation in accordance with solely objective considerations allow the bureaucrat to follow a rationalistic way of life. The creation of regulations inside bureaucracies decreases the likelihood that they won't transgress crucial contextual objectives or restraints, such as treating people equally and guaranteeing that they will have the same opportunity to access services (equity). It is not overstatement to say that many people see the need of following the regular rules and procedures for almost every conceivable circumstance as a distinguishing trait of CalTrans.

Additionally, these rules serve as a guide for both state personnel and private engineers. This is particularly true when private companies employ federal or state funding for transport projects and are thereafter subject to the same administrative restrictions. Every functional department at Caltrans has a comprehensive collection of SOPs. The regulations are outlined in the Project Development Procedures Manual (PDPM) for the Project Management Division, the Highway Design Manual (HDM) for the Design Division, and the Right-of-Way Manual for the Right-of-Way Division. They are represented by the Standard Environmental Reference (SER) for the Environmental Division, and so forth. A highly regimented system of hiring and promoting practises in the interests of professionalism and objectivity is another crucial aspect of Weber's rational-legal ideal type. These principles are codified in the Pendleton Act of 1883, which has three basic objectives in the federal government:

- (1) to hire public employees on the basis of merit rather than political connections
- (2) to manage these employees effectively
- (3) To treat employees equally.

In California, the State Civil Service Act of 1937, led to establishment of the current civil service system with two main goals:

- (1) To prohibit a political spoils approach to state government jobs.
- (2) To assure a competent, and efficient work force.

All appointments and promotions must be made in accordance with a general procedure that is based on a competitive examination under California civil service regulations. Another key component of the Weberian rational-legal ideal type is hierarchy. Weber said that "the principle of hierarchical office authority is found in all bureaucratic structures." In conventional public administration organisations, command and control is emphasised as the mode of operation. This indicates "that hierarchically organised agencies, the chain of command serving as their central spinal cord, carry out public action." Some people believe that centralised control is essential for maintaining democratic accountability. The hierarchical type served as the basis for Caltrans's early organisational structure. The 'Doctrine of Specialisation,' which states that it is simpler to manage experts by grouping them together and assigning them to someone with comparable knowledge and experience, serves as the guiding philosophy behind the hierarchical structure. Planning, environmental management, design, right-of-way, and construction are just a few of the functional divisions that the hierarchical type at Caltrans was (and, for the most part, still is) divided into. Each functional unit in this setup has its own internal hierarchy and reports to either the regional district headquarters offices or the main office in Sacramento [3], [4].

### **New Public Management**

The Weberian model of bureaucracy is no longer applicable, according to proponents of New Public Management, since democratic societies have changed. According to the literature on reform and reinvention, the function of the bureaucratic machinery in capitalism and democracy is no longer necessary. In reality, bureaucracy's presence prevents capitalism and democracy from expressing themselves fully. Numerous elements that proponents of New Public Management see as undesirable are highlighted in Weber's description of the rational-legal type. The argument developed that bureaucracy is essentially incompatible with democracy and is inefficient, parasitic, wasteful, secretive, unaccountable, and unresponsive. In the contemporary day, a system that was created by a genius but was to be managed by fools is no longer applicable. "The NPM adherents are fighting against imposing strict controls in an organisation, viewing employees as cogs in a machine, and expecting workers to follow instructions blindly." The implementation of NPM has generally come to be associated with the modernisation of public administration during the previous two decades. In the guise of New Public Management (NPM), nations like New Zealand and the United Kingdom have completed comprehensive reforms of their ministries, civil services, and nationalised enterprises.

The majority of the NPM changes have occurred in democratic nations with formalised mechanisms of oversight and control over bureaucracy within a sophisticated system of checks and balances. The goal in these nations is to utilise democratic processes to enhance the current institutional structures. Overall, NPM-style changes include management of the private sector. The Weberian model of bureaucracy is no longer applicable, according to proponents of New Public Management, since democratic societies have changed. According to the literature on reform and reinvention, the function of the bureaucratic machinery in capitalism and democracy is no longer necessary. In reality, bureaucracy's presence prevents capitalism and democracy from expressing themselves fully. Numerous elements that proponents of New Public Management see as undesirable are highlighted in Weber's description of the rational-legal type. The argument developed that bureaucracy is essentially incompatible with democracy and is inefficient, parasitic, wasteful, secretive, unaccountable,

and unresponsive. In the contemporary day, a system that was created by a genius but was to be managed by fools is no longer applicable. "The NPM adherents are fighting against imposing strict controls in an organisation, viewing employees as cogs in a machine, and expecting workers to follow instructions blindly."

The implementation of NPM has generally come to be associated with the modernisation of public administration during the previous two decades. In the guise of New Public Management (NPM), nations like New Zealand and the United Kingdom have completed comprehensive reforms of their ministries, civil services, and nationalised enterprises. The majority of the NPM changes have occurred in democratic nations with formalised mechanisms of oversight and control over bureaucracy within a sophisticated system of checks and balances. The goal in these nations is to utilise democratic processes to enhance the current institutional structures. In general, NPM-style changes uphold the standards and principles of the private sector. These include a customer-centric approach, faith in market forces, the fragmentation and decentralisation of public services, and changes to internal organisational structures. The proponents of New Public Management advocate moving away from strict regulation and compliance management and towards giving operational managers more latitude and responsibility for attaining goals. The classic Weberian form of public administration, which is innately centralist, constrained by rules and regulations, centred on bureaucracy and legality, and motivated by an ethos of public service, contrasts with this. Overall, NPM transforms bureaucracies from systems that are ruled by rules to ones that are focused on performance. "The innocuous-sounding performance, responsiveness, and evaluation criteria are not without their political implications," claim the critics of new public management. The main complaint is that although NPM does not focus on matters related to the advancement of democracy, bureaucracy is necessary for democracy to function effectively. Additionally, by actively attempting to reduce the autonomy and authority of the bureaucratic tool, politics has increasingly overtaken the competence of bureaucrats in the eyes of many. While contracting out, devolution, and decentralisation provide people additional ways to express their rights to freedom of speech and choice, they also "create new problems and unexpected consequences for democracy."

The traditional public administration upholds equality and fairness, a direct critique of NPM. For instance, equality takes precedence above efficiency in the operation of many government institutions. New Public Management is more concerned with cost-effectiveness and the establishment of responsible management units than it is with maximising the political ideals of involvement and responsiveness. In addition, actions that impact the restructuring of bureaucracies in one way or another redistribute resources and place obligations on a certain group (or class) of society. Additionally, since bureaucracies are motivated by constraints rather than goals, they take the actions they do. Few, if any, of the regulations that led to this complexity would have come from the bureaucracy on its own, according to this statement. Because of this, "One cannot simply explain the behaviour of government bureaucracies by reference to the fact that they are bureaucracies; the central fact is that they are government bureaucracies."

Because of the regulations or SOPs, which are really more political than pragmatic, managers in bureaucracies tend to be task-oriented rather than constraint-oriented. Furthermore, legislators and their committees the same ones who most often criticise the bureaucracy are the ones who created these restrictions, which are frequently imposed to maintain justice. These limitations are included in the State Civil Service Act of 1937 in California. Decentralisation, flattening the hierarchy, and privatisation are the three major types of changes that the New Public Management reforms at Caltrans fall under. In both a managerial and a geographical sense, the idea of decentralisation divides the centre from the periphery. This often entails creating new service agencies from a management perspective.

This led to the establishment of the Project Management Division at Caltrans. By spreading out management power or giving local managers more autonomy, the hierarchy is being flattened. Privatisation (or contracting-out) refers to either the loss of government services or the improvement of public sector organisations' management capabilities [5], [6].

### **Flattening of the Hierarchy**

The flattening of the hierarchy is one significant structural alteration in the reinvention literature. The too logical division of labour that is inherent in bureaucratic organisations is criticised by innovators as being ineffective and harmful. They instead recommend combining high-level employees with the lower-level employees who really carry out outcomes. This necessitates a focus on team production as a response to the problems with vertical administration, which is reminiscent of the antiquated Fordist assembly line system. "Top-down monopolies are simply too slow, too unresponsive, and too incapable of change or innovation in a world of rapid change, technological revolution, global economic competition, demassified markets, and an educated work force." However, the workers of bureaucracies are those who are most affected by flattening the structure. Because the job of bureaucrats will be drastically altered by the flattening of the hierarchy. For instance, under New Public Management, managers are held responsible by giving them responsibility over human resources; formerly, managers reported to upper-level managers, which led to a disconnection between the agency's mission and its personnel. This in turn calls into question the idea of equal treatment and tenure for employees and the company. Additionally, and as the foundation for further debate, "A bureaucratic culture that is based on a common set of values and goals and a centralised, hierarchical decision-making system may not be easily reformed and will undoubtedly be vehemently defended." Decentralisation The sweeping shift towards more decentralised administration in recent decades is another significant political change.<sup>84</sup> Decentralisation is a remedy for the inefficient decision-making at the centre, a strategy to increase public engagement by strengthening local communities, and a more efficient manner of providing services, according to the literature on New Public Management. This is due to the historical forces that democracy generates for the spread of democratic rule to subnational jurisdictions. This means that ultimately, the local and regional self-governing entities are the ones that are genuinely democratic. "Since citizen preferences vary across jurisdictions, decentralisation enhances welfare by more closely matching government output to local tastes and increasing efficiency in both providing government services and raising revenue." Some critics draw the conclusion that a centralised administrative culture as an organisational shape reduces prospects for decentralised, participatory, or team-based reform tactics. There is also the argument that if talented administrators stay at the centre, they will have a stronger influence on the whole system.

Their impact is correspondingly lessened if they are transferred to regional or local governments, as the logic of decentralisation requires." Furthermore, the emergence of new roles and duties is often a result of functional decentralisation. It is not obvious that these adjustments will always result in increased morale and productivity. Decentralisation is not always beneficial for democracy, though. The social fabric of the state may be seriously affected by the transfer of authority from the centre to an area. This is so that administrators may equalise the distribution of goods and services throughout an area based on need thanks to centralised control over resources. Therefore, "centralization may be the preferable option if a society places an emphasis on the redistribution of wealth, on planning in the interests of equity, on allowing the state to play a significant role in capital accumulation or in maximising economies of scale." Due to the size of the state of California, Caltrans must be divided into thirteen territorial districts, with its main office located in Sacramento. Prior to the implementation of decentralisation, each district primarily reported to the headquarters but not much to one another in a traditional hierarchical command and control structure.



More decision-making power was given to the districts as part of the decentralisation movement, and seven of the districts were consolidated into two service (or cost) centres. They were given a lot of latitude from the corporate office, particularly with relation to project management duties. For instance, the Caltrans Director authorised the decentralisation of capital outlay support activities such as right-of-way, environmental, design, construction, and other engineering support into two regions: The North Region, which included Districts 1, 2, and 3, and the Central Region, which included Districts 5, 6, 9, and 10. This was approved in February 1996. There was no decentralisation in the other districts. Because they were a component of bigger regional transportation organisations, they were in reality already decentralised. Districts 7, 8, 12, and 13 are included in the Southern California Association of Governments, for instance [7], [8].

### **Privatization**

In the last twenty years, privatisation programs another crucial component of NPM policies have surfaced on the agendas of governments all over the globe. It encompasses a broad variety of regulations aimed at narrowing the public sector's purview, limiting its capabilities, and overall lessening its impact. The endeavour to replace common interest standards with market norms is both a philosophical and moral problem, as well as one of institutional efficiency. The idea behind privatisation is that rather than rowing, the government should be steered. Government is not particularly good at rowing, yet providing services, such as maintaining roads or running an airline, is like rowing. Privatisation is a practical strategy for returning government to its core function of directing while leaving the rowing to the private sector. In Weber's view, both public and private organisations exhibit the officialdom's strict obedience and routine behaviour. And, the extremely huge, contemporary capitalistic firms are often unmatched examples of rigid bureaucratic structure. NPM claims that "Only the expert knowledge of private economic interest groups in the field of 'business' is superior to the expert knowledge of the bureaucracy." But is it fair to contrast the advantages of contracting out in private businesses with the drawbacks of doing everything in-house in government organisations? As an example, a government agency that often provides a monopoly service is funded by a legislative allocation that is funded by taxes from residents who may or may not use that service. Without knowing how many clients an organisation draws in and having the sole right to service them, it is inappropriate to assess them. The political market distributes products and services based on the law and politics, while the economic market does so based on consumers' willingness to pay.

It is not always clear which approach results in the most equitable outcomes. Governmental organisations can have broad, hazy, or contradictory objectives. Many opponents point out that goal-oriented management may be in danger from constraint driven management. Therefore, one must choose whatever restrictions they are ready to give up in order to assess the effectiveness of a government institution. In the case of Caltrans, the topic of contracting-out design services has been a major element that has pervaded every discussion around its reorganisation in the paradigm of NPM. Institutional change and crisis The fact that bureaucracies resent change should not come as a surprise to us since organisations are by nature anti-changing. In actuality, they are meant to fight it. The establishment of bureaucracies was done so that predictable results might be replaced by regular interactions. An organization's standard operating procedures are not its adversary; rather, they are what make an organisation function. In government organisations where the demands for fairness are a priority, stability and regularity are particularly crucial. When an organization's members feel strongly about its goal, there is even more reluctance to change. Furthermore, "the longer an agency exists, the more likely it is that its core tasks will be defined in ways that maximise the costs of changing them while minimising the costs to the operators performing them [9], [10].



## Isomorphism and the Organizational Structure

According to the new institutional model, a sort of institutional inertia that discourages arbitrary change eventually replaces the adoption of structures during an institution's early years. Isomorphism is the term for this phenomena, which is defined as "how groups develop shared beliefs, structures, practises, and network relations affects institutional behaviour." "With regard to political institutions, March and Olson observe that "the long-run development of political institutions is less a product of intentions, plans, and consistent decisions than incremental adaptation to changing problems with readily available solutions within gradually evolving structures of meaning." Weber said that the bureaucrats "have a common interest in seeing that the mechanism continues to perform its functions and that the societally exercised authority carries on." For instance, Bismarck tried to reform his bureaucratic system, but upon his retirement, he was startled to find that the system continued to operate much as it had in the past. This may be explained in part by the fact that the officials working for these institutions are paid salaries rather than profits. Therefore, the assertion and protection of their own management autonomy are the aims that these technocrats strive for. "This phenomenon is extremely important, given that reform must be seen in terms of interests (purchasing power, qualification, prestige, and status) by those who are going to put the reforms in place." Caltrans is by definition an ancient organisation with origins that, for all intents and purposes, are in a separate historical era since it first exists institutionally in 1895. When creating bureaucratic hierarchies, early organisational theorists advocated for scientific management, seeing "the division of tasks in vertical hierarchy structures as the most effective and efficient type of organisation." The organisational structure that Caltrans used in its early years is an illustration of scientific management. Organisations, however, generate environments that reinforce their conventional isomorphic inclinations when they react to their surroundings. According to Kettl, reverting to the ineffective traditional hierarchical management strategies will only undermine the efficacy of public programmes and reduce the government's ability to uphold crucial standards like responsiveness and equity. If we consider project management to be a zero-sum game inside an organisation, neither authority nor its removal occurred. A new horizontal level is added by the hybrid matrix structure to an otherwise fixed vertical hierarchical system. Wilson said that this is not unusual. "Government agencies change frequently, but the most frequent changes are add-ons: a new programme is tacked onto current tasks without changing the primary duties or the organisational culture." "Reorganisations matter if they change how resources are allocated to programmes, how employees get career incentives, or how duties are specified in a significant manner. Sometimes these things take place. Most of the time, they don't. Furthermore, since external reorganisations often mirror bureaucracies' very top-down organisational structure, they are less likely to succeed than internal ones [11], [12].

## CONCLUSION

One of the key features of contemporary governance is the intricate link between bureaucracy and democracy, which is one that continuously changes and affects how democratic systems operate. These two pillars of governance interact dynamically, creating possibilities and obstacles that have a big impact on the effectiveness of democratic government. As the state's administrative branch, bureaucracy is vital to ensuring that laws are followed, the rule of law is upheld, and that public services are provided in democratic countries. Its knowledge, professionalism, and stability help governments run effectively and improve the democratic process as a whole. A competent bureaucracy may also protect people's rights and interests, promoting a feeling of justice and fairness within a democratic framework. However, bureaucracy in a democratic setting is not without its dangers. When bureaucratic institutions become too insular, unaccountable, or resistant to democratic supervision, there is cause for concern. The core democratic values of accountability, openness, and public engagement may

be threatened by bureaucratic inefficiency and a lack of response. By offering means for supervision, responsibility, and control, democracy in its different manifestations has a profound impact on bureaucracy. Citizens may influence policy and hold officials responsible via political procedures and elected representatives. The goals and aims of bureaucratic organisations are often guided by democratic principles, guaranteeing congruence with the larger democratic ideals. The distinction between bureaucracy and democracy has also become increasingly hazy as a result of the changing dynamics of governance, including the increase of technocratic decision-making, the expansion of regulatory agencies, and the rising complexity of public administration. These changes highlight the necessity for flexible and responsive governance frameworks that respect democratic ideals while maximising the advantages of bureaucratic know-how.

## REFERENCES:

- [1] A. Farazmand, "Bureaucracy and Democracy: A Theoretical Analysis," *Public Organ. Rev.*, 2010, doi: 10.1007/s11115-010-0137-0.
- [2] B. G. Peters, "Bureaucracy and Democracy," *Public Organ. Rev.*, 2010, doi: 10.1007/s11115-010-0133-4.
- [3] K. J. Meier, "Bureaucracy and Democracy: The Case for More Bureaucracy and Less Democracy," *Public Adm. Rev.*, 1997, doi: 10.2307/976648.
- [4] E. Vigoda-Gadot, A. Shoham, and D. R. Vashdi, "Bridging bureaucracy and democracy in europe: A comparative study of perceived managerial excellence, satisfaction with public services, and trust in governance," *Eur. Union Polit.*, 2010, doi: 10.1177/1465116510363657.
- [5] E. Vigoda-Gadot, S. Mizrahi, R. Miller-Mor, and E. Tevet, "The bureaucracy-democracy tango: A dual-source empirical revalidation by structural equation modelling in the Israeli public sector," *Policy Polit.*, 2008, doi: 10.1332/030557308X307621.
- [6] L. Haukland, "The Bologna Process: the democracy-bureaucracy dilemma," *J. Furth. High. Educ.*, 2017, doi: 10.1080/0309877X.2015.1070403.
- [7] S. J. Balla, "The Enigmatic Bureaucracy-Democracy Nexus," *J. Public Adm. Res. Theory*, 2007, doi: 10.1093/jopart/mun003.
- [8] J. E. Gruber, "Democracy and bureaucracy," *Int. J. Public Adm.*, 1997, doi: 10.1080/01900699708525237.
- [9] A. Economics and V. Xix, "Corruption, democracy and bureaucracy," *Theor. Appl. Econ.*, 2012.
- [10] M. S. Mingus, "Updating the Democracy-Bureaucracy Conundrum," *Public Adm. Rev.*, 2008, doi: 10.1111/j.1540-6210.2008.00933.x.
- [11] J. T. Hartman, C. Lefort, and J. B. Thompson, "The Political Forms of Modern Society: Bureaucracy, Democracy, and Totalitarianism.," *Contemp. Sociol.*, 1988, doi: 10.2307/2072711.
- [12] N. M. Riccucci and G. G. Van Ryzin, "Representative Bureaucracy: A Lever to Enhance Social Equity, Coproduction, and Democracy," *Public Adm. Rev.*, 2017, doi: 10.1111/puar.12649.

## CHAPTER 8

### A BRIEF ANALYSIS BUREAUCRACY AND DEVELOPMENT

---

Sourabh Batar, Assistant Professor  
College of Law, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India,  
Email Id- battarsourabh@gmail.com

#### ABSTRACT:

In all countries, the function of bureaucracy in the process of development is a crucial and complex part of government. The intricate interactions between bureaucracy and development are summarised in this abstract, which also looks at how bureaucratic institutions affect socioeconomic development and how bureaucracies are affected by the possibilities and problems of development. Modern governments rely on their bureaucracies to carry out policy implementation, provide public services, and manage resources. They act as the administrative framework through which governments organise and carry out programmes meant to promote economic growth, lessen poverty, and enhance the welfare of their inhabitants. The effects of bureaucracy on growth are profound. Bureaucratic institutions that are effective and efficient may aid in the execution of development plans and programmes by ensuring that resources are allocated wisely and that projects are carried out successfully. A transparent and responsible bureaucracy may reduce corruption, advance good governance, and build an atmosphere that is supportive of social and economic advancement. Development often calls for the establishment of specialised organisations and regulatory organisations. These organisations are essential to the management of intricate industries including healthcare, education, and environmental protection. Therefore, development has the power to alter the design and operation of bureaucracies. Bureaucracy and development have a complicated and dynamic interaction that is influenced by both in a variety of ways. Implementing development programmes successfully, promoting economic growth, eradicating poverty, and enhancing citizen well-being all depend on efficient bureaucracies. However, in the context of development, bureaucracies often encounter difficulties with regard to accountability and efficiency. On the other hand, development programmes force adjustments inside bureaucracies, necessitating adjustment to new difficulties and possibilities. For countries aiming for sustainable development and raising the standard of living for their population, understanding and controlling this link is essential.

#### KEYWORDS:

Bureaucracy, Development, Institutions, State Capacity.

#### INTRODUCTION

The rise of the institutions and state capacity paradigms in economics has pushed the discussion of how to promote economic growth and development to the fore. While the importance of efficient governmental administration to economic growth is generally acknowledged. The benefits of a strong state bureaucracy have caused inevitable divisions in public opinion. Interventionists have always believed that the establishment of a competent and professional state is a precondition for the growth of any other state. They have made reference to the development of great nations throughout history and how they were fundamentally dependent on a group of skilled bureaucrats. This idea of successful government at least dates back to Max Weber's groundbreaking study. He defined a bureaucracy in the contemporary meaning as a formal, rule-driven organisations made up of qualified people who follow the proper hierarchical delivery structures. He also underlined

the importance of mission-motivation, emphasizing the ideal bureaucrat as someone who is driven by a feeling of duty ("fealty to the purpose of the office") in return for job security.

For Weber, the bureaucracy represented enduring skill in the execution of governmental programmes in contrast to the ebb and flow of politicians. Contrarily, those who are wary of powerful governments usually see bureaucracy as sclerotic and a barrier to efficient governance and economic growth, using the word bureaucratic with a derogatory edge. They emphasized that bureaucracy does not always serve the public interest, a point of view that is consistent with the larger body of public choice literature that emphasized the state's unbenevolent role. The public perceives bureaucrats as complicit in citizen expropriation or as prioritizing the interests of private players, such as businessmen, above those of the general populace. Development and bureaucracy are well-known and difficult concepts. These interpretations have generated debate. Following the war, autonomous bureaucracy began to form as a result of both intentional and deliberate efforts. The expansion of sound for progress, bureaucracy has always been seen as inevitable. In a growing nation like India, bureaucracy and development initiatives have coexisted for more than 60 years. The function of bureaucracy in development has generated debate and contention.

There is no unified interpretation of the evolution. The dictionary definition of "development" is "the process of moving towards a goal." The definition of development is a better, fuller, higher, and more mature state. However, there is a general trend among many theorists to see evolution as an unending endeavour. For instance, Weidner saw growth as a mental state, a propensity, and a direction rather than a predetermined objective. It is the pace at which change is occurring in one direction. Riggs, on the other hand, thinks that development is a process of growing autonomy, which he interprets as the capacity of systems to both select from and influence their environment. To put it another way, development in a nation or in any other system refers to the expansion of institutions and activities based on specialisation and division of labour that are well-coordinated and integrated, increasing the capacity of that system to act independently in relation to its environment [1], [2].

A key component of modern government, the link between bureaucracy and development has significant ramifications for the socioeconomic growth of countries. Bureaucratic institutions, which are often the administrative branches of governments, play a key role in developing and putting into action policies and programmes that support development. On the other hand, bureaucracies' capacity to operate and adapt is profoundly impacted by the possibilities and problems of development. This introduction gives a general overview of the complex interaction between bureaucracy and growth, highlighting its crucial influence on how countries develop. Governments design, carry out, and oversee development initiatives, policies, and programmes via their bureaucracies. They include a broad variety of activities, including service provision, resource distribution, and regulation. Having effective bureaucratic structures is essential for turning development goals into actionable results and ensuring that resources are distributed fairly.

There are several ways in which bureaucracy affects progress. A capable and responsible bureaucracy can help development efforts be implemented successfully, cut down on corruption, and protect the rule of law. Its ability to monitor and control a variety of industries, including infrastructure, healthcare, education, and environmental protection, is crucial for accomplishing sustainable development objectives. However, there are difficulties for bureaucracies in the context of progress. The implementation of development initiatives may be hampered by bureaucratic inefficiency, red tape, and corruption, which can lessen its intended effect. The intricacy of development concerns necessitates bureaucracies that are quick to respond, responsive, and flexible.

Development, in all of its guises, has a profound impact on bureaucracy. Bureaucracies must adapt and change in order to meet the possibilities and difficulties presented by development projects. Urbanisation, technical breakthroughs, and rapid economic expansion all call for novel methods to public administration and governance. Furthermore, in order to handle new problems and industries, progress often necessitates the creation of specialised organisations and regulatory organisations. These organisations are crucial in handling the intricate elements of development, often resulting in changes to the design and operation of bureaucracy. The complex interaction between bureaucracy and development is a key concern in contemporary governance, with wide-ranging ramifications for countries aiming to make socioeconomic progress. The effective implementation of development agendas depends on efficient bureaucracies, whereas changes in bureaucracies are prompted by development efforts. To maximize the effect of development initiatives and improve citizen well-being, this intricate interaction highlights how crucial it is to understand and manage this connection [3], [4].

## DISCUSSION

Simply expressing that development bureaucracy is an administration or bureaucracy focused with developmental activities. According to Weidner, it is safe to assume that development bureaucracy/administration refers to the process of directing an organisation towards the accomplishment of progressive political, economic, and social goals that are officially determined in some way from a broad perspective. According to Panandhikar, the core of development bureaucracy is comprehensive change carried out by coordinated, structured, and appropriately focused governmental effort. Generally speaking, administrative development or development of administration refers to a pattern of growing effectiveness in the use of existing tools to accomplish predetermined objectives. The two main aspects of administrative development are as follows:

1. The re-patterning of administrative structure
2. The re-patterning of bureaucratic behaviour

After all, the structure is merely a tool for supporting human function; behavioural adjustments to bureaucratic patterns are unquestionably more crucial for development. Administration is fundamentally a human process that aims to improve effectiveness, particularly that of administrative human resources in terms of the bureaucracy's workforce [5], [6].

### How does bureaucracy matter for development?

In what ways does bureaucracy affect development? A significant corpus of research has been conducted on this issue over the last several years, with the majority of the studies focusing on evidence from field studies and small-scale administrative data. How bureaucracy influence economic progress is a crucial subject. The idea that there is a substantial correlation between bureaucratic efficiency and economic progress is supported by historical narratives and empirical data. The assumption that creating an efficient bureaucracy has been essential to the creation of contemporary nation governments is supported by narrative evidence from historians and political scientists, notably around activities like taxes and national security (Tilly 1985; Levi 1988; Migdal 1988). The Chinese mandarins, who were chosen by a strict system of imperial exams for more than a millennium up until 1905, are one of the most notable early instances of a professional bureaucracy. This system continues to have beneficial impacts on human capital. Many European nations adopted this model decade later in an attempt to strengthen their governments by establishing professionalised civil servants. A case in point in the UK was the Northcote-Trevelyan report of 1854, which resulted in the introduction of competitive tests for entrance into the national civil service, with promotions by fair and open criteria, and the foundation of a permanent



'home civil service'. The 1883 Pendleton Act created similar regulations in the US and was a key factor in the development of the modern state there (Van Riper 1958). In more recent times, the East Asian Miracle has often been used as a model for constructing governmental efficacy to support economic growth (Wade 1990; Evans 1995). Several government organisations, including the Ministry of International Trade and Industry of Japan and the Economics Planning Board of South Korea, have drawn special attention for being selective, highly effective organisations that were crucial to the development of the industrial sector (Johnson 1982; Cheng et al. 1998).

### **A glance at the facts**

These historical examples unmistakably imply that bureaucracies are important for economic growth. In the manner of Evans and Rauch (1999), cross-country research gives us a glimpse of the significance of bureaucracies on a worldwide scale. We connect the Varieties of Democracy (V-Dem) project's composite bureaucracy score, which ranges from 0 to 1, to economic growth in 2016. The lowest composite bureaucracy score among all nations with at least that level of GDP is shown in Figure 1 for each level of GDP per capita (apart from those that export oil). No nation that has achieved high economic status had a bureaucracy score that was less than the average of the data. In other words, using this metric, there are no high-income nations that have not also established efficient bureaucracies. We find that within nations, greater meritocratic hiring of bureaucrats was also substantially related with stronger economic development across the post-war era when we examine the connection between various bureaucratic quality components and economic growth. But these cross-country trends are just suggestive at best, and they cannot be used to prove a causal relationship [7], [8].

### **Microeconomic evidence on bureaucratic output**

A rising body of research has examined bureaucracy at a more micro level, dissecting it into its component elements and focusing in particular on questions of incentives and selection to better understand how it impacts progress. RCTs have been widely employed in experimental investigations to assist in determining the causal processes behind treatments. The assessment of performance has been a first-order issue in this literature on microeconomics. This is challenging since organisational objectives in the public sector are sometimes highly general, multifaceted, and hard to confirm or link to particular bureaucrats. Studies often focus on analysing duties that are well-defined and quantifiable, and they frequently limit their research to lower-level specialist public officials. Another group of articles (Gulzar and Pasquale 2017, Jia 2017, Xu 2018) have used the CEO literature (Bertrand and Scholhar 2003) to map persons with higher power to aggregate outcomes. In a more recent body of research, subjective performance metrics derived from internal reports or evaluation questionnaires have been utilised. A key lesson from this research is that studies have been restricted to a narrow range of subjects and contexts due to the emphasis on easily quantifiable results. More incentive utilisation is a logical lever for enhancing bureaucratic production. These may be financial (performance compensation, promotions, or termination) or non-financial (prestige, image issues). Understanding how these incentives impact bureaucratic performance has been the subject of a significant amount of study.

For instance, it has been shown that pay plans that are dependent on student achievement provide instructors the wrong incentives (Glewwe, Ilias, and Kremer 2010, Neal, and Schanzenbach 2010). In many scenarios, the impact of performance-based promotions and transfers has been examined. Recent fieldwork has also shown the impact of incentives on multi-tasking performance. Bureaucrats often have an intrinsic motive and care about their influence even if their salary is unrelated to it (Ashraf and Bandiera 2018, Besley and Ghatak 2018, Bénabou and Tirole 2006). Mission motivation is another potent motivator. Khan



offers experimental data from Pakistani healthcare professionals that mission focus enhances performance and results even on factors that weren't specifically rewarded. The selection of bureaucrats has been examined in other literature. How to recruit skilled and motivated people to work in the public sector is one significant subject addressed; this work is mostly experimental and concentrates on front-line employees (Dal Bo, Finan, and Rossi 2013, Deserranno Ashraf and Bandiera 2018). A separate line of research examines the selection procedure itself, contrasting the effects of rule-based selection, such as competitive admission tests, and political appointments that are made at the discretion of the decision-maker [9], [10].

### **From micro to macro: Studying bureaucratic systems**

Bureaucracies are substantial, multi-layered organisations that handle a wide range of intricate, multifaceted duties. We contend that study is required to comprehend how organizational-level processes may be translated from micro-level ones, thereby transforming the "personnel economics" (Finan et al. 2017) into a "organisational economics of the state." How tasks are coordinated inside bureaucracies is the primary topic on the study agenda in this area. This makes sense given the research on large-firm organisation design (Chandler 1977; Qian et al. 2006). Whether bureaucracies should be hierarchically coordinated or decentralised is a crucial topic in this regard. Studying these systems might also assist policymakers in figuring out how to apply the findings of particular research on incentive and selection processes to the whole bureaucracy. Another critical component in comprehending the creation of state capacity is the interaction between politics and bureaucracy. Politicians often answer to the people they represent, while bureaucrats answer to politicians. This creates a principal-agent relationship with substantial inherent friction between politicians and bureaucrats, who are frequently more specialist. Because the border between politics and bureaucracy is often blurry, this provides the possibility for the politicization of the bureaucracy via political appointments and transfer choices.

Understanding how businesses interact with the government and bureaucracy that governs them is essential since businesses are significant contributors to economic development. The link between the state and civil society in the context of economic growth is covered in Evans' key study from 1995. His research suggests that civil society and the most successful states are intertwined. This may result in improved regulation being implemented by the bureaucracy thanks to the useful information offered by businesses (Leff 1964; Bardhan 2017). However, businesses may also seize control of the government (Stigler 1971; Peltzman 1976; Bates 1981). When it comes to issues of state capture, the empirical literature on this subject (Frye and Shleifer 1997, Hellman et al. 2003, Brown et al. 2009) does not always clearly distinguish between the responsibilities of bureaucrats and politicians. Finally, it's critical to comprehend what NGOs do. NGOs could take on the role of interest groups, attempting to affect the results of bureaucratic regulation. In addition, Besley and Ghatak (2001) and Hart et al. (1997) conducted theoretical research on the topic of NGOs providing public services in lieu of the government, notably in many developing nations. International NGOs' ability to provide services in fragile nations may have favourable short-term consequences, but they impede the long-term development of state capacity (Commission on State Fragility, Growth, and Development 2018). It would be fascinating to explore how these interdependencies may be leveraged to build a successful state in the future.

**Discussion** The extensive corpus of study on bureaucratic reforms and the economics of state employees during the last ten or more years has provided useful results. To fully comprehend how well bureaucracies, assist development, we contend that a shift from the study of microeconomic events to understanding bigger, more complicated systems is an essential next step. To that purpose, here are three research agendas we recommend: First, researchers would be able to better understand structural alterations if they collected microdata

throughout the whole economy to assess system-wide improvements. We are hopeful that this is feasible given recent developments in distributing massive datasets, especially administrative data. Second, while it has been largely disregarded, understanding how politics and bureaucracy interact to create state capacity appears crucial given the inevitable interconnections and interdependencies between the two. Third, the structural transformation cases from China and East Asia demonstrate the need for further in-depth research into the dynamics between the private sector and bureaucracy. The ability of bureaucracies to innovate and adapt to new difficulties will have significant economic repercussions; we anticipate that future study will assist in addressing these issues [11], [12].

## CONCLUSION

The complex and important link between bureaucracy and development has a significant impact on how countries progress. As the administrative backbone of contemporary nations, bureaucratic institutions play a crucial role in determining the success of development initiatives. The organisation and operation of bureaucracies, on the other hand, are significantly impacted by the difficulties and possibilities brought forth by development projects. The proper implementation of development strategies and programmes depends on effective bureaucracy. They make sure that funds are used wisely, that initiatives are carried out in a transparent and accountable manner, and that the intended recipients of development benefits are reached. When bureaucracies run well, they may reduce corruption, encourage good governance, and foster a climate that fosters social and economic advancement. In this situation, it is crucial to cultivate a culture of professionalism, openness, and accountability inside bureaucratic organisations. Governments can also make investments in administrative process simplification, skills training, and technology adoption to improve the effectiveness and efficiency of bureaucracy in achieving development objectives. In the end, a country's growth is greatly influenced by how well bureaucracy and development work together. Nations may traverse the difficulties of growth while using the potential of bureaucratic institutions to create a better and more affluent future for their population by comprehending and managing this complex connection.

## REFERENCES:

- [1] A. Natsios, "The Clash of the Counter-bureaucracy and Development," *Cent. Glob. Dev. Essay*, 2010.
- [2] D. A. Roy and M. H. Greenberg, "Bureaucracy and Development.," *Adm. Sci. Q.*, 1971, doi: 10.2307/2391835.
- [3] U. Uka and Kenneth, "Bureaucracy and Development in Developed and Developing Countries: A Comparative Analysis of USA and Nigeria," *J. Polit. Sci. Leadersh. Res.*, 2018.
- [4] H. H. Lim, "Ethnic representation in the Malaysian bureaucracy: The development and effects of Malay domination," *Int. J. Public Adm.*, 2007, doi: 10.1080/01900690701229731.
- [5] M. R. Islam, "Bureaucracy and administrative development in Bangladesh and Nigeria: a comparative analysis," *Int. J. Public Adm.*, 2005, doi: 10.1080/01900690500241051.
- [6] O. Widerberg and F. van Laerhoven, "Measuring the autonomous influence of an international bureaucracy: the Division for Sustainable Development," *Int. Environ. Agreements Polit. Law Econ.*, 2014, doi: 10.1007/s10784-014-9249-2.

- [7] B. H. Kaplan, "Notes on a Non-Weberian Model of Bureaucracy: The Case of Development Bureaucracy," *Adm. Sci. Q.*, 1968, doi: 10.2307/2391054.
- [8] M. Khusaini, "Does bureaucracy lead to economic development in ASEAN?," in *International Journal of Economic Policy in Emerging Economies*, 2012. doi: 10.1504/IJEPEE.2012.099736.
- [9] P. Middleton, "Managing information system development in bureaucracies," *Inf. Softw. Technol.*, 1999, doi: 10.1016/S0950-5849(99)00015-4.
- [10] R. Hizaamu, "Analysis of the pre-colonial, colonial and post colonial bureaucracy of Buganda: The major milestones in its development," *African J. Polit. Sci. Int. Relations*, 2018, doi: 10.5897/ajpsir2014.0684.
- [11] A. Dixit, "Bureaucracy, Its Reform and Development," *Rev. Mark. Integr.*, 2012, doi: 10.1177/0974929212465682.
- [12] L. M. Afala, "Pasang Surut Reformasi Birokrasi Dalam Transisi Demokrasi Indonesia," *J. Transform.*, 2017.

## CHAPTER 9

### INCLUSIVE REVIEW OF EXECUTIVE: PRESIDENT

---

Bhirgu Raj Maurya, Assistant Professor  
College of Law, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India,  
Email Id- brmourya.mourya321@gmail.com

#### ABSTRACT:

A key component of contemporary democracies across the globe is the executive arm of government, which is headed by the President. In this abstract, the President's diverse position in democratic systems is explored, along with its relevance for national leadership, governance, and policymaking. As the leader of the executive branch, the President is crucial to the democratic system's division of powers. They are in charge of enforcing the law, carrying out government directives, and managing the business of the state. This abstract explores the extensive duties and authority bestowed upon the President, emphasising their dual roles as head of state and supreme commander of the armed forces. The President's position also includes foreign policy and diplomacy, where they represent the country on a global scale. The position and security of a country are significantly impacted by their capacity to negotiate treaties, reach executive agreements, and maintain diplomatic contacts. The President's participation in the legislative process is also examined in the abstract. Although the President's main responsibility is to carry out laws established by the legislature, they often exercise influence by using their veto authority and setting the legislative agenda. One of the most important components of democratic government is the power relationship between the executive and legislative branches. In contrast to their administrative tasks, the President's function as the ceremonial head of state is also examined. The President often acts as a uniting force, standing in for the nation's beliefs and traditions and offering guidance in difficult situations. The summary concludes by highlighting the President's important and complex role in democratic government. The President's influence reverberates throughout the political landscape, having an impact on everything from enforcing laws and managing the executive branch to representing the country abroad and setting the legislative agenda. Understanding the mechanics of executive authority and how it affects governance is crucial to understanding how democratic regimes throughout the globe operate.

#### KEYWORDS:

Cornerstone, Legislative, Judicial, President.

#### INTRODUCTION

A cornerstone of governance in all democratic systems is the executive arm of government, which is headed by the President. The President's job is crucial to the way the state runs because it embodies a unique fusion of administrative power, political leadership, and symbolic representation. This introduction gives a general overview of the President's diverse position in democratic systems, laying the groundwork for a thorough investigation of their authority, importance, and role in society. As the leader of the executive branch, the President plays a crucial role in the separation of powers, which is a cornerstone of democratic government. According to this idea, the executive, legislative, and judicial branches each have certain responsibilities, and the President serves as the main executive officer in charge of enforcing the law and managing the business of the government.

The President's jurisdiction spans a broad range of duties, including creating and carrying out national policies as well as upholding the law. The President serves in an important executive

position that ensures the effective running of government agencies, the provision of public services, and the enforcement of legislative requirements. The President has a significant influence in determining a country's foreign policy and diplomatic relations in addition to domestic administration. They negotiate with foreign governments, speak on behalf of the nation abroad, and make choices that affect the nation's security, economic interests, and position in the world. The nation's standing in the international world is affected by the President's choices on foreign policy, which can have broad-reaching effects. A vital and dynamic component of democratic administration is the President's participation in the legislative process. While the legislature is ultimately in charge of passing laws, the President does have certain discretionary authority that may have a significant impact on how laws are passed. In addition, the President often assumes a crucial role in determining the legislative schedule, ranking the importance of subjects, and dictating the direction of government policy.

The President represents the nation's beliefs, customs, and collective identity in ceremonial position as the head of state. This duty encompasses a variety of ceremonial activities, such as addressing the country at happy or troubling times, state visits, and formal ceremonies. The President's leadership in these figurative capacities may support a feeling of continuity and cohesion among the populace. The President's position in democratic systems is complex and essential to the operation of the government. It is a key role that determines a country's course since it upholds the values of accountability, representation, and the separation of powers. For a sophisticated knowledge of democratic governance and the forces that shape political leadership and governance globally, the President's position must be thoroughly explored.

The Indian President is the head of the state and he is also called the first citizen of India. He is a part of Union Executive, the requirements of which are covered by Articles 52–78, including Articles 52–62 pertaining to the President. This lesson provides details on a president's election process, powers, and duties, as well as the impeachment procedure.

Amendment to the Constitution According to the Indian Constitution, there must be a President for the Union Executive or the Parliament to function. Because Article 52 stipulates that "there shall be a President of India" Once again, Art. 79 states: "There must be a Parliament for the Union, consisting of the President and the Rajya Sabha and Lok Sabha. The President, Vice President, Prime Ministers, and Council of Ministers make up the Union's executive.

Qualification: In order to run for president, a candidate must meet the following requirements:

1. He must be an Indian citizen;
2. He must be at least 35 years old; and
3. He must meet the requirements to serve in the Lok Sabha
4. He is not permitted to occupy a position of profit under the jurisdiction.

The Government of India, any state government, or any local or other authority. (Art 58), If the candidate is already a member of the National Assembly or State Legislature, he or she will be presumed to have resigned from that position on the day that he or she takes office as president (Article 59) [1], [2].

## DISCUSSION

According to Article 54 of the Constitution, the elected members of the Lok Sabha, Rajya Sabha, and state legislative assemblies make up the Electoral College from which the President is chosen. Here, a few crucial aspects must be made notice of First, an indirect election for the presidency is set down in the Constitution. Second, because only elected members of the Lok Sabha are mentioned, etc., it follows that the nominated members are unable to participate in and cast ballots in the presidential election. Additionally, elected members of legislative councils are not permitted to take part in voting. The Constitution has created an election procedure to ensure uniformity in the scale of representation of different

States as well as parity between the States as a whole and the Union because the various states in India are unequal, either in terms of population or as regards the strength in their respective Legislative Assemblies. (Art 55) Voting will take place in a secret ballot process using the single transferable vote and the proportional representation system. The worth of a vote cast by an

MLA =

$$\frac{\text{Total population of state}}{\text{total number of elected members in the state legislative assembly}} \times \frac{1}{1000}$$

The value of the vote of an MP is given below:

$$\frac{\text{total value of votes of all MLAs of all states}}{\text{total number of elected members of Parliament}} \times \frac{1}{1000}$$

**Oath:** Before entering upon his office, the President-Elect shall take an Oath in the name of God or shall solemnly affirm that he would, inter alia, (among other things), endeavour” to Preserve, Protect and Defend the Constitution and the law” (Art 60).

**Term of office:** The President shall hold office for a term of 5 Years from the date on which he enters upon his office. Even after the expiration of this term, the President shall continue to hold office until his successor enters upon his office. The President, through a letter written by him, addressed to the vice President may resign from his office. When this is done, the Vice-President shall immediately communicate the same to the Speaker of the Lok Sabha (Art 61). The President can be removed from his office for the violation of the Constitution through the Process of Impeachment. The President may seek re-election. Art 57.

### **Powers & Functions:**

The Union Executive's Head is the President. He has the union's Executive Power, which he may use personally or via officials who report to him in line with the Constitution. Art. 53. A Council of Ministers is established under the Constitution to assist and advise the President as he performs his duties. (Art 74). The Prime Minister is chosen by the President, who also chooses the other Ministers on the Prime Minister's recommendation. (Art 75) The Attorney General (Art. 76), the Comptroller and Auditor General of India (Art. 148), the Governors of States (Art. 155), the Chairman, Members of U.P.S.C., Chairman, Vice-Chairman, Members of the National Commissions for Scheduled Castes and Tribes (Arts. 124, 148, 148, and 155), and the Chief Justices of the High Courts and the Chief Justice of India are some of the important The President serves as the Defence Forces' Supreme Commander [3], [4].

### **Legislative Powers:**

When neither House of Parliament is in session nor the President determines that the current situation justifies taking urgent action, he may issue the necessary ordinances. These ordinances have the same legal standing and impact as a parliamentary act (Article 123). The Lok Sabha and Rajya Sabha should be presented with the issued ordinances. They stop functioning six weeks after the reassembly of Parliament. If both Houses adopt Resolutions condemning them before the six-week period is over, they become ineffective. The Ordinance is invalid if it contains provisions that Parliament is not authorised to enact under the Constitution. In addition, it should be mentioned that the President plays a crucial role in Parliament and its legislative processes. No Bill enacted by the legislature may become law without his consent. He has the authority to prorogue both Houses of Parliament and, if the



circumstances call for it, to order the dissolution of the Lok Sabha. The President may address the Houses of Parliament and may transmit messages to either House, whether they are related to a bill that is now before them or not. After receiving the message, the House in question will quickly evaluate the issue it raises. Art. 86. The President must address both Houses convened together and tell the Parliament of the reasons for its summons after each General Election to the Lok Sabha, during the first Session and at the beginning of the first session each year. Art 87 [5], [6].

### **President's Pardoning Power:**

A pardon is an act of clemency, kindness, and forgiveness. The accused's age, his spotless past, the circumstances surrounding the crime, the length of time he spent in jail awaiting trial, and his current physical condition are some of the considerations the Executive Head may use when deciding whether to grant the pardon, which may be conditional or unconditional. The wise Seervai's insights at this time are pertinent: Judges are required to uphold the law, no matter what it may be, and make decisions based on the best evidence available. However, the law may not always be fair, and the evidence may not always be clear. Again, judicial processes are not always sufficient to achieve justice. The requirement to vetting such power in a body other than the court has always been acknowledged. The power of pardon exists to avoid injustice, whether from severe, unjust laws or from judgements that result in injustice. The ability to commute a death sentence to life in prison, respite (withdrawing a sentence temporarily to put off the execution of the penalty), and remit the punishment in whole or in part are all included in the power to pardon. According to Art. 72(1), the President has the authority to pardon, reprieve, respite, remit, or commute the sentence of a person convicted of an offence where the punishment or sentence is by a court martial, is for an offence against any law relating to a matter to which the union's executive power extends, or where the sentence is a death sentence.

### **Protection of President:**

According to Article 361, the President is not held personally liable by any court for anything done in the course of carrying out his constitutionally mandated obligations or tasks. The justification is that, according to Article 74(1), the President must follow the recommendations of his Council of Ministers. However, anybody who feels wronged by executive action performed in the President's name may bring the necessary legal action against the Indian government. Additionally, while the President's tenure in office, no criminal procedures may be started or maintained against him in any court. During his tenure, no arrest warrant or other legal action will be brought against him. The President is still personally liable for any actions taken in his individual capacity before or after taking office, and civil actions may still be brought against him. However, there are certain prerequisites. Two months must have passed after receiving a written notification from the party starting the procedure. Additionally, the Notice should include the Name, Description, Cause of Action, and Place of Residence of the Person Instituting the Proceedings [7], [8].

### **Position of President under the Constitution: “**

The Executive Head of the Union of India is often referred to as the "Constitutional Head" or the "Figure Head." We have taken note of the President's authority to nominate key members of the judiciary, such as the Attorney General and Supreme Court justices, as well as his authority to issue orders, declare emergencies, and grant pardons, among other things. Do all of these, at first glance, imply that the President, who occupies an electoral post but did so indirectly, is constitutionally permitted to exercise independence? Do phrases like "when the president is satisfied" is of the 'opinion', "thinks suitable" in the Constitution's provisions serve to support this perspective? Doesn't it seem that the President's behaviour is motivated by his own pleasure, viewpoint, etc.? However, we now know that the Council of Ministers must

assist and advise the President in the discharge of his duties and that the President, according to Article 75, must eventually take such advice into consideration. If so, wouldn't it prove the President is only a "figure head" or "constitutional head"? According to the Supreme Court, "Although the Ordinance is promulgated in the President's name and in a legal sense on his satisfaction, it is actually promulgated on the advice of his council of ministers and on their satisfaction." Now that it has been proven that the President is a constitutional head, he or she must follow the recommendations of his or her council of ministers. The President is typically required under the Constitution to follow the recommendations of his Council of Ministers. The President must, however, likely act independently in exceptional circumstances, such as when the prime minister he appointed loses the confidence of the House and refuses to resign but requests the dissolution of Lok Sabha instead. In addition, in multi-party democracies, no single party or even the coalition may have secured a clear majority [9], [10].

### **Impeachment of the President:**

The President may be impeached under Article 61. It is unclear what actions or inactions on the part of the President would constitute "the violation of the Constitution" under the provision that the President may be impeached for such. According to the Constitution, any House may support the allegation. The proposal to prefer such charge must be included in a resolution that is moved after at least fourteen days of written notice and that is signed by at least one-fourth of the members present. A majority of at least two-thirds of the whole House must vote in favour of the resolution in order for it to be approved. Rajya Sabha will investigate the accusation or order that it be investigated if it has been brought, say, by Lok Sabha. At such an investigation, the President is allowed to make an appearance or be represented. Therefore, the date on which the Resolution is passed is the date on which the President is removed from his office if in the House that has investigated the charge, a resolution is passed by a majority of not less than two-thirds of the total membership of the House declaring that the charge preferred is sustained. When one properly reads Impeachment Article 61, certain important issues do come up. It has been noted that members of state legislatures do participate and cast ballots in the indirect presidential election. They don't have a say in the impeachment procedure, right? The only individuals eligible to participate in and cast ballots in the presidential election are elected members of parliament and legislative assemblies. Why do the nominees, who had no part in the presidential election, have a say in the impeachment of the president? Are there any rules that the President should follow to determine whether his actions or inactions will violate the constitution? What are the possibilities that a party with a two-thirds majority would win the election in this coalition age, as well as in this era of exploding political parties? Does this indicate that an incumbent president should not care about the Impeachment Article or continuously consider what actions or inactions may constitute a "violation of the constitution" [11], [12].

## **CONCLUSION**

The President has a crucial and diverse role in democratic systems, acting as a cornerstone for the smooth operation of the executive branch and overall governmental administration. The President is a key player in the political system because of their extensive range of duties, authority, and relevance. The President is in charge of overseeing the state's administration and enforcing laws and regulations in his capacity as chief executive. For the government to run smoothly and to provide residents with public services, this executive role is essential. Due to the President's position as the country's representative on the world stage, their influence in foreign policy and diplomacy has worldwide repercussions. The security, economic interests, and international status of a country are impacted by its capacity to negotiate treaties, conduct diplomatic contacts, and make strategic judgements in the area of

foreign affairs. The President's participation in the legislative process entails both collaboration and checks and balances. The President has the authority to veto legislation and determine the legislative agenda in addition to being in charge of carrying out laws that the legislature has approved. For democratic forms of government to continue to uphold the separation of powers, this power relationship between the executive and legislative branches must endure. The President also acts as a uniting force, representing the country's ideals, customs, and collective identity as the ceremonial head of state. The President's leadership and presence may provide continuity and a feeling of national solidarity at times of crisis or celebration. Understanding the dynamics of democratic government requires an understanding of the complex nature of the President's position. It emphasises how crucial responsible and successful leadership is to a country's prosperity and well-being. In the end, the President's position reflects the democratic values of representation, accountability, and the separation of powers, all of which are essential to the operation of democratic institutions across the globe.

## REFERENCES:

- [1] Tohirin, "Bimbingan dan Konseling Di Sekolah Dan Madrasah," *Jakarta PT RajaGrafindo Persada*, 2014.
- [2] T. Albus and S. Sharma, "Influencer Marketing Strategies for Indie, Mass and Luxury Brands," *Glob. Cosmet. Ind.*, 2017.
- [3] "16th ISoP Annual Meeting "Pharmacovigilance for Safer Tomorrow"" Agra, India 16–19 October, 2016," *Drug Saf.*, 2016, doi: 10.1007/s40264-016-0445-6.
- [4] P. Chowdappa, "Phytophthora: A major threat to sustainability of horticultural crops," *J. Plant. Crop.*, 2017, doi: 10.19071/jpc.2017.v45.i1.3233.
- [5] G. Sood, "PARLIAMENTARY DEMOCRACY IN INDIA: LEGAL ISSUES AND CHALLENGES," *Facta Univ. Ser. Law Polit.*, 2017, doi: 10.22190/fulp1701095s.
- [6] J. WANG, "India's Policy toward Afghanistan: Implications to the Regional Security Governance," *Asian J. Middle East. Islam. Stud.*, 2017, doi: 10.1080/25765949.2017.12023321.
- [7] Sulaiman, *Metodologi Pembelajaran Pendidikan Agama Islam*. 2017.
- [8] N. C. Fleming, "Book Review: Asia and the Pacific: A Political Legacy of the British Empire: Power and the Parliamentary System in Post-colonial India and Sri Lanka," *Polit. Stud. Rev.*, 2014, doi: 10.1111/1478-9302.12067\_140.
- [9] M. Dr. Sulaiman, "METODOLOGI PEMBELAJARAN PENDIDIKAN AGAMA ISLAM ( PAI ) Penulis Dr . Sulaiman , MA," *J. ...*, 2017.
- [10] I. Udofa, "The Abuse of Presidential Power of Pardon and the Need for Restraints," *Beijing Law Rev.*, 2018, doi: 10.4236/blr.2018.92008.
- [11] S. Pradhan, "McDonald's India – plotting a winning strategy," *Emerald Emerg. Mark. Case Stud.*, 2018, doi: 10.1108/EEMCS-11-2017-0253.
- [12] J. Luftman and R. Kempaiah, "An update on business-IT alignment: 'A line' has been drawn," *MIS Q. Exec.*, 2007.

## CHAPTER 10

### EVALUATING FEATURES OF EXECUTIVE: PRIME MINISTER

---

Yogesh Chandra Gupta, Assistant Professor  
College of Law, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India,  
Email Id- COGNITOR.YOGESH@GMAIL.COM

#### ABSTRACT:

In parliamentary systems, the Prime Minister's position is a pillar of democratic administration and represents the union of executive and legislative power. This abstract investigates the varied roles and importance of the Prime Minister in parliamentary systems, looking at their duties, authority, and impact on government, policymaking, and the operation of the legislature. As the head of state, the prime minister plays a crucial role in the executive branch and is in charge of enforcing laws, managing government affairs, and developing and implementing policies. The Prime Minister is highlighted as the chief executive officer and the head of the governing party or coalition as this abstract goes into the various responsibilities and authority bestowed upon them. The abstract also explores the Prime Minister's function in the legislative process, focusing on their responsibility as the head of the coalition or party with the majority of seats in the parliament. The Prime Minister often sets the legislative agenda, directs the government's policy course, and is essential to the passage of laws. A key component of parliamentary government is the dynamic interplay between the executive and legislative branches. The idea of collaborative accountability, in which the prime minister and the cabinet are jointly responsible to the parliament, is also explored in the abstract. To continue in office, the Prime Minister must keep the support of the legislature, creating a framework for legislative monitoring and accountability. The Prime Minister's function in foreign policy and diplomacy is also discussed. They participate in foreign policy discussions and international agreement negotiations, which have an effect on a country's status and influence in the international community. They also represent the country on the world arena.

#### KEYWORDS:

Management, Governmental Institutions, Prime Minister, Parliament.

#### INTRODUCTION

Within parliamentary systems of government, the position of the prime minister is a crucial and dynamic institution that personifies the union of executive and legislative power. This introduction offers a fundamental overview of the Prime Minister's multifaceted role in parliamentary governance, laying the groundwork for a thorough investigation of their duties, authority, and significance in relation to policymaking, governance, and the operation of the legislature. The Prime Minister is the head of state and occupies a position of utmost significance in parliamentary systems. The dual purpose of the position is what distinguishes it since the prime minister controls both the dominant party and coalition in parliament as well as the executive branch. The interdependence of the executive and legislative branches, a distinguishing aspect of parliamentary government, is highlighted by this unusual mix of functions. The executive position of the Prime Minister includes a broad range of duties, such as the carrying out of laws, the management of government affairs, and the creation and implementation of policies. The Prime Minister is responsible for the day-to-day management

of the country as the chief executive officer, guaranteeing the smooth functioning of governmental institutions and the provision of public services.

As the head of the majority party or coalition in the parliament, the prime minister plays a crucial role in the legislative process. They have the power to determine the legislative agenda, control the direction of government policy, and take an active role in the drafting of laws thanks to their leadership position. The idea of shared responsibility emphasises the Prime Minister's obligation to Parliament, where they must continue to have the support of the legislature in order to hold office. Since the Prime Minister represents the country in issues of foreign policy and diplomacy, their influence goes beyond the boundaries of the country. They negotiate on behalf of nations in international forums, write treaties, and manage relations between nations. Foreign policy choices made by the prime minister have a significant influence on a country's interests and position across the world. Additionally, the Prime Minister often acts as a uniting force for the country, speaking to the nation on important occasions and times of need. They create a feeling of continuity, stability, and national identity in both happy and difficult times.

The Prime Minister has a complex and crucial function in parliamentary systems, acting as a link between the executive and legislative spheres of government. As it encompasses the concepts of responsibility, representation, and the separation of powers that characterise contemporary democratic governance, understanding the dynamics of executive leadership within parliamentary government is crucial for understanding how democratic systems work. This investigation dives into the many components of the Prime Minister's job, shedding light on how important it is in influencing the political climate of parliamentary democracies across the globe. The function of the prime minister is dynamic and constantly changes to meet the nation's changing demands and problems. Their leadership style, goals, and choices have a significant influence on a country's development and governance. The post of prime minister is more than just a position of power; it is also a representation of democratic ideals, in which government is based on the consent of the governed and is answerable to the legislature.

Prime Ministers in parliamentary democracies have been crucial in influencing the development of countries throughout history, whether it was through enacting policy changes or navigating complicated geopolitical environments. They are at the vanguard of government, exercising enormous influence and accountability in the achievement of societal objectives as leaders who serve as a bridge between the executive and legislative branches. As it exemplifies the delicate balance of powers, the values of accountability, and the mechanisms of representation that support the vitality of parliamentary democracies around the world, the role of the prime minister in parliamentary systems is crucial for understanding the nuances of democratic governance. This thorough investigation will dive into the Prime Minister's role's numerous facets and provide insights into its importance and influence on the political environment.

According to Article 75 of the Indian Constitution, the President appoints the Prime Minister. He is neither elected or appointed according to any set process. According to Article 74(1), there must be a Council of Ministers, headed by the Prime Minister, to assist and counsel the President. Consequently, a Council of Ministers is acknowledged by the Indian Constitution itself. A person is appointed as prime minister by the president of India if they are either the leader of the party with the majority of seats in the Lok Sabha or if they can win over the legislature by securing the backing of other political parties. On the Prime Minister's recommendation, the President appoints all other ministries. Note that the President has the power to designate the Prime Minister, but only if no party has a clear majority in the Lok Sabha.

The Indian Constitution's Articles 74 and 75 both address the Council of Ministers. Article 75 makes the following points where Article 74 states that the council would be led by the Prime Minister of India and will assist and advise the President:



1. They are appointed by the President on the advice of Prime Minister
2. They along with the Prime Minister of India form 15% of the total strength of the lower house i.e. Lok Sabha. (The number cannot exceed 15%)
3. 91st Amendment Act provided for the disqualification of the minister when he stands disqualified as a member of Parliament. (Difference between Lok Sabha and Rajya Sabha can be referred to in the linked article.)
4. A Minister ceased to exist as one if he is not a member of either house of Parliament for six consecutive months.
5. Parliament decides the salary and allowances of the council of ministers.

Is the President bound by the recommendations made by the Council of Ministers? The 42nd Amendment Act of 1976 and the 44th Amendment Act of 1978 inserted the clause that the recommendation is obligatory on the President. The statutes further stated that no court may investigate into the council's recommendations [1], [2].

### **DISCUSSION**

The Prime Minister has been held in considerably greater regard since the time of the first Prime Minister, Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru. His leadership of the majority party and dominant position in the Cabinet contribute to his pre-eminence. When all of these positions of authority are merged in one person, he ranks significantly higher than a regular Minister. The Council of Ministers is automatically dissolved upon the passing or resignation of the Prime Minister. The result is a Hoover. A Minister's death, resignation, or termination just leaves a vacancy, which the Prime Minister may or may not choose to fill. The loss of a Minister may be readily made up for, but the Government cannot operate without a Prime Minister.

### **PARLIAMENT**

The Rajya Sabha (Council of States) and the Lok Sabha (House of the People) are the two Houses that make up India's Parliament, which serves as the Indian Union's legislative body. The President, these two houses, and collectively they make up the Indian Parliament. The Rajya Sabha was established as a second chamber to enable the other House to examine and, if necessary, amend laws approved by the first. Since the Rajya Sabha is a permanent body that cannot be dissolved, it is a continuous chamber. Every two years, when one-third of its members reach retirement age, elections are conducted to fill the open posts. If a member of the Rajya Sabha does not quit or is disqualified, his tenure is six years. The lower, or "popular," chamber of the Indian Parliament is known as Lok Sabha. According to the Constitution, there may be no more than 525 members elected directly from State territory seats, and there may be no more than 20 members elected to represent Union Territories. In addition, if the President believes the Anglo-Indian group is underrepresented in the Lok Sabha, he may propose the nomination of two Anglo-Indians.

The President may call a special session of Parliament, prorogue it, and dissolve it. On the recommendation of his Council of Ministers, the President has the authority to dissolve and prorogue. While prorogation just ends a session, dissolution ends the Lok Sabha's existence. A session is not terminated by adjournment; rather, it only postpones future business transactions for a certain period of time. In contrast, prorogation terminates all transactions with the exception of Pending Bill. The Lok Sabha has a Speaker who serves as its chief presiding officer and a Deputy Speaker who assists him and serves in that capacity when he is not present. Each chamber of Parliament has its own presiding officers. The Chairperson preside over the Rajya Sabha with assistance from the Deputy Chairperson. In the event of the former's absence, the latter handles all of his or her responsibilities. The Speaker of the Lok Sabha has a plethora of authority. The Speaker presides over the Lok Sabha's session and directs the proceedings. He or she also upholds decorum and order in the chamber, rules on points of order, and interprets and implements House rules. The effectiveness of the parliamentary system hinges on the Speaker's neutrality since he speaks for the whole House.



When the Speaker is not present, the Deputy Speaker preside over the proceedings of the House and has full authority to act in accordance with the Rules of Procedure. The Vice-President of India serves as the ex-officio Chairperson of the Council of States, although he is not required to carry out his responsibilities as the Chieftain of the Council of States when he assumes the role of President or performs other presidential duties. A Deputy Chairperson is appointed by the Council of States from among its members to assist the Rajya Sabha Chairperson [3], [4].

### **Powers and Functions of the Parliament**

The first duty of Parliament under the cabinet system of government is to choose the coalition that will form the government and support it during its tenure in office. Making legislation for the nation is the Parliament's second duty. Controlling the national budget is Parliament's third duty. The examination and oversight of the executive branch constitute Parliament's fourth duty. When it is essential to modify the Constitution using the process established for the purpose, Parliament might act as a kind of Constituent Assembly. The election of the President and Vice-President is performed by Parliament's Electoral College, which is its sixth duty.

### **Public Accounts Committee:**

The Public Accounts Committee is made up of 15 members who are chosen by the Lok Sabha each year using a single transferable vote and the proportional representation system. The Committee is made up of seven Rajya Sabha members who were similarly chosen by the House. With this election method, it is guaranteed that each Party or Group will have a proportionate representation on the Committee based on its relative strength in the two Houses. The Speaker chooses the committee's chairperson from among the Lok Sabha members who were elected to the position. Members of the Committee have one-year terms in office. The annual Finance Accounts of the Government of India, the accounts reflecting the appropriation of the funds provided by Parliament to meet the expenditures of the Government of India, and any other accounts presented to the House that the Committee may deem appropriate are all examined by the Public Accounts Committee. The Committee reviews two Reports of the Comptroller and Auditor-General on Revenue Receipts one dealing to Direct Taxes and the other to Indirect Taxes in addition to the Reports of the Comptroller and Auditor-General of India on Appropriation Accounts of the Union Government. However, the Committee does not review the financial records for public enterprises that are assigned to the Committee on Public enterprises. It is the responsibility of the Committee to determine whether the funds shown in the accounts as having been disbursed were legally available for and applicable to the service or purpose to which they have been applied or charged, that the expenditure conforms to the authority that governs it, and that every re-appropriation has been made while carefully reviewing the Government of India's appropriation accounts and the Comptroller and Auditor-General's reports thereon.

Verifying that funds provided by the Parliament have been used by the government "within the scope of the demand" is one of the Committee's key responsibilities. The Committee's role "goes beyond the formality of expenditure to its wisdom, faithfulness, and economy," according to its mission statement. Thus, the Committee looks at instances of losses, pointless spending, and financial irregularities. The Committee reviews many facets of government tax administration while reviewing the Comptroller and Auditor-General's Reports on Revenue Reports. Thus, the Committee investigates instances involving underassessments, tax evasion, the failure to collect duties, misclassifications, etc., finds gaps in the taxation rules and processes, and offers suggestions to stop revenue leaks. If any money has been spent on a service in excess of the sum authorised by the House for that purpose, the Committee reviews the matter in light of the specific facts in each instance, considers the reasons for the excess,

and makes any recommendations it sees suitable. Following that, the Government must bring such excesses before the House for regularisation in the manner outlined in Article 115 of the Constitution. The Committee quickly submits a comprehensive report covering all Ministries/Departments ahead of other reports in order to aid Parliament in swiftly regularising such spending [5], [6].

### **Committee on Public Undertakings:**

The Lok Sabha selects the 15 members of the Committee on Public Undertakings from among its members each year using a single transferable vote and the principles of proportional representation. The Committee is made up of seven Rajya Sabha members who were similarly chosen by that House. Each party or group will be represented on the Committee in proportion to the relative strength of the Houses thanks to this election procedure. The Speaker chooses the Chairman of the Committee from among the Lok Sabha members who were elected to the Committee. Members of the Committee have one-year terms in office. The Committee's duties include reviewing the Reports and Accounts of the Public Undertakings listed in the Fourth Schedule of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in Lok Sabha as well as any reports from the Comptroller and Auditor-General thereon. Additionally, the Committee must determine whether the Public Undertakings' affairs are managed in accordance with ethical business practises. The Committee may also look into any topics or issues that the House or the Speaker may directly bring to it for investigation. However, the Committee is prohibited from looking into and examining matters involving significant government policy as opposed to business or commercial operations of public undertakings or matters for which machinery is established by any particular statute that established a particular undertaking.

### **Business Advisory Committee**

It is not feasible for the Speaker to designate members from every Opposition party since the membership of the Committee is restricted and there are quite a few of them. This committee typically meets three or four times during a session and offers suggestions for the House's work schedule that are often adopted by the House. However, the Speaker extends invitations to select notable unaffiliated members and members of some of the Opposition organisations that do not have representation on the Committee in order to ensure that the Committee has as wide a base as feasible. The invited participants are not counted towards a quorum or given voting privileges. The Business Advisory Committee's job is to suggest how much time should be allotted for debating the stage or stages of any Government Bills or other business that the Speaker, after consulting with the Leader of the House, may order be referred to the Committee. The various hours at which the various phases of a bill or other activity should be finished may be specified by the Committee in the proposed timeline [7], [8].

### **Parliamentary Methods to Control the Executive**

According to the norms of procedure and conduct of business in Parliament, questions may be asked and answered during the first hour of each session, unless the presiding officer decides otherwise. The Question Hour is the name given to the first hour. An "adjournment motion's main goal is to alert the house to a recent issue of urgent public interest that might have significant repercussions. A member may, with the Speaker's prior approval, draw a minister's attention to any issue of public significance and ask the minister to provide a statement on it. The minister has two options: he may speak briefly right away or he can seek for more time to speak at a later time. An official declaration on a problem of urgent public significance is sought from the responsible Minister as the primary goal of a calling attention [9], [10].

## CONCLUSION

In parliamentary systems, the Prime Minister plays a crucial and diverse role in the dynamics of democratic government. The Prime Minister represents the union of executive and legislative power as the head of state and serves as a key player in both the legislative and executive spheres of government. In the executive branch, the prime minister acts as the chief executive officer and is in charge of enforcing laws, managing government affairs, and creating and carrying out policies. Their executive branch leadership is crucial to ensuring the effective operation of government organisations and the provision of public services. The Prime Minister serves as the head of the majority party or coalition in the parliament when it comes to legislating. They have the authority to determine the government's policy course, establish the legislative agenda, and actively engage in the legislative process thanks to their role. The democratic values of supervision and accountability are reflected in the notion of collective responsibility, which emphasises the Prime Minister's obligation to the parliament. Understanding how parliamentary systems work requires an understanding of the complexity of the Prime Minister's job. It highlights the complex interplay between authority, responsibility, and representation that characterises democratic government. The Prime Minister's leadership is a representation of democratic values and acts as a cornerstone in the international governance frameworks of parliamentary democracies.

## REFERENCES:

- [1] J. Kaarbo, "Prime minister leadership style and the role of parliament in security policy," *Br. J. Polit. Int. Relations*, 2018, doi: 10.1177/1369148117745679.
- [2] G. P. Thomas, "United kingdom: The prime minister and parliament," *J. Legis. Stud.*, 2004, doi: 10.1080/1357233042000322193.
- [3] L. R. F. Gonçalves Veiga, "Popularity functions for the Portuguese Prime Minister, Government, Parliament and President," *Eur. J. Polit. Res.*, 1998, doi: 10.1111/1475-6765.00386.
- [4] A. Garrido-Muñoz, "Prime Minister v. Parliament of Catalonia," *Am. J. Int. Law*, 2018, doi: 10.1017/ajil.2018.18.
- [5] A. Kelso, M. Bennister, and P. Larkin, "The shifting landscape of prime ministerial accountability to parliament: An analysis of Liaison Committee scrutiny sessions," *Br. J. Polit. Int. Relations*, 2016, doi: 10.1177/1369148116633438.
- [6] P. Riddell, "Prime ministers and parliament," *Parliamentary Affairs*. 2004. doi: 10.1093/pa/gsh063.
- [7] A. S. Amin, "Relationship among the President, Prime Minister and Parliament of Russia under the 1993 Constitution of the Russian Federation," *Beijing Law Rev.*, 2018, doi: 10.4236/blr.2018.91001.
- [8] HM Government, *The Future Relationship Between the United Kingdom and the European Union*. 2018.
- [9] J. D. Huber, "The Vote of Confidence in Parliamentary Democracies," *Am. Polit. Sci. Rev.*, 1996, doi: 10.2307/2082884.
- [10] H. E. M. S. Abe, "MOFA: Speech by H.E. Mr. Shinzo Abe, Prime Minister of Japan, at the Parliament of the Republic of India 'Confluence of the Two Seas,'" *Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Japan*, 2007.

## CHAPTER 11

### UNDERSTANDING A CABINET SECRETARIAT

---

Pradip Kumar Kashyap, Assistant Professor  
College of Law, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India,  
Email Id- pradiprgnul@gmail.com

#### ABSTRACT:

A crucial and sometimes understated part of a government's machinery, the Cabinet Secretariat is essential to coordinating and easing the operation of the executive branch. The relevance of the Cabinet Secretariat in governance is explored in this abstract, along with its functions, organisational structure, and the crucial part it plays in ensuring efficient decision-making, the execution of policies, and intergovernmental cooperation. The Prime Minister or President and the Cabinet are the principal decision-makers and administrators in the government, and the Cabinet Secretariat is the centre of executive governance. This abstract explores the wide range of duties held by the Cabinet Secretariat, emphasising its part in setting up Cabinet meetings, keeping track of official paperwork, and giving policy recommendations. The abstract also examines the divisions and secretariats that are focused on certain policy areas within the Cabinet Secretariat. To provide smooth coordination across government agencies and ministries, these divisions act as the hubs for policy creation, implementation, and monitoring. Examining the Cabinet Secretariat's position as a link between the federal, state, and municipal governments, its role in intergovernmental cooperation is also highlighted. It makes collaboration easier, settles conflicts, and makes sure that objectives and policies are aligned at all levels of government. The abstract also discusses the importance of the Cabinet Secretariat for national security and crisis management. During crises, it acts as the main centre for information sharing and decision-making, ensuring the continuation of governmental activities.

#### KEYWORDS:

Cabinet, Secretariat, President, Prime Minister.

#### INTRODUCTION

A crucial organisation that works in the background to support the effective operation of the executive branch of government, the Cabinet Secretariat is a cornerstone of governance within countries. This introduction prepares the reader for a thorough examination of the Cabinet Secretariat's function in governance, highlighting the importance of its coordination of policy development, decision-making, and intergovernmental collaboration. The Cabinet Secretariat is a key component of government that supports both the Cabinet and the head of government, whether that be the President or Prime Minister. Its duties are extensive and include scheduling Cabinet meetings, keeping track of official paperwork, and giving knowledgeable policy recommendations to help the government make choices. This introduction also explains the complex structure of the Cabinet Secretariat, which often consists of specialised divisions and secretariats, each of which is devoted to a particular area of policy. These divisions serve as focal points for policy development, execution, and oversight, ensuring that government agencies and ministries collaborate to advance common goals.

The Cabinet Secretariat extends beyond the only national level. In especially in federal systems, it acts as a key link in intergovernmental coordination, promoting collaboration, resolving conflicts, and harmonising policies across several levels of government. This introduction also emphasises how important the Cabinet Secretariat is to managing crises and maintaining national security. During crises, it acts as the main centre for information sharing

and decision-making, ensuring the continuation of governmental operations and defending national interests. In essence, the Cabinet Secretariat serves as a quiet but crucial cog in the wheel of administration, making sure that governments run efficiently, openly, and in line with democratic ideals. Its structure and functions must be understood in order to fully appreciate the complexities of executive governance and the systems that allow leaders to guide their countries towards growth and prosperity. This investigation tries to provide a thorough knowledge of the Cabinet Secretariat's crucial function in international government. The Cabinet Secretariat functions at the nexus of politics, management, and policy, making it an essential part of the governmental apparatus. Its operations are evidence of the democratic system's guiding ideals of openness, responsibility, and effective government [1], [2].

The Cabinet Secretariat is responsible for streamlining the decision-making process, diligently maintaining official documents, and ensuring that policies are supported by professional counsel. It is the unseen power driving efficient government. By doing this, it makes a substantial contribution to the creation and implementation of policies that have a considerable influence on the trajectory of a country and the welfare of its population. The Cabinet Secretariat's intricate organisational structure, which includes several divisions and specialised secretariats, highlights its ability to fully cover a broad range of policy issues. These divisions act as knowledge hubs, assisting the government in resolving complicated problems, wisely allocating resources, and providing efficient public services. Additionally, the Cabinet Secretariat is crucial in promoting cooperation and concord in multi-tiered governmental systems, especially in federal ones. It serves as the central organising principle for intergovernmental cooperation, ensuring that goals and objectives are shared by the federal, state, and municipal governments. In order to solve complicated problems that cross administrative borders, collaboration is crucial.

The Cabinet Secretariat's responsibility intensifies at times of crisis, including natural disasters and threats to national security. It becomes a hub for information sharing, crisis management, and decision-making, enabling the government to respond quickly and efficiently, assuring the continuation of government operations as well as the security of the populace. The Cabinet Secretariat functions at the centre of successful government, representing the values of effectiveness, cooperation, and openness that are crucial for democratic government. The effective governance of countries depends on its features, composition, and role. This in-depth investigation intends to shed light on the Cabinet Secretariat's crucial function in governance and provide understanding of its relevance in the larger context of political leadership and administrative processes [3], [4].

## DISCUSSION

The civil service is essential to the implementation of public policies and many laws in a contemporary democratic welfare state. Political executive under a parliamentary system of government is accountable to the people via the Parliament. Administrators with specific expertise and experience are essential to the cabinet's effectiveness. As a result, the cabinet

secretariat was created to provide the cabinet the administrative support it needs [5].

### The Evolution of the Cabinet Secretariat

Before the country gained its independence, the Governor-general's executive council was in charge of all governmental operations. Only the most significant matters were handled by the Governor-general or the council collectively as the volume and complexity of government activity rose. The work of the different departments was apportioned among the members of the council. The Councils Act of 1861, passed during the reign of Lord Canning, made this practise legal. As a result, the portfolio system and the executive council of the Governor-General were established. The viceroy's private secretary oversaw the executive council's secretariat, although he wasn't present for council sessions. At these sessions, Lord



Willington initially established the custom of sitting next to his private secretary. The viceroy's private secretary was granted the additional title of secretary to the executive council in November 1935 as a result of the continuation of this practise in the future. The name of this post was altered by the interim government's constitution in September 1946, however its duties were largely same. The cabinet secretariat was subsequently established as the secretariat of the executive council. However, it seems, at least looking back, that Independence brought about some shift in the duties performed by the cabinet secretariat. It evolved into a body for achieving cooperation across the ministries and was no longer restricted to the passive task of distributing documents to ministers and other ministries. A cabinet-level economic committee was established in February 1949 with the goal of quickly reviewing economic proposal. Prior to June 1950, when it became a component of the cabinet secretariat and was given the designation of the economic wing, this committee's secretariat was housed in the ministry of finance. Later, in October 1955, this wing was combined with the main secretariat to provide greater coordination and prevent needless duplication in the secretariat activities. The division of organisation and techniques was created in 1954 and assigned to the cabinet secretariat. The organisation and methods division was moved from the cabinet secretariat to the ministry of home affairs in May 1964. It is now located under the ministry of personnel, public grievances, and pension's department of administrative reforms and public complaints. The establishment of a military committee of the cabinet in 1957 marked a considerable expansion in the range of duties performed by the cabinet secretariat. The cabinet secretariat created a distinct branch dubbed the military wing to help the committee with its secretarial needs. The defence services provide the officers for this wing [6], [7].

With effect from July 1, 1991, the military wing has been transferred to the ministry of defence. The department of statistics was established in April 1961 as a division of the cabinet secretariat and moved to the ministry of planning in February 1973. Since July 1991, the ministry of planning has been known as the ministry of planning and programme execution. On 16 June 1962, the cabinet secretariat established the department of special economic coordination. On 14 November of the same year, it was moved to the former ministry of economic defence coordination. There isn't currently a department like that in the Indian government. The intelligence wing was established in July 1965 as a division of the cabinet secretariat to provide secretarial support to the joint intelligence committee. On 25 January 1966, the bureau of public enterprises was transferred from the ministry of finance to the cabinet secretariat as a topic, and on 2 June 1966, it was moved to the ministry of finance's former department of coordination. The Bureau of Public Enterprises was later moved on June 13, 1967, to the Department of Economic Affairs within the Ministry of Finance. The Bureau of Public Enterprises was moved to the Ministry of Industry's newly established Department of Public Enterprises on September 25, 1935. On June 26, 1970, the cabinet secretariat added three new departments: the department of electronics, the department of scientific and industrial research, and the department of personnel, in addition to the department of cabinet affairs and the department of statistics [8], [9].

The directorate-general of revenue intelligence-cum-directorate of enforcement was established on July 29, 1970, in the department of cabinet affairs, under the cabinet secretariat, and moved to the department of personnel on August 1, 1970. On 26 June 1970, the cabinet secretariat established the department of scientific and industrial research. On 3 May 1971, it separated into an autonomous entity called as Vigyan Aur Pradyogiki Vibhag. On June 17, 1971, the department of electronics that had been established on June 26, 1970, under the cabinet secretariat, became autonomous. The department of statistics, which had been a division of the cabinet secretariat since 9 April 1961, was moved to the ministry of planning on February 7, 1973, at the same time as the department of personnel was given the new name "department of personnel and administrative reforms." The department of



personnel and administrative reforms was moved from the cabinet secretariat to the ministry of home affairs on April 7, 1977. The department of personnel and administrative reforms was split into two departments, the department of personnel and training and the department of administrative reforms and public grievances, and is now a component of the ministry of personnel, public complaints, and pensions. The directorate of Public Grievances was established in the cabinet secretariat with effect from 25 March 1988 to entertain grievances from the public after the complainants fail to receive satisfactory redress from the ministry/department concerned within a reasonable period of time. The department of Public Grievances was established in the cabinet secretariat with effect from 25 March 1988. The directorate is empowered to address complaints regarding the department of posts, the department of telecommunication, the banking division, and the small savings division of the department of economic affairs, as well as the ministries of railways, urban development, surface transport, and civil aviation. Due to the restructuring of the executive powers of the Union government, the administrative structure of the cabinet secretariat has undergone many modifications as described above [10], [11].

### **The Cabinet Secretary**

In our cabinet system, he is the viceroy's executive council secretary's replacement. He serves as the hub of the cabinet system since he is the senior-most civil servant in the nation. He sits at the Prime Minister's side during cabinet sessions to inform him on different items on the agenda. The chief secretaries' conferences are presided over by the cabinet secretary, who also serves as head of the committee of secretaries meetings. Following Independence, the position of cabinet secretary was established in India, with N. R. Pillai serving as its first head. Since he is often the most senior civil servant in the nation, seniority should be taken into consideration when choosing a cabinet secretary, along with qualifications. Seniority is not the only consideration. The phenomenon of extension was caused by the no fixed tenure regulation. It is not advisable to grant the cabinet secretary an extension since doing so would simply make his position unsustainable and vulnerable to various speculations and accusations. A defined term should be assigned to the position of cabinet secretary, and if it is necessary to extend it, it should be done at the time of appointment [12], [13].

### **The Role of the Cabinet Secretary**

The cabinet secretary serves as the head of the civil service, the prime minister, the cabinet, and its committees' top adviser. He oversees the activity of several agencies and ministries. He serves as a liaison between several administrative agencies and the office of the prime minister. He serves as a conduit between the bureaucracy and the political portion of the government, which is represented by the cabinet. According to the statutory rules of business, the cabinet secretary's primary responsibilities are to create the government's rules of business and to provide secretarial support to the cabinet and cabinet committees. Its primary duties include creating cabinet recommendations after talks with the relevant ministries and departments, continuously coordinating the execution of cabinet decisions, and creating documents related to the Prime Minister's appointment-related duties. The core group, which consists of the cabinet secretary, the principal secretary to the prime minister, the home secretary, the defence secretary, the secretary of RAW, the director of the intelligence bureau (DIB), and the chairman of the joint intelligence committee (JIC), carefully examines some general issues addressed by the committee of secretaries and others, such as internal security. On rare occasions, the concerned secretary may also be invited to the core group meetings. Recent times have made internal security and gathering information the most crucial duties of the cabinet secretary. It is his responsibility to synthesise the source material in order to provide a coordinated appraisal of a specific scenario or issue. If the problem is settled inside the core group, the Prime Minister or the cabinet are not consulted. Briefly stated, the cabinet

secretary typically occupies the position of seniority among all civil workers in the nation, according to the formal warrant of precedence [14], [15].

### CONCLUSION

The Cabinet Secretariat is the backbone of efficient administration, coordinating, facilitating, and simplifying government processes in a key but sometimes unnoticed capacity. This crucial institution makes ensuring that the executive branch, which is led by the president and the Cabinet, operates effectively, openly, and in conformity with democratic governance ideals. The many duties of the Cabinet Secretariat include scheduling and facilitating Cabinet meetings, preserving official documents, and offering priceless policy recommendations. By doing this, it greatly influences the creation and application of policies that have an effect on a country's trajectory and the welfare of its population. The Cabinet Secretariat's organisational structure, which includes several divisions and specialised secretariats, gives it the ability to fully cover a variety of policy topics. These divisions act as hubs for policy formation, execution, and coordination, ensuring that ministries and departments of the government collaborate to accomplish overall goals. In order to promote intergovernmental collaboration, especially in federal systems, the Cabinet Secretariat is crucial. It encourages collaboration, settles conflicts, and guarantees policy consistency at various levels of government by serving as a link between the federal, state, and local levels. The efficient operation of complicated, multi-tiered government institutions depends on this cooperation. The Cabinet Secretariat plays a crucial role in crisis management and national security during times of crisis. It turns become the main centre for information transmission and decision-making, allowing governments to react to crises quickly and efficiently, ensuring the continuation of governmental operations and the security of the country. In essence, the Cabinet Secretariat is the unseen power that makes sure governments run smoothly and that policies are created and carried out precisely behind the scenes of efficient governance. Its importance in democratic governance cannot be overestimated since it exemplifies the values of openness, effectiveness, and cooperation that support effective government.

### REFERENCES:

- [1] T. Shinoda, "Japan's Cabinet Secretariat and its emergence as core executive," *Asian Surv.*, 2005, doi: 10.1525/as.2005.45.5.800.
- [2] B. Masschaele, "Memos and minutes: Arnold Heeney, the cabinet war committee, and the establishment of a Canadian cabinet secretariat during the Second World War," *Archivaria*, 1998.
- [3] "The cabinet handbook," *Politics*, 1982, doi: 10.1080/00323268208401841.
- [4] J. R. Mallory, "Mackenzie King and the origins of the cabinet secretariat," *Can. Public Adm.*, 1976, doi: 10.1111/j.1754-7121.1976.tb01715.x.
- [5] R. J. Q. Adams and J. F. Naylor, "A Man and an Institution: Sir Maurice Hankey, the Cabinet Secretariat and the Custody of Cabinet Secrecy," *Am. Hist. Rev.*, 1985, doi: 10.2307/1861004.
- [6] R. J. P. Hewison, "The Organisation of the Cabinet Secretariat," *Public Adm.*, 1952, doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9299.1952.tb03055.x.
- [7] K. O. Morgan, "7 december 1916: Asquith, Lloyd George and the crisis of liberalism," *Parliam. Hist.*, 2017, doi: 10.1111/1750-0206.12318.
- [8] T. Hustedt and M. Seyfried, "Inside the EU Commission: Evidence on the Perceived Relevance of the Secretariat General in Climate Policy-Making," *J. Common Mark. Stud.*, 2018, doi: 10.1111/jcms.12605.

- [9] J. R. Starr, "The English Cabinet Secretariat," *Am. Polit. Sci. Rev.*, 1928, doi: 10.2307/1945476.
- [10] S. Sterling, "Encouraging resilience within SMEs: the Cabinet Office's proposed approach," *J. Bus. Contin. Emer. Plan.*, 2011.
- [11] S. Umeda, "Japan: Basic Act on Cybersecurity Amended," *Global Legal Monitor (US Law Library of Congress)*. 2018.
- [12] K. Sasaki *et al.*, "Smart Fuel Cell Demonstration Project: A Challenge to Realize SOFC-Powered Campus," *ECS Trans.*, 2015, doi: 10.1149/06801.0171ecst.
- [13] T. Shinoda, "Japan's Top-Down Policy Process to Dispatch the SDF to Iraq," *Japanese J. Polit. Sci.*, 2006, doi: 10.1017/S1468109905002070.
- [14] L. Schreiber, "Making Power-Sharing Work: Kenya's Grand Coalition Cabinet," *Glob. Challenges Power Shar.*, 2016.
- [15] Government of India, "Sachar Committee Report," 2006.

## CHAPTER 12

### BRIEF DISCUSSION ON CENTRAL SECRETARIAT

---

Dal Chandra, Assistant Professor  
College of Law, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India,  
Email Id- degautambahjoi@yahoo.co.in

#### ABSTRACT:

In many countries' administrative systems, the Central Secretariat plays a crucial administrative role while often acting covertly in the background. In order to understand the Central Secretariat's importance in governance, it is necessary to understand its functions, organisational structure, and crucial role in coordinating governmental activities, policy execution, and interministerial cooperation. The Central Secretariat acts as the brain of the government and is in charge of ensuring that all departments and ministries run efficiently. This abstract explores its many tasks, highlighting its role in coordinating decision-making, policy development, and resource distribution. The abstract also examines the Central Secretariat's organisational structure, which normally comprises of specialised divisions and secretariats, each of which is devoted to a particular area of policy. These divisions serve as focal points for developing, implementing, and monitoring policies, ensuring that the government runs smoothly in all areas. Beyond the national level, the Central Secretariat often contributes significantly to interstate coordination, particularly in federal systems. It promotes collaboration, settles conflicts, and assures policy alignment across several levels of government, all of which add to the overall efficacy of governance. The abstract also discusses the Central Secretariat's function in national security and crisis management. In times of crisis, it acts as a focal point for information sharing and decision-making, ensuring the continuation of governmental operations and the interests of the country.

#### KEYWORDS:

Administrative, Central, Government, Secretariat.

#### INTRODUCTION

The Central Secretariat, a crucial administrative organisation inside the framework of government, is what makes government departments and ministries function without a hitch. The Central Secretariat oversees vital operations including policy coordination, resource allocation, and inter-ministerial cooperation, typically working quietly in the background but playing a significant role in national administration. In this introduction, we set out on a quest to comprehend the Central Secretariat's crucial role in the functioning of the government. The complexity of its activities, organisational layout, and the crucial part it plays in the coordination of government operations will all be examined here. Fundamentally, the Central Secretariat acts as the centre for coordinating government action, supporting the effective operation of numerous governmental entities. The Central Secretariat is charged with a variety of duties, which will be covered in this introduction. These duties include creating consensus in decision-making, determining the direction of policy, and ensuring that few resources are used wisely.

We'll also look at the Central Secretariat's organisational structure, exposing its generally specialised divisions and secretariats, each of which is focused on a certain area of policy. These divisions serve as the driving forces behind policy creation, execution, and oversight, ensuring that the government functions effectively across a broad range of industries. The Central Secretariat often plays a major role in interstate cooperation, especially in federal systems, and its responsibilities frequently go beyond those at the national level. It acts as a

catalyst for collaboration, dispute resolution, and policy alignment across multiple levels of government, enhancing governance's overall effectiveness. This introduction will also touch on the crucial part the Central Secretariat plays in maintaining national security and crisis management. It serves as the focal point for gathering information, handling crises, and making decisions, assuring the continuation of governmental operations and the protection of national interests. The Central Secretariat, which provides the infrastructure needed for effective government operations, good policy execution, and fruitful cooperation across ministries and agencies, may be thought of as the unassuming but crucial force in the world of government administration. Understanding the crucial function of the Central Secretariat is necessary to fully appreciate the intricacies of governmental administration and the systems that support efficient governance. This investigation tries to provide a fundamental knowledge of the Central Secretariat's crucial function in international government. The Central Secretariat, which functions at the centre of government, embodies the values of openness, effectiveness, and accountability that are crucial for successful international governance. The government's machinery runs efficiently and cohesively thanks to its function in coordinating activities and policies [1], [2].

Its organised divisions and specialised secretariats house the knowledge required to adequately handle a variety of policy issues. The government can successfully negotiate difficult challenges, manage resources appropriately, and provide public services because to its specialisation. Furthermore, a role that assumes growing significance in federal systems is that of the Central Secretariat, which often acts as a vital link in intergovernmental collaboration. It promotes cooperation, settles conflicts, and harmonises policies to preserve peace and unity among the many tiers of government. Whether there are natural catastrophes or threats to the country's security, the Central Secretariat's function is more prominent during times of crisis. It converts into a crisis management command centre that promotes rapid information sharing, crisis response, and well-informed decision-making, protecting the stability and resilience of the country. The Central Secretariat is more than simply an administrative body; it provides the foundation for effective policymaking, effective administration, and the coordination of the intricate machinery of government. This investigation intends to provide light on its crucial importance in the larger context of government operations and governance. Its activities, structure, and role are the cornerstones of effective nation-state administration [3], [4].

## DISCUSSION

Over 200 years, the secretariat has seen several changes. The chapter would cover the development of the secretariat as well as its function in the nation's administrative structure. 'Secretariat' is not a term that appears in the Constitution. According to Article 77(3) of the Constitution, the President must create regulations for the government of India's commercial transactions and for the distribution of such business among ministries. To conduct government business, the secretariat is needed. The term "secretariat" is derived from the word "secret," which denotes anything hidden or withheld from public view or knowledge, secret, secretive, or confidential. The secretariat's primary responsibility is to counsel the minister on administrative and policy issues. The government's tasks seem to have become associated with secrecy since the operations of the state, and notably the interactions between the secretary and the minister, are private in nature. Thus, the name "secretariat" is likely derived from the word "secret" for this reason. The secretary controlled the government while Britain ruled India.

### The Evolution of the Central Secretariat

The office of the Governor general served as the secretariat of India at first. The Central Secretariat at Fort William in Bengal was created to provide the necessary information for the development of policy and to carry out the directives of the Company's Government,

according to the description of the secretariat's initial function. Furthermore, according to B. B. Mishra, "the President and the council at Fort William did all of their work in one general department with the assistance of a secretary and a few helpers before the year 1756. When packages from England arrived, the secretary presented them to the council for orders and instructions, which, once given, were communicated to the authorities in question for implementation. The "supreme government," which has supreme power over the "presidency government," was initially established by the Regulatory Act of 1773. It was made up of a Governor-general and four councillors, who had complete authority over the Indian military. During the whole British occupation, this arrangement persisted. The only thing that continued growing was the council's membership.

The secretariat's position developed along with the company's control as it assumed a number of governmental responsibilities. In order to strengthen and organise the secretariat, Lord Cornwallis took some action. He established the position of secretary-general, in which all authority and duties were centralised. He eventually earned the title of chief secretary. Lord Wellesley also showed a considerable interest in restructuring the secretariat, and as a result, the secretariat's workload and responsibilities significantly grew. He improved the government secretary's standing. He accomplished this by increasing their pay and giving them more responsibility. In addition to their usual everyday business of execution, the secretaries' duties included planning and research. The supreme administration towards the end of the 18th century was composed of a Governor-general, three councillors, and a secretariat with four departments. Each of them reported to a secretary, who in turn was supervised by the chief secretary. On the eve of the Montford Reforms in 1919, more than a century had passed, and India's government now only had a Governor general and seven other members. With the exception of the Indian Munitions Board and the Railway Board, the secretariat also grew and now contained nine departments. There were 29 members of the secretariat overall, and 17 more officials from the two boards might be appointed. This figure stayed constant until 1939, the start of World War II [5], [6].

### **Functions of the Secretariat**

In our nation, the secretariat has often operated under the guidance of two guiding principles. The first was the separation of policy from its execution putting the administration in motion so that the latter could be given to a different agency, which has some latitude in the area of execution. Second, the health of the administrative system as a whole depends on a temporary cadre of officers selected from state cadres, functioning under the tenure system of staff, and managing a permanent staff. Split system is the name of this system.

### **Advantages of Split**

System The Indian system of function separation has been argued to offer several benefits. The most significant is firstly the absence of day-to-day operational issues, which gives decision-makers the ability to take whatever actions are required for long-term planning. Second, the secretariat serves as the minister's objective adviser. Any proposition is uninteresting to it. The suggestions originating from the executive agencies are objectively evaluated from the perspective of the greater government. Because of this, the secretary in the secretariat serves as the secretary to the government as a whole rather than his minister. Third, the division maintains a compact secretariat. Fourth, it also prevents over centralization. The executive agencies must be allowed a fair level of latitude in carrying out the policies and duties assigned to them. The secretariat's management of the field operations would have resulted in significant centralization and a lag in task completion.

### **Structure of the Secretariat**



A large and intricate organisation made up of several ministries and divisions, the central secretariat. The many components of the Union government's administrative organisation are not all described using the same language. It is a conglomeration of several departments and ministries. The phrase "ministry" only became popular after Independence. The officers and office are two separate parts of the secretariat. A ministry could have many departments. Numerous sizable ministries, like those for home, agriculture, finance, education, defence, and foreign affairs, are responsible for multiple departments. When it comes to the department, it may be described as an organisational unit that carries out certain tasks under the administrative supervision of a secretary to the government. In other words, while this difference is not often maintained, a ministry is still under the minister's care whereas a department is under the control of a secretary. There may be more than one secretary in a ministry with many departments. In these situations, one secretary is elevated above the other secretaries so that she may represent the ministry. These individuals are known as the chief secretary or the secretary-general. Because of this, even if all secretaries get the same pay, they could not all have the same rank. According to the organisational structure of a ministry, the minister is the political leader and may be supported by a minister of state and a deputy minister. The minister's bureaucracy is led administratively by the secretary. The following sections make up a ministry's structure, and an officer is in charge of each to speed up operations: 187

|                |  |
|----------------|--|
| Department     | Secretary/Additional/Special secretary |
| Wing           | Joint/Additional secretary             |
| Division       | Director/Deputy secretary              |
| Branch         | Under-secretary                        |
| Section/Office | Section officer                        |

The section in-charge or a section officer, assisted by a number of assistants, is the lowest level of such units. Along with stenographers, who help senior officials with their secretarial needs, there are also clerks, daftaries, typists, and peons. The office is another name for it. It deals with the assignments associated with the assigned topic. The branch, which consists of two parts, is administered by an undersecretary also known as a branch officer. A division is made up of two branches and is often led by a deputy secretary. One or more "wings" are created when a ministry's workload increases, with a joint secretary overseeing each wing. The department, which is led by the secretary or, in certain situations, a second special secretary, sits at the top of the organisational chart [7], [8].

### **Staffing of Officers**

There was no central secretariat service to offer a stable group of officials for the secretariat at the time of independence in 1947. Officers selected from the provincial government filled the secretariat roles, and the majority of them left after a set tenure of three to five years. This method is referred to as a "tenure system" since each officer thus deputed is required to work at the facility for a certain period of time, often between three and five years. Lord Curzon, who held the view that "India may be governed from Simla or Calcutta, but is administered from the plains," developed this system in 1905. He believed that the district served as the centre of administration and that all significant administrative tasks were carried out at the district level. He thus believed that the officials with district work experience had to be brought to the secretariat. He believed it was important to keep the secretariat informed of events on the ground. The officers must return to the field to refresh their field experience, which wanes while they are working in the secretariat for a certain amount of time. Several committees and commissions have proposed that the secretariat be staffed by personnel from the states. Effective secretariat service, both in the provinces and in Delhi, relies upon a

regular circulation of employees between the district and the secretariat, according to the Simon committee on decentralisation from 1930. In the Indian administrative system, expertise earned with the central government or at the provincial secretariat service is beneficial to provinces and districts.

And in-depth familiarity with the provinces and districts is priceless at the provincial and central secretariat. They are strengthened by movement among them. The tenure arrangement was likewise favoured by the 1936 Wheeler committee. "The imposition of a limit to the occupancy of certain appointments has long been a feature of Indian administration," the committee claims. "The posts governed by it range from that of the Governor-general and members of the council to such junior ranks as those of under-secretaries." <sup>5</sup> This structure is built into how all-India services are organised and are provided by both the national and state governments. The district offices, state secretariats, and central secretariats are where the personnel of these services must work. The tenure system was established in order to use their services and allow the federal and state governments to benefit from the expertise of these officials. In its 1937 report, the Maxwell committee backed the tenure system. The committee proposed that the officials' terms in the central secretariat be divided as evenly as possible by time spent in the districts and provinces, where their connection to reality is rekindled. Similar to this, officers with secretariat expertise need to be made accessible to the field since they would know how to address secretariat difficulties for the field.

The administrative reforms commission research team on personnel administration recently recommended demolishing all obstacles preventing the movement of workers between the secretariat and the field in its report from 1967, expressing its unequivocal support for the tenure system. It was suggested that the tenure system be strictly followed and that 'officers must go return to the parent departments or state governments as the case may be for a considerable amount of time not less than the duration spent outside the department/state before being considered for another assignment.' The following is a systematic summary of the defences of the tenure system put forward by different committees and commissions: First, the federal system of governance is affected positively and consistently by the rotation of public workers between the federal government and the state and local governments. Due to tensions and difficulties in centre-state relations, especially when various political parties gained control of the federal government and the states, such a function has grown in importance.

The national policies will be grounded in reality and have less trouble being implemented in practise when the central secretariat positions are held by individuals with expertise in district and state administration. Third, staying a long time at the secretariat makes it harder to communicate with the field administration. In a tenure system, officials are returned to the states where their expertise working in a central secretariat helps the local government. Fourth, states gain from the tenure system. They received back officials with a broad variety of expertise who are knowledgeable about both national policy and how the central government operates. Fifth, spending a lot of time at the secretariat makes an officer stale, but under the tenure system he has the chance to work in a different setting, which might give him a new perspective. Sixth, a tenure system gives everyone the chance to work at various administrative levels. Seventh, the tenure structure gives the secretariat's personnel management the required flexibility. At the conclusion of the term, it is simple to get rid with ineffective and unable people. Eighth, the system safeguards and reinforces the nation's administrative cohesion, and ninth, it improves the civil service's independence [9], [10].

### **Central Secretariat Service (CSS)**

The necessity for secretarial service was seen even before independence in 1947, and personnel from the Imperial secretariat service were appointed to the positions of assistant

and assistant secretary/undersecretary. Following Independence, the central government authorised a plan for such a service in 1948 under the name central secretariat re-organisation and reinforcement project. It proposed for the central secretariat service (CSS) to take the role of the previous Imperial secretariat Service. Initially, the new service was divided into four tiers. However, in 1959 grades II and III were combined into a single continuous class II grade as a consequence of the Second Pay Commission's suggestion. Additionally, a new selection grade above grade I was established, which included positions up to and including deputy secretary. First, the service supplies employees for not just the central secretariat but also for the majority of the attached and subordinate offices. Second, all positions from the rank of assistant up to under-secretaries are included in this service. Second, the new service was made available to all the ministries as a shared service. All of the service's workers now have better possibilities and chances to advance [11], [12].

## CONCLUSION

the Central Secretariat is the unsung administrative backbone of government, contributing much to the efficient operation of states while often going unnoticed. Its importance in governance cannot be emphasised as it acts as the keystone for coordinating administrative processes, policy execution, and interministerial cooperation. The Central Secretariat's many duties include aiding policy formation, coordinating decision-making processes, and monitoring resource distribution to make sure that government agencies and ministries collaborate to meet societal goals. For government operations to remain transparent, accountable, and efficient, this position is crucial. The Central Secretariat's organisational structure, which includes specialised divisions and secretariats, is intended to provide full coverage of the many policy areas. These divisions act as knowledge hubs, assisting the government in resolving complicated problems, wisely allocating resources, and providing efficient public services. Additionally, in federal systems where it serves as a link between several levels of government, the Central Secretariat often takes on a vital role in interstate coordination. It promotes collaboration, settles conflicts, and assures policy congruence, all of which improve government as a whole. Whether there are natural catastrophes or challenges to national security, the Central Secretariat's function is even more important during these times. It becomes a focal point for information sharing, crisis management, and decision-making, enabling the government to respond quickly and efficiently and preserving both the continuity of its operations and the security of the country. The Central Secretariat embodies the values of effectiveness, coordination, and transparency that are necessary for efficient government, making it a crucial organisation in the governing of countries. This investigation attempts to provide light on its crucial position in the larger context of government operations and policy execution since its activities, structure, and role are crucial to the effective administration of countries.

## REFERENCES:

- [1] ISO, "Text for ISO/FDIS 19157 Geographic information —Data quality, as sent to the ISO Central Secretariat for issuing as FDIS.," *ISO/TC 211 Geogr. information/GeomaticsSecretariat SN*, 2013.
- [2] ISO 22313, "Societal security — Business continuity management systems — Guidance," *ISO 22313 Bus. Contin.*, 2012.
- [3] BSI 26000, "Guidance on social responsibility (ISO 26000:2010)," *Bs En Iso 260002010*, 2010.
- [4] C. Monty, "'The study of local experience': Reassessing the role of the Central Committee Secretariat during the nep," *Russian History*. 2018. doi: 10.1163/18763316-04504003.

- [5] C. S. Monty, "The central committee secretariat, the nomenklatura, and the politics of personnel management in the Soviet order, 1921-1927," *Soviet and Post Soviet Review*. 2012. doi: 10.1163/18763324-03902003.
- [6] Y. L. Chung, "The witch-hunting vanguard: The central secretariat's roles and activities in the anti-rightist campaign," *China Q.*, 2011, doi: 10.1017/S0305741011000324.
- [7] IEC, "IEC 62304:2006+A1:2015 Medical device software — Software life-cycle processes," *Bs En 623042006 +a12015*, 2015.
- [8] InternationalOrganizationforStandardization, "Anaesthetic and respiratory equipment — Nebulizing systems and components," *Https://Www.Iso.Org/Obp/Ui/#Iso:Std:Iso:27427:Ed-3:VI:En*, 2013.
- [9] British Standards Institution, "BS ISO 13053-2: 2011 Quantitative methods in process improvement-Six Sigma Part 2: Tools and techniques," *Br. Stand. Inst.*, 2011.
- [10] ISO, "Carbon footprint of products — Requirements and guidelines for quantification and communication," *Draft Int. Stand. Iso/Dis 14067*, 2012.
- [11] CBS, "National Population and Housing Census 2011(National Report)," *Gov. Nepal, Natl. Plan. Comm. Secr. Cent. Bu reau Stat.*, 2012.
- [12] S. B. Biitir and B. B. Nara, "The role of Customary Land Secretariats in promoting good local land governance in Ghana," *Land use policy*, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.landusepol.2015.10.024.

## CHAPTER 13

### A CRITICAL REVIEW OF FIELD ORGANIZATIONS

---

Yogesh Chandra Gupta, Assistant Professor  
College of Law, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India,  
Email Id- COGNITOR.YOGESH@GMAIL.COM

#### ABSTRACT:

A crucial part of governmental systems, field organisations show how administration is extended outside of the capital to other locales. An overview of the importance of field organisations in governance is provided in this abstract, which also examines their responsibilities, functions, and crucial contributions to successful service delivery, local government, and grassroots participation. In areas far from the central government, field organisations act as the government's eyes and ears. This abstract explores their many roles, focusing on how they carry out policies, provide services, and represent the government's interests locally. The abstract also examines the wide range of field organisations, each of which has a focus on a particular industry or set of services, such as healthcare, education, agriculture, or public infrastructure. These entities serve as the government's agents, converting directives into concrete projects according to regional need. Examined is the crucial part that field organisations play in local governance and community involvement. They are crucial to keeping the peace, settling conflicts, and promoting citizen-government contacts, all of which contribute to the general wellbeing of communities. The importance of field organisations in disaster management and emergency response is also covered in this abstract. They often take the lead in crisis management, offering crucial services, coordination, and assistance in times of need.

#### KEYWORDS:

Agriculture, Countries, Converting Policies, Field organisations.

#### INTRODUCTION

Field organisations, which often function at the local level, are an essential and active part of government institutions in many countries. These groups act as the decentralised arms of the government, expanding control and access to public services outside of the national capital to a variety of other places. We explore the importance of field organisations in governance in this introduction, illuminating their responsibilities, duties, and vital contributions to efficient service delivery, local government, and community participation. In areas remote from the central administration, field organisations represent the government. By converting policies and orders into concrete activities that meet the unique needs and difficulties of local communities, they serve as intermediates. This introduction explores the many duties of field organisations, highlighting their contribution to the implementation of public policies, the provision of necessary services, and the representation of public interests at the local level [1], [2].

An important focus of this investigation is the variety of field organisations. These groups often focus on certain industries or services, including healthcare, education, agriculture, infrastructure development, and other areas. Each organisation acts as a dedicated body to guarantee the efficient application of regulations and the provision of services specifically designed to meet the particular needs of local communities. This introduction also emphasises the crucial role that field organisations play in local government and civic participation. They are in charge of upholding law and order, settling conflicts, and

promoting communication between the public and the government. They encourage collaboration, trust, and a feeling of belonging between the government and its population as a result. The importance of field organisations in disaster management and emergency response is also covered in this introduction. They often are the first to react in times of emergency, providing vital assistance, coordinating efforts, and providing services to lessen the effects of catastrophes and protect the wellbeing of impacted areas.

Gaining an understanding of the complexity of governance, particularly in varied and decentralised countries, requires an understanding of the critical function of field organisations. They represent the values of local governance, public empowerment, and responsive management, ensuring that the advantages of government are felt across the whole nation. Essentially, field organisations are essential for spreading government, services, and involvement outside of the capital and into the other national regions. The commitment of the government to decentralisation and local administration is embodied via field organisations. They bring the executive branch closer to the populace, ensuring that policies are not only developed at the top level but also successfully implemented and felt at the local level [3], [4].

Field organisations are complex, which reflects the varied and changing requirements of local populations. These organisations are the backbone that guarantees that the government's promises to the people become concrete realities, whether they are administering neighbourhood infrastructure projects, offering education to neglected regions, or providing healthcare in rural communities. Field organisations also represent the resiliency and empowerment of local communities. They actively interact with the local public, promoting civic engagement and attending to the particular worries and ambitions of communities. They foster a feeling of participation and ownership between the people and the government in accomplishing this. Field organisations come to the fore during emergencies, displaying their flexibility and response. They have a crucial role in protecting lives and means of subsistence during trying times, as seen by their involvement in emergency response and disaster management.

We will learn more about the complex and varied functions that field organisations play in governance, the effects they have on local communities, and the ways in which they support the general health and resilience of countries as we dig further into the study of field organisations. We have so far spoken about how the Central Secretariat of the Indian Government is set up. The organisation of the field office is crucial because the Secretariat develops policies and operational directives to guarantee that policies are implemented, even if policy implementation occurs at the field level. In India, field offices are often set up according to geography, and each ministry's field offices are responsible for a certain region within a State. Since India is a very large nation, it is impossible for each department of the Indian government to interact directly with every field office. As a result, the majority of agencies have offices at the state or regional level (including those in several States) in a certain region. The government's responsibilities have multiplied and become more complicated. As a result, several alternative structures, such as companies, corporations, etc., have emerged in addition to the conventional departmental organisations to carry out governmental duties. Government firms and statutory corporations are two new types of organisations that have emerged as a result of the development of the government's commercial operations. In a similar vein, a large number of registered societies have been created to manage training and research operations. The following categories may be used to group the executive agencies or field agencies of the government:

1. An attached office, for example, central public works department, directorate of plant protection quarantine and storage, etc.



2. A subordinate office, e.g., Sardar Patel National Police Academy, Hyderabad, inspector of explosives, Nagpur, etc.
3. Departmental undertakings, e.g., ordnance factories
4. A company registered under the Companies Act, e.g., Hindustan Steels Ltd
5. A corporation or board set up under the Special Statute, e.g. Damodar Valley Corporation, tea board, coffee board, tobacco board, etc.
6. A society registered under the Societies Registration Act, e.g. Indian institute of foreign trade

## **DISCUSSION**

"Where the execution of Government policy requires decentralisation of executive direction and the establishment of field agencies," according to the handbook of office procedure, "a ministry has subsidiary offices under it which are called attached and subordinate offices." connected offices are in charge of giving the executive guidance necessary for putting the policies set out by the ministry to which they are connected into action. They also act as a clearinghouse for technical data and provide guidance to the government on technical elements of issues they handle. The subordinate offices serve as field operations or as the organisations in charge of carrying out government decisions in fine detail. They often work directly under the ministry or, in situations where the amount of executive supervision required is not great, under the guidance of an associated office.

### **Organization of Attached Offices**

These offices are structured differently from ministries and departments. Typically, a technical officer with titles like registrar, director, director-general, chief engineer, etc. serves as the office's chief. A few technical or non-technical officials work under him to support him in his tasks. Different offices have different ratios of technical and non-technical secretarial staff. For instance, the offices of the director-general of health services and the irrigation management board will have a preponderance of technical officers, but the office of the chief controller of printing and stationery would have a preponderance of nontechnical professionals. As a result, there is no typical structure for linked offices. The status of these offices' heads varies as well. While some of them are led by a joint or extra secretary, others are led by a deputy secretary or director. These offices are staffed by members of the general secretarial service, up to and including section officers [5], [6].

### **Functions**

These offices are connected and serve two purposes. They provide technical data for the creation of the policy and administrative guidance for its execution. They are regarded as field organisations as a result since they are in charge of ensuring that the policies are properly implemented in addition to giving executive orders to the lower offices. They are responsible for coordinating the work of the lower-level offices and informing the secretariat about the numerous issues that arise during the application of the policies. Thus, rather than being an addition to the secretariat, the connected offices may be seen as the HQ of a field organisation. For this reason, we suggest looking at the linked offices as a field organisation.

### **Organization of the Subordinate Offices**

These offices are not all organised in the same way. It often works with an adjacent office. However, it immediately reports to the minister if there isn't an affiliated office. The explosives inspector in Nagpur is an example of the latter kind. There was misunderstanding on what was meant by subordinate offices. When not connected to the secretariat, even the offices of the executive department heads would be referred to as subordinate offices. Being field organisations, they often include a sizable technical field staff along with the typical ministerial personnel for administrative work. These offices are often led by a technical

officer, whose position and responsibilities vary from office to office. These offices have less administrative and financial authority than linked offices. They may acquire penalties even for legal concerns via the linked authorities or ministries.

### **Functions**

The implementation of the programmes is a problem for the subordinate offices. They work at lower levels of administration and interact directly with the program's participants on behalf of the government. There is consensus that these subordinate offices should be referred to as field organisations since they are involved in carrying out the activities on a daily basis in the field. In order to keep the administrative ministry involved and the associated office aware of their actions, they are expected to produce a number of monthly reports and returns. These offices' higher offices may sometimes confer with the heads of these offices. When compared to identical positions in the attached offices, the pay scale for the staff in these offices is often lower. In actuality, even within the subordinate offices themselves, there is little consistency in this regard. The interrelationship between the secretariat, attached offices, and subordinate offices has become problematic with this sort of organisation. We suggest talking about it here [7], [8].

### **The Relationship between Secretariat and Executive Agencies**

The top office for legislative and policymaking duties is the secretariat. The field agencies, including attached and subordinate offices, are under enormous pressure to provide outstanding outcomes in the execution of the government's objectives and programmes. As a result, they ought to have had the required support and funding to provide the items. However, the secretariat has expanded the scope of its duties, and it now takes many executive decisions that ought to have been made by the executive Heads of the Departments. As a result, there is an imbalance in the functionaries' power and duty. The relationship between the secretariat and the field offices and associated offices is strained as a consequence.

### **The Pattern of Relationship between the Secretariat and the Field**

Office Different types of relationships between the secretariat and the field offices have emerged as a result of the actual functioning of the two entities in various organisations. It would thus be appropriate to explore these new patterns in this context. The first pattern is a total merging of the associated offices and the ministry. The Posts and Telegraphs Board, the Ministry of Communication, and the Railway Board are a few examples. This design is appropriate for businesses handling operational and commercial tasks. Due to the emergent character of the issues, combining the executive and policymaking processes is efficient. Second, the head of the attached office is appointed in conjunction with the senior officer of the ministry and his position within the ministry. In this manner, he assumes responsibility for formulating and carrying out the policy, with the aid of ministry-based common office workers. Examples include a joint secretary who also serves as the director-general of employment and training at the department of labour and employment. In a similar vein, the director general of food is also the extra secretary in the department of food. The system's advantage is that it bridges the gap between the attached office and the secretariat. The flaw is that it makes it difficult to distinguish the secretariat from the executive department's head. As a result, it cannot be used in all situations. Third, while the executive department and the ministry have different offices, they share a single file bureau or records cell that is part of the executive department's organisational structure. The attached office presents ideas based on its own files and sends the ministry the fully completed paperwork along with them.

The file is sent back to the executive head after the ministry has decided what to do with the proposal. Many ministries and affiliated departments now use the single file system. The air

force headquarters and the ministry of defence are two examples. This system's benefits include avoiding file duplication, time savings, and speedy decision-making. Fourthly, under this model, the executive department is in charge of the common file bureau, common office, and common files shared by the ministry. The secretariat and associated office are served by the common office, and the administrative staff posts paperwork for both sets of officials. All nothing is done at the secretariat level by officials with the rank of under-secretary or above. Before the creation of the posts and telegraph board, such a system was in use inside the directorate-general of posts and telegraphs. The estimates committee of the Lok Sabha and the second pay commission both proposed this approach. The benefit of this technique is that each proposal is only looked at once, which speeds up business closure and reduces costs. Fifth, according to this structure, the executive department (attached office) and the ministry maintain distinct offices and files, but the attach office's head is granted ex-officio secretariat position. As an example, consider the textile commissioner, who serves as the ministry of textiles' ex-officio joint secretary. The system's benefits include giving the head of the attached office greater authority to make decisions on specific issues and bringing them into closer touch with the ministry's office. The technology saves a lot of time and gives the secretariat more access to field expertise for decision-making. The system's flaw is that it breaches the secretariat's founding premise of separating policy formulation from execution. Sixth, according to this design, the ministry and the executive department each have unique offices and files of their own, and they confer with one another via independent communications. The distinction between staff and line is the basis for the pattern. The associated office is line, and the ministry is staff. The director general of All India Radio in connection to the ministry of information and broadcasting, and the office of the chief engineer in relation to the ministry of works and housing, are such examples. The approach is said to have benefits because it examines recommendations from a larger viewpoint, it rains in zealous specialists by vetting their plans, and it divides work between the secretariat and the attached office. The scheme's drawbacks, on the other hand, include the duplication of work that is processed in two offices, the ministry's clerical staff level reviewing the department head's proposals, and the secretary's opinions, which are hardly detached and objective but packed with political considerations [9], [10].

### **The Relationship between Attached and Subordinate Offices**

As was previously said, the attached office's primary responsibility is to provide executive guidance for the execution of the policies chosen by the ministry to which they are connected. On the other hand, the subordinate offices are just field organisations that carry out the instructions of the connected offices and ministries for the application of the policies. However, in real practise, there isn't a clear-cut division of tasks between the two types of offices. Such a difference is, at best, hazy. No one has ever been able to come up with a genuinely adequate description of a "Attach Office," according to Tottenham's statement from 1945–1946. The main basis for this difference seems to be to support lower pay schedules for ministerial personnel in attached offices as opposed to subordinate offices.

### **Field Organization in Operation**

A public administration specialist named Willoughby has distinguished between the unitary and various types of field organisation. The field units of an organisation continue to be supervised and managed by the regional head under a unitary structure. The regional head is fully in control of the field services and is answerable to the central headquarters for the operation of his field units. He is accountable to the personnel that work in these units. Field unit leaders get their orders from their immediate supervisor at the regional level via a single chain of command that connects the central office to the regional head of the field units. In this system, the regional head serves as a conduit for communicating instructions to the field unit. There is direct connection between the central office and the field unit in a various form

of field organisation. The middle office stays out of the oversight and management of the field agency. Although the field units have their own local supervisory authorities, there is no central authority that oversees all of the field units [11], [12].

### CONCLUSION

By acting as a link between the federal government and local communities, field organisations reflect the vital and dynamic expansion of governance outside of the centralised capital. These groups are essential to the efficient delivery of services, the execution of policies, and the participation of ordinary individuals. Field organisations are the government's on-the-ground representatives, transforming policies into workable solutions that take into account the particular requirements and conditions of communities. Their varied duties span a variety of industries, from agriculture and public infrastructure to healthcare and education. Government services and programmes are customised to the unique needs of various areas because to this specialisation.

Additionally, field organisations are pioneers in local governance and community involvement. They assist in preserving law and order, settling conflicts, and facilitating contacts between the public and the government. As a result, they improve communities' general wellbeing by encouraging a feeling of affiliation and trust between the government and its residents. Field organisations serve as the initial responders and vital coordinators of relief operations during emergencies and crises like natural disasters. They play a crucial part in disaster management and emergency response by providing vital services, assistance, and coordination to the impacted populations. Understanding the importance of field organisations is essential to understanding governance's difficulties, especially in varied and decentralised countries. They serve as an example of the government's dedication to expanding its influence and making sure that the advantages of governance are felt across the whole nation. Field organisations are a cornerstone of efficient government in a variety of decentralised environments since they essentially embody the concepts of local governance, public participation, and responsive administration.

### REFERENCES:

- [1] I. Arziman, "Field organization and disaster medical assistance teams," *Turkish Journal of Emergency Medicine*. 2015. doi: 10.5505/1304.7361.2015.79923.
- [2] J. Joseph, "Evolution of the Journal and the Field of Organization Design," *Journal of Organization Design*. 2018. doi: 10.1186/s41469-018-0031-8.
- [3] D. B. Polley, H. L. Read, D. A. Storace, and M. M. Merzenich, "Multiparametric auditory receptive field organization across five cortical fields in the albino rat," *J. Neurophysiol.*, 2007, doi: 10.1152/jn.01298.2006.
- [4] C. Borkenhagen, "Death of the secret recipe: 'Open source cooking' and field organization in the culinary arts," *Poetics*, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.poetic.2017.01.003.
- [5] R. Maske, S. Yamane, and P. O. Bishop, "Binocular simple cells for local stereopsis: Comparison of receptive field organizations for the two eyes," *Vision Res.*, 1984, doi: 10.1016/0042-6989(84)90026-9.
- [6] J. A. Movshon, I. D. Thompson, and D. J. Tolhurst, "Receptive field organization of complex cells in the cat's striate cortex," *J. Physiol.*, 1978, doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.1978.sp012489.
- [7] N. Yamashita *et al.*, "Phosphorylation of CRMP2 (Collapsin Response Mediator Protein 2) is Involved in Proper Dendritic Field Organization," *J. Neurosci.*, 2012, doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5563-11.2012.

- [8] J. Kremkow *et al.*, “Push-pull receptive field organization and synaptic depression: Mechanisms for reliably encoding naturalistic stimuli in V1,” *Front. Neural Circuits*, 2016, doi: 10.3389/fncir.2016.00037.
- [9] M. Augier, T. Knudsen, and R. M. McNab, “Advancing the field of organizations through the study of military organizations,” *Ind. Corp. Chang.*, 2014, doi: 10.1093/icc/dtt059.
- [10] H. G. Krapp, B. Hengstenberg, and R. Hengstenberg, “Dendritic structure and receptive-field organization of optic flow processing interneurons in the fly,” *J. Neurophysiol.*, 1998, doi: 10.1152/jn.1998.79.4.1902.
- [11] D. Cai, G. C. DeAngelis, and R. D. Freeman, “Spatiotemporal receptive field organization in the lateral geniculate nucleus of cats and kittens,” *J. Neurophysiol.*, 1997, doi: 10.1152/jn.1997.78.2.1045.
- [12] P. Heggelund, “Receptive field organization of complex cells in cat striate cortex,” *Exp. Brain Res.*, 1981, doi: 10.1007/BF00235734.