A Chemical Analyser's Guide

A Practical Approach to Chemist & Laboratory Guide

Sananda Chatterjee
Rahul Arora

A Chemical Analyser's Guide A Practical Approach to Chemist & Laboratory Guide

Sananda Chatterjee
Rahul Arora

A Chemical Analyser's Guide A Practical Approach to Chemist & Laboratory Guide

Sananda Chatterjee
Rahul Arora

Dominant
Publishers & Distributors Pvt Ltd
New Delhi, INDIA

Knowledge is Our Business

A CHEMICAL ANALYSER'S GUIDE: A PRACTICAL APPROACH TO CHEMIST & LABORATORY GUIDE
By Sananda Chatterjee, Rahul Arora

This edition published by Dominant Publishers And Distributors (P) Ltd
4378/4-B, Murarilal Street, Ansari Road, Daryaganj,
New Delhi-110002.

ISBN: 978-93-82007-01-2

Edition: 2022 (Revised)

Dominant
Publishers & Distributors Pvt Ltd

Registered Office: 4378/4-B, Murari Lal Street, Ansari Road,
Daryaganj, New Delhi - 110002.
Ph. +91-11-23281685, 41043100, Fax: +91-11-23270680
Production Office: "Dominant House", G - 316, Sector - 63, Noida,
National Capital Region - 201301.
Ph. 0120-4270027, 4273334
e-mail: dominantbooks@gmail.com
info@dominantbooks.com

www.dominantbooks.com

CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1

THE FOUR PRINCIPLES OF SAFETY

Rahul Arora, Assistant Professor
College of Pharmacy, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- arorarahul29@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

As a mnemonic aid, we'll utilize the abbreviation RAMP recognize, evaluate, minimize, prepare. This will come up repeatedly throughout the book. Let's examine these four phases in light of numerous safety-related factors to determine how they make sense. Safety is the absence of risk, harm, or destruction. You must take action to keep yourself and others safe. When you choose to make safety a priority in your college laboratory experiences, it means that you constantly look for methods to avoid situations where damage and injury might result. This seems simple, but while doing chemical experiments in a lab, it is often simpler to get "caught up" in the steps and attempt to comprehend what you are doing, forgetting to ensure that you are doing it safely. Each chapter of this book will begin with a true story of a perilous situation that happened in a lab. All of the individuals involved in these situations are "just like you," they all had some safety training (just like you will), yet none of them were considering safety when something awful occurred. Let's examine some fundamental concepts related to risks, dangers, and how to stop accidents in labs. A risk is an unknown source of danger or damage. Potential refers to anything that has the potential to be destructive or hazardous. Numerous compounds might possess inherently dangerous characteristics.

KEYWORDS:

Dangerous, Lab, Principles, Risk, Safety.

INTRODUCTION

John needed to use diluted sulfuric acid to complete his experiment. The lecturer instructed the class to combine one portion of concentrated sulfuric acid with four portions of water, and to always add acid to water rather than the other way around. When the instructions were presented, John was not paying attention and added water to acid. The beaker heated up, a mist formed above the solution, there was a loud bursting sound, and some of the solution splashed out over his and his partner's skin.

Risks and Hazards

You will learn how to operate safely in the laboratory from this book. There are just four things you must do to be safe, whether in a lab or elsewhere:

Identify dangers.

Evaluate potential threats' risks.

Minimize the dangers' risks.

Plan for unexpected situations.

These dangerous characteristics remain constant. Real safety practise focuses on reducing, mitigating, or limiting these risks. This book and your chemistry classes will teach you a lot about the numerous types of chemicals and the dangers they present. Risk is the likelihood of experiencing injury as a result of exposure to a risk or risky circumstance. Beyond a chemical's intrinsic danger, there are numerous more factors that affect risk level. The quantity of the chemical, its shape (gas, liquid, or solid), and your handling of the chemical, for instance, all have an impact on the degree of danger. The term "exposure" refers to coming into touch with a danger or substance directly in a way that results in damage or injury. The quantity you may swallow, breathe in, or pour on your skin is referred to as a dosage. A fire or explosion might potentially result in an exposure. The level of injury is significantly influenced by the dosage, duration, and route of exposure. It's crucial to keep in mind that we wish to reduce or completely avoid exposure to risks[1], [2].

Risks Are a Natural Part of the World

There are many items that are dangerous or have dangerous qualities, yet we are always learning how to utilise them properly. Chemicals often possess the precise qualities that make them harmful as well as beneficial. Even if something is dangerous, we don't necessarily want to quit using it. In reality, using substances and equipment with dangerous qualities is often necessary for both our comfort and safety. Let's examine an example of one of the most prevalent chemical risks that the majority of us experience often.

Since petrol is so highly flammable, it may readily catch fire or even explode under the correct circumstances. However, because we are aware of its potential hazards, have evaluated our exposure risk, developed strategies to effectively reduce or control this hazard, and have learned how to plan for and manage emergencies with petrol, we use it in our cars on a daily basis without having to worry about any negative side effects. We often drive about with litres of petrol in the gas tank of our vehicle nearby and visit petrol stations where thousands of gallons of petrol are kept in tanks under our feet. Petrol is beneficial because it has the same characteristics that make it dangerous. We know how to handle this dangerous material securely[3], [4].

Can you think of any materials or items that, although being really helpful to us, have dangerous qualities that might endanger us if we are negligent, improperly handle them, or otherwise abuse them? Do you believe that the majority of people living in the 21st century would be in favour of injecting explosive chemicals at high pressure into their homes? Most likely not! However, a lot of us do this when we use petrol. How about electrical power? What danger exists? How much danger is there overall? In general, people consider frequent threats to be less dangerous than unusual hazards. Despite seeming to be "uncommon" at first, the majority of risks in the lab are no more harmful than those we experience on a daily basis. A gallon of petrol although we may perceive them as more dangerous in a lab than in the house since we are so used to them there, there are numerous dangerous substances in and around our homes.

Protection against the Pros

Geller identified three elements that influence safety: (1) environmental elements (facilities, location, equipment, procedures, and standards); (2) human elements (attitude, beliefs, personality, knowledge, skills, and abilities); and (3) behavioural elements (safe and risky practices).3 These elements are interconnected, so each element influences the others. Imagine, for instance, that you see someone trip over an unexpected environmental element.

When you observe a wastepaper basket out of place in an aisle, you relocate it to a spot out of the way (a behaviour element) while you think to yourself, "I need to be more careful about things on the floor that I might trip over." Since all three of these elements have a big impact on your safety, being safe necessitates paying attention to them all. You will start to develop the mindset that "safety is important in the laboratories and I want to be safe, too" if your college lab is safe and you see other students and professors working there securely by adhering to safety guidelines and safe practises.

How Can We Discover Safety?

The study of safety is an empirical field. This indicates that we often learnt how to be safe from earlier errors and mishaps. We can learn a lot from experience, but you may not live very long if you only learn about safety by making lots of errors yourself! The majority of us do not want to physically encounter fires, explosions, poisonous exposures, or other potentially hazardous events.

Instead, we need to become familiar with safety recommendations that have emerged from others' negative experiences.Through persistent and careful attempts to include safety considerations into our everyday actions, we may lessen our exposure to risks. This simply entails considering safety and taking action to avoid mishaps, particularly in the lab. Unfortunately, being safe receives far less positive feedback than being unsafe, which receives much more of it. Injury or damage to you or others is likely to result from unwarranted risk exposure[5], [6].

The main focus is on reducing risk

It is essential that everyone reduces and/or eliminates the risk of exposure to hazards in order to maintain a secure lab environment. With the measures we have learnt to take to avoid or lessen danger and injury, we do this every day. For instance, if we buckle up in a car accident, our chances of suffering life-threatening injuries are reduced. Wearing a seat belt does not ensure that you will be harm-free in a collision, but it does imply that your odds of suffering an injury are less probable. This makes the word "less likely" crucial[7], [8].

Risk factors and common hazards Risk reduction techniques

Heart disease and stroke are the first and third major causes of mortality, followed by cardiovascular disease.High blood cholesterol and blood pressureCardiovascular illnesses are reduced by 25% when blood pressure is reduced by 12 to 13 points, and coronary disease is reduced by up to 30% when total blood cholesterol is reduced by 10%.Lung cancer, premature death from cigarette smoking Smoking rates are 22 times greater for men and 12 times higher for women Deaths from car accidents, failure to wear seatbelts, and drunk.

Minimum drinking age regulations, seat belt usage rules, seat belt enforcement laws, sobriety checkpoints, lowering the blood alcohol limit to 0.08%, and if you buckle up, your chances of survival are greater. You may make them even better by abiding by other public safety regulations, such following speed limits and driving defensively.Similarly, you'll be forced to put on splash goggles when working in the lab to lessen the possibility of getting chemical splashes in your eyes. Though wearing splash goggles doesn't ensure that you won't be hurt, experience has shown that doing so greatly lowers the likelihood of eye damage. Additionally, dangers are reduced even further when used in conjunction with additional safety precautions like donning gloves or a face protection or a hood[9], [10].

Risk-taking without Justification: The Root of Most Incidents

Taking unnecessary risks, also known as engaging in at-risk behavior, is a significant contributor to many injuries and incidents. Unnecessary risks are behaviors that go against safety principles, safety regulations, and safe practices. If you can avoid taking needless chances, you will probably be able to avoid or lessen the likelihood of an incident. Why would someone take unwarranted chances? Someone may deliberately break the law, respond subconsciously based on prior experiences, or be uninformed of a danger. Speeding, not using a seat belt, smoking, overeating, not exercising, taking illegal substances, and ignoring safety precautions such choosing not to wear protective equipment while on the job are a few examples of needless risky behavior. Among these behaviors in the lab include not using safety eyewear, consuming food or beverages there, dressing inappropriately, and taking unnecessarily high risks with dangers.

Taking needless risks might be difficult to avoid. It often entails fighting against our tendency to act in a pleasant, comfortable, or expedient manner. Some individuals have discovered through experience that they can save time and resources by using shortcuts, and that occasionally they can do this without suffering any consequences. In other words, individuals get away with breaking safety rules and start to believe that it is OK to do something that is intrinsically risky or harmful. This develops into a nasty habit. They raise their risk of an incident as they become more reckless and break more laws and morals.

Three different kinds of laboratories: academic, industrial

Most scientific labs in the first several years of college are "cookbook" laboratories. In order to conduct an experiment and gather data, students must read and adhere to a set of laboratory instructions. Some investigations could incorporate components of experimental design, although this will be rather restricted in your early science laboratory classes.

There are numerous variants on this topic, but starting students usually "just follow instructions." Because first-year students are not required to design experiments, the procedure's author has already thought about how to incorporate excellent safety measures into laboratory studies. Students unfortunately learn to worry less about safety because they believe, very reasonably, that the activities they are doing in the lab are already "safe."

Students begin to take part in the design of laboratory experiments in certain upper-level courses and in undergraduate research labs, generally under the supervision of an instructor or research mentor. When creating a new experiment, it is crucial to take into account a procedure's safety in light of these experiences.

The responsibility for creating safe experiments falls heavily on the chemist in the laboratory because chemists with undergraduate degrees who enrol in graduate programmes or work in an industrial laboratory environment become much more independent with regard to the planning and carrying out of laboratory experiments.

Numerous accidents have place in labs where graduate students or newly hired chemists are doing novel experiments without first conducting a full evaluation of the risks and dangers.

The purpose of this book is to aid in preparing chemistry students for work and function safely in labs.

Since younger students do not design experiments, we will not devote much attention to it in the first portions of each chapter. Instead, we will carefully concentrate on the material that follows risks for the tests that will be performed. We will cover more "advanced" issues in the latter portions of each chapter, such as taking safety into account while designing an experiment and going into more detail about dangers and other safety-related topics.

The Four Safety Principles

RAMP (recognise, assess, minimise, prepare) was previously introduced. Let's examine each of these actions in terms of risks and dangers. You must be aware of the dangers of the chemicals you are employing in order to recognise the risks associated with equipment, methods, and substances. Sounds easy, doesn't it? According to your expertise, it may be a really difficult task. Of course, there are millions of compounds, and it is impossible to tell which ones are the most dangerous. But there are two approaches that benefit us.

DISCUSSION

First, most compounds will fit into one (or two) of a select group of categories with well recognised risks. You must first comprehend the jargon and information used to explain these diverse chemical qualities in order to comprehend these risks. What does the word flammable mean? What exactly are "toxic" and "corrosive"? How can you tell whether a chemical has any of these qualities? Second, and more particularly, "getting to know your chemical" necessitates that you examine and comprehend the information that is readily available about its dangers, including container labels, Material Safety Data Sheets reference books, online hazard information, and conversations with knowledgeable individuals.

These concerns regarding the dangers of chemicals in labs are covered in Chapters 3 through 5. Consider what kind of exposure to various chemicals might or will occur during a procedure or reaction, as well as the risk associated with the use of equipment, when applying the second principle, "assess risks of hazards associated with exposures and procedures." This is perhaps the most crucial of all the principles. Does this reaction release energy in a manner that may ignite a fire or cause an explosion? Are there any volatile substances present that might cause a fire? What is the likelihood of coming into contact with a dangerous chemical?

Reduce hazards is the third principle, which calls for careful consideration in both experiment design and implementation. This calls on you to use proper laboratory safety procedures and take whatever reasonable measures are required to reduce, control, or eliminate your exposure to a danger. Only after carefully weighing the risks can anything like this be done. Applying excellent housekeeping practises, wearing personal protection equipment (such as splash goggles) and other safety equipment (such as chemical hoods), and planning and carrying out experiments with safety in mind are the important stages in minimising danger. Workspaces that are messy and untidy are a major source of accidents. How to control and reduce hazards in labs is covered. Last but not least, even while precautions are taken to avoid events (accidents) and exposure in the lab, it is wise to be prepared for them. So, here is the fourth rule: be ready for crises. What kind of emergencies are possible in a lab? Fires, explosions, chemical exposures, personal injuries—all of these threats have previously been taken into account! Knowing what safety equipment is easily accessible and how to use it is essential for emergency preparation[11], [12].

Student Safety Principles

The student safety ethic serves as the primary component to direct you in the undergraduate laboratory as we wrap up this introduction to safety. The safety ethic, which broadens the student safety ethic to include a wide variety of subjects for working chemists and researchers, will be introduced. Ethics are the fundamentals of proper or moral behaviour. It will be beneficial to have a set of safety-related guiding principles while working in a lab. From an early age, instructors and family members teach us the value of safety. Your college professors will promote a safety ethic and educate about safety. Later in life, your employer, coworkers, supervisors, and safety specialists at work will teach you about safety. To safeguard both their workers' interests and their own material assets and financial interests, many firms implement robust safety programmes. Your personal safety ethic may be most essential or even tested in these circumstances since some companies may not place a high priority on safety.

Why are strong safety ethics necessary? People who lack strong safety ethics and a solid safety education often put their lives in danger and are more likely to hurt themselves or others. Additionally, you will need strong safety ethics in the future to protect your friends and family outside of the job. Please embrace the following student safety ethic: I take safety seriously, minimize needless danger, and operate safely. Although this is a straightforward statement, it has vast ramifications and specifies a wide range of activities to do

To work safely, one must be knowledgeable about safety, continue to learn about safety, develop the ability to identify and assess risks, put safe practises into practise, and maintain a high degree of safety awareness. To prevent unneeded risk, you must have the ability to identify hazards, reduce them, and manage them while working in a lab. Accepting responsibility for safety as a sign of concern for others entails accepting accountability for both your own safety and the safety of others. This need ongoing attention to the testing ground for what you and the other students are learning. Maintaining safety in undergraduate teaching labs is a shared obligation between instructors and teaching assistants, but this does not absolve you of your share of responsibility. You will need to put a lot of effort into adopting the student safety ethic because it is simple to assume that whoever designed an experiment did so with safety in mind. It is also simple to become so preoccupied with trying to understand the experiment itself in a learning environment that you forget to consider safety. Furthermore, you probably have not yet received instruction on how to always consider safety. This book is intended to gradually introduce you to a variety of safety-related subjects over the course of many years. But the foundation of student safety is a good mindset, which comes first.

CONCLUSION

The "Four Pillars of Safety," often known as the Four Principles of Safety, are fundamental principles and ideas that are critical for promoting and sustaining safety in a variety of situations and sectors. These ideas include risk assessment which is crucial to conduct a comprehensive evaluation of possible risks and hazards before the start of any operation or process. The significance of recognising and comprehending the risks connected to a job, operation, or environment is emphasised by this idea. Organisations may adopt efficient safety measures and make knowledgeable choices to reduce or mitigate risks by conducting risk assessments. Following the identification of possible risks and hazards via risk assessment, control or elimination measures have to be put in place. Designing and putting into practise safety regulations, engineering controls, operational procedures, and the usage of personal protective equipment (PPE) are all part of hazard management. A key component of safety is effective communication. This idea emphasises how crucial it is for all parties involved—including workers, management, contractors, and visitors—to communicate openly and clearly with one another. Everyone must understand their duties and responsibilities in ensuring a safe workplace, and effective communication makes sure of this. Additionally, it makes it easier to share safety information like reporting incidents and emergency procedures. Safety is a process that involves continuing examination and improvement, which is known as continuous improvement.

Organisations should set up systems for collecting feedback, keeping track of things, and drawing lessons from mistakes or near-misses. The continual development of safety practises and culture is facilitated through regular safety audits, training initiatives, and the application of lessons learnt.

The Four Principles of Safety are crucial for developing a safe and healthy workplace in a variety of settings and businesses. Organisations may successfully identify hazards and take steps to reduce them, put safety measures in place, encourage open communication, and work to continually improve their safety procedures by observing these principles. Adopting these principles improves the wellbeing of stakeholders and workers while also enhancing the organization's overall performance and sustainability.

REFERENCES:

[1] H. P. L. Gemoets, Y. Su, M. Shang, V. Hessel, R. Luque, and T. Noël, "Liquid phase oxidation chemistry in continuous-flow microreactors," *Chemical Society Reviews*. 2016.

[2] Y. M. Vargas-Rodríguez, A. Obaya Valdivia, S. Lima Vargas, A. Hernández Escamilla, R. Miranda Ruvalcaba, and G. I. Vargas Rodríguez, "El diagrama de flujo como semáforo de seguridad ecológica de los experimentos de laboratorio," *Educ. Quim.*, 2016.

[3] A. Marrocchi, A. Facchetti, D. Lanari, C. Petrucci, and L. Vaccaro, "Current methodologies for a sustainable approach to π-conjugated organic semiconductors," *Energy and Environmental Science*. 2016.

[4] E. Benito-Peña, M. G. Valdés, B. Glahn-Martínez, and M. C. Moreno-Bondi, "Fluorescence based fiber optic and planar waveguide biosensors. A review," *Analytica Chimica Acta*. 2016.

[5] Y. Mekonnen, A. Sundararajan, and A. I. Sarwat, "A review of cathode and anode materials for lithium-ion batteries," in *Conference Proceedings - IEEE SOUTHEASTCON*, 2016.

[6] Y. Shen, C. Lo, D. R. Nagaraj, R. Farinato, A. Essenfeld, and P. Somasundaran, "Development of Greenness Index as an evaluation tool to assess reagents: Evaluation based on SDS (Safety Data Sheet) information," *Miner. Eng.*, 2016.

[7] A. O. Abioye, G. Tangyie Chi, A. T. Kola-Mustapha, K. Ruparelia, K. Beresford, and R. Arroo, "Polymer-Drug Nanoconjugate – An Innovative Nanomedicine: Challenges and Recent Advancements in Rational Formulation Design for Effective Delivery of Poorly Soluble Drugs," *Pharm. Nanotechnol.*, 2016.

[8] S. Vaz, *Analytical techniques and methods for biomass*. 2016.

[9] M. M. Houck, *Forensic Fingerprints*. 2016.

[10] L. Pinto, C. H. Díaz Nieto, M. A. Zón, H. Fernández, and M. C. U. de Araujo, "Handling time misalignment and rank deficiency in liquid chromatography by multivariate curve resolution: Quantitation of five biogenic amines in fish," *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2016.

[11] N. Mendoza-Muñoz, S. Alcalá-Alcalá, and D. Quintanar-Guerrero, "Preparation of Polymer Nanoparticles by the Emulsification-Solvent Evaporation Method: From Vanderhoff's Pioneer Approach to Recent Adaptations," in *Polymer Nanoparticles for Nanomedicines*, 2016.

[12] J. Krenz, N. Simcox, J. Stoddard Tepe, and C. D. Simpson, "Transitioning to safer chemicals in academic research laboratories: Lessons learned at the University of Washington," *ACS Sustainable Chemistry and Engineering*. 2016.

CHAPTER 2

EXPLORING THE GREEN CHEMISTRY

Deepak Singh, Assistant Professor
College of Pharmacy, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- deepakpharma88@rediffmail.com

ABSTRACT:

Green chemistry is the development and use of processes that remove risks to human health and the environment associated with the production and use of chemicals. The following paragraphs outline the key components of green chemistry. Green chemistry offers benefits for human health and the environment, and many of these techniques may be less costly for industrial operations. The latter benefit may act as a key motivator for the chemical sector to embrace green chemistry practises. The Twelve Principles of Green Chemistry, which define the key aspects of green chemistry, were first introduced in the 1998 book Green Chemistry. When these twelve rules are put into practise, the following desired outcomes in chemical reactions and processes result. When choosing chemicals, keep both the environment and human health in mind. When creating novel chemicals, reduce their potential for danger and focus on making sure they can break down into harmless byproducts in the environment. Create reaction protocols that use the least amount of energy possible and, ideally, operate at room temperature and pressure. Use renewable chemicals as initial reagents (feedstocks). (Renewable resources are those that can be replenished by natural processes at a pace that is at least as fast as the rate at which people can use them. The raw ingredients that industry uses to create goods are known as feed-stocks. Create high yielding responses to reduce waste and inefficiency

KEYWORDS:

Chemical, Green Chemistry, Waste, Practice,

INTRODUCTION

Create processes that make it simple to recycle chemicals and solvents. Create processes that reduce waste or generate recyclable garbage. What applications are there for these concepts in collegiate chemistry lab work?

Eco-Friendliness in the Chemical Industry

The impact of chemicals on the environment (and, in some cases, even on chemists) received little consideration during the majority of the 19th and 20th centuries. Using the chemicals that carried out the required reactions was the norm in the chemical industry, at least until the latter part of the 20th century, with little consideration given to the dangers of the chemicals or the destiny of the products and wastes in the environment. Simply said, dumping rubbish into the ground or into a river was the recognised method of waste disposal for a very long time. Up until the early 1970s, when federal regulations like the Clean Air Act (1963, with amendments in 1970, 1977, and 1990), the Clean Water Act (1974), the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA), and the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), began limiting the discharge of hazardous substances, numerous small and large environmental catastrophes occurred as a result of these practises. These laws restrict environmental pollution to "acceptable" levels, but one objective of green chemistry is to cut down on hazardous discharges to the barest minimum [1], [2].

When Green Chemistry: Theory and Practise was published in 1998, the chemical industry and the Environmental Protection Agency5 started working together to advance the green chemistry agenda. The Green Chemistry Institute (GCI) and the GCI Pharmaceutical Round Table were founded by the American Chemical Society.7 The Royal Society of Chemistry in the United Kingdom publishes the journal Green Chemistry and established the Green Chemistry Network in 1998. Although the chemical industry has embraced certain green chemistry projects, this is not yet a common practise.

The economic sustainability of green chemistry and the dedication of upcoming scientists and management to the concepts of green chemistry will determine the extent to which this occurs in the future [3], [4].

"Going Green" in the Laboratory

Since the chemical industry produces a significant amount of waste, the fundamental objective of the green chemistry effort is to alter how it functions. Comparatively speaking, the amounts and volumes of chemical waste produced by university laboratories are negligible. However, what college students learn in chemistry classes will impact how they perform later in industrial settings.

As preparation for the future, it's critical to practise green chemistry in academic labs when it's feasible in addition to studying about the fundamentals of the field. In some cases, this could be a little symbolic in terms of the environmental effect, but the greatest way to learn green ideas and procedures is via hands-on experience in a lab. Another benefit is that lab safety is anticipated to increase since there will be less danger to students from hazardous materials.

Chemical class assignments often include students doing experiments that are intended to teach different approaches and highlight key chemical concepts. Inquiries such as "How can we teach our laboratory courses using the principles of green chemistry?" have prompted certain colleges and universities to reassess the laboratory experiments that are relevant to their courses starting around 2003.

While being "perfectly green" is not usually the aim while addressing this question, it is at least important to think about how to make experiments "greener." What modifications are feasible in chemistry laboratories at colleges? Use more secure solvents. When feasible, try to execute "solvent-free" processes or use less flammable and hazardous solvents [5], [6].

Decrease volumes and sums. Think about scaling down an experiment, such executing a reaction using 3 grammes of a starting chemical rather than 10 grammes. Starting material prices are reduced, less solvent is used, less energy is used (if heated), and less waste is generated.

Before instrumental analysis was available fifty years ago, only subsequent chemical testing could be used to analyse goods. Nowadays, analysis frequently only needs a relatively tiny sample size because to advanced technology. Some responses may be significantly "scaled down" as a result. Many chemistry departments have embraced "microscale" methods that use smaller-scale glassware in many curricular areas, especially in organic chemistry experiments.

Reduce the amount of hazardous trash and byproducts. For instance, nitric acid creates toxic fumes while being a great oxidizer. Although chromium (VI) is a great oxidizer, inhaling it may cause cancer.

DISCUSSION

The benefit of using hydrogen peroxide as an oxidising agent is that water is produced as a byproduct. Employ fewer harmful reagents. For instance, utilising anions that are less poisonous, such oxalate or carbonate, rather as chromate or sulphide, is desirable when one wants to precipitate a certain cation. Cut down on waste. There are nearly always extra reagents and/or waste byproducts since few reactions deliver 100% of the desired result. The requirement for trash disposal is reduced or completely eliminated by including the reuse and recycling of these materials within the experiment itself.

"Green chemistry is the design and use of methods that eliminate health and environmental hazards in the manufacture and use of chemicals."3 The key components of green chemistry are outlined below. Green chemistry offers benefits for human health and the environment, and many of these techniques may be less costly for industrial operations. The latter benefit may act as a key motivator for the chemical sector to embrace green chemistry practises. The Twelve Principles of Green Chemistry, which define the key aspects of green chemistry, were first introduced in the 1998 book Green Chemistry: Theory and Practice4. When these twelve rules are put into practise, the following desired outcomes in chemical reactions and processes result [7], [8].

When choosing chemicals, keep both the environment and human health in mind. When creating novel chemicals, reduce their potential for danger and focus on making sure they can break down into harmless byproducts in the environment. Create reaction protocols that use the least amount of energy possible and, ideally, operate at room temperature and pressure. Use renewable chemicals as initial reagents (feedstocks). (Feedstocks are the raw materials used by industry to manufacture goods; renewable resources are those that can be replenished by natural processes at a pace similar to or faster than they can be used by people.) Create high yielding responses to reduce waste and inefficiency. Create processes that make it simple to recycle chemicals and solvents. Create processes that reduce waste or generate recyclable garbage. What applications are there for these concepts in collegiate chemistry lab work?

Eco-Friendliness in the Chemical Industry

The impact of chemicals on the environment (and, in some cases, even on chemists) received little consideration during the majority of the 19th and 20th centuries. Using the chemicals that carried out the required reactions was the norm in the chemical industry, at least until the latter part of the 20th century, with little consideration given to the dangers of the chemicals or the destiny of the products and wastes in the environment. Simply said, dumping rubbish into the ground or into a river was the recognised method of waste disposal for a very long time. Up until the early 1970s, when federal regulations like the Clean Air Act (1963, with amendments in 1970, 1977, and 1990), the Clean Water Act (1974), the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA), and the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), began limiting the discharge of hazardous substances, numerous small and large environmental catastrophes occurred as a result of these practises. These laws restrict environmental pollution to "acceptable" levels, but one objective of green chemistry is to cut down on hazardous discharges to the barest minimum [9], [10].

Green Chemistry

Theory and Practise was published in 1998, and it revolutionised the chemical industry and the Environmental Protection Agency5 started working together to advance the green chemistry agenda. The Green Chemistry Institute (GCI) and the GCI Pharmaceutical Round Table were founded by the American Chemical Society. In the UK, the Royal Society of Chemistry publishes the journal Green Chemistry and started the Green Chemistry Network in 1998. even as some green The chemical sector has implemented chemistry initiatives, however this is not yet common. The economic sustainability of green chemistry and the dedication of upcoming scientists and management to the concepts of green chemistry will determine the extent to which this occurs in the future.

"Going Green" in the Laboratory

Since the chemical industry produces a significant amount of waste, the fundamental objective of the green chemistry effort is to alter how it functions. Comparatively speaking, the amounts and volumes of chemical waste produced by university laboratories are negligible. However, what college students learn in chemistry classes will impact how they perform later in industrial settings. As preparation for the future, it's critical to practise green chemistry in academic labs when it's feasible in addition to studying about the fundamentals of the field. In some cases, this could be a little symbolic in terms of the environmental effect, but the greatest way to learn green ideas and procedures is via hands-on experience in a lab. Another benefit is that lab safety is anticipated to increase since there will be less danger to students from hazardous materials. chemical class assignments often include students doing experiments that are intended to teach different approaches and highlight key chemical concepts. Inquiries like "How can we teach our laboratory courses using the principles of green chemistry? " have prompted certain colleges and institutions to reassess the laboratory experiments that are relevant to their courses starting around 2003.The objective in responding to this question is not necessarily to become "perfectly green," but at the very least to think about how experiments may be made "greener."

Use more secure solvents. When feasible, try to execute "solvent-free" processes or use less flammable and hazardous solvents. Decrease volumes and sums. Think about scaling down an experiment, such executing a reaction using 3 grammes of a starting chemical rather than 10 grammes. Starting material prices are reduced, less solvent is used, less energy is used (if heated), and less waste is generated. Before instrumental analysis was available fifty years ago, only subsequent chemical testing could be used to analyse goods. Nowadays, analysis frequently only needs a relatively tiny sample size because to advanced technology. Some responses may be significantly "scaled down" as a result. Many chemistry departments have embraced "microscale" methods that use smaller-scale glassware in many curricular areas, especially in organic chemistry experiments. Reduce the amount of hazardous trash and byproducts. For instance, nitric acid creates toxic fumes while being a great oxidizer. Although chromium (VI) is a great oxidizer, inhaling it may cause cancer. The benefit of using hydrogen peroxide as an oxidising agent is that water is produced as a byproduct. Employ fewer harmful reagents. For instance, utilising anions that are less poisonous, such oxalate or carbonate, rather as chromate or sulphide, is desirable when one wants to precipitate a certain cation. Cut down on waste. There are nearly always extra reagents and/or waste byproducts since few reactions deliver 100% of the desired result. The requirement for trash disposal is reduced or completely eliminated by including the reuse and recycling of these materials within the experiment itself. We presume that you are reading this part at the start of your second year of chemistry coursework in college, or at the very least after you have done a few lab-based chemistry courses. After spending some time "in the lab," you presumably have a better understanding of what may go wrong or can go wrong during studies.

The four RAMP ideas may be used to determine "what went wrong" for every situation. This is one perspective on the occurrence that may quickly point out errors. Often, an event is the result of many mistakes. This section will provide a different approach to incident analysis that may provide more insight into incident causes and incident prevention strategies as an incident, but over time many people have come to believe or imply that they were random occurrences, inescapable, and without any known, specific causes. Due to the fact that every incident has one or more preventable causes—some of which are obvious while other preventable causes are subtle and frequently go unnoticed without an in-depth or careful review of the incident's facts—many safety professionals dislike the term accident. Instead of saying accident, we'll say event. Near misses are unforeseen incidents that barely avoided inflicting serious injury or property damage but did not have significant negative effects on health or the environment (also known as "close calls" or "near hits"). When we look back on these close calls, they are often quite frightful, but we can learn a lot from them. Since near misses may serve as a warning sign for future major occurrences, it is crucial to recognise them. The development of "lessons learned," which refers to how we may come up with activities to avoid similar accidents, can be aided by using the information from an analysis of the near miss.

This section discusses using critical thinking to draw lessons from situations. It does not go into specifics on how to carry out a proper incident inquiry. These investigations may be conducted using established methodologies beyond the purview of this work. However, as was previously said, safety is an empirical field based on our prior mistakes, blunders, incidents, accidents, or close calls. You should have a fundamental understanding that accidents happen for a reason, and by applying some critical thinking to an accident, you may be able to determine the cause or reasons, which can then lead you to propose suggestions or actions that might stop accidents like this one from happening again. This is a crucial ability, particularly for individuals who work in labs professionally since it's possible that some of the accidents that happen to you won't be looked into. These same abilities may be used to deal with situations that arise in your daily life that take place outside of the lab.

You should always question yourself: (1) What happened? after every incidence. 2. How did it occur? 3. Why did it occur? This set of inquiries is a kind of root cause analysis (RCA),12 a process that is often used in the examination of occurrences in business and industry. The final question is the most crucial, and you may need to ask and respond to it numerous times in order to identify the "real" or "root" reasons of an occurrence. In general, RCA advises asking "Why? five times to reach a root cause as opposed to an obvious one. Root causes are the fundamental reasons behind an occurrence that may be accurately identified, managed, and from which advice or lessons can be drawn. Root reasons are often not immediately apparent but may be found with thorough investigation. You should be able to provide suggestions or actions to avoid this from occurring again after you understand the "root" reasons. Let's use a previous event to illustrate how this may function. Remember that this is a straightforward exercise, and it's conceivable that your findings may vary from those in the example below since you haven't done a comprehensive investigation to gather all the information. This is most likely a result of the many assumptions we all make, which may or may not be true. Keep in mind that you may use this approach to analyse any situation in which you were engaged in order to understand its underlying reasons and draw conclusions that might help avoid it from occurring again.

Students were instructed to learn how to use a Bunsen burner to bend a glass tube into a 90-degree curve during a first-year laboratory session. The procedure was carried out by a pupil, who then brought the glass tubing to the teacher. His teacher quickly dropped the glass tubing after getting her palm burned when he gave her the tubing because Due to being kept in the burner, the tubing was still warm. When the tube landed on the ground, it broke. For the sake of illustration, let's assume that we are aware of the "facts" and pose the following three queries: What transpired? How did it take place? Why did it take place? In order to get close to a root cause when you ask the latter question, let's keep asking why for at least five more times. It should be noted that this will reveal the reason. There may be several underlying causes. The majority of occurrences happen as a consequence of a succession of oversights or errors that eventually combined to generate the unfavourable outcome.

What took place? The teacher received a burn after holding a heated piece of glass tubing, which also damaged the glass when it fell to the ground. Two negative effects occurred: a burn, and a glass tube shattered. How did it take place? The tube was heated until it was scorching hot, bent, and then taken out of the flame. The glass started to cool as soon as it was taken out of the flame, but as most chefs are aware, glass holds heat effectively, so the glass tubing was still quite hot. Glass tubing held at the ends, the pupil must have hurriedly made his way to the teacher. She was given the glass to inspect to see whether it was a nice bend without realising that the glass was still hot. As a result, the pupil was unaware of the danger. Furthermore, the teacher grasped the hot glass in her hand without realising (the danger) that it was hot or may have been scorching.

Each series begins with a unique response to the first "Why?They all arrive at the same underlying problem: insufficient safety teacher training. At this point, you may keep asking, "Why?As we went through this scenario, you could have considered various arguments that might be presented, based on the "facts." For example, the teacher was not instructed on how to do experiment risk assessments, or the necessary evaluation of the dangers was not done by the teacher. You may be able to pinpoint the core reasons more clearly if you were able to interrogate the student and the teacher.

1. Instructors need to learn how to evaluate, identify, and manage experimentation's risks.

2. The specific risks associated with the particular experiments that are being conducted under their watch must be known to instructors or be able to be ascertained by them.

3. Before the experiments start, teachers should let the pupils know about the risks.

4. Instructors should keep their hands in their pockets or behind their backs throughout this specific experiment so they are not exposed to potentially hot tubing.

5. To ensure that subsequent teachers are aware of this risk and won't do the same error, the instructor should impart this lesson to them.

This examination of a simple laboratory event illustrates the value of "critical" thinking, which is a crucial component of your responsibility for ensuring the safety of others as well as yourself. Other occurrences could make it more difficult to draw conclusions since it might be harder to pin down precisely what occurred. However, this approach is often helpful to evaluate situations that could happen while you're in the lab. The fact that you are in charge of your choices and behaviours is perhaps the most crucial lesson you can acquire from an event analysis. Accidents may be avoided if you can draw conclusions from them and take steps to stop them from occurring again. You can now see why many people avoid using the word "accident," which suggests that something happened by chance while, in reality, there were virtually always factors that led to the situation.

Licensed Incident Reviews

Maps are used in more formal techniques of analysis to help investigators determine the "root" causes of occurrences. The incident's origin is broken down into equipment and people issues, and each of these is then broken down into different categories of problems. The key root cause categories that follow each one include management systems, procedures, training, communications, and so on. We determined that the instructor's lack of knowledge was the root of Incident. The final "Why?" might have had a different response, however, if we had discovered through our event investigation that the teacher had received training on the risks associated with the experiment. It could have been a communication issue, where the instructor for some reason failed to give the student enough safety instructions, or where the instructor had given the instructions but the student had either not heard or understood them, or had forgotten them because there were too many things to remember. The key takeaway is that the occurrence and its facts—as accurately and truthfully as they can be ascertained—determine the reasons. It is crucial that you thoroughly evaluate what happened after an incident or near-miss and make an effort to ascertain why it occurred so you may devise measures to avoid it from occurring again.

Academic Lab Incident Analysis: RAMP

The RAMP acronym was introduced. This will serve as a common example throughout the book to show how the technique may be used to help avoid accidents in chemistry laboratories. Identify dangers, evaluate potential threats' risks, reduce the likelihood of dangers, be ready for unexpected events. Root cause analysis may be used to shed light on all four RAMP components, and it often reveals several errors—not just one—that are ultimately responsible for most events. Additionally, accidents may sometimes be avoided by avoiding only one of the many errors [11], [12].

CONCLUSION

There are a few key things to bear in mind while analyzing occurrences. Instead of placing "the blame" for an occurrence squarely on any one person, it is crucial to identify the contributing elements and figure out how to avoid them in the future. Numerous in-depth investigations have demonstrated that the causes of workplace incidents frequently involve poor management and infrequently involve deliberate, negligent, careless, or obviously dangerous individual acts.4 Incidents are frequently brought on by at-risk behavior, but these behaviors may not always be obvious and may frequently be subtle.

These are often the outcome of a chain reaction of multiple seemingly unrelated little activities that, when taken together under the conditions of time and location, led to an unanticipated and unfavorable occurrence. The intensity and significance of a particular occurrence determine the scope of any inquiry. For a small occurrence, a formal inquiry is unlikely to occur; instead, a "lessons learned" scenario, as stated below, is more probable. A more formal examination by safety experts will probably be carried out in situations of severe injury or significant laboratory or equipment damage. In any event, looking into occurrences may teach us a lot, and it's not rare to discover that a comparable incidence has happened in a lab someplace. The accumulation of occurrences reveals that we keep doing the same errors! The American Industrial Hygiene Association's Laboratory Health and Safety Committee has a fantastic Internet site describing laboratory incidents with lessons learned and recommendations that are especially useful.7 There are compilations of laboratory and chemical incidents worthy of examination, both in print5,6 and online.

REFERENCES:

[1] M. A. Dubé and S. Salehpour, "Applying the Principles of Green Chemistry to Polymer Production Technology," *Macromolecular Reaction Engineering*. 2014.

[2] W. Watson, "Green chemistry metrics," *Chimica Oggi/Chemistry Today*. 2014.

[3] C. A. Marques and A. A. S. C. Machado, "Environmental Sustainability: Implications and limitations to Green Chemistry," *Found. Chem.*, 2014.

[4] T. A. Lewandowski, "Green Chemistry," in *Encyclopedia of Toxicology: Third Edition*, 2014.

[5] R. O. M. A. De Souza and L. S. M. Miranda, "Continuous flow reactions: From green chemistry towards a green," *Rev. Virtual Quim.*, 2014.

[6] L. A. Morsch, L. Deak, D. Tiburzi, H. Schuster, and B. Meyer, "Green aqueous wittig reaction: Teaching green chemistry in organic teaching laboratories," *J. Chem. Educ.*, 2014.

[7] Y. J. Chen, "The ethical dimension of green chemistry and sustainability," *J. Chem. Pharm. Res.*, 2014.

[8] R. J. Giraud, P. A. Williams, A. Sehgal, E. Ponnusamy, A. K. Phillips, and J. B. Manley, "Implementing green chemistry in chemical manufacturing: A survey report," *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.*, 2014.

[9] A. E. Marteel-Parrish, "Teaching green and sustainable chemistry: A revised one-semester course based on inspirations and challenges," *J. Chem. Educ.*, 2014.

[10] D. Soledad Mansilla, G. Celeste Muscia, and E. Ariel Ugliarolo, "Una fundamentación para la incorporación de la química verde en los currículos de química orgánica," *Educ. Quim.*, 2014.

[11] N. A. Afiyanti, E. Cahyono, and Soeprodjo, "Keefektifan Inkuiri Terbimbing Berorientasi Green Chemistry Terhadap Keterampilan Proses Sains," *J. Inov. Pendidik. Kim.*, 2014.

[12] S. M. Guillaume and L. Mespouille, "Polycarbonates and green chemistry," *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*. 2014.

CHAPTER 3

FOSTERING A SAFETY CULTURE

Pande Milind Sharad, Professor
College of Pharmacy, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- milind.pande27@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

Leaders share a variety of characteristics. One of those qualities is passion for their mission, and you must demonstrate this as a safety leader. Being a safety leader requires honesty, reliability, and the ability to inspire others to carry out their tasks safely. You must set a good example by constantly following safety protocols when you enter the lab. Wear the proper safety equipment at all times, and put safety first in all you do. Overall, you should be someone who has embraced the safety ethic meaning that you not only believe in the importance of safety but also work to make sure that safety is a constant in your daily activities. Leaders develop their communication skills so they can reach the people who need to hear their message. They should speak in a motivating and upbeat manner to highlight what is achievable. A safety leader should make it a point to always learn new things about safety and how to explain its significance to others. Instead than depending only on safety training, leaders try to teach people about safety. In order for individuals to comprehend safety principles and be able to choose suitable safety procedures and techniques, safety education conveys fundamental information.

KEYWORDS:

Culture, Need, People, Safety, Work

INTRODUCTION

Most likely, you have heard this before. It's probable that some of you chemistry students in your junior or senior year may find yourselves helping with or supervising a laboratory session. You may need to establish the tone and safety standards for this. In actuality, you do have obligations for safety if you are a paid laboratory assistant or teacher (an employee). When you graduate and get a job, you could discover that you are now in charge of ensuring the security of a lab and the employees that work there. In other words, you will be formally responsible for guaranteeing the security of the labs you will oversee. If you decide to teach chemistry in a local school system, you can find yourself in control since you will suddenly be the "resident expert" in responsibility of establishing and maintaining safety for students utilising the labs at the school where you work. This covers all aspects of programme and facility safety, such as safe experimentation, upkeep of the facilities (laboratory, stockroom), maintenance of safety gear, preparation of reagents, management of chemical waste, teaching of safety, and safe demonstrations. This may also be the situation if you operate in an industrial setting where you are responsible for the safety of both laboratory operations and the personnel who use them in addition to your own job [1], [2].

The most crucial thing you must do is create and support a strong, passionate, and active safety culture. This chapter offers some guidance on how to create a safety culture. Using Albert Schweitzer as an example, leadership in safety is essential for success. This implies that in addition to teaching others how to act properly, you also need to follow the advice you offered to those in your care. There has been a lot written on leadership, and when you take on greater responsibilities in the future, studying about leadership and leaders may be an important element of your continuing education. As a chemistry major, this was probably not covered in your coursework. However, it is simple to get books and courses on organisational behaviour and leadership, and you may find yourself referring to such literature at some point [3], [4].

Knowledge of Human Motivation

The Psychology of Safety Handbook has an article on safety leadership by Scott Geller, a psychologist with expertise in safety.3 Geller makes the distinction between leadership and management in his work. Managers try to make sure that employees accomplish objectives and results and that they are held responsible for attaining them, while leaders aim to create the need for responsibility in their followers.

Leaders try to explain why safety is essential by giving examples of how to learn safety principles. Leaders are skilled in listening to people in order to get a deeper understanding of their viewpoint. This skill enables leaders to provide wise counsel, direction, support, or leadership. The leaders must take the lead in order to convince others of the value of safety, to develop a good attitude towards safety, and to conduct safety in a safe way. People in leadership positions need to have a positive outlook on safety, lively passions for safety, and strong personal commitments to safety that they can demonstrate by setting an example for others [5], [6].

A Conflict between Human Nature and Safety

Geller offers 50 guidelines for building a solid safety culture.5 These principles include the need for individuals to understand safety theory, the need for people to teach safety, the development of safety leaders, and the emphasis on safety procedures rather than safety results. Instead of focusing just on a "zero" incident rate or time missed from work due to an event, these principles encourage you to always improve how you do things safely. According to Geller, safety often runs against to human nature, which strives to act in a pleasant, comfortable, and time-efficient manner. This is because safety may sometimes result in discomfort, inconvenience, and time inefficiency. In creating strong safety behaviours, Geller5 points out the need of monitoring and feedback. People also often attribute failures to outside forces beyond their control rather than to internal flaws. Geller lays a significant focus on active caring, a proactive approach that is essential to developing a healthy safety culture. Geller contends that giving individuals the freedom to make wise safety choices may increase their self-assurance, optimism, and feeling of control over their own safety. Read the Psychology of Safety Handbook to learn more about his cherished safety strategies [7], [8].

DISCUSSION

Motivate individuals to care passionately about safety so they want to be safe. To create a connection that is sincere and reliable, strive for open and transparent communication.Set a good example by promoting safety via their behaviours, time, and money commitments. Rather of focusing on specific results, hold employees responsible for safety procedures and actions. Use chances for teaching, coaching, mentoring, and delegating to educate people about safety by examples and justification. Listen first. Before giving counsel, encouragement, or guidance, make an effort to comprehend the other person's viewpoint. Encourage people to take ownership of the safety process by enlisting their help and giving them the chance to realisetheir goals.Set expectations rather than requirements so that individuals may choose for themselves. Express some "uncertainty" about how to achieve safety objectives, allowing others a lot of room to come up with solutions. Recognise that certain aspects are impossible to quantify, but stress the value of boosting confidence, self-worth, self-control, and a strong feeling of belonging to the safety culture. Recognise that people's talents and traits exist on a continuum, with some people having the capacity to do tasks successfully while others don't.

Creating Positive Safety Attitudes

Continuous reiteration of the significance of safety in each experiment that is carried out is necessary to establish a strong positive attitude towards safety. A person must be able to identify hazards, evaluate potential exposure scenarios, and manage and control hazards to reduce exposure and risk in order to have a solid grasp of safety. The secret to fostering a knowledge of safety is to provide those with whom you work the opportunity to learn about the risks, identify the exposure pathways, and choose the best ways to control these risks. Additionally, knowing about emergency protocols, how emergency gear functions, and how to make judgements in an emergency may help one comprehend the importance of safety while also preparing one for work in a laboratory where accidents may happen. It takes strong people skills, the ability to listen and communicate effectively with others, as well as the development of trust among your followers, to become a successful leader. Although being a good leader is not simple, it is feasible to develop these qualities.6 There are numerous books about leadership available if you're interested in learning more about it. You should try to study these books often to keep your abilities sharp [9], [10].

A formalin solution needed to be made in a laboratory where a new employee was working. In the laboratory, she made a little carboy with this solution, but she spilled part of it. She departed a short while later without wiping up the spill. Soon later, a different worker who had spent many years working in the lab came and started her job. After a short while there, she started to have a cough, which became worse as she worked and she quickly realised she was experiencing breathing difficulties. She yelled for assistance as she left the lab. Her coworkers drove her to the on-site medical facility. She was taken to a hospital and given oxygen. Later, she became well. The exposure to formaldehyde (formalin) was made known to the investigators. The elder worker was no longer able to do her duties in this lab since she had developed a formaldehyde sensitivity.

Employer Perspectives on Safety

Many of you will quit your academic positions to work in business, government, or nonprofits that focus on chemistry. Through many difficult experiences, the chemical industry has come to understand the significance of safety.3 Early in the 20th century, industry saw high accident rates, which led to significant liabilities and legal actions. Since that time, the great majority of industry has recognised the value of robust safety programmes for operations. Although investing in strong safety programmes demands money, doing so is ultimately preferable than disregarding them, which might threaten a company's very survival.

Despite this, events do happen in all businesses, institutions, and organisations. Since the majority of these occurrences are not made public, you are unlikely to ever learn about them. A strong safety culture is fostered by good organisations that learn from mishaps, develop their safety procedures and programmes, and take appropriate action. However, you may have read in the news about chemical mishaps that happened because a company didn't respect safety, didn't set up a robust safety plan, and let a little problem turn into a major one.

The majority of the main chemical industry companies not only have robust safety programmes, but they also lead the way in safety. Some of them even have organisations that train others in safety management.

The business world has realised how crucial it is to include its workers as partners in safety. When a business promotes safety and supports safety initiatives through financing, training, and time dedicated to doing things properly, safety is achieved. Employees are seen as safety partners who assist maintain overall business safe. In other words, they don't only want workers to be knowledgeable about safety; they also expect them to contribute to the creation, implementation, and observance of safety policies, practises, and procedures. Additionally, the majority would urge staff members to actively contribute to the improvement of safety programmes by reporting dangerous situations and working to find solutions to their weaknesses. So when you work for a business, one of your responsibilities is to assist in the production of goods, and safety is a crucial component of that. The focus on safety in business and industry may be different from your experience in academics, and often, new hires are "shocked" by it. But given that it has an impact on the bottom line—profits—this shouldn't come as much of a surprise.

The World of Work

Employers seek to safeguard their interests since they have a company to operate. They'll anticipate that you'll take an interest in them and work hard to fit in well with the team, particularly the safety team. Safety flaws cost money, cause delays, may result in casualties or property damage, and often generate negative press in the local or even national media. Companies will want you to know that they want you to conduct your job properly and maintain the safety of their workplace since this hurts their bottom line.

In order to stay in business and keep its staff employed, businesses must be profitable. Although they will want to take every possible measure to ensure workplace safety, there will be restrictions on what they can or wish to do in the area of safety. Risk and safety are constantly in balance with one another. This indicates that they want you to do your duties safely rather than just doing them without thinking about the repercussions of avoiding (or disobeying) safety precautions. Making wise decisions about risk assessment and risk management—which includes taking actions to reduce risk in situations when some degree of risk is unavoidable—is the name of the game.

When choosing an employment, you probably take into account the safety record of the business and the management's approach towards safety. Do not be afraid to inquire about safety with a potential employment. You could discover anything about their mindset and safety strategy that might aid you in making crucial decisions. You should bring a proactive attitude towards safety to your new employment, and you should look for an employer that shares your concern for safety.

Positivity Is Everything

To keep a workplace safe, one must have a strong, positive attitude towards safety. You will have learnt safety over the previous several years if you have been utilising this book in small doses. In order to have a positive attitude towards safety, it is necessary to be constantly reminded of its significance. By studying safety in little doses over time, you have created the kind of pattern required in your new employment. It will be up to you to maintain this mentality by learning new things and by continuing to coach others in your area of influence. Think about the reality of this well-known statement by Charles Swindoll4:

I am more aware of how attitude affects life as I get older. For me, attitude is more important than information. The present is more significant than the past, education, wealth, circumstances, failures, and accomplishments, as well as what other people think, say, or do. It is more significant than looks, talent, or ability. It has the power to create or ruin a business, a church, or a household. The amazing thing is that we get to choose every day what attitude we want to have for the day. We are unable to alter the history or the reality that individuals will behave in a certain manner. The future cannot be changed. We are only able to play the one string we have, which is our mindset. I really believe that 90% of how I respond to things and 10% of what occurs to me make up my existence. You and I are both responsible for our Attitudes.

You may or may not agree with this statement, but we think you'll find that how you feel about safety affects whether or not you adopt it into your daily life. Additionally, it has an impact on others around you since a happy outlook may spread quickly and is often embraced by everybody. It does not take long to identify those who only pay lip service to safety and those who genuinely hold this value in high regard.

Safety Knowledge Is Crucial

You must possess the abilities required for the tasks ahead in order to promote a proactive attitude towards safety. Keep in mind (How could you forget?) our catchphrase, RAMP. You need to be able to identify risks associated with such dangers, manage and reduce those risks, and be ready for emergencies. You'll benefit greatly from these safety skills in your new position. We have provided you with a lot of information regarding potential risks in the lab. We anticipate that most of this will be useful background information for your new career, but we are also aware that every job has its own set of risks, which you will need to become aware of. Similar to this, you will be able to accomplish this at your new employment after you learn to analyse how you may be exposed and determine the relative risk of the dangers you will be employing.

The resources your company provides you with in the form of facilities, tools, and other measures will be necessary for managing and reducing the risks. You most certainly have all you need to complete your work in that region. Your employer recruited you because they think you can assist the business operations succeed and because of your knowledge of safety, which can aid in business operations. Finally, you need to be equipped to handle crises. The longer you work somewhere, the more probable it is that you may run into an emergency. Being well-prepared and learning as much as you can about potential problems can help you respond to situations efficiently and calmly. Do not forget that practise and simulation are crucial components of emergency planning.

Expectations You Have as a Worker

We've spoken about what your employer expects from you, but what ought to you anticipate from your employer? Even if every company is unique, it is legitimate to demand a safe and healthy workplace in order to do your duties. The majority of us are also aware that sometimes companies may not provide the ideal working circumstances, but as a new employee, you shouldn't anticipate being placed in danger. Again, this does not imply that you will work in a situation devoid of danger; risk exists in all aspects of life, including our occupations. Your employer would anticipate that you will have these abilities and be able to utilise them successfully since part of the reason you were employed is because you have some experience handling dangers properly. Employers will anticipate that you will take certain risks while doing your duties to the best of your abilities. Some bosses really appreciate improvement suggestions, especially if they don't take much time or money. Some companies understand that making an initial investment in safety will ultimately save them money and significantly lower their liability. This viewpoint may not be shared by all employers. Finding out how your company feels about safety will take some time. Your task will be to assist your company in determining when safety issues need attention.

The Path to Safety: Leading, Supervising, and Managing Employees

Some of you could be required to manage and supervise one or more workers. You will need to acquire a whole new set of skills for this, both via more education and training and on-the-job training. However, you must set the tone and attitude for your staff when it comes to safety. People will seek to you for safety advice and have faith in your ability to protect them from hazardous circumstances. It's crucial to gain their trust right away. You may need to instruct them on how to do their duties safely.

You may now transmit the RAMP message. Some chemists go on to professions in health and safety. Chemical health and safety as a profession or collateral duty is covered.

It is typical for new hires to have little to no training on safety, thus it will be your responsibility to guide and advise them. It's a good start to inform them about the risks associated with their employment. Even though most individuals presumably perform this every day without realising it, explaining the basics of risk assessment may be unfamiliar to them. One of the most important talents your team members may develop is the ability to assist others in reducing the risks associated with hazards at work. Their trust in themselves and in you will increase if you educate them how to manage crises.

It's important to understand the legal standards in order to protect your organisation and workers. There are several these, and although you don't have to be an expert, you nevertheless need to comprehend the fundamentals of them. Workers on the workplace, especially those who work in laboratories, are protected by laws and regulations. These are from the American OSHA, or Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Similar to how the EPA controls hazardous waste, improper handling of hazardous waste may result in significant financial penalties and clean-up expenses. The safe transportation of hazardous goods is supervised by the Department of Transportation (DOT). The Centres for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) jointly control Select Agents that you may come into contact with in the laboratory, and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) supervises the use of radioisotopes. More information about them is provided.

Safety ethics

The student safety ethic was first established: I work safely, avoid needless risk, and assume responsibility for safety. It is reasonable to change this ethic when moving from an academic setting to a working context to reflect the different obligations and requirements of being an employee. Why are strong safety ethics necessary? Self-preservation and long life are undoubtedly your first thoughts, and with good reason. People with inadequate safety ethics and knowledge commonly put their lives in danger and are less likely to survive for an extended period of time without harming themselves or others. The safety ethic is to cherish safety, work safely, prohibit at-risk behaviour, promote safety, and take responsibility for safety. We strongly advise you to memorise it.5 This is a straightforward statement that still defines vast tasks to be taken and has wide ramifications. So let's examine each component and provide some interpretive principles to help us all grasp what each portion means.

To value safety is to make it a positive, integral part of your daily activities. Safety is a value that should never be compromised, it is an essential component of your daily activities that calls for responsible behaviour, and it protects you, your family, your coworkers, and others from harm or suffering [11], [12].

CONCLUSION

To work safely, one must be trained in safety to reduce the likelihood of illness or injury, continually strive to learn about safety, learn to identify and assess hazards, choose and put into practise safe work practises, look for ways to reduce and control risk from hazards, and maintain a high level of awareness of safety at work, at home, and during free time. To avoid at-risk behaviour, one must have the ability to identify it, abstain from engaging in it themselves, work to stop others from engaging in it, and keep themselves and people around them from engaging in it. To "promote safety" is to "promote safety to others through your everyday actions," "act as an example and a leader for others in safety," "act as a mentor teaching the unaware and inexperienced in safety," "recognise others for their safe acts," and "pass the safety ethic to others." Accepting responsibility for safety means that you are accountable for your own safety as well as the safety of your family, coworkers, and employees. It also means that you actively pursue the safest course of action, ensure your employees' safety through training, appropriate safety equipment, and secure working environments, and you won't put your own safety or the safety of others at risk. You will need to put in a lot of work to adopt the safety ethic. To work properly, for instance, you must learn to identify and assess threats. This is one of the main goals of the whole book, and it necessitates a foundation of knowledge that you must continually add to throughout your life, not just during this course of study.

REFERENCES:

[1] P. Jia, L. Zhang, X. Mao, and M. Zhang, "Fostering Patient Safety Culture in Hospital to Improve Health Service: Hospital Survey on Patient Safety Culture," *Value Heal.*, 2014.

[2] J. Kilaparthi, "Assessment of Safety Culture in Global Offshore Environments," *J. Environ. Prot. (Irvine,. Calif).*, 2014.

[3] G. Lewis, "The cultural environment behind successful maternal death and morbidity reviews," *BJOG : an international journal of obstetrics and gynaecology*. 2014.

[4] H. SAKUDA, "2S7-3 Quality Management System and Activities for Fostering Safety Culture in Nuclear Power Plants," *Japanese J. Ergon.*, 2014.

[5] F. Sakamoto, T. Sakihama, S. Saint, M. T. Greene, D. Ratz, and Y. Tokuda, "Health care-associated infection prevention in Japan: The role of safety culture," *Am. J. Infect. Control*, 2014.

[6] National Research Council, *Laboratory Safety Dynamics to Improve Safety Culture*. 2014.

[7] I. Olague-Caballero and D. J. Valles-Rosales, "Rounding-up the industrial engineering educational profile in a industry-university partnership," in *ASEE Annual Conference and Exposition, Conference Proceedings*, 2014.

[8] M. J. and P. B., "Fostering cultural safety in nursing practice with people who use drugs," *Can. J. Infect. Dis. Med. Microbiol.*, 2014.

[9] S. Nugraha and Y. Ohara-Hirano, "Mental Health Predictor of the Sixth Batch Indonesian Nurse and Certified Care Worker Candidates Migrate to Japan under the Japan–Indonesia Economic Partnership Agreement in Pre-migration Stage," *J. Heal. Sci.*, 2014.

[10] J. D. Bedford, J. Edwards, and N. Khwaja, "0014 Developing The Manchester Burn Simulation Framework: An Overview Of Progress Towards A Toolkit For Multidisciplinary Training, Assessment And Service Improvement On The Burns Unit," 2014.

[11] Å. Ek, M. Runefors, and J. Borell, "Relationships between safety culture aspects - A work process to enable interpretation," *Mar. Policy*, 2014.

[12] T. Reiman and C. Rollenhagen, "Does the concept of safety culture help or hinder systems thinking in safety?," *Accid. Anal. Prev.*, 2014.

CHAPTER 4

LAWS AND REGULATIONS PERTAINING TO SAFETY

Anuradha Pawar, Assistant Professor
College of Pharmacy, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- anumayak@yahoo.co.in

ABSTRACT:

Laws are a body of regulations created by our government (federal, state, and local). These are generally broad papers that outline the intended goal and are created for the benefit of our employees as a whole. Regulations are the precise set of requirements put in place to carry out the specifics of a law that has already been enacted. The Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 was the legislation enacted by Congress to offer protection for employees in American workplaces. Its goal was to ensure that every man and woman in our country had access to a safe and healthy job. The Department of Labor (DOL) was given responsibility for enforcing this rule, and the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) was set up to do so. For the purpose of enforcing the legislation, OSHA established rules under the CFR. The DOL is allocated to 29 CFR in the CFR, and Part 1910 contains the occupational safety and health standards. We shall concentrate on the laws and rules that apply to labs out of the many that exist. The intricacy of the restrictions might sometimes make it feel as if you have entered

KEYWORDS:

Chemicals, Hazardous, Safety labs, Chemicals, Waste Regulations.

INTRODUCTION

In order to complete an experiment, a student working in a university lab required lithium aluminium hydride (LAH). The researcher suffered burns to his hands and face when he opened the container and exposed LAH to damp air. He was sent to a neighbourhood hospital for treatment. The fire was eventually put out by the neighbourhood fire department, but it took a long time for anybody to enter the building again since the HazMat crew spent hours making sure everyone could. The decision to keep the facility closed until environmental testing could confirm that it was safe to reenter the building was made by the authorities. Additional concerns were raised due to the experimental animals housed there and the care of those creatures [1], [2].

Statutes and Rules

The Inferno of Dante's Divine Comedy while reading them. Furthermore, because lobbyists, businesses, unions, and other political groups are involved in their creation, rules are not necessarily "only" influenced by science. However, disregarding these rules due to ignorance of the law is not an acceptable defence restrictions, and one should make an effort to understand as much as they can about the laws that have an impact on chemical lab operations.

Regulations for Occupational Safety and Health——Legal Requirements

While there are numerous laws, you likely only need to be aware of a few number that apply to laboratories5 and we will concentrate on those. OSHA created regulations to clearly spell out the minimum legal criteria, or standards, for a safe and healthy workplace. Remember that OSHA laws must be followed to the letter of the law; your employer must do the same, and OSHA may examine your workplace and issue tickets for infractions if necessary. You are not protected by OSHA laws as a university student, but employees of the school and those who work in the labs are. This comprises teaching assistants, instructors, teachers, and staff, as well as lab assistants and managers. Below are some examples of occupational standards.

Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response (also known as HAZWOPER), 29 CFR 1910 Subpart H (1910.120). Anyone who reacts to an incident involving hazardous waste is required by this rule to complete training and show proficiency in emergency response procedures. Personal protective equipment is covered in 29 CFR 1910 Subpart I. The basic requirements for respiratory, skin, foot, face, and eye protection are outlined in this rule. Subpart Z of 29 CFR 1910, Toxic and Hazardous Substances. These are requirements for certain poisonous and dangerous compounds. These standards include certain sections that apply to labs and other sections that do not. While certain criteria may not apply to labs, the majority of the chemical airborne standards do. Within Subpart Z, there are two standards:

Hazard Communication, under 29 CFR 1910.1200. This law, known as HazComm, established the rules for informing employees of potential risks at work. Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDSs) are required by law, and personnel must get hazard recognition training. In order to update HazComm and incorporate the Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS), OSHA published a proposed rule-making notice in October 2009. As a result, all chemical suppliers will be required to adhere to the GHS danger rating and labelling system [3], [4].

Regulations for Hazardous Waste——Legal Requirements

Regulations set out by the U.S. apply to all hazardous waste produced in the country. Under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA, 1976), the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) was established. RCRA (pronounced "reck-rah") established the need for a "cradle-to-grave" system for hazardous waste, calling for its tracking from the point of origination to the point of final disposal. Most businesses depend on internal resources since these requirements are difficult specialists assigned by the management to solve these problems. They commonly use contractors to get rid of their hazardous trash, and they keep track of it with records that may be shown in court. You may find the rules for managing hazardous waste in 40 CFR Part 260.6. Here are some things you should know about hazardous trash: There are different standards depending on whether a company is a large- or small-scale generator; the large-scale regulations are more strict. The Academic Labs Rule (40 CFR 262) was revised by the EPA in November 2008 to provide academic institutions managing hazardous laboratory waste an alternate method. The standards for recordkeeping and documentation are quite strict [5], [6].

Once a substance has been created and designated as hazardous waste, there are set deadlines for its disposal; an institution is given a certain number of days to execute the necessary steps. Businesses that handle, store, and dispose of hazardous waste are required to get a permit from the EPA or the regional state agency in charge of that task. People who work in labs often don't treat their hazardous waste; instead, they hire a contractor to dispose of it. Permits are required for businesses that handle and dispose of hazardous waste. The producer of hazardous waste is in charge of the waste's final disposition. This implies that choosing a hazardous waste processor is crucial because if the processor does not properly dispose of the trash, the producer is still liable, even if that means having to pay again if it is discovered later. This poses a considerable liability. It's against the law to pour hazardous waste into the water waste stream or down the drain. Improper handling of hazardous garbage might result in very high fines. Since the beginning of generation, they might be in the hundreds of dollars or thousands of dollars every day. You do not want to neglect this region.

Regulations for Radioactive Materials——Legal Requirements

It's likely that at some point while working in the lab, you'll need to utilise or be in close contact to radioactive materials. These might be "open" sources of radioactive materials, like 14C-labeled compounds or 3H-labeled compounds, or they could be radioactive sources that are contained in "closed" systems, such analytical instruments (X-ray diffraction, analytical detectors, etc.). If you do come across these radioactive sources, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) or a state nuclear regulatory body will be in charge of overseeing your site. These organisations were set up to safeguard the populace against radioactive dangers. The NRC maintains a rigorous programme to supervise the use of radioisotopes in labs in addition to carefully monitoring the safety of large-scale radiation sources like nuclear reactors and nuclear power plants. Your facility must have a documented Radiation Safety Programme and an NRC licence in order to employ radioactive materials [7], [8].

In brief, you should be aware that NRC rules controlling the use of radioisotopes in facilities, including labs, Laboratory employees limit their exposure to "as low as reasonably achievable" (ALARA) Adherence to annual maximum dose limits for radiation exposure Radiation Safety Officers or Radiation Safety Committees are designated by licenced establishments. Source security, thorough inventory, and monitoring designated zones for radioactive work, with signage indicating these sites Comprehensive training and documentation. All licenced establishments are subject to surprise inspections by the NRC or recognised state organisations. If the radiation safety programme at your plant is effective, these inspections ought to go smoothly. The NRC gives warnings and fines for disregarding regulations and worker safety.

Legal Requirements for Select Agent Regulations

Anyone who uses chemical or biological substances for terrorist objectives will be prosecuted and punished under the Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act, which Congress approved in 1996. The regulations have established requirements on the use of these agents in laboratories with a focus on security. A group of more than 80 of these agents were referred to as "Select Agents" and included a significant number of biological agents and biological toxins; these agents were chosen for their extremely hazardous properties and their potential to cause significant harm to the public.

Use of these agents requires registration. Various agents demand registration with certain governmental organisations:

The Department of Health and Human Services' (HHS) Centres for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) for Human Pathogens and Toxins

For animal infections, the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) [a division of the U.S. Agriculture Department (USDA)]

The Federal Bureau of inquiry may conduct an inquiry into any violations of these Select Agent restrictions and the United States may prosecute offenders. Office of Justice.

You could work with these substances or poisons in study since chemistry and biology have a lot of similarities, particularly in molecular biology. These Select Agents are governed by the rules included in 42 CFR Part 73, 7 CFR Part 331, and 9 CFR Part 121. You should be aware that the SAP (Select Agent Programme) demands that:

Implementing a robust security strategy to restrict access for these agents

Perform criminal background checks on everyone who interacts with these agents.

There are certain sites and regions where Select Agent employment is permitted.

The CDC and the National Institutes of Health's safety regulations, which may be found in Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories, 5th edition (or the most recent version), are followed by laboratories utilising these products.

The agents and activities specified in the lab's permission must be used exclusively for laboratory work; you cannot begin employing another agent on the list unless you have included it in your application.

Only labs that have been registered and authorised to accept these agents may receive agents for transfer; you cannot just bottle up a sample of a Select Agent and send it to a colleague; there are specific transfer protocols that must be followed.

Numerous labs are engaged in research with these materials, and as a result, numerous institutions have registered in SAP. This is because there may be money available for study with these Select Agents.

Act governing toxic substances

The Toxic Substances Control Act, sometimes known as TSCA (pronounced "toska"), was created in 1976 to provide the United States jurisdiction and duty. Before chemical goods entered the public marketplace, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) was responsible for monitoring and screening chemicals manufactured in large quantities.10–12 The goal of TSCA was to safeguard the people and the environment from unjustifiable risks from dangerous compounds. TSCA has been largely ineffective because it has been constrained by restrictions and limitations put in place to protect innovations from the chemical industry that might find practical and profitable uses for chemicals, despite the fact that the idea behind it may sound like a sensible and significant step in protecting the public. Although hundreds of chemicals are suspected or known to have significant hazardous effects, only five have been regulated under TSCA as a consequence of the strict requirement that the EPA adopt a formal rule-making process in its implementation. Despite the EPA has more than 80,000 chemicals listed in its TSCA database, it does not require chemical manufacturers to produce or report data on the health and environmental safety of these substances.13 While enhancing green chemistry efforts may be a useful TSCA strategy, there is no funding mechanism in place to support this kind of activity. According to a report from the University of California, TSCA's shortcomings led to gaps in important toxicity data and slowed the development of new greener technology.13 The Obama administration is currently looking at options that could improve TSCA in order to better protect the public and encourage innovation [9], [10].

Act on Emergency Planning and Community Right to Know

The Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act15 (EPCRA) lays down criteria for emergency planning and "Community Right-to-Know" reporting on hazardous and toxic chemicals for federal, state, municipal, Indian tribes, and industry. The Environmental

Protection Agency has authority over EPCRA. The Community Right-to-Know provisions were created to improve public awareness of and access to data on chemicals used at specific facilities, their applications, and environmental discharges. EPCRA was enacted in response to concerns about the environmental and safety risks that the handling and storage of dangerous chemicals present. The passage of EPCRA in the US was significantly influenced by the accident in Bhopal, India, where the leak of methyl isocyanate resulted in thousands of deaths and injuries.

While EPCRA contains a number of standards for emergency response plans, the section that affects labs is the last one. State and municipal officials, as well as local fire departments, must have access to Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDSs) outlining the characteristics and health effects of classified hazardous substances at all facilities (including labs) involved in their production, processing, or storage. These establishments must also provide inventory of any on-site chemicals for which MSDSs are available to state, local, and municipal fire departments. Thus, under the Community Right-to-Know section of EPCRA, the general public must have access to information concerning chemical inventory at facilities and MSDSs. Therefore, when your management asks you to give a chemical inventory for your laboratory, it's partly because of EPCRA's obligations and part of your responsibility to be a good neighbour.

Legal Requirements for Chemicals That Could Be Potential Terrorist Agents

To reduce the possibility of chemicals being taken and utilised by terrorists, the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) issued "Chemical Facility Anti-terrorism Standards" in 2007. These rules apply to the use of 325 compounds in chemical facilities, including labs, that pose significant security risks. There are several of these compounds in labs.

The DHS has threshold amounts for reporting, which means that if the quantities of the specified substances are below the threshold, they are not reportable. This is because the DHS is particularly worried about significant stockpiles of chemicals that may be used by terrorists. Even though laboratories only use tiny amounts of any given chemical, the rule still applies to the total amounts used across all laboratories within an institution. As a result, a university would need to take an inventory of all the DHS-listed chemicals on campus to see if the total exceeds the limit. Some of the mentioned compounds have been discovered on the campuses of many institutions, albeit not in the significant numbers that the DHS was worried about. The chance that any of these substances are present in your laboratory building or in your particular laboratory is highlighted in this study. The DHS rules are published in 6 CFR Part 27, and Appendix A to that regulation contains a list of the substances and the reportable levels for each.16

RAM

Be aware that the use of chemicals in labs is subject to rules. Evaluate the hazards associated with the use of chemicals, keeping in mind that certain of these hazards may be regulated.For the sake of safeguarding the environment, human health, and ethical chemical practises, laws and regulations governing safety in the area of chemistry are essential. The scientific field of chemistry is essential to many different sectors of the economy, including industry, research, agriculture, medicines, and food production. Governments and international organisations have created a comprehensive system of rules and regulations to avoid accidents, chemical spills, and environmental damage. We will go into great detail in this article about the main points of these rules, their goals, and their importance in the context of chemical safety.

Chemical Safety Regulations Overview

The study of matter, including its composition, characteristics, and interactions with other substances, is known as chemistry. It is essential to many industrial operations and commonplace goods, including polymers, fuels, and goods for the beauty and health industries. While chemistry has significantly advanced society, there are hazards associated with it since molecules have the potential to be dangerous. Chemical safety laws are intended to reduce these dangers and guarantee that chemicals are handled, stored, transported, and disposed of properly. They cover a broad variety of topics, such as the safe transport of hazardous products, safety data sheets, occupational safety, and environmental protection.

International Accords

The foundation for global chemical safety rules was laid by a number of international accords and conventions, including: The 2004 implementation of the Rotterdam Convention targets the global trade in dangerous chemicals and pesticides. Before exporting dangerous chemicals, governments must tell their citizens about them and gain their informed permission. The Stockholm Convention, which was put into effect in 2001, is concerned with persistent organic pollutants (POPs) that may be harmful to both human health and the environment. It limits or outlaws the production and use of certain POPs. The Montreal Protocol was formed in 1987 and attempts to preserve the ozone layer by banning the manufacturing and use of numerous chemicals that are used in air conditioning and refrigeration. GHS (Globally Harmonised System) of Chemical Classification and Labelling: The GHS is a system created by the United Nations to standardised chemical categorization and labelling, while it is not a treaty in and of itself. It offers recommendations for hazard disclosure, ensuring that chemical users everywhere are aware of the dangers involved.

Chemical Protection at Work

The workplace is one of the main locations where chemical safety regulations are implemented. To safeguard employees who handle chemicals on a regular basis, several organisations across the globe adopt policies and standards. Safe practises, risk assessment, and sufficient training are encouraged by these rules.

OSHA - American Occupational Safety and Health Administration

OSHA is crucial in protecting workplace chemical safety in the United States. Among the most important elements of OSHA's chemical safety requirements are:

Hazard Communication Standard (HazCom):

This regulation mandates that companies educate staff members on the potentially harmful substances they handle. For each hazardous chemical, it mandates the preparation of Safety Data Sheets (SDS) and labelling standards.

Chemical-specific Standards:

OSHA has special requirements for a range of dangerous substances and chemicals. For instance, the Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response Standard governs the cleanup of hazardous materials, while the Laboratory Standard deals with safety in labs. OSHA establishes exposure limits for a variety of substances in order to safeguard employees' health. Employers are required to keep an eye on exposure levels and respond appropriately if PELs are exceeded.

Process Safety Management (PSM):

Process Safety Management (PSM) laws are designed to stop catastrophic events in places that handle very dangerous chemicals.

They call for thorough safety analyses, emergency preparation, and worker training.

United Kingdom's Control of Substances Hazardous to Health (COSHH)

The control of health-hazardous chemicals in the workplace is the main goal of COSHH legislation in the United Kingdom. The following are important COSHH regulations:

Monitoring and Health Surveillance:

Employers that have employees who may be exposed to hazardous chemicals are required to monitor exposure levels and conduct health surveillance.

Information and Training:

According to COSHH, staff must be given information and training about the dangers of using hazardous substances as well as how to handle and store chemicals safely.

Environment-Related Rules

Regulations governing chemical safety also include environmental preservation. Governments throughout the globe have put restrictions in place to prevent pollution and reduce environmental dangers since the discharge of dangerous substances into the environment may have serious ecological repercussions.

The United States passed the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), sometimes referred to as the Superfund, to handle the cleaning of hazardous waste sites. Important clauses of CERCLA include: Facilities are required to notify the proper authorities of any leaks of dangerous chemicals.

Cleanup and Liability:

Those at fault, including businesses that illegally disposed of hazardous materials, are financially responsible for the expenses associated with cleanup.

Emergency Response:

CERCLA outlines procedures for containing and reducing emissions of hazardous substances. REACH is the European Union's system for registering, evaluating, authorising, and restricting chemicals.

The manufacturing, importation, and use of chemicals are all covered under the European Union's (EU) REACH law. REACH's main features include: Chemicals must be registered with the European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) by manufacturers and importers if they are produced or imported in amounts more than one tonne annually. ECHA assesses the safety of registered substances and may make regulatory demands or ask for further information. Authorization is necessary before using certain substances that are very hazardous to the environment or human health.

Restrictions:

REACH has the power to place limitations on the production, sale, or use of certain hazardous substances.

Movement of Dangerous Materials

Transporting hazardous goods has special safety issues since mishaps may have a negative impact on the environment and the public's health. The movement of hazardous chemicals via road, rail, air, and sea is thus governed by a number of laws.

Recommendations from the UN on the Transportation of Dangerous Goods

The categorization, packing, labelling, and recording of hazardous commodities are all covered in a set of guidelines issued by the UN. National and international rules on the transportation of hazardous chemicals are based on these suggestions.

United States Department of Transportation (DOT) Regulations

Through its Hazardous Materials Regulations (HMR), the DOT in the US regulates the transport of hazardous materials. The following are significant DOT regulations:

Classification of Hazardous Materials:

Materials are categorised according to their potential dangers, and rules specify how they must be carried, packed, and labelled.

Training Requirements:

To ensure that they handle goods properly, employees engaged in the transportation of hazardous commodities must complete specialised training. Security measures are covered by DOT rules, which is important given the possibility that dangerous chemicals might be utilised in terrorist attacks [11], [12]**.**

CONCLUSION

Occupational Exposure to Hazardous Chemicals in Laboratories, 29 CFR 1910.1450. The general guidelines for managing dangerous substances in a laboratory were set by this legislation, often known as the Lab Standard. Since this is a performance standard, OSHA has not specifically identified any practises, procedures, or techniques to achieve the goal of safeguarding laboratory employees from chemicals. Instead, if a laboratory meets some baseline standards, such as maintaining a Chemical Hygiene Plan and a Chemical Hygiene Officer or Committee, the management may choose how to safeguard personnel. OSHA acknowledged that using chemicals in a laboratory differs significantly from using chemicals in other settings. As a result, many criteria for the general industry are superseded by the Lab Standard.

There may be other OSHA requirements that apply to your particular workplace, but you will need to research them on your own.

REFERENCES:

.[1] M. H. Mobasheri, M. Johnston, D. King, D. Leff, P. Thiruchelvam, and A. Darzi, "Smartphone breast applications - What's the evidence?," *Breast*, 2014.

[2] J. W. Finley, J. W. Finley, K. Ellwood, and J. Hoadley, "Launching a new food product or dietary supplement in the United States: Industrial, regulatory, and nutritional considerations," *Annu. Rev. Nutr.*, 2014.

[3] S. Geldart, "Health and Safety in Today's Manufacturing Industry," in *Comprehensive Materials Processing*, 2014.

[4] A. Kurubetti and A. Nagendra, "Industrial Relations at Cummins India Limited - A Case Study," *Procedia Econ. Financ.*, 2014.

[5] M. Mohib-Ul Haq, M. Rehman, A. Ahmad, N. A. Khan, M. Ayub, and S. Ahmad., "Installation, commissioning and quality assurance tests of first Indian made tele-therapy cobalt machine (Bhabhatron-II) at Sher-I-Kashmir institute of medical sciences, Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir," *JK Pract.*, 2014.

[6] K. Krishnananda Kamath, A. R. Shabaraya, and E. V. S. Subramanyam, "Role of environment health and safety (EHS) in pharmaceutical industry," *Pharma Times*, 2014.

[7] H. Bachner, P. Hynes, I. Schneider, J. Hayhoe, N. Lee, and M. Bowers, "The development of a framework to capture a Body of Knowledge (BoK) for commercial spaceport practices," in *Proceedings of the International Astronautical Congress, IAC*, 2014.

[8] M. Bakker *et al.*, "Flood Risk Management in Europe: European flood regulation," 2014.

[9] H. S. Freeman, "Molecular engineering of sustainable synthetic colorants," in *American Association of Textile Chemists and Colorists International Conference, AATCC 2014*, 2014.

[10] J. M. Tannenbaum, "Structural impact stability of commercial nuclear fuel rod," *Packag. Transp. Storage Secur. Radioact. Mater.*, 2014.

[11] A. Carbonari, G. Fava, and B. Naticchia, "Comparative experimental evaluation of dust sensors for environmental monitoring on construction sites," in *31st International Symposium on Automation and Robotics in Construction and Mining, ISARC 2014 - Proceedings*, 2014.

[12] E. McCullough, P. Jewell, and P. Tukkaraja, "Expanding Mineral Resources: Technical Considerations for Extraterrestrial Mining," in *Earth and Space 2014: Engineering for Extreme Environments - Proceedings of the 14th Biennial International Conference on Engineering, Science, Construction, and Operations in Challenging Environments*, 2014.

CHAPTER 5

THE GREEN CHEMISTRY- BIG PICTURE

Raghvendra Mishra, Associate Professor
College of Pharmacy, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- raghavmpharm@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

Mercury thermometers were often employed to monitor temperature in labs and in the medical field in the second half of the 20th century. A lab teacher was in charge of a laboratory session that included student nurses in the 1960s. A student came to him after the lab session had begun to inform him that her thermometer had broken. Later, two other kids showed up to inform him of the same information. He wondered why so many thermometers were breaking, so he looked around the lab. The lengthy thermometer struck the lab bench and was broken as soon as he watched one pupil start "shaking" it down. She said that she was carrying out nursing school instructions to "shake down" thermometers in response to his question about why she was doing it. The pandemic of broken thermometers stopped when the lab teacher summoned everyone together to explain that the thermometers being used in the lab did not need to be shaken.

KEYWORDS:

Big picture, Chemicals, Green chemistry, Safety, Thermometer.

INTRODUCTION

In 1998, Paul Anastas and John Warner published Green Chemistry: Theory and Practice. The Twelve Principles of Green Chemistry, were introduced in this book. The specific guideline for achieving green chemistry's overarching objective, is included in these twelve tenets. Green chemistry is the development and use of processes that remove risks to human health and the environment associated with the production and use of chemicals. The talks in concentrate on how green chemistry may be utilised in introductory and organic courses; this book is about laboratory safety. We will continue to discuss green chemistry and how it relates to enhancing laboratory safety, but for the sake of your general chemistry education, we encourage you to take into account all twelve principles as you advance in your career because the two fields' overarching objectives are quite similar [1], [2].

We take many of the principles as important signposts about the direction in which the enterprise of chemistry should change rather than statements of absolute goals since the properties of many chemicals that make them useful as reagents are the very properties that make them hazardous (such as acid/base or redox properties), it is a practical necessity that chemistry cannot be "perfectly green." Additionally, given that it is unavoidable that certain chemical interactions will always result in harmful

The Twelve Green Chemistry Principles

1. Eliminate waste. Create chemical syntheses that don't produce waste so that there isn't any to handle or clean up.

2. Create chemicals and goods that are safer. Create chemical goods with little or no toxicity while maintaining complete efficacy.

3. Create safer chemical synthesis processes. Create syntheses that employ and produce compounds that are either non-toxic to people or the environment.

4. Utilise sustainable feedstocks. Use renewable as opposed to diminishing raw resources and feedstocks. Depleting feedstocks are created from fossil fuels (petroleum, natural gas, or coal) or are mined; renewable feedstocks are often generated from agricultural products or from the byproducts of other operations.

5. Instead of stoichiometric reagents, use catalysts. Utilise catalytic processes to reduce waste. Catalysts are employed sparingly and may repeat one reaction several times. They are better than stoichiometric reagents, which are overused and only function once.

6. Steer clear of chemical derivatives. If at all possible, stay away from utilising temporary changes, blocking, or protecting groups. Derivatives produce waste and need more reagents.

7. Boost the economy of atoms. Plan your syntheses to have as much of the beginning components as possible in the finished result. There shouldn't be many, if any, atoms wasted.

8. Utilise reaction conditions and safer solvents. Refrain from employing auxiliary chemicals like solvents or separating agents. Use safe chemicals instead of these ones if they are required.

9. Boost energy effectiveness. When feasible, conduct chemical reactions at room temperature and pressure.

10. Create items and chemicals that break down after usage. Chemicals should not build up in the environment by being designed to decompose into harmless compounds after usage.

11. Analyse immediately to stop pollution. To reduce or prevent the development of byproducts during syntheses, include in-process real-time monitoring and control.

12.Reduce the likelihood of accidents. Design chemicals and their physical properties (solid, liquid, or gas) to reduce the possibility of chemical mishaps including explosions, fires, and environmental discharges.

Thus, it is crucial that students learn to handle dangerous compounds in a hands-on manner while maintaining the highest level of safety.

Being both safe and green

Let's go through which of the twelve principles most specifically addresses the problem of lab safety. As we explore them, keep in mind that one day you'll probably be a member of a team that creates new lab tools and procedures, giving you the chance to make chemistry safer and more environmentally friendly. Also keep in mind that one day your "lab" may be an industrial facility where you collaborate with chemical engineers and other scientists to conduct chemical reactions on scales that are far greater than those seen in chemistry textbooks [3], [4].

Create chemicals and goods that are safer. Though undoubtedly desirable, the stated objective of "little or no toxicity" is nonetheless rather lofty. Since many compounds are harmful, a significant portion of this book is dedicated to the subject of chemical toxicity! Additionally, it is not unusual to discover after a chemical has been used for a while that it has previously unknown hazardous side effects, such as cancer, impacts on reproduction, or other health issues. Therefore, it is probable that employing "less toxic" reagents and products will be the main focus of the green approach rather than completely eliminating harmful substances. But when it comes to the creation, movement, application, and disposal of chemicals, "less toxic" is unquestionably safer. Surprisingly, the Toxic Substances Control Act (1976) does not mandate manufacturers to test chemicals for toxicity prior to their use in commerce, despite the fact that it already governs the 80,000 compounds used in industrial applications. As a result, function, performance, and price, with only a minimal consideration for toxicity, dictate the design, manufacture, and usage of chemicals in the United States. The responsibility of providing a response to the query "Is this safe?virtually fully in the user's hands. Understanding a chemical's toxicity and taking a less toxic chemical into consideration are prerequisites for the objective of "designing safer chemicals and products". A new paradigm for the chemical business will be the inclusion of "less toxic" together with "function, performance, and price" as a design criteria.

DISCUSSION

Prior to the advent of green chemistry, there are a few instances of Principle #2. Neurological impairment from lead poisoning is well recognised to occur, especially in youngsters. It was observed many years ago that young children sometimes consumed paint chips containing lead-based paint pigments. A reasonably simple chemical modification that reduced the toxicity of a product was to remove the lead from paint. Tetraethyl lead has been used for many years as an antiknock agent in petrol, which is another lead-based example. Because of the combustion of "leaded" petrol, dangerous lead amounts were released into the atmosphere. As you are aware, since the 1970s, we have been using "unleaded" petrol. Tetraethyllead was replaced with other antiknock compounds not to lower lead levels in the environment, despite the fact that this is safer from a toxicological standpoint, but rather because it "poisoned" catalytic convertors, which were adopted in almost all automobiles in the middle of the 1970s [5], [6].

Design less dangerous chemical syntheses, according to principle #3. Principle #3 deals with the design of the chemical process used to manufacture the goods, whereas Principle #2 deals with the toxicity of the products. Ideally, because the chemicals are used up during the reaction, their toxicity may seem to be unimportant in reactions with a 100% yield and no by-products. Toxic reagents must be produced, transported, and used in situations where mishaps might occur and expose users to contaminants.

Furthermore, because very few reactions really provide a 100% yield, toxic byproducts and unreacted components pose dangers and create disposal issues. It would be safer and more environmentally friendly to change reaction plans to employ fewer dangerous chemicals throughout a process. For instance, benzene, chloroform, and carbon tetrachloride were solvents that were often employed in commercial and academic laboratories twenty years ago. These solvents were replaced by less hazardous ones when the health risks associated with them became clear, and they are now only sometimes utilised.

Use catalysts rather than stoichiometric reagents, according to rule #5. In chemistry labs and in naturally occurring biochemical systems, catalysts are often utilised. In many syntheses, acids and bases act as catalysts. Catalysts are also used in hydrogenation processes, which often occur at high temperatures and pressures. Trypsin is utilised to hydrolyze peptides as an example of how an enzyme is employed to catalyse biological processes. To manufacture desired biosynthetic products, several companies use biochemical systems with microbiological organisms.

These methods may be used more often in labs to create greener reactions and processes since biological systems heavily rely on catalytic systems. The first people to experience newly unknown risks, such as those of catalysts, are often chemists who create new processes utilising novel chemicals. To utilise these reagents safely, laboratory procedures must be created. The manufacture of esters used in the cosmetic industry using immobilised enzymes rather than strong acids is an illustration of Principle #5. This not only removed a potentially harmful solvent but also significantly reduced energy consumption. Reference6 has a long list of more instances of using catalysts in this way.

Use safer solvents and reaction conditions is principle number eight. The use of solvents and other auxiliary compounds is specifically taken into account in this expansion of Principle #3. Many industrial syntheses, such as those of benzene, methanol, MTBE, phenol, and polypropylene7, are solvent-free because the use of solvents in chemical reactions is so widespread that doing so may seem impossible or unreasonable. Some syntheses are also carried out in the gas phase using heterogeneous catalysts. Due to the relatively modest scale of reactions, research laboratories may utilise solvents without as much worry about potential risks. The dangers and risks may significantly rise when applying the same response to industrial production sizes, however.

Thus, removing a solvent from the "scale-up" procedure is safer and more environmentally friendly. Finally, it is definitely preferable to choose solvents that are less hazardous and pose less risks. Supercritical carbon dioxide and water both offer several benefits in terms of lowered dangers and toxicity, and they are often used in various synthesis and extraction procedures. Increase energy efficiency is principle #9.

The decrease of energy consumption is always a desirable aspect of a "green" approach to any operation since energy consumption always has some corresponding and detrimental side effect for the local and/or global environment. Additionally, because accidents and fires are less likely to happen and be as severe when they do, operating responses at ambient or milder temperatures and low or lower pressure is fundamentally safer. Catalyst usage, which is also encouraged in Principle #5, often enables reactions to be performed at more tolerable circumstances.

Reduce the chance of accidents, according to principle. Numerous the actions previously suggested will lessen the likelihood and severity of accidents in both lab and industrial environments, as was mentioned above. To make a process safer, however, it is often necessary to take the time to carefully analyse the dangers and risks connected to a certain chemical process, as well as solutions to mitigate them. Although it isn't specified, it is still a good idea to think about the many types of accidents that may happen and the necessary reactions. The risks and damage caused by accidents may often be significantly reduced with a prompt and proper reaction. Only the twelve principles that have the most direct bearing on safety have been covered in this article. However, safety may be impacted by other tenets. For instance: Reducing trash will be safer if the wastes are harmful. Avoiding chemical derivatives will be safer if the derivatives are dangerous. Real-time monitoring of chemical reactions may not only "minimise or eliminate the formation of by-products" but also warn users to potentially dangerous reaction circumstances.

Industrial Case Studies

The examples below are from the Residential Green Chemistry Challenge Awards Programme, which is sponsored by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Increasing the Safety of Alkali Metal Reactions 8 Alkali metals, such as sodium and potassium, are great reducing agents, but their high reactivity makes them hazardous to handle and store. In order to avoid employing these metals, certain commercial reactions have been created; nevertheless, the substitute synthetic methods are often ineffective and inefficient. Reactive metals may now be employed with more control over their reactivity thanks to a process that involves incorporating them in porous metal oxides to create sand-like particles. These materials also need less trash to be disposed of and are safer to keep. Propanediol is a valuable monomer for the creation of different polyesters, but its usage has been limited by the fact that its manufacturing requires a costly procedure and begins with a petroleum feedstock. 1,3-Propanediol may be produced by microorganisms. A bacterium that produces 1,3-propanediol biocatalytically from glucose that is generated from cornflour, a sustainable feedstock, was created through genetic engineering.

Enhancing the Solubility Properties of Supercritical Carbon Dioxide

Supercritical liquid carbon dioxide (scCO2) has been acknowledged as an environmentally safe and nonflammable solvent, but its "solvent power" is less than that of many unfavourable alkanes that are highly flammable and non-environmentally safe that it could potentially replace. There were certain fluorinated "CO2-philic" compounds that improved scCO2's solvent power, but they were quite costly and had a long persistence in the environment. Three criteria were created by Professor Eric J. Beckman and his team at the University of Pittsburgh to serve as guidelines for the creation of substitute CO2-philes. As a result, poly(ether-carbonates) were created, which were discovered to be much less costly than fluorinated compounds and to be particularly miscible with scCO2.

As these examples demonstrate, the push for green chemistry and its application is likely to lead to the creation of whole new fields of study in the chemical industry of the future. Finding methods to put the concepts of green chemistry into practise will undoubtedly result in new insights and more efficient and secure ways to manufacture essential chemical goods. As petroleum, the primary source of chemical goods, becomes more limited, this will become more and more crucial. As a result of these difficulties, chemists and other scientists will have new chances to collaborate in order to create a safer society [7], [8].

Sustainability: Green Chemistry's Primary Function

Sustainability is a phrase that is often used nowadays. How can we attain sustainability, however, and what precisely is it? The EPA sustainability website offers perhaps the clearest definition. Sustainability means "meeting the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs."11 This would imply that our generation (including you) needs to live and work in such a way that we get what we need to sustain our health and quality of life while at the same time we make sure that future generations have a planet that can sustain them with clean air, clean water, a clean environment, adequate food supply, and adequate renewable resources. Since we are not already acting in this way, changing our behaviour as individuals and as a community will need effort. All of this results into green chemistry playing a crucial and important part in changing the chemical industry. In the future, green chemistry won't only be in style; it'll also be a crucial strategy for our chemical business. Green chemistry must eventually become the standard for how we operate. Together with the National Research Council, the American Chemical Society, the American Institute of Chemical Engineers, and eight other organisations [9], [10].

Green and Sustainable Chemistry and Engineering as Well As Sustainable Chemical Enterprise

Chemistry consumes vast amounts of our energy resources, big amounts of water, large amounts of materials derived from petroleum, and creates significant amounts of waste in order to make our high-tech goods [11], [12].

CONCLUSION

We won't be able to maintain our current standard of living in the not too distant future. Chemists (like you) will need to develop new methods for conducting chemistry and learn how to do it in a manner that supports our survival as a species. We will need the chemical industry to take the lead in making green chemistry the standard method of conducting chemistry because of the challenges posed by global warming, international competition for business and natural resources, and growing world populations. Green chemistry uses less energy and water and has minimal negative effects on the environment. Be aware of the risks related to reactants, solvents, products, by-products, and the processes they are employed in. Calculate the hazards' risks by taking into account the likelihood of different exposures. Reduce the likelihood of hazards occurring by eliminating or limiting the use of hazardous chemicals, switching out more dangerous compounds for less hazardous ones, and removing or switching out solvents. Be ready for crises since being ready helps lessen the harm that results from accidents.

REFERENCES:

[1] M. A. Dubé and S. Salehpour, "Applying the Principles of Green Chemistry to Polymer Production Technology," *Macromolecular Reaction Engineering*. 2014.

[2] W. Watson, "Green chemistry metrics," *Chimica Oggi/Chemistry Today*. 2014.

[3] A. Tsukui and C. M. Rezende, "Microwave assisted extraction and green chemistry," *Rev. Virtual Quim.*, 2014.

[4] V. F. Ferreira, D. R. Da Rocha, and F. D. C. Da Silva, "Green chemistry, sustainable economy and quality of life," *Rev. Virtual Quim.*, 2014.

[5] T. A. Lewandowski, "Green Chemistry," in *Encyclopedia of Toxicology: Third Edition*, 2014.

[6] A. Rosita, Sudarmin, and P. Marwoto, "Perangkat pembelajaran problem based learning berorientasi green chemistry materi hidrolisis garam un-tuk mengembangkan soft skill konservasi siswa," *J. Pendidik. IPA Indones.*, 2014.

[7] A. E. Marteel-Parrish, "Teaching green and sustainable chemistry: A revised one-semester course based on inspirations and challenges," *J. Chem. Educ.*, 2014.

[8] D. Soledad Mansilla, G. Celeste Muscia, and E. Ariel Ugliarolo, "Una fundamentación para la incorporación de la química verde en los currículos de química orgánica," *Educ. Quim.*, 2014.

[9] X. Shao, M. V. Fawaz, K. Jang, and P. J. H. Scott, "Ethanolic carbon-11 chemistry: The introduction of green radiochemistry," *Appl. Radiat. Isot.*, 2014.

[10] S. Tagliapietra, E. Calcio Gaudino, and G. Cravotto, "The use of power ultrasound for organic synthesis in green chemistry," in *Power Ultrasonics: Applications of High-Intensity Ultrasound*, 2014.

[11] G. R. Lopes, D. C. G. A. Pinto, and A. M. S. Silva, “Horseradish peroxidase (HRP) as a tool in green chemistry,” *RSC Advances*. 2014.

[12] F. Del Monte, D. Carriazo, M. C. Serrano, M. C. Gutiérrez, and M. L. Ferrer, “Deep eutectic solvents in polymerizations: A greener alternative to conventional syntheses,” *ChemSusChem*, 2014.

CHAPTER 6

FINDING HAZARDS INFORMATION: MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEETS

Piyush Mittal, Professor
College of Pharmacy, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- mittalpiyush23@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

One-fluoro-2, 4-dinitrobenzene (FDNB) was being consumed by three female pupils. The tips of their fingers and nails showed a yellow coloring typical of FDNB, and blisters formed on their fingers throughout a 2-month to 2-year period of time working with this reagent. Research discovered that FDNB has previously been documented to be a severe allergen and a significant irritant, and testing demonstrated that both the pupils and their 39-year teacher had acquired sensitivity to it. The students hypothesized that the sensitization was caused by the protective gloves' permeability to FDNB. Testing of their protective gloves indicated that FDNB was able to pass through them. The American Chemical Society's Chemical Abstracts Service (CAS) revealed in September 2009 that it possessed CAS numbers for 50 million chemical compounds, and that the list was still expanding. As a result, they will eventually switch to utilizing 10-digit CAS numbers. Demonstrates how the quantity of chemicals has increased recently. Not all chemicals are studied for their ability to cause toxicity, and we know very little to nothing about the risks associated with the great majority of these substances. It may be difficult to find the information in certain cases, even when searching for specific information on a particular molecule. Why Not Test the Health Effects of All Chemicals

KEYWORDS:

Chemicals, Chemistry, Green Chemicals, Hazards, Information

INTRODUCTION

Money, cash, cash! The cost of appropriate testing is quite expensive. A chemical must be tested, generally on animals, and rats are the most often used model for this. This testing is necessary to learn anything about the health impacts of the chemical. Costs for a typical toxicity research might range from $50,000 to $100,000 or more. It is unlikely that a chemical will be evaluated for toxicity unless it has significant economic worth. This kind of research is also limited to immediate impacts. Careful study of individuals exposed to a chemical for an extended length of time is sometimes the only way to detect long-term health consequences from repeated lower doses of a chemical. Although there are animal toxicity studies for long-term health impacts, they are very costly and not usually indicative of health repercussions in people. Also bear in mind that even though the CAS has identified 50 million chemicals (as of 2009), many of them may have only been reported and utilized in a small number of research labs; they are merely lab chemicals and aren't even used by a sizable number of other labs [1], [2] . We depend on the chemical suppliers to give us with known and documented danger information for the far lower number of chemicals that are manufactured and marketed here in the United States, maybe less than 0.1% of those reported by CAS. However, chemical suppliers are only able to disclose the information that is readily accessible, and often, there is little or no information on the hazards of a substance—this is especially true of its toxicological qualities. Nevertheless, you should never assume that exposure to any chemical is safe and should instead constantly try to learn as much as you can about its risks. The bad news is that we are unaware of the toxicological characteristics of more than 99% of known chemicals. The good news is that we have at least some toxicological information on the majority of the substances you may come across in a chemistry program for undergraduates. As a result, you may discover the health impacts of the majority of the substances that you'll probably utilize while a student [3], [4].

Material Safety Data Sheets: Internet to Hieroglyphs

The Material Safety Data Sheet (MSDS) of a chemical is one place to go if you want to learn about its risks. Chemical vendors provide MSDSs that include characteristics and data related to the security of certain chemicals. The Hazard Communication Standard, a rule created by the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) in 1980, mandated that all chemical suppliers provide MSDSs to chemical users.4 The Egyptians documented some of the information included in MSDSs in their papyrus records and tombs more than 4,000 years ago in hieroglyphic writing.5 In the modern age, MSDSs are available at several websites on the Internet.6 Many vendors include a paper copy of the MSDS with the shipment of a chemical when a business or person buys it for the first time. As an alternative, some could just provide you access to their electronic repository of MSDSs. MSDSs are papers that must include some basic information about chemicals and are meant to assist employees by making information about a chemical's risks easily accessible. OSHA mandates that certain information be included in MSDSs, and it advises (but does not mandate) that all MSDSs adhere to ANSI Z400.one format.7,8 Table 3.1.3.1 displays this format. Additionally, the recently proposed Globally Harmonised System of Classification

1. Recognition
2. Identification of the Hazard
3. Ingredient information and composition
4. First Aid Interventions
5. Firefighting Techniques
6. Measures for Accidental Release
7. Storage and Handling
8. Exposure Management and Personal Safety
9. Chemical and physical characteristics
10. Reactivity and Stability
11. Toxicological Data
12. Environmental Data
13. Considerations for Disposal
14. Transportation Data
15. Information on Regulatory Issues
16. Additional Information

DISCUSSION

The Global Harmonized System for Labelling of Chemicals (SDS) suggests the same structure for its SDSs globally. Despite being widely used and easily available, correct MSDSs are not mandated by law. Therefore, even if it is fair to presume that the information supplied is meant to be true, the papers often include a disclaimer (in tiny type) that releases the business from liability for the material's veracity. Many safety experts do not depend on MSDSs for the best safety information due to these and other factors that will be covered later. An MSDS is primarily used to learn about the risks associated with the chemical you are going to use as well as any safety precautions or unique handling instructions. As you may have guessed from a previous remark, not all MSDSs are created equally in terms of their quality; some are excellent, some are not, some may include inaccurate information, and a few may not provide you enough details to allow you to assess the risks connected with the chemical. Additionally, you can come into contradictions in MSDSs, where one part might say that ventilation is required to maintain chemical concentrations in the air below their allowed exposure level, while another section might say that there is no set exposure limit.10 However, an MSDS is a useful location to start searching for details regarding a chemical's risks. You may wish to look at MSDSs for the same chemical from other manufacturers to see whether the dangers are described more precisely. In particular, fire departments and medical emergency workers, such as physicians, may find the MSDSs to be a valuable source of information. In the event of a spill needing outside help or an exposure injury necessitating specialised medical treatment, many establishments make an effort to have physical copies of these papers on hand to present to emergency personnel [5], [6].

Reading MSDSs for Hazard Information

Prior to utilising an MSDS, confirm that it is the correct MSDS by matching the label information with the product identification information. Reference links to several sources of MSDSs. You may want to look at an MSDS to understand how it is organised. How to interpret As many substances have similar names, be sure the name matches the label and carefully examine the name. Check to see whether the CAS number is the same if you can. explains how the Chemical Abstracts Service issues CAS numbers, which are distinctive identification.

Find out what risks the chemical you'll be utilising has. You may need to search through many parts, depending on the format the provider is using, but it is often located early in one or more sections. In sections titled "Hazard Identification," "Physical and Chemical Characteristics," "Fire and Explosion Hazard Data," "Reactivity and Stability Data," or "Health Hazard Data," keep an eye out for the words. Later parts of the MSDS may provide additional details that might aid in your assessment of the threat posed by this chemical. You should search for words like corrosive, flammable, poisonous, or irritating that are comparable. Some MSDSs may make the risks quite explicit; for instance, the MSDS for acetone may include, "Danger! Extremely Flammable." various MSDSs may use various language to convey the risks associated with acetone, such as "Flammable liquid and vapour. Vapor might start a flash fire. It can include the perennially well-liked phrase "Harmful if swallowed or inhaled."

The danger may not be stated as clearly in other situations. For instance, you could come across a section under "Potential Health Effects" called "Inhalation," which says that breathing in vapours irritates the respiratory system or that exposure can irritate the throat and respiratory tract. It is simple to conclude that this chemical irritates. However, it might go beyond and state that excessive exposure or high concentrations could result in a depressed central nervous system, unconsciousness, respiratory failure, and even death. The consequences are toxic. It could also utilise medical jargon like narcosis, dyspnea, asphyxia, or emphysema that you might not understand or be acquainted with. When these phrases are used, it might be difficult to comprehend what they imply, so you might want to get some assistance. Visit get a really excellent online lexicon of safety-related words. However, you should be aware that when exposure rises, the negative effects shift from being mostly modest to sometimes being more severe [7], [8].

Finding Information about Handling Safety Precautions

You'll want to learn about specific handling guidelines after knowing about the chemical's risks. It may say, for instance, "Avoid heat, sparks, flames, or other sources of ignition." MSDSs often provide unique data for firefighters. It may suggest, for instance, that water is ineffective in putting out fires caused by this chemical. There is a part that goes over personal protective equipment (PPE) and exposure controls, including respiratory, skin, and eye protection. The PPE in many MSDSs is not specific enough to be relevant, which is a serious issue. For instance, the MSDS may advise using adequate protective gloves to shield your skin from exposure; yet, you naturally want to know which gloves to use. There is no such thing as a universal glove; each specific chemical calls for a particular kind. You may also find protocols that outline how to respond to emergencies like spills, although these guidelines are sometimes too general to be of much value. For instance, although it is factual, the advice to "follow state and local protocols" is not useful.

Using a Critical Eye When Reading MSDSs

Since MSDSs are relatively accessible, they are often employed as a "first line of defence" in your research into the characteristics and dangers of a certain chemical. They are not always as trustworthy or accurate as they might be, as was already said, but they are still an excellent place to start if you want to gain a rough idea of the risks offered by a specific chemical. You may want to look into a chemical's qualities more depending on how you want to utilise it. Many scientists also think that MSDSs sometimes exaggerate chemical risks, possible health consequences, and the need for personal protective equipment. Easy to figure out that this behaviour is a consequence of worries about possible responsibility arising from the use of this drug and the accompanying legal counsel's advise. This is reasonable, but it may make it more harder to identify the most significant health risks connected with a chemical, as well as the proper PPE that would be needed in a laboratory environment. Keep in mind that MSDSs weren't intended for laboratory usage; instead, they concentrated on the use of that chemical in an industrial context where huge amounts of chemicals may be employed.

In-Lab Use of MSDSs: RAMP

With MSDSs, the RAMP acronym is simple to employ. The MSDS may be a valuable resource of knowledge for be aware of the dangers posed by a certain chemical. Based on the chemical's physical characteristics, determine the degree of danger it poses. Reduce the risk by avoiding exposure with the use of personal protection equipment and other safety gear suggested in the MSDS. Based on the estimated danger level and potential consequences of exposure, prepare for emergencies. The safety of employees, customers, and the environment is crucial in today's complicated industrial and commercial scene. Organisations handling hazardous materials must be well-versed on the possible dangers posed by these chemicals in order to do this. The Material Safety Data Sheet, often known as MSDS or SDS (Safety Data

Sheet), is an essential tool for obtaining this crucial information. A crucial document called an MSDS/SDS gives crucial information on the characteristics, dangers, and safe handling techniques of many chemicals and hazardous materials. It is a useful tool for people and organisations looking to comprehend the dangers posed by these compounds and how to successfully reduce them. In this manual, we'll look at the importance of MSDS/SDS and talk about how to locate and use this great resource for hazard information. Understanding how to obtain and comprehend MSDS/SDS is crucial whether you're a worker exposed to harmful chemicals, a company owner supervising safety standards, or an environmental enthusiast concerned with the appropriate management of dangerous materials [9], [10].

What exactly are MSDS/SDS? a thorough explanation of what MSDS/SDS papers are, what they do, and why they are important for compliance and safety. An overview of the legal requirements and regulations, such as OSHA's Hazard Communication Standard and the European Union's REACH rules, that necessitate the development, availability, and upkeep of MSDS/SDS.

Contents of an MSDS/SDS:

A summary of the important parts, including chemical identification, hazard categorization, first-aid protocols, and safe handling practises.

Where to Find MSDS/SDS:

Directions on how and where to obtain MSDS/SDS from your workplace, chemical producers, or internet databases.

Tips and techniques for efficiently analysing the information in MSDS/SDS to guarantee the safe handling and storage of hazardous products. Discussing the crucial function MSDS/SDS plays in emergency scenarios, such as chemical spills, fires, or accidents, and how it helps first responders, is important in emergency response. Examining how MSDS/SDS encourage correct handling, transportation, and containment of hazardous compounds in order to support ecologically sound practises. An overview of the Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS) initiatives to standardise MSDS/SDS throughout the globe. You will have a thorough grasp of the significance of Material Safety Data Sheets by the conclusion of this tutorial, as well as the skills to discover, decipher, and successfully use them. This knowledge will enable you to make wise choices and contribute to a safer and more sustainable society, whether you are an individual looking to protect yourself and those around you or a professional in charge of compliance and safety in your organisation.

An employee of the lab was processing certain samples using an isopropanol-based method. When he was done, he transferred the leftover isopropanol into a plastic container marked "2-Propanol." The bottle immediately exploded after a reaction, spraying the lab worker with its contents. Though shocked, he was old enough to ask for assistance. When he complained that his skin was burning, nearby coworkers responded by using an emergency eyewash and an emergency shower to wash away the splash. After being examined at the university medical centre and receiving treatment for acid burns, he was subsequently discharged. Investigators discovered that the container really contained strong nitric acid and some copper trash and had been mislabeled.

Because of the global nature of today's economy, we import and consume products, commodities, and food from all over the globe. A system for categorising and labelling dangerous compounds has been developed by a consensus of states operating under the auspices of the United states in an effort to harmonise international interactions in the chemical sector. The Globally Harmonised System for Classification and Labelling of Chemicals, or GHS, is the name of this initiative. A mandate to create GHS was accepted in 1992 at the Rio de Janeiro United Nations Conference on Environment and Development, and the inaugural system was established in 2000. The development of a global system for classifying and labelling chemicals has been lengthy and difficult, and implementation of this system is currently ongoing. The GHS was first published in 2003. "The Purple Book"3 is the document detailing GHS, and it underwent updates in 2005, 2007, and 2009. An worldwide system known as GHS includes papers that resemble MSDS, a standardised method for labelling chemicals, and processes for determining danger ratings. Those that utilise MSDSs in the United States will be acquainted with many of the components of this classification system, although some. There will be variances since it has been developed and approved by such a big number of countries as an international system to enhance international cooperation for global commerce. GHS compliance is optional.

When a country adopts GHS, it enables that nation to sell and buy chemicals across national borders with the knowledge that those chemicals will be identified and labelled in the same manner as in other GHS nations, enabling shipping and transportation companies to handle those chemicals safely. Using standard chemical pictograms, signal words, and hazard statements on labels to advise the handler, emergency personnel, and ultimately the user of the relative danger of a chemical being supplied, the labels inform the user of any specific risks connected with the chemical. GHS establishment was a difficult process that required cooperation from many nations and compromise to arrive at these consensus classifications.

GHS Hazard Ratings Organization

The 28 danger classifications by which compounds are rated in the GHS. The three primary categories are dangers to human health, dangers to the environment, and dangers to aquatic systems. Each class has its own distinct relative ranking system (categories), which may be represented by letters, numbers, or other details. In every instance, the least dangerous classes have higher numbers and succeeding letters, whereas the most hazardous classes are rated numerically with a "A" or the lowest number. You may use GHS labelling to identify dangers so that you are aware that a low number or an early alphabetical character is a warning of an item that may be very harmful. Later on, you will discover that GHS may also be used as a technique for risk evaluation [11], [12].

CONCLUSION

The flammability rating system 1–4 is particularly noteworthy because, except from the number inversion, it looks remarkably like the NFPA rating system for flammable liquids. According to the NFPA standard, "0" is the least flammable and "4" is the most flammable. Since the NFPA method is widely used in the US, chemists and firefighters will suffer from this terrible lack of uniformity. The initial intention was to start GHS deployment globally in 2008. The United Nations keeps track of the implementation status for 67 nations on its website. The adoption of GHS 4 may not be finished until 2015. The Occupational and Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), the Environmental Protection Agency, the Department of Transportation, and the Consumer Product Safety Commission are the four federal agencies involved in the implementation in the United States. However, OSHA is the agency that has the most impact on labs. In October 2009, OSHA published a notice of proposed rule-making.

REFERENCES:

[1] University of Regina, "What is a Material Safety Data Sheet (MSDS)?," *Mater. Saf. Da*, 2014.

[2] Smart-Lab, "Material Safety Data Sheet Hydrochloric Acid," *Phase Equilibria Bin. Halides*, 2014.

[3] MSDS, "Material Safety Data Sheet Aquades," *Phase Equilibria Bin. Halides*, 2014.

[4] A. C. Dimian, C. S. Bildea, and A. A. Kiss, "Health, Safety and Environment," in *Computer Aided Chemical Engineering*, 2014.

[5] U. F. Friis, T. Menné, J. F. Schwensen, M. A. Flyvholm, J. P. E. Bonde, and J. D. Johansen, "Occupational irritant contact dermatitis diagnosed by analysis of contact irritants and allergens in the work environment," *Contact Dermatitis*, 2014.

[6] A. A. I. . Laras, D. . Swastini, M. Wardana, and N. P. A. . Wijayanti, "Uji Iritasi Ekstrak Etanol Kulit Buah Manggis (Garcinia mangostana L.)," *J. Farm. Udayana*, 2014.

[7] B. Honnert and M. Grzebyk, "Manufactured nano-objects: An occupational survey in five industries in france," *Ann. Occup. Hyg.*, 2014.

[8] M. Minekus *et al.*, "Supplementary Material - Protocol for enzyme assays," *Food Funct.*, 2014.

[9] M. Wisch, J. Ott, R. Thomson, Y. Léost, M. Abert, and J. Yao, "Recommendations and Guidelines for Battery Crash Safety and Post-Crash Safe Handling," *EverSafe*, 2014.

[10] S. Singh, M. Chakravarthy, V. Chaya, T. Jose, N. Munshi, and S. Sengupta, "Analysis of a multi-centric pooled healthcare associated infection data from India: New insights," *J. Natl. Accredit. Board Hosp. Healthc. Provid.*, 2014.

[11] M. Rossol, "Arts, Crafts, Theater, and Entertainment," in *Encyclopedia of Toxicology: Third Edition*, 2014.

[12] C. De Sousa, M. Blanco, F. Travieso, A. Correia, and M. C. Colmenares, "Proposals for improving the handling of hazardous substances in laboratories at the Department of Biology, Faculty of Science and Technology, Carabobo University," *Bol. Malariol. y Salud Ambient.*, 2014.

CHAPTER 7

INFORMATION RESOURCES ABOUT LABORATORY HAZARDS AND SAFETY

Elphine Prabahar, Professor
College of Pharmacy, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- elphine.tafhy26@yahoo.co.in

ABSTRACT:

An explosion happened when sodium azide and dimethyl sulphate were being used to make methyl azide. The similar response has been performed in the past without issue. The scientists looked into this and discovered that Bretherick's Handbook of Reactive Chemical Hazards said that if the pH of the solution goes below 5, this reaction may explode. It was assumed that the sodium hydroxide that was added to keep the pH above 7 was not added quickly enough to maintain the desired pH. In order to keep the pH within the proper range, further preparations (with a visible colour indication) were made. It would be good to have extremely easy access to precise knowledge regarding chemicals in an ideal world. And thankfully, utilizing a mix of printed materials and online resources, it is feasible to locate some correct information on a lot of compounds with fairly simplicity. There is a risk that certain printed and online materials might be inaccurate, misleading, or out of date, and there is sometimes no straightforward method to spot these issues. It is obvious that utilizing a search engine like Google would probably provide results that are a mix of trustworthy and unreliable. While it is handy to use Wikipedia, it is not systematically checked, therefore the likelihood of even clerical mistakes is greater than in reviewed sites. In order to get safety information, one must decide where to start and who to trust

KEYWORDS:

Chemical laboratory, Information, Online, Resources, Safety.

INTRODUCTION

Since the majority of laboratories will likely be using computers to plan lab experiments in the twenty-first century, the Internet is an attractive source of knowledge due to its ease of use. The majority of labs should also have a decent selection of printed literature on hand, and a quick trip to a bookshelf or library may be all it takes to find a trustworthy source of knowledge. We will provide this debate with the two broad categories of printed materials and online resources in mind [1], [2].

Website Resources

The Internet is a huge source of knowledge, as you are aware. However, the accuracy of such information may vary greatly, therefore it is important to utilize Internet resources carefully and prudently. Because it is so simple, the first instinct is to utilize Wikipedia or a search engine like Google to learn more about a substance. When seeking for basic details about a chemical, such as boiling point or water solubility, this may sometimes be sufficient. But generally speaking, since the likelihood of inaccuracy is larger than in approved materials and the repercussions of erroneous information are so great, we oppose using unreviewed web sites to get safety information. It is also possible to get several MSDSs for a chemical by doing a Google search. Although at first glance they seem to be trustworthy sources, you should be aware that the federal legislation mandating their existence does not demand their accuracy! Additionally, certain statements made concerning the dangers of chemicals are either overdone or too ambiguous to be helpful since MSDSs are both technical and legal documents (because they carry responsibility for their writers). Similar to Wikipedia, "simple information" on a substance is probably accurate, but not all safety information will be as helpful as you would hope. In addition to these straightforward search methods, there are many great websites with trustworthy safety information. We have categorized them into the following groups:

Online books and leaflets. As a public service, several publishers have kindly permitted their printed books and pamphlets to be accessed online. Chemical spectra. These are generally one- to two-page summaries of specific compounds provided by safety experts in language that properly qualified chemists can comprehend in order to handle the chemicals safely. Two internet resources provide very helpful "profiles" of compounds that include safety data. In the Journal of Chemical Education, Jay Young has published a sizable number of Chemical Laboratory Information Profiles (CLIPs). Only subscribers can access the online version, however physical copies of the CLIPs can be found in most academic libraries and the collection is searchable. All 88 Laboratory Chemical Safety Summaries (LCSSs) are available for viewing in Appendix B of the online Pru- dent Practises. Additionally, the NIOSH Pocket Guide to Chemical Hazards, which is accessible online as well as in print, provides comprehensive information on a variety of compounds [3], [4].

Academic publications. There are two periodicals indicated that practising chemists and chemical educators may consult for helpful safety information. (There are several primary research publications with a highly specialized readership for medicine, chemical hygiene, or epidemiology; we have not mentioned them.)

MSDSs and chemical databases Chemical databases and listings of MSDSs are widely available online. We have included a selection of materials that chemists and chemical students may quickly access and understand.

Requirements Standards are laws or principles that specify acceptable standards of performance. Federal legislation establishes OSHA standards. NFPA norms are seen as the standards by which behavior is judged, although simply having the legal status of "recommendations" under the law.

Information about safety this is a list of websites that provide general and helpful information about lab safety. The finest resources by an asterisk, and these are the websites that we suggest visiting to learn more about the toxicological details of a substance.

The printed word

Reference books and other books that aren't often accessible online are examples of printed resources a list of certain "traditionally printed materials" since that is the most common way to obtain them. The asterisk denotes our suggestions for the "best first places to start."

Making Safe Work Plans for the Lab

Before beginning lab experiments, it is usually a good idea to do in-depth study on a subject utilising trustworthy reference sources. This may help you avoid undertaking studies that are pointless or that are certain to "fail," but more significantly, it can assist you in completing your experiments securely. Professors have previously evaluated the majority of non-research experiments in university laboratories for "success" and safety. A study project's new experiment should be regarded with more care than usual [5], [6].

It is sage to gather data from many, preferably trustworthy, sources and compare it. It's crucial to attempt to comprehend or reconcile discrepancies across sources. Since information might sometimes be changed, one approach to accomplish this could be to look at the material's date.

The sensible course of action is to presume the most worrisome information is the true information in circumstances when disagreements cannot be addressed. It's a good idea to discuss your learning with a mentor or a fellow employee since you could come away from the discussion with a deeper comprehension of the material [7], [8].

It is essential to have access to trustworthy information sources when it comes to laboratory dangers and safety in order to guarantee the wellbeing of lab staff, protect the environment, and avoid accidents. Here are some excellent organizations and informational resources regarding laboratory safety:

OSHA, or the Occupational Safety and Health Administration

OSHA offers extensive recommendations, training materials, and tools for recognizing hazards for laboratory safety.

Occupational Safety and Health National Institute (NIOSH)

The NIOSH Pocket Guide to Chemical Hazards, one of the many resources on chemical safety provided by NIOSH, contains details on hundreds of compounds that are often encountered in labs.

ACS: American Chemical Society

Guidelines, videos, and articles are just a few of the materials on laboratory safety that are available from ACS. They have a Committee on Chemical Safety (CCS) that offers helpful data and sources.

The ACS Safety website

LSI, or the Laboratory Safety Institute:

Laboratory safety training and consultation are LSI's areas of expertise. They provide seminars, books, and other materials to improve lab safety.

Chemical Process Safety Centre (CCPS):

The American Institute of Chemical Engineers (AIChE) division CCPS provides books, guidelines, and other materials on chemical process safety, which also apply to laboratory safety.

CSB, or the Chemical Safety Board:

The Chemical Safety Board (CSB) looks into chemical mishaps and offers insightful case studies and reports that reveal laboratory safety failures and lessons learned.

Prudent Laboratory Practices (National Academies Press):

This resource, which is available as a book or a free PDF download, provides thorough instruction on laboratory safety procedures. The website is called Prudent Practises in the Laboratory.

Resources for Chemical and Laboratory Safety at Universities:

For laboratory safety, several institutions provide information and rules online. These tools are often made to meet the particular requirements of academic labs.

Manufacturers and suppliers of chemicals:

On their websites, chemical suppliers and manufacturers often include safety data sheets (SDS) and other safety-related information.

These publications provide vital safety data for certain substances.

Online communities and forums:

Online groups and forums, such those on Reddit or dedicated safety forums, may be helpful for talking about safety issues, sharing experiences, and getting peer assistance. Keep in mind that maintaining laboratory safety is a continuous effort, so being up to date on the most recent safety precautions and recommendations is crucial. Additionally, for specialized advice about the particular risks and safety procedures relevant to your laboratory, always contact the authorized safety expert or safety officer for your organization.

The safety of laboratory workers and the integrity of scientific research are both critically dependent on information resources concerning laboratory dangers and safety.

These sources include a broad variety of content, including books, manuals, websites, training courses, and legal documents, all of which are intended to provide direction and information about laboratory safety. We'll talk about the significance of these tools and how they help to keep labs safe in this section [9], [10].

Knowledge Dissemination:

Information resources work as knowledge repositories by compiling case studies, best practises, and safety regulations. In order to ensure that everyone working in the lab is aware of possible risks and understands how to avoid them, they make this information available to scientists, researchers, laboratory staff, and students.

Training and Education:

A lot of information sources provide training modules and materials, which are crucial for teaching laboratory staff on safety procedures. These materials often serve as the foundation for seminars and courses on laboratory safety, assisting participants in understanding the fundamentals of safe laboratory procedures.

Identification of Hazards:

These sites provide in-depth details on a range of laboratory dangers, including chemical, biological, radioactive, and physical dangers.

They aid people in identifying possible dangers related to their particular line of work so they may take the necessary safety measures. Laboratory safety tools often include regulatory requirements and industry standards. Safety guidelines and regulations. By ensuring compliance with safety and regulatory requirements, labs may prevent future legal problems and advance a culture of safety.

Emergency Response:

Information sources describe what to do in case of an emergency after an accident or catastrophe. They include instructions on first aid, spill control, and evacuation procedures, giving laboratory staff the skills they need to handle crises successfully.

Personal Protective Equipment (PPE):

Resources often stress the need of utilising PPE and provide guidance on the proper selection, use, and upkeep of safety equipment. In order to reduce exposure to harmful drugs, it is important to have this information.

Risk Assessment:

Numerous sites provide methods and instruments for carrying out risk evaluations in lab settings. This makes it easier for people to assess the risks involved in certain experiments or assignments and to come up with mitigation methods.

Updates and advancements:

With new scientific findings, technology, and safety precautions, the subject of laboratory safety is always changing. Laboratory staff is kept aware about new dangers and best practises thanks to the constant updating of information resources to reflect the most recent discoveries and developments.

Culture of Safety:

These tools help build a strong safety culture inside organisations by encouraging awareness and understanding of laboratory safety. A culture of safety fosters open discussion of safety issues as well as group responsibility for safety.

Prevention of Accidents and Injuries:

The main objective of information sources on laboratory safety and risks is to reduce the likelihood of mishaps, injuries, and exposure to dangerous substances. They safeguard both people and the scientific community as a whole by giving laboratory staff the equipment and information they need to do their jobs securely.

Using MSDS to Extract Useful Data for Hazard Assessment

Chemical vendors are obliged by OSHA's Hazard Communication Standard3 to give copies of Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDSs) for each chemical that they sell to its clients. The purpose of MSDSs is to provide information about chemical dangers to people who utilise them in the workplace. They were explained, along with their overall layout and content. MSDSs have proven helpful for a variety of persons, including those handling large volumes of chemicals in industrial settings and emergency response professionals. However, the majority of MSDSs do not concentrate on the use of chemicals in labs, where several distinct compounds are often handled in minute amounts. However, these papers may include a wealth of important information, especially when creating safety procedures for a specific chemical. There is no particular necessary format, despite the fact that chemical producers are legally compelled to generate MSDSs for the compounds they sell. The American National Standards Institute, however, created a 16-part format3 that OSHA recommended4 and the United Nations, for its Safety Data Sheets in its Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS), adopted a structure similar to this.

The main parts of a typical MSDS prepared in ANSI/GHS formats are addressed below with a focus on how you may utilise this information and what the information's potential limits are. Remember that the format is optional and that it might change depending on the manufacturer. The sorts of information provided in a particular section may vary even when the ANSI format is employed. The MSDS forms for ANSI, SIO, OSHA, and GHS formats are contrasted in an online OSHA article. Below, we'll go through each of the 16 ANSI parts. To start, however, we want to warn you about certain MSDSs that can be unreliable, deceptive, or insufficient.

Uncertainty Regarding MSDSs

In a perfect world, an MSDS's contents would be accurate, trustworthy, and beneficial. Sadly, there are a few reasons why MSDS information could be inaccurate or deceptive. To help you utilize these papers wisely and critically, let's examine these scenarios. The two main categories of information included in MSDSs are data and recommendations. The information includes different chemical (or chemical combination) properties. This will cover supposedly "simple" characteristics like boiling point and aqueous solubility, both of which have probably been precisely known for decades. Although every document is subject to clerical mistake, and analyses of MSDSs have shown significant flaws, there should be little reason to be suspicious of this kind of data. According to a survey of 150 MSDS, 11% of hazardous items had the wrong names, and more than half of the documents had inaccurate information on exposure levels and health risks.

Only 11% of MSDSs were found to be accurate in four crucial categories, including health impacts, first aid, personal protective equipment, and exposure limits, according to a 1997 investigation commissioned by OSHA. The panel found that information on health impacts was usually lacking. The majority of the composition, safety classification, LD50 values, and exposure limits were incorrect, according to a research of MSDSs for 11 degreasing agents from Korea, which is even more concerning.8 Other accounts of MSDS criticism and scepticism have surfaced. A legislative panel heard testimony from the head of the US Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board, who said that 10 out of 19 significant chemical incidents included faulty MSDSs. The idea is not that all or even most MSDSs are inaccurate; rather, you should always approach information from MSDSs with a healthy dose of scepticism and look to confirm or further explore any information that is crucial to your safety. It is wise to seek out more sources to confirm facts. Additional trustworthy sources of knowledge regarding the dangers of chemicals are provided.

MSDSs also provide advice on safe practices, medical care, and chemical disposal. It is common to discover that these suggestions are so general as to be useless. It would not be uncommon to find suggestions that are not applicable to laboratory applications given that they were developed with the industrial workplace in mind rather than the laboratory. This is especially true with suggestions for safety equipment. For instance, while it could make sense in a factory, rubber boots and steel-toed shoes are probably not necessary in a laboratory. In the same line, suggestions like wearing safety gloves are ineffective if they don't specify the kind of gloves to use. Finally, if MSDSs are not updated, they will include inaccurate information since recommendations are subject to change as new information becomes available. New legislation and new methods may alter how we must manage risk and exposure with fresh updated recommendations, even while they don't fundamentally alter the "data" regarding a chemical.

Understanding the procedure used to create MSDSs is also crucial. In an ideal world, we may expect that a qualified safety expert has thoroughly researched and developed a document that is both scientifically correct and "lab-useful" after studying the relevant scientific literature. While certain sections of the MSDS may sometimes be written by scientists or doctors, it is also true that attorneys for the corporation selling the chemical (for which the MSDS is prepared) will provide writers writing advice that restricts the manufacturer's liability. Legal disclaimers are often included in MSDSs to protect the producer. Additionally, and maybe unexpectedly, not all MSDSs are authored by humans. When the Hazard Communication Standard was passed in 1970 and put into effect in 1986, hundreds of compounds were already being sold by chemical firms for which MSDSs were now "suddenly" needed. Approximately 650,000 chemicals are thought to be utilized in the US today for which MSDSs are necessary. The cost of having people draught these MSDSs was prohibitive. The development of computer programmers made it possible to automatically create MSDSs by searching information databases. This naturally led to a certain "style" of MSDS that contains several general expressions and cautions that are "correct" but not often helpful. Sometimes, the information produced by this process of MSDS authoring is not very valuable. Even while it is permissible to advise someone to "dispose in compliance with local, state, and federal regulations," this advice rarely provides enough detail to enable a chemist to properly dispose of a chemical. In Special Topic, further instances of potential computer-generated suggestions are provided [11], [12].

CONCLUSION

For everybody participating in scientific research and experimentation, laboratory safety and risks are of the utmost importance. The information sources that are accessible on this topic provide useful tips and direction for those working in lab settings. Researchers may reduce the dangers connected with chemical exposures, biological agents, physical hazards, and ergonomic obstacles by comprehending and putting into practice safety guidelines. In order to safeguard the safety of laboratory staff, these tools also stress the need of appropriate training, personal protection equipment, and emergency response protocols. In conclusion, laboratory safety is a complex issue that needs ongoing consideration and instruction. The knowledge sources mentioned provide the groundwork for developing a secure laboratory setting and support a safety-conscious culture. It is the responsibility of laboratory professionals to make use of these tools in order to safeguard their own safety, the safety of their coworkers, and the validity of their research. Laboratories may continue to be centers of scientific discovery while reducing possible risks and hazards by adhering to safety policies and putting this knowledge to use. In conclusion, information resources on lab safety and risks are crucial for managing and conducting research in laboratories. They act as priceless resources that support the integrity of scientific research, safeguard the safety of lab personnel, and assist to avoid mishaps. For the purpose of maintaining a secure laboratory environment and ethically and securely developing scientific knowledge, regular access to and use of these resources is essential.

REFERENCES:

[1] T. Sewunet, W. Kebede, B. Wondafrash, B. Workalemau, and G. Abebe, "Survey of safety practices among hospital laboratories in Oromia Regional State, Ethiopia," *Ethiop. J. Health Sci.*, 2014.

[2] J. H. Gibson, I. Schröder, and N. L. Wayne, "A research university's rapid response to a fatal chemistry accident: Safety changes and outcomes," *J. Chem. Heal. Saf.*, 2014.

[3] A. Olugbenga, "Analysis of Hazard and Safety in Science Laboratories in Ekiti State, Nigeria," *Br. J. Educ. Soc. Behav. Sci.*, 2014.

[4] "Digital Forensics Processing and Procedures," *Netw. Secur.*, 2014.

[5] D. M. Bianchi *et al.*, "Reproducibility study for the detection of staphylococcal enterotoxins in dairy products between official Italian national laboratories," *J. Food Prot.*, 2014.

[6] A. E. Theis, "Case study: T2 Laboratories explosion," *J. Loss Prev. Process Ind.*, 2014.

[7] D. Lisbona, A. McGillivray, J. L. Saw, S. Gant, M. Bilio, and M. Wardman, "Risk assessment methodology for high-pressure CO2 pipelines incorporating topography," *Process Saf. Environ. Prot.*, 2014.

[8] F. Laliberté *et al.*, "Real-world comparative effectiveness and safety of rivaroxaban and warfarin in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation patients," *Curr. Med. Res. Opin.*, 2014.

[9] B. Prince and J. Lundevall, "Establishing powder-handling workflow practices and standard operating procedures: compounding pharmacy and safety.," *International journal of pharmaceutical compounding*. 2014.

[10] J. A. Campbell, J. S. Dickson, J. C. Cordray, D. G. Olson, A. F. Mendonca, and K. J. Prusa, "Survival of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus during thermal processing of frankfurters, summer sausage, and ham," *Foodborne Pathog. Dis.*, 2014.

[11] A. Magrini, M. Grana, and L. Vicentini, "Chemical, physical and biological risks among public safety and security forces," *G. Ital. Med. Lav. Ergon.*, 2014.

[12] L. Bernard and M. Shaha, "[Dimensional analysis of the concept of biosafety due to bioterrorism].," *Rech. Soins Infirm.*, 2014.

CHAPTER 8

CHEMICAL HYGIENE PLANS

Rishi Kapoor Poddar, Assistant Professor
College of Pharmacy, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- poddarrk6@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

For many settings, especially labs, a Chemical Hygiene Plan (CHP) is a critical document to ensure the safe handling of chemicals. Its main goals are to safeguard the health and safety of workers, stop chemical mishaps, and advance a safety-conscious culture. This comprehensive document covers important elements including policy statements, SOPs, chemical inventories, hazard assessments, exposure control methods, training programmers, emergency response plans, and documentation needs. Hazard assessment, regulatory compliance, policy preparation, SOP design, personnel training, emergency response planning, documentation, and periodic review are all necessary to build an efficient CHP. Implementation calls for thorough training, information availability, and enforcement of the usage of personal protection equipment. Organizations may reduce hazards, safeguard their workers, and provide a safer work environment by following the guidelines stated in a CHP.

KEYWORDS:

Chemicals, Dosage, Hygiene, Safety, Substances.

INTRODUCTION

While utilizing hydrofluoric acid in a lab, a scientist unintentionally dipped his finger into the solution. Though it did not hurt right away, it soon became quite painful for him. After washing his finger, he headed to the hospital. The scientist's use of hydrofluoric acid was discovered by the clinic doctor, but since the doctor was unaware that anybody on the university was using this substance, he was without the right first aid kit, calcium gluconate gel, to treat hydrofluoric acid burns. The scientist was sent to a city hospital, where he was at last given the proper care. He didn't lose his finger, although it was badly burnt [1], [2].

Making a Success Plan

Laboratories vary from many other workplaces in that a range of chemicals are often utilized for brief periods of time in very tiny concentrations. In contrast, industrial chemical workers continuously handle huge amounts of a few chemicals over a long period of time. Thus, a chemist or lab scientist may be exposed to a wide variety of chemicals, but typically for shorter periods and at less doses than those seen in industrial settings. However, this does not necessarily imply that there is less danger in the lab or that there are fewer potential for major exposures; rather, it simply means that a new strategy for reducing exposures to industrial practices is required.

The Occupational Safety and Health Act of 19703's "general responsibility provision" states that each employer must "furnish to each of his workers... an employer's location... Although this OSHA regulation does not cover students while they are in class, it does apply to all employees of colleges and universities, including faculty, instructors, maintenance, custodial staff, and students who are employed by the university as instructors or laboratory assistants. Employees are required to "comply with occupational safety and health standards and all rules." Therefore, colleges and universities seek to safeguard their students from harmful substances in general for moral grounds.

OSHA issued the regulation Occupational Exposures to Hazardous Chemicals in Laboratories, also known as the "Lab Standard," in 1990 after realizing that laboratories require a different approach to managing exposures than industrial settings. Because this regulation is a performance standard, OSHA does not dictate to employers how to prevent employee exposure. Instead, each employer is free to use his or her own methods. The need of a Chemical Hygiene Plan (CHP) comes first. For workers in their labs, management has created this general safety plan [3], [4].

The CHP, or Chemical Hygiene Plan

Your institution or college's primary instrument for protecting the lab workers it employs is the CHP. All staff must have easy access to the CHP, and lab students often use it as well. Review your own college's or university's CHP and familiarize yourself with its general provisions. The CHP at your university should be quite simple to find online. Any institution with a laboratory must have a CHP. However, because to the flexibility in the Lab Standard, CHPs choose a number of strategies to comply with OSHA regulations by adopting a diversified CHP structure that takes into account the institution's particular risks and resources. The essential elements of any CHP will be the same, but the components' level of detail, substance, and presentation may differ. Good CHPs provide broad advice in crucial areas of chemical management. However, it is crucial that you have a more detailed safety strategy for each experiment, especially in research. Any experiment you do as a student must be organized in collaboration with your faculty mentor and adhere to the general CHP regulations. Additionally, other staff members in your organization, notably the Chemical Hygiene Officer mentioned in the CHP, may contribute to the creation and promotion of safe work practices involving chemicals.

Officer for Chemical Hygiene (CHO)

Each institution must appoint a Chemical Hygiene Officer, or CHO, in accordance with the Lab Standard that mandates the CHP. The CHO must meet the qualifications outlined in the Lab Standard in order to guide the development and implementation of the CHP. The specific responsibilities of each institution's CHO must be determined by management. Although the CHO is not required to do any particular tasks, the Lab Standard's Appendix A provides instructions on what they should do creating the CHP and the necessary safeguards for handling chemicals. Supervising the lab's chemical purchases, use, and disposal. Ensuring that chemical and laboratory activities get sufficient safety assessments, inspections, and audits. Aiding and collaborating with investigators to create safety protocols and suitable chemical handling facilities. Consistent evaluation and development of the institution's chemical safety programme. Being aware of the current legislative requirements for labs, such as those governing regulated materials and chemicals

While the majority of undergraduate students may not be aware of their institution's CHO at the beginning of their studies, as your work progresses and you, as an advanced student, become more autonomous and engage in chemical research, you will probably want to look into the CHO. For information on the precise safety precautions that should be taken while handling chemicals, the CHO may be a useful source. The Environmental Health and Safety (EHS) office is probably located on the campus of major institutions, and the CHO is probably a member of that institutional structure and has received specialised training. In smaller universities, the CHO may be an EHS employee or even a professor of chemistry (who has had far less direct EHS training). The CHO is probably a member of the safety committee at your institution, if it has one (which many do). Several publications go into additional detail concerning CHOs and their suggested duties. If you are taking on this function as the CHO in a future workplace, you may find these sources helpful [5], [6].

RAMP

You may use the CHP and CHO as a resource to identify risks in your laboratory. You might use the CHO as a resource to help you evaluate the dangers in your laboratory. The CHO should be able to help you reduce exposures by advising on safety measures and equipment. The CHO can aid you in the emergency preparation process, and the CHP should contain components that will do so. The study of a substance's toxic effects on the body is known as toxicology. Toxicology is described as the science of poisoning in certain dictionaries. Even though poisoning is studied in toxicology, most compounds are not considered poisons (see more below), and toxicology covers more than just poisons.

DISCUSSION

By harming an organ or negatively altering a biochemical system or process, a chemical may cause systemic alterations or injury to a living creature. This is referred to as toxicity. Because the harmful substance travels from the point of assault through absorption into the bloodstream, the term "systemic" refers to the impact being caused distant from the site of exposure. If you want to comprehend the hazardous qualities of chemicals, you need to have a basic grasp of toxicology and its terminologies. All substances have possible harmful properties depending on the dosage rate. A chemical that causes hazardous effects is referred to as a toxicant, or a toxin in the case of a naturally occurring toxic substance. The term "toxin" is often used inadvertently to denote hazardous substance. Toxin only refers to a subset of toxicants created as natural products, such as botulinum toxin, one of the most toxic compounds known to humans, whereas toxicant refers to all dangerous substances.

A harmful substance might start to act as it comes into contact with or enters the body. The cumulative dosage absorbed over time determines whether a substance has any harmful consequences. The dosage rate is what's used here. The statement made by the 16th-century physician Paracelsus at the start of this section embraces the fundamental tenet of toxicology, which is often stated as "the dose makes the poison." Whether a chemical has toxic effects on an organism depends on the quantity or dose of the chemical that is taken in by the organism. The length of time that the dosage was administered is an important consideration for determining whether a substance is hazardous.Toxic effects are first seen at a specific level, or a threshold, and when the dosage is increased, the severity of the toxic effects grows until they reach a maximum [7], [8].

A chemical's capacity to cause systemic harm to one or more organs, biological systems, or processes with a single dosage is known as acute toxicity. The outcome often, but not always, comes quickly and has immediate implications. Acute toxicity is often thought to last for seconds, minutes, hours, or days. Chronic toxicity is the capacity of a chemical to cause systemic harm to one or more organs, biological systems, or processes over a lengthy period of time, often months or years, using numerous, lesser doses. In comparison, the dosage for acute toxicity is much larger than the dose for chronic toxicity, which is characterised by modest, continuous, or repeated doses. When the body holds onto little doses of a substance until there is a enough amount to have harmful consequences, the substance may be an accumulative toxin. It is crucial to differentiate between these two because acute toxic effects do not always anticipate chronic toxic consequences; in other words, the effects of acute toxicity and chronic toxicity may vary depending on which organ systems or biological processes are affected.

The Body's Defense against Toxic Chemicals: Metabolism

Fortunately, your body is very capable of handling harmful substances thanks to a process called chemical metabolization. Toxins are transformed via metabolism into harmless, less toxic, or even potentially more hazardous compounds that are expelled from the body. The dynamic process of metabolism may be carried out in a variety of ways. Because it is dynamic and continuous, tiny amounts of numerous substances may be metabolised and utilised by the body for various processes or eliminated from the body before they have a chance to have any discernible harmful effects. Because of this, you may be able to eat harmful substances like paracetamol or the alcohol ethyl found in certain drinks without experiencing any long-term negative consequences. The body's metabolic system can clear these substances without having any negative effects, even though we may be exposed to extremely minute levels of harmful chemicals via our food or drink. If this were not the case, the many substances that enter our systems each day would probably cause us difficulties. Since the liver plays a major role in metabolism, injury to the liver may impair your body's capacity to metabolise substances, including medicines

Some compounds are resistant to metabolism, and as a result, they may be kept or collected in the body rather than being eliminated. There are several chlorinated compounds that were utilised routinely many years ago in trace levels in many of us. These compounds, which persist in our environment for years (DDT, a persistent pesticide, is an example), are consumed and stored in our adipose tissue. These compounds are thought to be largely harmless in the levels stored in human fat, despite the possibility of certain harmful consequences at greater concentrations. We call this process "bioaccumulation." However, not all scientists share this opinion; for further information.

Chemicals may potentially become more hazardous after being metabolised. The main ingredient in antifreeze, ethylene glycol, is an excellent illustration of this. When ethylene glycol is consumed, it is broken down into substances that build up and have hazardous consequences. These include metabolic acidosis, which is a condition in which the body produces too much acid, and severe kidney and brain damage from calcium oxalate crystal formation. Ethylene glycol intoxication may be fatal [9], [10].

Toxicologists' Terms: Lethal Doses (LD50 and LC50 Values)

Over the course of many years, accounts of accidental poisonings, murders, suicides, and workplace exposures to people have provided scientists and medical professionals with knowledge regarding the hazardous effects of chemicals. However, given the constraints of dose estimates, this experience and knowledge are quite restricted. Studies on the toxicity of experimental animals provide a greater quantity of information. Toxicologists often test substances on lab animals like rats or mice to see how harmful they are. They have created certain key phrases that are used to assess the relative danger of a chemical as a result of their research.

Lethal dosage fifty, often known as LD 50, is a measure used to define the immediate toxicity of a substance. It is typically stated in milligrammes (mg) of substance administered per kilogramme (kg) of the animal. Comparing dosage variances across species is made simpler by stating doses in units like mg/kg. The single dosage known as LD50 is considered to be fatal to 50% of experimental animals. In actuality, this exaggerated estimate rather of a measured dosage, use an estimated dose. A variety of dosages are used during tests such that some animals survive at each dose while others do not. For instance, at one dosage, 90% of the dosed animals survive, however at a different dose, only 70%, 25%, and 10% of the animals survive. Most oral or cutaneous dosage investigations use the LD50; some compounds have high slopes and others have shallow slopes fatal concentration fifty, or LC50, is the fatal dosage that results in 50% of the tested animal population dying when a chemical is evaluated by inhalation. This is an extrapolated dosage that, like an LD50, is stated in terms of the dangerous chemical's air concentration and is often represented in parts per million (ppm), mg/m3, or g/L. Calculating LC50 values is costly because it calls for additional equipment (inhalation chambers and meticulous measurements of air concentrations) that are not needed when calculating fatal doses through oral, cutaneous, or other routes. The majority of the data we have in experimental toxicology is provided in terms of LD50 values since they are more simpler and less costly to calculate than LC50 values. Studies on toxicity often utilise rats or mice since their upkeep is less costly.

The Poisons—Extremely Toxic Chemicals

Describe a poison. All chemicals are they poisonous? The definition of "poison" varies widely, particularly in the media, literature, and even among scientists. The word "poison" has been misunderstood as a consequence of this variant. The phrase "poisoned" or "poisoning" is often used to describe situations in which someone ingested a chemical dosage into their bodies, either purposefully or inadvertently, causing significant disease or even death. However, just because someone gets "poisoned" by a substance does not imply that it was poisonous.

You cannot be poisoned by other chemicals because hazardous substances, which are not poisons, may poison you if you get a large enough dosage over an extended period of time, induce major side effects, or even cause death. Poisons, on the other hand, are so hazardous that they need particular care. Their labels often include the words "Poison," "Danger," and the vintage "Skull and Crossbones" emblem. Poisons are quite uncommon in chemicals.

While the toxic effects of synthetic chemicals are often highlighted, bacterial toxins, marine toxins, fungal toxins, mycotoxins, venoms (from snakes, insects, arachnids, and other animals), and certain plant toxins are among the most toxic substances. This may aid in assessing a chemical's relative acute toxicity, but it is unable to predict chronic or long-term toxicity. Of course, the dosage is also a factor in determining whether a chemical is lethal, poisonous, or damaging. The mechanism of toxicity varies widely, and structure-activity correlations are rarely straightforward, therefore there is no correlation between toxicity and how "simple" or "complex" a chemical .

In labs and other locations where hazardous compounds are employed, a Chemical Hygiene Plan (CHP) is a detailed document that specifies the rules, procedures, and practises to guarantee the safe handling of chemicals. CHPs are crucial instruments for preventing chemical mishaps and safeguarding the health and safety of laboratory employees. In this in-depth discussion, we will examine the fundamental ideas and elements of Chemical Hygiene Plans, as well as its significance, creation, application, and continuing administration.

Chemical compounds are essential to many scientific endeavours, industrial operations, and educational endeavours. However, poor chemical handling, storage, and disposal may cause serious harm to the environment, human health, and property. The idea of a Chemical Hygiene Plan (CHP) was created to reduce these dangers, especially in response to the US Laboratories Standard (29 CFR 1910.1450) of the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA). Although CHPs are often linked with laboratory settings, their ideas and rules may be implemented in any workplace where chemicals are handled.

A Chemical Hygiene Plan's main goal is to provide a structure for handling dangerous chemicals safely. In addition to preventing chemical mishaps, it attempts to safeguard laboratory workers and other personnel from exposure to potentially dangerous compounds. The main goals of a CHP consist of:

Risk assessment is the process of determining and evaluating the dangers posed by the substances used at work.

Reducing exposure:

Putting safety controls in place to reduce worker exposure to dangerous chemicals.

Training and education:

Ensuring that staff get the proper instruction and education to ensure that they are aware of the hazards and safe handling practises. Establishing protocols for reacting to chemical spills, accidents, and other catastrophes is known as emergency response.

Regular Evaluation:

Periodically examining and revising the CHP to account for changes to chemicals, procedures, or laws.

Chemical hygiene plan components

A well-designed Chemical Hygiene Plan normally consists of a number of important elements, each of which serves a particular function in guaranteeing chemical safety:

Policy Declaration

A brief policy statement outlining the organization's commitment to chemical safety should be the first section of the CHP. The significance of safety, adherence to rules, and the duty of all workers to maintain a safe workplace should all be emphasised in this statement.

SOPs, or standard operating procedures

SOPs include detailed guidelines for the secure handling, disposal, and storage of certain substances. Potential risks, necessary personal protective equipment (PPE), emergency response strategies, and any other safety measures should all be included in these protocols.

Chemical Stocks

It is essential to keep a current inventory of all chemicals used at work. Information such the chemical name, CAS number, amount on hand, location, and risk category should be included in the inventory.

Hazard recognition and risk evaluation

The procedures for identifying chemical dangers, including the use of Safety Data Sheets (SDSs) and other reference sources, should be covered in full in this section. To assess the seriousness of prospective risks and choose the best preventive actions, risk assessments should be carried out.

Measures to Control Exposure

The CHP should identify several regulatory procedures to safeguard workers from chemical exposure. Engineering controls (like fume hoods, ventilation systems, and work practises) and administrative controls (like training, work practises, and personal protective equipment like gloves, goggles, and lab coats) may be among them.

Education and Training

Chemical safety is critically dependent on employee training. The CHP should outline the necessary training criteria, including the subjects to be covered, how often they must occur, and how they must be documented.

Chemical risks, safe handling practises, emergency response, and the appropriate use of PPE should all be included in training.

Crisis management and first aid

Procedures for handling chemical spills, fires, and other emergencies should be described in this section.

The location of emergency supplies (including eyewash stations and fire extinguishers), evacuation plans, emergency contacts, and first aid instructions should all be included.

Medical advice and evaluations

Information about medical checks and consultations for workers who handle dangerous chemicals is often included in CHPs. This may include specifications for medical monitoring and access to medical personnel.

Keeping records

For the purpose of proving compliance with safety requirements, documentation is essential. The CHP should include the documentation needs for things like training logs, outcomes of exposure monitoring, incident reports, and chemical inventory logs.

Checking and Editing

The CHP should lay out a procedure for routinely evaluating and revising the strategy. This makes sure that it stays relevant and functional, particularly when new processes or substances are added or laws change.

Plan for Chemical Hygiene Development

The creation of a CHP requires the cooperation of several parties, including management, safety experts, and lab staff. An outline of the procedures for developing a successful chemical hygiene plan is provided below:

Assessment of Danger

Start by doing a detailed evaluation of the chemical risks that exist at work. This entails listing all compounds in use, looking through SDS, and assessing any dangers each chemical could have.

Adherence to Regulations

Learn about applicable laws, rules, and regulations, such as the OSHA Laboratory Standard and any regional or local specifications. Make sure the CHP complies with these rules.

Policy Creation

Create a policy statement that is succinct and emphasises the organization's dedication to safety and regulatory compliance. The whole CHP is set in motion by this remark.

Detailed Operating Instructions

For each chemical or process in use, provide thorough SOPs. Safe handling techniques, storage regulations, emergency response, and PPE needs should all be covered by these processes.

Employee Education

Create and execute a thorough training programme for every employee that handles dangerous materials. The CHP's material should be covered in training, and records of training sessions should be kept.

Planning an emergency response

Create thorough preparations for handling chemical spills, fires, and other emergency situations. Conduct frequent emergency exercises and make sure that all staff are aware of these preparations.

Keeping records

Create a system for recording important data, including chemical inventory, exposure monitoring data, and incident reports. For regulatory compliance, it is crucial to have this documents.

Checking and Editing

Establish a timetable for the CHP's ongoing revision and evaluation. As rules, chemicals, and procedures change, make sure it stays up to current.

The actualization

Make sure the CHP is implemented across the whole company and that everyone is aware of it and their obligations under it. Encourage a culture of compliance and safety [11], [12].

CONCLUSION

When using hazardous chemicals, Chemical Hygiene Plans (CHPs) are essential instruments for ensuring safe working conditions. These thorough manuals provide as a guide for finding, evaluating, and reducing chemical dangers, thereby protecting worker safety and averting mishaps. A well-organized CHP includes record-keeping procedures, policy statements, SOPs, chemical inventories, hazard analyses, exposure control methods, training programmes, and emergency response plans. All stakeholders must demonstrate dedication, cooperation, and ongoing vigilance in order to establish and execute a CHP. Organisations must understand that CHPs are dynamic frameworks that need frequent changes to adapt to changing rules, technology, and working situations as they traverse the complexity of chemical safety. Organizations may promote safety, lower risks, and show their dedication to safeguarding both workers and the environment by investing in chemical safety via the development and careful use of CHPs. Chemical hygiene plans are crucial road maps for guaranteeing chemical safety, encouraging responsible chemical stewardship, and maintaining the health of everyone who interacts with chemicals at work.

REFERENCES:

[1] T. M. Della Lucia, L. C. Gandra, and R. N. Guedes, "Managing leaf-cutting ants: Peculiarities, trends and challenges," *Pest Manag. Sci.*, 2014.

[2] G. C. Fonger, P. Hakkinen, S. Jordan, and S. Publicker, "The National Library of Medicine's (NLM) Hazardous Substances Data Bank (HSDB): Background, recent enhancements and future plans," *Toxicology*, 2014.

[3] B. Melero, A. M. Diez, and J. Rovira, "Basic Sanitation," in *Handbook of Fermented Meat and Poultry: Second Edition*, 2014.

[4] S. M. N. Uddin *et al.*, "Exposure to WASH-borne hazards: A scoping study on peri-urban Ger areas in Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia," *Habitat Int.*, 2014.

[5] V. Hill, "Government regulation of food quality: International and in France and the U.S.," in *Contributions to Management Science*, 2014.

[6] S. G. Rice, *Nanotechnology workforce protection: Strategic plan and safe practices*. 2014.

[7] W. Nicole, "A Question for Women's health: Chemicals in Feminine Hygiene products and personal Lubricants," *Environ. Health Perspect.*, 2014.

[8] A. Kamal and A. Rashid, "Benzene exposure among auto-repair workers from workplace ambience: A pioneer study from Pakistan," *Int. J. Occup. Med. Environ. Health*, 2014.

[9] H. Ahmad and K. Rajagopal, "Salvadora persica L. (Meswak) in dental hygiene," *Saudi Journal for Dental Research*. 2014.

[10] P. Lorwongtragool, E. Sowade, N. Watthanawisuth, R. R. Baumann, and T. Kerdcharoen, "A novel wearable electronic nose for healthcare based on flexible printed chemical sensor array," *Sensors (Switzerland)*, 2014.

[11] J. B. Kussaga, L. Jacxsens, B. P. Tiisekwa, and P. A. Luning, "Food safety management systems performance in African food processing companies: A review of deficiencies and possible improvement strategies," *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*. 2014.

[12] A. Tabner, C. McQueen, and S. Hewitt, "Summertime and the patient is itchy," *BMJ Case Rep.*, 2014.

CHAPTER 9

THE BASICS OF ACUTE TOXICITY

Anurag Verma, Professor & Principal
College of Pharmacy, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- anuragvermaiftm@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

Lunch was had by five laboratory personnel in a tiny neighboring storage room. Three of them felt sick after drinking cups of tea, with a "fuzzy" brain and racing heart. Another worker drank two cups and had severe chest cramps; as a result, he was transported to the hospital under the impression that he had a cardiac ailment. Only sipping on half of a cup of tea, a fifth technician also experienced nausea. Eventually, one of the technicians recalled that she had filled the tea kettle with laboratory distilled water that had been treated with sodium azide to inhibit bacterial growth, a practice that is typical in certain labs. Each technician became better. This section discusses compounds that might cause immediate hazardous consequences after significant exposure. We know far more about the effects of acute toxicants since these rapid effects are simpler to identify and analyses. However, especially for long-term or chronic impacts, we may not be aware of all of the hazardous consequences of chemicals that a variety of variables, such as dosage and timing, affect the effects that chemicals display. Hawthorne lauded salt as a "holy" material, yet salt has a lethal dose (LD50) of 3000 mg/kg, and salt poisoning has claimed the lives of children. However, salt is necessary for human health in moderation, and we often add a lot of it to our diet

KEYWORDS:

Chronic, Exposure, Laboratory chemicals, Mercury, Toxicants.

INTRODUCTION

Although caffeine is poisonous and has an oral LD50 of 192 mg/kg in rats, it would take roughly 100 cups of coffee to reach a deadly dosage in a matter of hours. Some substances may have more than one harmful impact, and the mechanisms of these effects differ significantly, and "the dose makes the poison." Our understanding of acute toxicity is based on what we have learnt over the last several centuries, and given the millions of chemicals that are known to exist, our knowledge of hazardous consequences is quite limited. Fewer than a few thousand compounds have undergone thorough examination. It is common for a chemical to suddenly exhibit harmful qualities that were previously unknown simply because they had not been evaluated. For instance, vinyl chloride, a substance used for many years to produce polyvinyl chloride (PVC) polymer, was identified as the root cause of the uncommon cancer angiosarcoma in the middle of the 1970s [1], [2].

Both accidental and methodical research may lead to the discovery of dangerous substances. It is not unexpected to find that a substance that was earlier thought to be "safe" in the lack of any evidence to the contrary turns out to be poisonous in a manner that was not previously recognised after further examination. For instance, diacetyl, a substance prevalent in microwave popcorn flavouring, has recently been linked to the uncommon and deadly lung condition bronchiolitis obliterans. We must avoid being chemophobic (having an unnatural fear of chemicals) even if certain chemicals might have negative consequences since you and the rest of the world are formed of chemicals. It is sensible and always feasible to avoid or reduce chemical exposures while working with chemicals. In modern labs, we are able to safely handle a wide range of dangerous substances thanks to the development of the processes and techniques in those fields through many years of practise. Even if you are unaware of all of a chemical's potential hazardous effects, if you adhere to these conservative measures, you will significantly lower your likelihood of experiencing toxic consequences from chemical exposure [3], [4].

Findings of Highly Toxic Chemicals in Laboratories

Acute toxicants are broken down into various categories with examples. While many acute toxicants display more than one kind of toxicity and have many dangerous qualities, some acute toxicants neatly fit into one class. For instance, phenol affects the central nervous system, is corrosive (even as a solid), is flammable, and has a moderately hazardous (LD50, mouse, oral, 270 mg/kg) profile. It also irritates the eyes and skin [5], [6].

Irritants are noncorrosive compounds that, when they come into contact with skin, create reversible inflammation (redness, thickening, rash, scal-ing, and blistering). They may itch, and the results are unpleasant, especially in terms of appearance. Since irritants are so frequent, contact dermatitis is the most common occupational skin condition in the United States3, period. In testing, 1200 different compounds were examined, and around one-third of them were shown to be irritants. That implies there's a decent possibility you'll come across an irritation in the lab. Irritating and allergic contact dermatitis are the two kinds of the condition, and clinically, they are interchangeable. Irritants produce a nonimmune reaction as a result of their direct contact with the skin. Allergy-related but distinct is allergic contact dermatitis, which is brought on by a delayed immune system hypersensitivity response. Corrosives at diluted amounts may have [7], [8].

Strongly caustic or reactive substances may cause chemical burns and tissue damage at the place of contact in addition to irritating effects. Chemicals known as sensitizers or allergens work by inducing a negative immunological response known as hypersensitivity in the body. It is recognised that there is a delay of a few weeks between first exposure and a documented hypersensitive response following reexposure when sensitizers/allergens are grouped with acute toxicants. However, due to their characteristic significant acute reaction to the toxin following sensitization, we include them as acute toxicants. Only when a previous exposure has caused sensitization and a subsequent reexposure may the hypersensitive response take place.

Small molecules that interact with bigger proteins in your body to generate antigens, such as certain laboratory chemicals, may function as haptens in the development of sensitivity. The body may label certain antigens as "foreign," which causes the formation of antibodies against them. These antibodies quickly develop in response to further exposure to the antigen, triggering the body's defence mechanism. When the antibodies and antigen interact, unpleasant side effects including swelling, redness, and itching result. After being sensitised to a substance, additional exposure, even in very little doses, may cause an allergic response, including hives, runny nose, sneezing, swollen red eyes, and headaches. A respiratory sensitizer may cause asthma attacks, breathing problems, and in rare cases, anaphylactic shock (a fast developing disease in which a person's breathing becomes severely constricted and results in death if not treated promptly). Sensitivity has a hereditary component. Not every individual who is exposed to an allergy will become sensitive to that substance. We are unsure of what causes some individuals to react negatively to chemicals while others do not. Poison ivy (oak or sumac) is maybe a sensitizer that you are most familiar with, either by reputation or actual experience. Urushiol is the substance that causes the sensitising response. But allergic responses to lab substances do happen sometimes; for example,. Sensitization to a chemical may be very disabling and need drastic lifestyle modifications in order to prevent future exposure to the sensitizer. Regarding sensitizers, exposure prevention is crucial.

DISCUSSION

Asphyxiants prevent the body from absorbing or transporting oxygen to essential organs, which causes oxygen deprivation and subsequent cell and organ death as well as eventual death of the person. Simple asphyxiants are asphyxiants that just remove oxygen from the atmosphere. Simple asphyxiants include inert gases like helium, carbon dioxide, nitrogen, and methane. The density of the gas affects the level of risk. Common asphyxiants that are lighter than air, like He, will "pool" close to the ceiling of a space. Molecules that are heavier than air (like CO2) might "pool" close to the ground or in low places. People who operate in confined places need to be especially aware of these risks.

If the concentration in the air is high enough to "dilute" the amount of oxygen to a hazardous level, nitrogen gas, which makes up roughly 79% of air, is a simple asphyxiant. Nitrogen gas storage tanks are typical in labs, and a leaking tank of this normally safe gas may be dangerous in a small area.

The consequences of lower O2 concentrations on humans. Given the remarkable architectural similarities between liquid oxygen and liquid nitrogen tanks, incidents of accidental and tragically connecting a liquid nitrogen tank to the oxygen system that provides supplementary oxygen to nursing home rooms have resulted in fatalities at nursing homes. Because of this risk, nitrogen and oxygen regulators include "opposite threads" specifically designed to prevent this sort of error. Using the incorrect regulator and failing to read the label on the tank are two blunders that lead to incidents like this.

Chemical asphyxiants are asphyxiants that work by obstructing oxygen intake chemically. The most frequent chemical asphyxiant, carbon monoxide, is the main cause of poisoning in the US, accounting for more than 500 fatalities and 15,000 emergency room visits annually. The majority of the time, products from combustion sources like gas furnaces, water heaters or open burning sources like propane grills cause carbon monoxide exposure in homes and workplaces. Colourless, odourless, and tasteless gas carbon monoxide has a documented human LDLO of 4000 ppm or 4570 mg/m3 (30 min) and is quite hazardous. Lower levels of 500 to 1000 ppm cause symptoms to start showing up, including headache, nausea, dizziness, and exhaustion that might be mistaken for the flu. The majority of LDLO values are acquired from recorded cases of accidental or purposeful poisoning [9], [10].

At the molecular level, the mechanism of its toxicity is simple to comprehend and involves interfering with a crucial blood function. Haemoglobin, a large (molar mass 64,000 g/mole!) blood molecule, absorbs oxygen from the lungs. Hemoglobin's iron atoms allow oxygen to reversibly bind to them. From there, oxygen is carried throughout the body to the cells that carry out breathing. Carbon monoxide and oxygen have comparable molecular dimensions and shapes. Carbon monoxide bonds to haemoglobin around 240 times more efficiently than oxygen does because of its polarity. This results in carboxyhemoglobin, which reduces the blood's ability to transport oxygen. The body's cells are unable to acquire adequate oxygen when significant amounts of carboxyhemoglobin are generated, and they ultimately start to perish from asphyxiation. The danger of carbon monoxide poisoning is greater at home since it is not often utilised in laboratories. To be sure that neither you nor anybody else is at risk, thoroughly inspect all possible carbon monoxide sources. Every house that has a gas or fuel-fired appliance should have a carbon monoxide detector, which is also rather affordable.

Other substances may eventually prevent breathing, but owing to how they work, they are not asphyxiants. In addition to other hazardous consequences, breathing reactive toxicants such hydrogen cyanide, phosgene, chlorine, ammonia, nitrogen dioxide, or methylfluorosulfate may result in severe pulmonary edoema, a disease that can be life-threatening if left untreated. These gases interact with the air sacs when they are breathed. Although the precise process is unclear, these gases have the effect of damaging the air sac-capillary interface by making it more permeable, which causes serum to start leaking from the capillaries into the air sac after a certain amount of time, which may range from a few minutes to many hours depending on dosage and toxicant. Edoema, or fluid in the air sac, interferes with the regular exchange of oxygen and carbon dioxide, making it difficult for the exposed individual to breathe. If this ailment is left untreated, the lungs "fill up" with fluid and ultimately fall into a state of unconsciousness that might be severe and life-threatening. Avoiding exposure to reactive chemical toxins is the lesson to be learned from this. When handling reactive gases, chemical hoods should always be used.

Teratogens and feto-toxicants are developmental toxins

A wide range of substances may disrupt the genetic process. In the lab, the majority of these substances pose long-term risks but no immediate ones. Carcinogens, or substances that cause cancer. Here, we briefly discuss a class of chemicals that warrants your urgent attention even though you are unlikely to meet them in university laboratories. Developmental toxicants are substances that may obstruct a foetus' proper development. Teratogens may harm an unborn child's development, leading to birth malformations and other abnormalities. When teratogens are exposed during the first trimester of pregnancy, birth abnormalities may result. Thankfully, there aren't many teratogens that are well-known.

The most well-known teratogen is thalidomide, which led to limb abnormalities in children of pregnant women who used the medication as a sleep aid and for morning sickness while pregnant. This is not a substance you will likely come into contact with in the lab. Feto-toxicants are toxins that may harm the developing foetus in additional ways. Foetal alcohol syndrome (FAS), the most well-known fetotoxicant, is a disorder in which the kid may be born with a variety of potential defects. The following statement was made on FAS by the Centres for Disease Control and Prevention:

Foetal alcohol syndrome (FAS) is one of the gravest consequences of drinking while pregnant. FAS is among the main recognised causes of birth abnormalities and mental retardation that may be prevented. Alcohol use during pregnancy increases the risk that the unborn child may have FAS, a chronic illness that results in both physical and mental impairments. FAS is characterised by abnormalities in the CNS, growth deficiencies, and facial characteristics. Learning, memory, attention span, communication, vision, hearing, or a combination of these issues may be problematic for people with FAS. These issues often result in academic challenges and interpersonal difficulties. FAS is a chronic illness. Every area of a person's life, as well as the lives of his or her family, is impacted.

While a very little amount of alcohol exposure is feasible in a lab environment, a significant amount is quite rare. We mention the remarks above because they show the startling effects of a common fetotoxicant, but regular and occasionally excessive alcohol consumption on college campuses is a significant public health concern. According to estimates, there are between 0.2 and 1.5 cases of FAS for every 1000 live births in the US. Simply stated, drinking alcohol while pregnant increases the fetus's chance of birth abnormalities.

Organ Toxicants: Harmful Substances That Affect Particular Organs or Body Systems

Organ toxicants are substances that predominantly harm one or more organs or bodily systems. For instance, several chlorinated hydrocarbon substances are liver toxins or hepatotoxic. Vinyl chloride with carbon tetrachloride, the liver is sometimes the target of laboratory drugs. Ethanol, allyl alcohol, dimethylformamide, dichloroethylene, and methylene dianiline are more laboratory substances that are hepatotoxic. As a well-known kidney toxin (nephrotoxicant), ethylene glycol damages the kidneys severely when consumed due to the crystallization of calcium oxalate. This substance has been linked to many well-publicized deliberate poisonings and homicides. It is possible to come into contact with elemental mercury and mercury salts in the laboratory. These substances are very poisonous and build up in the kidney as Hg2+ coupled to sulfhydryl groups. Another heavy metal, cadmium, is damaging to the kidneys. The proximal tubule in the kidney is the focus of nephrotoxicity caused by chloroform, another laboratory substance.

Neuro-toxicants, often known as neurotoxins, include another class of acute toxicants. Both the peripheral and central nervous systems are affected by neurotoxins. Because investigations of the processes of these toxicants have been mostly successful and have led to the development of several medications and other beneficial substances, we are more familiar with neuro-toxicants than most other types of toxic molecules. Pesticides, natural venoms, and nerve gases (chemical warfare weapons) are the most dangerous neuro-toxicants. Laws prohibit the use of chemical warfare weapons, and when authorization is given, these substances need particular handling, facilities, and security precautions. These are compounds that you could come across in undergraduate or graduate research labs but are unlikely to meet in early undergraduate laboratories. N-hexane and carbon disulfide are two examples of chemical solvents that are both recognised and suspected neurotoxins. Ingestion, inhalation, or skin absorption are all possible exposure routes. The central nervous system's (CNS) side effects might include apparent drunkenness, psychomotor impairment, nausea, headaches, and dizziness. Fortunately, there aren't many cases of neuro-toxicant poisoning in laboratories. The cardiovascular, immunological, endocrine, pulmonary, dermal, and dermal (skin) systems are further groups of organ toxicants.

RAMP

Identify harmful substances by doing an analysis using the categories in this section. Determine the degree of risk based on the probability of exposure via different pathways. Be cautious of asphyxiants in "confined spaces" (small laboratories). Reduce the risk by avoiding exposure via excellent lab procedures, the use of personal protective equipment like gloves, and the monitoring of inhalation dangers using ventilation hoods. Be ready for crises by being aware of the harmful risks associated with the substances you use.

Five cytotechnologists were making slides for microscopic examination while they were each working in a different lab. Their research covered the last phase of a procedure for cleaning and staining cytological/histological slides in labs without ventilation using xylene as a solvent. Each lady had symptoms that ranged in intensity from extremely severe to mild, but in general they included a persistent headache, exhaustion, chest discomfort, and other symptoms. One patient was eventually identified with xylene poisoning after many hospital stays, but she was left permanently crippled. Three more people had better working circumstances after switching to employment that did not include xylene. In the fifth example, a laboratory hood was installed after a suggestion made during an assessment of the facility. When the technician started using the hood again, her health improved.

Laboratory experiments and chronic toxins

You will come across substances known as chronic toxicants—chemicals with known or suspected long-term effects—during your laboratory work. Even though the example mentioned above shows what might happen when someone is exposed to a persistent toxin, these situations are uncommon in lab settings. Since receiving dosages over a lengthy period of time—often several months or years—is typically required to experience the consequences of exposures to chronic toxicants, this is an unusual scenario in a laboratory setting. The majority of labs utilise extremely tiny quantities of a broad range of chemicals over long periods of time, and there have been few and infrequent reports of persistent hazardous effects from laboratory exposures. Nevertheless, a few epidemiological studies have revealed that chemists had an increased risk of dying from cancer and suicide as a group, but the reasons for these findings are unclear.

We will organise the topic here using several categories of chronic toxicants, much as we did concerning acute toxicants. However, "industrial exposure," where normal exposures are significantly bigger than typical laboratory exposures, is where the experience with these chronic toxicants has come from. However, this does not negate the danger associated with handling chronic toxicants in the lab; as with any work involving the handling of chemicals, you should aim to reduce exposures.

Learning about Chronic Toxicity—What Is Basic

Acute toxicity does not always predict chronic toxicity. Chronic toxicity is the creation of systemic detrimental effects by exposure to repeated low-level doses of a chemical over an extended period of time, leading to chronic poisoning, cancer, or other consequences. Compared to acute toxicity, chronic toxicity is more harder to identify and research, thus we know far less about it. Additionally, studies examining long-term toxicity are highly expensive. The effects of chronic toxicity often manifest gradually and quietly, and over time, it may be difficult to distinguish between exposure and sickness. Other chronic illnesses and the gradual deterioration of the body may hide links between symptoms and exposure.

It is one thing to assume chronic toxicity after an exposure based on coincidental findings, but quite another to prove a causal connection between exposure and consequence. Sometimes, via extensive, costly epidemiological studies involving large numbers of individuals, chronic toxicities resulting from chemical exposure are identified, allowing statistical analysis and probability to infer connections between exposures and outcomes. Most chronic toxicants are often discovered via long-term animal studies, and further research may link these findings with human exposures and consequences (see Special Topic 4.2.1.1 Epidemiology and Its Role in Discovering Chemically Induced Disease for more information).

The human body has evolved a variety of defence mechanisms through thousands of years of development that, in the majority of individuals, are able to metabolise the many chemicals that enter our bodies via food and drink, skin contact, and insignificant quantities through our lungs. As a consequence, we may sometimes be exposed to moderate or even low doses of harmful compounds without experiencing any negative effects. These are dealt with by the body's defences, which either eliminate them from the body or store them in bodily tissues.

Let's think about what occurs if you are exposed to a substance that has a long-term harmful effect. Just a small portion of the millions of chemicals that have been evaluated and are known to demonstrate significant chronic toxicity, keep in mind. Some chemicals have the ability to accumulate with storage over time in the body, which means that when exposure continues, the concentration of the chemical rises with time. The body's defences are typically able to handle long-term exposures to modest doses of toxins, but when the toxicant dosage grows, your body's defences may eventually fail or become overburdened. When the concentration of certain of these substances reaches a certain point, harmful consequences from long-term exposure start to manifest. This has a similar dose-response curve to acute toxicity, but it takes significantly longer to reach this stage at low dosage levels because the toxicant must accumulate to a particular toxic level in the body or produce accumulative harm to cells, tissues, or organs.

The consequences of chronic exposure start to manifest at a point known as the threshold, when the chronic toxicant reaches dosage levels that potentially have negative effects. It is important to bear in mind that the consequences of chronic exposure might take years or even decades to present themselves. As exposure continues, the effects start to compound and intensify until subtle but overt symptoms begin to emerge. We often encounter various aches, pains, and discomforts throughout our lives, so we may not first link an obvious symptom with a serious issue. However, at some point, the symptoms become undeniable, sometimes severe or obviously unpleasant, and we often seek medical attention at this point, even if a doctor may find it challenging to prove a causal relationship and must treat the sickness primarily based on symptoms.

Chronic Toxicity Risks

As previously said, assuming you are aware of and adhere to safety rules, working in a laboratory is a pretty low-risk activity. However, just because chemicals originate from sources besides laboratories does not imply you are not at danger of long-term chemical exposures.

Numerous experts concur that genetics, lifestyle, and age are the primary causes of chronic illnesses. Because of the wide variation in biological defense mechanisms that have developed through time, each of us has a distinct genetic makeup that gives our bodies varied strengths and weaknesses.

This implies that not everyone responds the same manner to a long-term hazardous exposure. While some individuals may be able to resist the hazardous consequences, others may start to show signs right away. As a result, there could be a range of reactions to an exposure. Your lifestyle, including whether you smoke, drink too much, eat too much, miss exercise, use drugs, or participate in other dangerous behaviors, greatly influences your likelihood of developing chronic toxicity or chronic illness. The main avoidable cause of illness in the US is smoking. The following is what the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention have noted:

Nearly every organ in the body suffers damage from smoking, which also increases the risk of illness for smokers as a whole. In the United States, cigarette smoking's harmful health consequences are thought to be responsible for 438,000 deaths annually, or almost 1 in every 5 fatalities. Tobacco use results in more fatalities per year than human immunodeficiency virus

When it comes to the identification and investigation of chronic toxicity, these genetic, lifestyle, and aging-related variables add to the complexity of an already challenging situation. Chronic toxicities may be identified and understood, but it can be extremely challenging.

Mercury Exposures in the Laboratory: A Potential Chronic Poison

Only a few instances of chronic toxicity from laboratory work have been made, and the majority of these are historical case studies from a period when scientists didn't fully appreciate the dangers of chemicals. Mercury has been one of the more pressing issues, at least based on prior experience, since it is very hazardous when inhaled and easily vaporises. In the early 20th century, the German scientist Alfred Stock made important contributions to the chemistry of boron hydrides. The glassware and tools that Stock developed enabled him to deal with these air-sensitive substances, and elemental mercury was a crucial part of these systems, most often in the pressure-measuring instruments known as manometers. Stock suffered from acute mercurialism after spending decades working in unventilated laboratories with mercury pools and spills. He really started a lifetime effort to chronicle the symptoms of this condition and warn other scientists about the risks of working with mercury as soon as he realised that exposure to mercury was the cause of his illness. Intellectual decline, memory loss, slurred speech, and numerous nerve diseases were among the symptoms. Despite these obstacles, Stock achieved important advancements in the study of silicon hydrides, arsenic, and phosphorus chemistry in addition to boron hydrides. Sidgwick was referenced by W. N. Lipscomb, who won the Nobel Prize for boron hydrides in 1976, in his acceptance speech. He said: All statements about the hydrides of boron earlier than 1912, when Stock began to work on them, are untrue.

Although most contemporary labs have taken significant steps to reduce their usage of mercury, it is still possible for you to come into contact with mercury during your laboratory job. A heavy liquid called mercury metal is used in electrical switches, thermostats, fluorescent lights, and scientific instruments like thermometers and manometers. If mercury leaks are not cleaned up right once after breaking thermometers or other mercury-containing equipment, there is a risk of long-term, chronic mercury exposure. Due to its density, liquid mercury is able to penetrate into small spaces and is often difficult to remove. Some people don't even think a mercury leak is significant enough to clean up after. It seems that the mercury "disappears" when it goes into these low-lying areas and becomes distributed throughout the floor boards and tiles, but it is still likely to remain there. Because mercury is poisonous when inhaled and has a modest but significant vapour pressure, it may evaporate into the air in the lab, exposing scientists to it for extended periods of time. This is the ideal dish for persistent poisoning.

Several students, professors, technicians, and workers of a university had mercury chronic poisoning or had increased urinary mercury levels, according to a 1972 study on mercury contamination of chemical facilities.12 This was discovered after a student visited a clinic complaining of headaches, persistent exhaustion, and mental drowsiness. It was later discovered that he may have been exposed to mercury in his laboratory. Further analysis showed that both his urine and the air in these labs had high mercury levels. Only three of the 28 workers in these labs had symptoms, while 26 of the 28 had higher-than-normal amounts of mercury in their urine.

However, it is crucial that you be aware of this class of toxicants and the OSHA regulations that control their exposure since you could eventually be in charge of overseeing laboratories and/or industrial production plants. Laboratorians and their supervisors are required by OSHA to be aware of the risks and exposure hazards associated with chronic toxicants under the Laboratory Standard (29 CFR 1910.1450). In establishing exposure limits, both public and private organisations are engaged. For instance, OSHA enforces restrictions known as

PELs (permissible exposure limits). Threshold limit values (TLVs) are advised by the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH).

Levels that are immediately hazardous to life and health (IDLH) are listed by the National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH)[11], [12].

CONCLUSION

Mercury discharged from broken thermometers and manometers over the course of many years of usage led to the widespread contamination of numerous scientific spaces. As a result of laboratory decontamination, mercury air contents fell below permitted limits. The exposed individuals' urinary concentrations gradually returned to normal range.

The possible dangers of mercury are highlighted in this paper if spills or damaged thermometers are not immediately cleaned up. Additionally, removing mercury-containing equipment from your environment can lessen your risk of being mercury-toxic. Replace mercury-containing equipment wherever feasible with non-mercury equipment, such as thermometers.

REFERENCES:

[1] F. Busquet *et al.*, "OECD validation study to assess intra- and inter-laboratory reproducibility of the zebrafish embryo toxicity test for acute aquatic toxicity testing," *Regul. Toxicol. Pharmacol.*, 2014.

[2] R. R. Magaye *et al.*, "Acute toxicity of nickel nanoparticles in rats after intravenous injection," *Int. J. Nanomedicine*, 2014.

[3] C. L. Barney *et al.*, "Technique, outcomes, and acute toxicities in adults treated with proton beam craniospinal irradiation," *Neuro. Oncol.*, 2014.

[4] J. Zhang, S. Chen, Y. Zhang, X. Quan, H. Zhao, and Y. Zhang, "Reduction of acute toxicity and genotoxicity of dye effluent using Fenton-coagulation process," *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2014.

[5] X. Yu, J. Zuo, R. Li, L. Gan, Z. Li, and F. Zhang, "A combined evaluation of the characteristics and acute toxicity of antibiotic wastewater," *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.*, 2014.

[6] J. Lu *et al.*, "Estimation of acute oral toxicity in rat using local lazy learning," *J. Cheminform.*, 2014.

[7] G. Yuan *et al.*, "Toxicological assessment of combined lead and cadmium: Acute and sub-chronic toxicity study in rats," *Food Chem. Toxicol.*, 2014.

[8] A. H. Sumithregowda, K. Venkatarangaiah, K. M. Honnenahally, and V. N. Manjunath, "Cytotoxicity and oral acute toxicity studies of Litsea glutinosa C. B (ROB) stem bark ethanol extract," *Pharmacogn. J.*, 2014.

[9] H. Wu, C. Gao, Y. Guo, Y. Zhang, J. Zhang, and E. Ma, "Acute toxicity and sublethal effects of fipronil on detoxification enzymes in juvenile zebrafish (Danio rerio)," *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.*, 2014.

[10] A. Golbamaki, A. Cassano, A. Lombardo, Y. Moggio, M. Colafranceschi, and E. Benfenati, "Comparison of in silico models for prediction of Daphnia magna acute toxicity," *SAR QSAR Environ. Res.*, 2014.

[11] C. Chen, Y. Wang, X. Zhao, Q. Wang, and Y. Qian, "Comparative and combined acute toxicity of butachlor, imidacloprid and chlorpyrifos on earthworm, eisenia fetida," *Chemosphere*, 2014.

[12] H. Mugni, A. Paracampo, M. Solis, S. Fanelli, and C. Bonetto, "Acute toxicity of roundup to the nontarget organism Hyalella curvispina. Laboratory and field study," *Toxicol. Environ. Chem.*, 2014.

CHAPTER 10

AN OVERVIEW ON CARCINOGENS

Sunil Kumar Srivastava, Associate Professor
College of Pharmacy, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- shyam.sunil32@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

Numerous aspects of these substances resemble those of chronic toxicants, such as nonlinear dose-response curves with thresholds, as is often shown. One of the most dreaded words in our language is "cancer," but the actual hazards are sometimes eclipsed by dramatic headlines and tales about the terrible incidence of cancer in a person, family, or group of people, which ostensibly indicates that there are ties between chemical exposures. The following information about cancer will assist put the subject of the danger of cancer from chemicals in a useful perspective. Cancer is not a single illness, but rather a vast set of diseases, each with a distinct origin (aetiology) and a variable prognosis (probable result). One in four individuals will get some kind of cancer in their lifetime. Malignant neoplasms, or tumors or abnormal growths, are cancers. There are certain tumours that might be labelled benign; they are not cancerous. Malignant tumours include cells that divide more quickly than healthy cells, lose the characteristics of healthy cells, invade nearby tissues, or spread to sites far from the original site of the disease. Leukaemias, lymphomas, sarcomas, and carcinomas are the four main categories of cancer. The majority of cancers are brought on by lifestyle and genetics, a smaller proportion by sun and radiation exposure, and about 5% of cancers are brought on by occupational (workplace) chemical exposures.

KEYWORDS:

Acids, Biotransformation, Carcinogens, Chemicals, Exposure.

INTRODUCTION

A chemist breathed dimethyl sulphate, bis (chloromethyl)ether, and chloro-methyl methyl ether while working in a lab. Following this seven-year exposure, he had severe pulmonary carcinoma (lung cancer), and at the age of 42, he passed away in early 1975. Studies conducted by other researchers at the same time as his laboratory studies showed that bis(chloromethyl)ether was a potent animal carcinogen. Dimethyl-sulphate has also been shown to cause cancer in animals. Dimethyl sulphate, bis(chloromethyl)ether, and chloromethyl methyl ether were three of the 13 carcinogens that OSHA strictly monitored in the US in 1974.3 According to current knowledge, bis(chloromethyl)ether is one of the most powerful known human carcinogens [1], [2].

Various Causes of Cancer

We classify cancer-causing substances as chronic toxicants. The final item on the list above—that most cancers are caused by lifestyle and genetics—may be the most crucial finding for our debate. Smoking, not exercising, being overweight, and drug abuse are all lifestyle factors. Smoking, the number one avoidable cause of illness in the US, includes breathing in chemicals released when tobacco is burnt; as a result, smoking causes cancer, but it is a lifestyle choice. You are exposed to these toxins if you live or work near to someone who smokes in your vicinity, but to a smaller level than the smoker. Alcohol, radiation, and excessive sun exposure are also linked to cancer.

According to estimates, 5% or maybe less of cancer cases can be linked to chemical exposure at work, with the majority occurring in industrial settings where workers have been exposed to certain chemicals over an extended period of time. This implies that the likelihood of developing cancer as a result of working in a laboratory is probably quite low—especially if your experience includes a wide array of chemicals rather than just one or two chemicals over a protracted period of time. However, exercising caution in limiting your exposure to chemicals will shield you from this danger.

What substances should be avoided while working with them for extended periods of time at normal exposure levels? Although a conclusive response to this question is not immediately available, it is reasonable to presume that any chemical may demonstrate chronic toxicity given how little is known about and how difficult it is to evaluate the long-term consequences of exposure to low levels of toxicants. This realization shouldn't make us fear working with chemicals for the rest of our lives, but it should motivate us to do it safely by limiting our exposure.

Actually, OSHA requires that the amount of chemicals that employees are exposed to at work be kept to a minimum via a number of specific restrictions for various chemicals as well as more broadly under CFR 1910.1200 ("Right to Know") and CFR 1910.1450 ("Lab Standard"). Permissible exposure limits (PELs), which are often defined by OSHA, are readily available on MSDSs. The PELs are typically threshold limit values (TLVs) that the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH) has tested for many hundreds of chemicals [3], [4].

Chemical Cancer Causers

Carcinogens are substances that have been linked to the development of cancer in both humans and animals. In the US, OSHA regulates substances that are known to cause cancer in people, thus utilizing these substances is subject to certain regulations. Through epidemiological studies of industrial workplaces, all of the chemicals that are known to cause cancer have been found, and they have all been the consequence of repetitive, significant exposure to these chemicals over time in these industrial workplaces

The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) and the National Toxicology Programme (NTP) are two other reputable organizations that have classified chemicals as known or likely human carcinogens. IARC critically evaluates and publishes information about the carcinogenic properties of chemicals and processes. NTP publishes its Report on Carcinogens, which lists known and suspected carcinogens and provides details about each of them.4 IARC monographs are accessible from the IARC website in both printed and electronic form.1,6,7 Other sources have excellent discussions and listings of carcinogens when it's possible to come into contact with some of these carcinogens when working in a lab, most laboratory employees only utilize tiny quantities of a wide range of chemicals occasionally during their employment, lowering their risk of long-term exposure to carcinogens. Nevertheless, you should always try to avoid exposures in your laboratory work even if a chemical has not been shown to have hazardous consequences, especially chronic ones. Except in cases where there have been significant repeated exposures over time, there are very few accounts of lab workers developing cancer as a result of chemical exposures. Incident

This person most certainly developed cancer after being exposed over a number of years to several potent, currently controlled carcinogens. While it is impossible to completely remove the danger of cancer if you handle a carcinogen, you can definitely significantly lower your own risk by taking sensible measures with all chemicals in today's sophisticated labs. How many chemicals are known to cause cancer? Numerous hundred substances have been examined for their ability to cause cancer in mice and rats throughout the last few decades of extensive study on this topic. Overall, 57% of naturally occurring chemicals and 59% of synthetic chemicals tested were carcinogens. However, these tests used relatively high chemical doses, and we should also take into account the uncertainty associated with extrapolating results from animals to humans. Since humans are better at mending DNA damage than other species, these percentages may be lower in cases where humans have been exposed. Additionally, we are often exposed to much more naturally occurring chemicals in food than manmade ones. These exposures are often far lower than those that are truly likely to cause cancer [5], [6].

DISCUSSION

Mutagens are substances that alter or modify genes, which are made up of DNA molecules known as deoxyribonucleic acid. Some of these modifications are thought to be the causes of unfavourable outcomes like cancer or birth abnormalities. However, not all mutations result in undesirable responses, like cancer. Although all known mutagens are carcinogenic, only around 50 to 60 percent of them are really carcinogens. Many mutagens have no impact, whereas others have positive effects. Ethidium bromide is a strong mutagenic agent that is often used in biochemical laboratories. Although ethidium bromide has not been proved to have mutagenic effects in people, it would still be wise to limit laboratory exposure to this substance.

Testing for mutagenicity with bacteria or fruit flies is often the first step in screening substances to see whether they are carcinogenic. Then, mutagenic substances are examined for carcinogenicity in rats, mice, or hamsters. Somatic (body) cells and germ (reproductive) cells are the two different kinds of cells. Somatic cells are often used in testing for mutagenic effects. Human germ cell mutagens remain unknown, despite recognized somatic cell mutagens being present. A substance must be a germ cell mutagen in order to cause mutations in people. A mutation must arise in the germ cell and remain in the body for its effects to be passed down through generations in order to be inherited. It is necessary for a parent or parents to have their reproductive cells impacted (modified), for these cells to survive, and for the children of these parents to experience mutagenic alterations as a consequence of these mutated germ cells in order to detect these germ cell mutagens. It is very difficult to verify or establish any relationship between mutagenesis alterations and chemical exposures. It is unlikely that a significant number of substances will be found to be human mutagens. But it does not rule out the possibility of a reaction to exposure to a mutagenic substance. All of this shows that it is essential to exercise caution while handling mutagenic materials [7], [8].

Utilizing Carcinogens

It is unlikely that you will deal with many chronic toxicants or carcinogens in the lab environment for extended periods of time, as indicated with regard to such substances. Even if you do, contemporary safety procedures greatly limit this risk. However, it is crucial to be knowledgeable with carcinogens and the OSHA regulations that control their exposure since you could eventually be in charge of overseeing laboratories and/or industrial production plants. Chemicals that are known carcinogens in the workplace are strictly regulated by OSHA. However, it is always advisable to treat these compounds with caution in the laboratory. The procedures outlined in these standards are intended to safeguard persons who handle these compounds in industrial settings. In reality, OSHA mandates particular procedures be devised and followed when handling any select carcinogens under the Laboratory Standard. The following are a few of these unique practices: Designating a location where these specific carcinogens may be treated. Making use of containment tools like glove boxes or chemical hoods. Creating protocols for the secure disposal of contaminated waste [9], [10].

Setting up decontamination protocols

This standard is a performance standard, which means that OSHA won't tell you how to comply with these standards; instead, you'll have the freedom to create and abide by your own rules, but you'll still need to do so. The National Research Council Recommendations Concerning Chemical Hygiene in Laboratories is a non-binding Appendix A that OSHA included in the Laboratory Standard as an example of a Chemical Hygiene Plan. This CHP makes some excellent recommendations about how to create these unique procedures for treating carcinogens. As a result, the following proposed practices for compounds with moderate chronic toxicity, high acute toxicity, or high chronic toxicity may be found in that appendix:

Reduce exposure via any means by taking sensible measures. Create a strategy for the use and disposal of these items, and have this plan approved. Use and store these items only in locations with appropriate warning signs and limited access. When handling these substances, use a chemical hood. Glove boxes may also be utilized. To avoid skin exposure, use long sleeves and protective gloves. Make sure to transfer everything in a "controlled area. After handling these products, wash your hands and arms right away. Be ready for messes and mishaps. Use trays or absorbent paper with a plastic backing to prevent contamination of surfaces. Completely disinfect or burn infected clothes. Prevent contamination of vacuum pumps. Clean up "controlled areas" before starting regular work there. Before leaving this restricted area, take off all protective clothing and wash your hands, arms, face, and neck thoroughly. To get rid of any dry powders, use a wet mop and HEPA-filtered vacuum. If you often handle large quantities of these items, speak with your doctor about medical monitoring. Keep track of the quantities utilized and stored, as well as the identities of those who use these goods.

RAMP

Identify compounds that are known or suspected to cause cancer.

Determine the degree of risk based on the probability of exposure via different pathways.

Reduce the risk by avoiding exposure via excellent lab procedures, the use of personal protective equipment like gloves, and the monitoring of inhalation dangers using ventilation hoods. Observe the precise guidelines provided in OSHA rules that are compound-specific.

Tetrabromodibenzo-p-dioxin (TBDD), a potent chloracnegic agent, was created in 1956 by a chemist. After an initial synthesis, he at first had chloracne, a chemically produced acne. Although the toxicity of this molecule was unknown, the scientist carried out this job without wearing any personal protection equipment, such as a chemical hood. He created 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) later that year, and as a consequence, he had a very serious case of chloracne. He was hospitalised for testing and eventually discharged. In 1991, he was in excellent condition and had recovered from the two accidents. The chemist consented to have a blood sample taken at that time so that TBDD could be measured in the blood sample itself and in blood that had been corrected for its lipid content—a sign that the molecule was present in his fat tissues. According to the research, there were 625 parts per trillion of TBDD in the blood lipids in 1991. In blood lipids, there were 16 parts per trillion of TCDD. Based on this discovery and further measurements, it was determined that the initial body load of halogenated dioxins in 1956 ranged from 13,000 to 150,000 parts per trillion.

Chemical toxins, also known as xenobiotics, enter the body via a variety of channels and often in very tiny concentrations. These routes include regular ingestion from our meals, inhalation from our air, and dermal absorption. For disease, other medical disorders, or the avoidance of unfavourable medical situations, we sometimes use xenobiotics on purpose in the form of pills, treatments, or therapies. The body has developed a procedure to try to cleanse and get rid of these toxins, even in little levels. Originally known as detoxification (which means to render less poisonous), this process is now more often known as biotransformation or metabolism since it may sometimes produce more harmful chemicals. This is a dynamic and ongoing process that is constantly taking place inside of your body. This procedure converts harmful substances that are lipid-like and hydrophobic into more polar and hydrophilic forms so they may be excreted from the body. Making these toxicants more polar and water soluble prevents these substances from entering cells via their lipid membranes, which is one of the body's defence strategies to safeguard cells and their internal workings.

The majority of toxins enter the body via the liver, where they undergo biotransformation in order to be eliminated from the body. The kidney is the primary elimination pathway, and urine is the final destination. The majority of nonpolar toxicants, however, cannot be removed in their original state. They need to be changed into more polar, less lipid-like forms that can be removed more quickly. Phase I biotransformation, Phase II biotransformation, and elimination make up the body's three-step strategy for doing this.

Phase I Chemical Toxicant Biotransformation

The first phase in this process to convert chemical toxins into compounds (metabolites) that are safe for the body and are readily eliminated is called Phase I Biotransformation. During Phase I Biotransformation, your body attempts to change the molecule into a more polar form by (1) eliminating some toxicant, (2) altering some component of the molecule, or (3) adding a polar functional group. Selected enzymes, such as the P450 family, are used in this process. Enzymes carry out these changes, hydrolyzing, reducing, or oxidising the toxicant to create a polar, reactive functional group that increases the likelihood that it will undergo additional changes to become a water-soluble molecule.

When substances like carboxyl esters, amides, epoxides, or ethers are broken down by hydrolysis, free carboxylic acids or hydroxyl groups are often produced. There are many methods to carry out oxidation, including adding a hydroxyl or epoxide group, converting an alcohol to an aldehyde, or converting an aldehyde to an acid. For instance, toluene is converted to benzoic acid, a less hazardous and more polar compound, by the oxidation of benzyl alcohol and benzaldehyde in steps. Nitro compounds are often transformed into water-soluble compounds, which are easier to remove, via the process of reduction. As a result, aniline is produced by reducing the nitro group in nitrobenzene to a hydroxylamine and then to an amine. Both of these products are more polar than their parent molecule. All of these changes serve to produce a more polar functional group that can be effectively converted into a substance that can be excreted in the urine in a subsequent stage.

Phase I biotransformation for different organic functional groups are shown in Table 4.3.2.1. Therefore, you should be able to anticipate the Phase I Biotransformation result in general if you can identify the functional groups inside a molecule. While this chart may provide a basic indication of the types of Phase I bio-transformation that could occur, it may not always be accurate. If you want further specifics, you should check for information on the biotransformation or metabolism of certain chemicals. This table's purpose is to show how all of these various functional groups are converted to more polar groups, such as —CO2H, —OH, —NH2, and —SH, so that the byproducts may either be removed right away or further converted in Phase II bio-transformation to water-soluble compounds. Phase I biotransformation attempts to change chemical toxins into less harmful forms, but sometimes the outcome is a more dangerous substance.

A solvent called ethylene glycol (HOCH2CH2OH) is often found in deicers and antifreeze products. Ethylene glycol poisoning kills roughly 100 individuals annually and is hazardous when consumed. However, the poisoning is not directly brought on by ethylene glycol. Ethylene glycol is metabolised (bio-transformed) into glycolic acid (HOCH2CO2H) and glyceraldehyde (HOCH2CHO) when it is ingested. Metabolic acidosis, a disorder of the body's acid-base balance brought on by a buildup of excess acid that may lead to hyperventilation, is caused by the accumulation of glycolic acid. Oxalic acid (HO2CCO2H), an extremely poisonous substance, is produced by further conversion of glycolic acid to glyoxylic acid (OHCCO2H).

Oxalic acid and calcium combine to generate calcium oxalate, which then crystallises in the kidney and seriously impairs this organ's essential function. Additionally, these crystals harm and obstruct tiny blood arteries in the brain, which has an impact on neurological function. If left unchecked, acidity and harm from crystals of calcium oxalate harm organ systems to a catastrophic degree. This example demonstrates how Phase I Biotransformation, the body's first line of defence against chemical toxins, is not always successful and may even lead to the production of far more harmful chemicals.

Conjugation during Phase II of biotransformation

Phase II Biotransformation is the next stage in the procedure to get rid of chemical toxins after Phase I Biotransformation. The Phase I biotransformed products undergo additional reactions in this step that add characteristics that will make them highly water soluble. Because it joins (or conjugates) the Phase I altered product with another chemical to create a highly water-soluble product, this process is known as conjugation. In Phase II Biotransformation, the main types of conjugation are:

Conjugated amino acids.

Amidates are created when carboxylic acids interact with amino acids like glutamine or glycine. For instance, hippuric acid is created during the conjugation of benzoic acid and glycine during Phase II of the biotransformation of toluene.

Conjugates of glucuronic acid.

As a byproduct of the oxidation of glucose, glucuronic acid (C6H10O7) is often combined with phenols, alcohols, and carboxylic acids to generate glucuronides. Phase I biotransformation, for instance, converts 1,4-dichlorobenzene to 2,5-dichlorophenol. In Phase II Biotransformation, this phenol is coupled with glucuronic acid to create 2,5-dichlorophenylglucuronide, an ether-like O-glucuronide.

Conjugates of sulphate

Sulfotransferases are a category of enzymes that add sulphate groups to the same group of molecules that are subjected to glucuronidation[11], [12].

CONCLUSION

The conversion of phenol to phenylsulfate (C6H5OSO3) would result in the conjugation of phenols to create sulphates. Conjugations of glutathione. A tripeptide composed of glutamic acid, cysteine, and glycine, glutathione is. Phase II conjugates are created when the thiol function of glutathione interacts with products of Phase I biotransformation and may either be excreted immediately in the bile or, following further conversion to mercapturic acid derivatives, can be excreted in the urine. The Phase I metabolite's glutathione derivative joins forces with other enzymes to remove glutamic acid and then glucine to create mercapturates, which are cysteine conjugates. The mercapturic acid product, which is eliminated in the urine, is created by N-acetylation of the cysteine conjugate. In Phase I Biotransformation, bromobenzene is transformed into a phenol, followed by glutathione conjugation and the creation of 4-bromophenylmercapturic acid . Conjugates with acetylation. Products from Phase I Biotransformation that include amino, hydroxyl, or sulphur groups may be acetylated to create conjugates, which can then be eliminated in the urine. P-aminobenzoic acid is an example of a Phase I product that would be acetylated, resulting in p-(N - acetamido)benzoic acid.

REFERENCES:

[1] A. Izzotti and A. Pulliero, "The effects of environmental chemical carcinogens on the microRNA machinery," *International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health*. 2014.

[2] S. Seifirad, A. Ghaffari, and M. M. Amoli, "The antioxidants dilemma: Are they potentially immunosuppressants and carcinogens?," *Front. Physiol.*, 2014.

[3] R. N. Carey *et al.*, "Estimated prevalence of exposure to occupational carcinogens in Australia (2011-2012)," *Occup. Environ. Med.*, 2014.

[4] K. Steenland and E. Ward, "Silica: A lung carcinogen," *CA. Cancer J. Clin.*, 2014.

[5] B. A. Ruggeri, F. Camp, and S. Miknyoczki, "Animal models of disease: Pre-clinical animal models of cancer and their applications and utility in drug discovery," *Biochemical Pharmacology*. 2014.

[6] A. Thomas and A. Thomas, "Acrylamide - A potent carcinogen in food," *Int. J. Sci. Res.*, 2014.

[7] B. Meier *et al.*, "C. elegans whole-genome sequencing reveals mutational signatures related to carcinogens and DNA repair deficiency," *Genome Res.*, 2014.

[8] H. M. Bou Fakhreddine, A. N. Kanj, and N. A. Kanj, "The growing epidemic of water pipe smoking: Health effects and future needs," *Respiratory Medicine*. 2014.

[9] J. L. Thomas, S. S. Hecht, X. Luo, X. Ming, J. S. Ahluwalia, and S. G. Carmella, "Thirdhand tobacco smoke: A tobacco-specific lung carcinogen on surfaces in smokers' homes," *Nicotine Tob. Res.*, 2014.

[10] H. Greim and R. J. Albertini, "Cellular response to the genotoxic insult: The question of threshold for genotoxic carcinogens," *Toxicol. Res. (Camb).*, 2014.

[11] S. K. Chauhan, N. Saini, and V. B. Yadav, "Recent Trends of Volatile Organic Compounds in Ambient Air & Its Health Impacts□: a Review," *Int. J. Technol. Res. Eng.*, 2014.

[12] M. Rajer, M. Zwitter, and B. Rajer, "Pollution in the working place and social status: Co-factors in lung cancer carcinogenesis," *Lung Cancer*, 2014.

CHAPTER 11

BIOLOGICAL HAZARDS AND BIOSAFETY

Krishana Kumar Sharma, Professor
College of Pharmacy, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- drkk108@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

A scientist was getting ready to do some analytical tests on samples of human serum. She transferred the serum samples to other tubes using long Pasteur pipettes. The researcher finished and placed the spent pipettes in a metal pan for autoclaving to remove contamination. Another researcher utilized the metal pan to dispose of his pipettes, but when he opened the lid of the autoclave pan to insert his pipettes, one of the pipettes in the box pierced his wrist below. He discovered that human serum had been transferred via the pipette. He promptly cleansed this with soap before visiting a clinic. The doctor told him that the only thing he could do was attempt to identify whether the serum included any infectious elements since this could not be done with ease. Whether not, he would need to be watched to determine whether he displayed any signs of an infectious illness or signs of viral immunity.

KEYWORDS:

Blood, Exposure, Infectious, Laboratory, Microbiological.

INTRODUCTION

Another category of risks you can run across in the lab- oratory is biological, or microbiological, agents. As the aforementioned remark makes clear, there is a chance that anyone working in clinical, hospital, or doctor's office labs may come into contact with these contagious microbiological elements. Biological agents may also be present in chemical or microbiological research and teaching labs, according to an estimate by the Occupational Health and Safety Administration (OSHA) that around one-fourth of those chances take place in academic laboratories3. Furthermore, microbiological agents are everywhere around us; luckily, only a very small number of them are really harmful to people (pathogenic). Although it is brief, this section offers an introduction to the possible risks that microbiological organisms in the laboratory may provide. Learn more about this topic if your laboratory research has the potential to expose you to microbiological agents. Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories, Fifth Edition is a fantastic resource for laboratory safety with infectious agents. We shall concentrate on the two main classes of pathogenic microbiological agents—viruses and bacteria—because these are the ones that worry lab workers the most out of all the many kinds that exist [1], [2].

Viruses are subcellular, submicroscopic, multifaceted entities with central genes composed of nucleic acids (DNA or RNA). This core may either be encased in a lipoprotein membrane or a protective protein. Viruses are not thought of as living things. They are very tiny, with sizes between 0.02 to 0.3 m. In order to reproduce themselves under the right circumstances, viruses must first infect a host cell and exploit the energy and biosynthetic processes of that cell. Viral infections cannot be treated with antibiotics. Immunizations are used to stop the spread of several viral diseases.

Cellular creatures known as bacteria come in a variety of sizes (the majority being 0.5-1.0 m broad by 2 – 5 m long) and forms (spheres, cones, cylinders, rods, curved rods, filaments). They may be classified as gram-positive (retaining the dye) or gram-negative (not retaining the dye) based on the components of their cell walls that react with different dyes. Bacteria may multiply in a host under the right circumstances and contain all the internal components of typical cells. Bacteria may be grown as "colonies" in the proper solid culture medium. Bacterial infections are often treated with antibiotics [3], [4].

Opportunities in laboratories for Biological Agent Exposures

Early in the 20th century, there were several cases of bacterial illnesses contracted in laboratories as a consequence of workers being negligently exposed to aerosols of infectious materials, particularly human specimens. These aerosols were produced as a consequence of handling infectious materials, such blood or serum, in open settings, including mixing infectious components in open vessels or spilling infectious materials. These laboratory-acquired illnesses (LAIs) started to decline as this was discovered and greater focus was put on containment as a tactic to avoid the uncontrolled emission of infected aerosols. Although LAIs still occur today as a result of unintentional spills and fallen or broken tubes or flasks, there is obviously a significant effort being made to reduce such exposures.

Laboratory-acquired viral infections grew in importance towards the end of the 20th century. Since the discovery of the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), anxiety about handling blood samples from HIV-positive or suspected samples has been a driving factor for increased laboratory worker safeguards. This was particularly true given the lack of an HIV vaccine and the lack of an effective treatment for acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS). HIV infections obtained in laboratories are very uncommon. However, serious hazards may result from viral infections from other sources. It was discovered that the hepatitis viruses (A, B, C, and D) posed very significant risks to laboratory personnel who handled human materials, especially blood. Exposure to items carrying these viruses carries a substantially higher risk of contracting hepatitis than HIV does. Unbelievably, a recent report6 revealed significant reductions in acute hepatitis infections, mostly as a result of effective hepatitis A and B vaccination programmes for young children. This analysis serves as a stark reminder of the need of hepatitis immunization for laboratory personnel who handle human specimens [5], [6].

Risks from Handling Human Blood Specimens: Blood borne Pathogens

These dangers vary from chemical hazards because of the very nature of infectious agents. Since an infectious agent has a tendency to replicate once it has entered the host (you), even a seemingly little exposure might cause a serious illness. As with dangerous chemicals, it is crucial that you use a plan that will limit your exposure to these agents.

"Occupational Exposure to Blood borne Pathogens" is a rule that OSHA first issued in 1991. Since then, OSHA has amended this standard twice, first in 1999 and again in 2001. Although there are other infectious materials, as the title indicates, the focus is on infectious agents found in blood, sometimes known as blood borne pathogens or BBPs. In the lab, there are a number of possible ways to come into contact with infectious pathogens, including ingestion, dermal exposure, ocular exposure, inhalation, and injection. Since safe laboratory practises forbid eating, drinking, smoking, applying cosmetics, taking drugs, and other activities in the lab, ingestion shouldn't be a significant route of exposure there. Mouth pipetting, which was widely used in the early 20th century, is currently not allowed in laboratories. Dermal exposure may be particularly crucial if you have any skin flaws or breaches, such as cuts, scrapes, abrasions, dermatitis, or other skin diseases, which might be exposed to splashes, aerosols, or other unintentional exposures and serve as entry sites for microbial agents. Accidental splashes or spills of infectious materials that got into the eyes have caused serious illnesses as a consequence of ocular exposures. Methods of containment are mentioned below. Inhalation is a significant route of exposure to infectious pathogens that have become airborne due to agitation from different laboratory processes. Accidental injection is a serious issue when working with potentially infectious materials, such blood samples, thus below are some ways to avoid these "sharps" exposures.

DISCUSSION

Infections with the hepatitis B virus (HBV) may gravely harm the liver. The good news is that vaccination may protect against HBV infections. It is advised that all laboratory personnel who regularly handle blood samples are immunized against HBV to guarantee their protection in the event of an accidental exposure. However, in order to prevent exposure, certain lab protocols must be followed while working with BBPs. The phrase "universal precautions," which denotes that you should take into account all human specimens, may be used as possibly contagious. Several of the processes that make up universal precautions are covered here.

The best eye protection is provided by splash goggles. Many people working in the medical field wear glasses with some kind of side protection, however they may not be totally effective in the case of a splash. Gloves when dealing with BBPs, gloves are always used. Although nitrile gloves are currently the most often used gloves in the medical industry, latex gloves were formerly the industry standard. This is because allergic responses to latex are now so frequent. You must use particular care while handling blood or other infectious materials to avoid exposures. Use bandages with a nonabsorbent top to treat any wounds, cuts, abrasions, and scrapes [7], [8].

Gloves are particularly crucial since the hands are such a probable site of possible exposure. A lab coat is often worn by many employees in this field as an additional layer of protection from splashes and other skin contact since any exposed skin poses a danger.Wearing gloves should theoretically negate the need to wash your hands. Let's consider how germs "travel," however, and how someone wearing gloves must continuously keep in mind that whatever they touch while donning (possibly infected) gloves will likewise become contaminated. When a researcher takes some notes in a notebook while wearing gloves, the pen and the notebook may have been infected. One may infect a hood sash by just moving it up or down. It is simple to overlook what has been contaminated after removing the gloves (which must also be done in a way that prevents touch with the glove's outside). Skin exposure is possible while handling these objects with bare hands. It goes without saying that often washing your hands is just common sense. After touching anything possibly contagious, you should wash your hands. You should also wash your hands once more before using the toilet, eating, or taking breaks. Disposal of Sharps Using needles or coming into touch with other sharps from broken glassware that contains infectious materials increases the risk of accidental injection while handling infectious materials. Needles should always be thrown out in a sharps container; you should never attempt to recap, bend, or break them. A sharps receptacle should also be used to dispose of glass Pasteur pipettes, scalpels, box cutter blades, and any other objects that might lead to a stick or cutting injury. In order to avoid accidents, you should undergo particular instruction on safe work practises and how to dispose of needles and other sharps.

Cleaning and Sanitising Work Surfaces It is crucial to regularly clean and sanitise the work surfaces where potentially infectious materials, such as blood, may be utilised since contamination may spread so quickly and unintentionally. An efficient disinfectant is a 1% dilution of regular home bleach (5% sodium hypochlorite); these solutions must be prepared every day. To guarantee disinfection, equipment that could be blood-contaminated should also be cleaned off. The best course of action is to learn more about the appropriate disinfection for the agents being used since not all bacteria or viruses may be rendered inactive by exposure to chlorine (bleach). The Public Health Agency of Canada has created MSDSs for infectious agents that include details on laboratory safety and disinfection.7

In order to avoid infectious agent exposures, containment is a crucial step in the procedure. Depending on what you are doing, you should employ laminar flow biological safety cabinets (BSCs) or chemical hoods. Never mouth pipette; always use a mechanical pipettor when pipetting. You must put your attention on avoiding contact with possibly infectious aerosols. Never combine bloodborne pathogen solutions in open tubes; make sure they are cap-tied at all times, and store them in a chemical hood or BSC when not in use. If a centrifuge tube breaks during spinning, it should be capped, and you should take steps to make sure that the aerosols are not released [9], [10].

Protections When Using Microbiological Agents in the Laboratory: Biosafety Levels

Most of you chemistry students won't work in labs that often utilise microbiological agents in their purified forms. However, since these agents are often employed in microbiological labs in academic settings and because there is so much interdisciplinary overlap in science, you need at least have a very basic understanding of the norms for microbiological and biomedical laboratories. The National Institutes of Health (NIH) and the Centres for Disease Control (CDC) have developed regulations for the responsible use of microbiological agents in labs. These practises are referred to as biosafety levels (BSLs) and are described in their book Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories (commonly known as the BMBL). For agents that are not known to cause illness in healthy, normal individuals, BSL-1 practises are applied. Agents that may cause human illness of varying severity when exposed by ingestion, cutaneous exposure, or mucous membrane exposure are covered by BSL-2 practises. For these substances, there are often medications or vaccinations available. For agents that may spread disease by inhalation and aerosol exposure, BSL-3 procedures are utilised. Although there are therapies or vaccinations available for these substances, they may still cause severe and even deadly infections. For agents that spread disease by inhalation and aerosol exposure, BSL-4 procedures are utilised. These agents may cause life-threatening illness, and no recognised therapies nor vaccines exist to protect against their infections. Containment of the biological agent is a key component of these procedures. As BSLs rise, so do practises and degrees of containment. Therefore, BSL-1 classified labs take the fewest precautions possible, BSL-2 designated laboratories take more precautions, BSL-3 designated laboratories must utilise BSCs, and BSL-4 designated laboratories must use complete containment—body suits, glove boxes, etc.

RAMP

Be aware that handling human specimens or other tissues or fluids from living things may expose you to very real risks from infectious pathogens. Determine the degree of exposure risk based on lab procedures. Reduce the risk of exposure by using techniques from the BMBL or the Blood borne Pathogen Standard. Consider being immunized to guard against being exposed to certain viruses. Be ready for an unexpected exposure by being aware of the right course of action.

Both in the lab and in our homes, where they may be found in cleaning products, corrosive compounds are a regular source of danger. Corrosives are described as substances that affect or injure by destroying tissue at the point of contact or the exposure site, such as the skin or eyes. Some corrosives that could be used in laboratory experiments during the first year of chemistry. Gases, liquids, solids, and solutions may all act as corrosives.

Most common corrosives, such strong acids in solution and strong bases in solid or solution form, may harm the skin or eyes and inflict serious burns. Minimizing exposure is clearly crucial when employing corrosives. But you may operate with corrosives in your laboratory job without risk if you take the right safety procedures. You should always get familiar with the hazardous characteristics of the materials you'll be working with in the lab, as was covered. Determine in advance (requiring planning) how you are going to limit or manage any prospective exposures via protective measures. Identify paths for potential exposures. For protection against direct contact with corrosives, you should, for instance, wear chemical goggles for your eyes, protective gloves for your hands, and an apron or lab coat for your body. You should also wear long sleeves, long trousers or skirts, and closed-toe shoes. Use bottle carriers if you need to transport bottles of concentrated acids or bases. These carriers, which are often made of rubber or a thick plastic, are intended to hold the corrosives in case the bottle breaks. Make sure you are familiar with where the nearest eyewash stations and safety showers are located and how to use them. Utilise a chemical laboratory hood while working with corrosives that might produce fumes or mists, gases, powders, or powders that could be breathed.

Safe Handling of Acids Avoids Burns

Acids have the potential to be very caustic and result in serious burns. An acid's potential for harm relies on its chemical makeup, the region where it is exposed, the concentration of the solution, how long it is exposed for, and how hot the solution is. The possibility of injury increases with exposure's intensity, duration, and temperature. This implies that using diluted solutions (if feasible), taking prompt action to rinse any corrosives away from your skin if they come into touch with it, and avoiding utilising corrosives at high temperatures may all help you lower your risk while handling acids (and other corrosives). However, when the hazards rise, you should take extra care when using concentrated corrosives or at high temperatures for reactions.

Nitric acid, sulfuric acid, and hydrochloric acid are the three primary strong acids that are often used in normal chemistry laboratories. HCl is often the best option since it is affordable when a "simple" strong acid is sought. Muriatic acid is another name for HCl that is used in certain commercial settings. When a strong acid is required but the presence of chloride from HCl, which might precipitate certain cations, or the presence of potent oxidizers (such permanganate), which can oxidise chloride to chlorine gas, must be avoided, sulfuric acid is utilised. Sulfuric acid has a severe drying effect at high concentrations, which contributes to its corrosive activity when it comes in touch with skin. Nitric acid is the most dangerous of these three strong acids since it is also a potent oxidizer (see below). Nitric acid solutions that are concentrated will produce and produce hazardous nitrogen oxide gases (NO_x).

Strong acids (and strong bases) are often corrosive at concentrations higher than 1 molar. At pH 1 (0.1 M), hydrochloric acid damages cells severely, although its effects become less severe at pH 3 (0.001 M). Proteins are often damaged by acids, which results in the formation of coagulum. This material may block or stop more harm to underlying tissues as it builds up.

Because of this, acids may be less harmful than bases (the effects of bases are discussed below), but all corrosives have the potential to be very harmful.

Historically, sodium hydroxide and potassium hydroxide, both of which are very corrosive, were known as caustic soda and caustic potash, respectively. Because Na and K are byproducts of the alkali metals, these bases are also referred to as alkalis. You may create the solutions you might come across in the lab by dissolving the white solids sodium hydroxide and potassium hydroxide in water. In order to speed up experimentation, these solutions are often made by a laboratory assistant. However, sometimes, students may use the solid pellets to create their own NaOH or KOH solutions. The pellets' outward appearance should not be taken as a sign of their harmfulness. Extremely corrosive in both liquid and solid forms, exposure may result in serious burns. These hydroxide pellets dissolve in water at a high temperature, and a hot corrosive may be considerably worse (see Chemical Connection 5.1.1.3). Why Is the Heat of Solution for NaOH and KOH So Exothermic?). With a few of these pellets in your palm, you may rapidly create a highly concentrated (saturated) solution by having them quickly absorb moisture from the air and/or your hand. This will corrode things severely.Although ammonium hydroxide, another base often employed in laboratories, is weaker than the alkali bases, it is nonetheless very corrosive and irritating to the skin, eyes, and mucous membranes at high concentrations. Additionally, ammonia hydroxide solutions emit ammonia gas, which may be very irritating to mucous membranes and the eyes.

In general, bases may affect human tissues severely since exposures may not be uncomfortable right away, but if they are not removed from the skin or site of contact right away, adverse responses can start right away. Acids behave differently from bases. Fats and proteins are saponified by hydrogen ions, which causes the bases to continue penetrating deeper into the skin or site of exposure and causing harm until they are digested or completely flushed away. As we previously explained, tissue is first harmed by acids, but over time, the harmed tissue develops a protective layer that shields it from further harm. However, since bases do not create protective layers when they destroy material, corrosive activity persists until the base is consumed or washed away. In addition, bases are difficult to remove and require time and repeated washing to do so. The goal in this situation is to minimise exposure, but if you do, you must respond swiftly to prevent tissue damage. Through a process known as saponification, bases break down the fat molecules in our skin. The sodium (or potassium or ammonium) salt of a long-chain carboxylic acid, which is effectively a soap molecule, will be one of the byproducts of this process.

Particularly Sensitive to Corrosives, Especially Bases, Are the Eyes

Exposure to corrosives may cause serious harm to the eye in particular. The level of harm or injury depends on the concentration and duration of exposure. The eye can withstand changes from liquids with a pH between 3 and 10, but when the pH is outside of this range (below pH 3 or above pH 10), the eye's epithelium is quickly damaged. 3 Because of the sulphate ions' affinity for ocular tissue, its drying properties, and its high heat of hydration, exposure to pure sulfuric acid via the eyes may have very catastrophic consequences. To avoid irreversible harm or blindness, exposure duration must be reduced—every second counts. Any basic or acid exposure should be addressed right away with vigorous water washing for at least 15 minutes, followed by a quick medical check in a local clinic or hospital emergency department. You can see why it's crucial to know where the eyewash station(s) are located exactly in your lab and how to utilize these tools.

Chemicals Can Also Be Inhaled

Some corrosive compounds may harm mucous membranes or lung tissue when inhaled via the air as gaseous, fume, mist, or powder. Corrosive gases are categorised as being very dangerous and are more likely to be found in upper-level undergraduate labs. When these gases are breathed, they may seriously harm the skin, eyes, nose, and delicate lining of the lungs. In certain circumstances, this can result in pulmonary edoema, a terrible medical disease that can be deadly. Let's examine two gases that demonstrate the dangers of corrosive gases and which you can meet in first-year chemistry. Other gases could be present, but the fundamental safety rules will remain the same.

Activating Agents

An oxidising agent falls under the category of caustic compounds, which you can come across in first-year chemistry. Since they are less frequent than acids and bases, it is crucial to be aware of them in advance. We'll talk about three suspects who might be exposed. These debates' fundamental ideas would, of course, hold true for any oxidizing substances.

Utilizing Corrosives

The major risks are skin exposure and inhalation, as previously mentioned. When dealing with corrosive solutions, chemists should wear gloves; the kind of glove depends on the particular corrosive and the concentration in solution. Nitrile gloves will provide appropriate skin protection for acids and bases. When a corrosive spill occurs, it's crucial to contain the area, keep other students away, notify an instructor right once, and, if necessary, utilize a spill kit [11], [12].

CONCLUSION

The oxidizing agent you are most likely to employ is nitric acid, which we just covered when thinking about strong acids. So, it has two potential dangers. In reality, more so than its capacity as a powerful acid, its corrosive impact is mostly caused by its oxidizing power. In actuality, nitrate salts like KNO3 and NH4NO3 are effective oxidizers as well. The oxidizing species here is nitrate.The ubiquitous oxidizing agent hydrogen peroxide is another. It is also a very common home chemical that may be used to decolorize hair (15%) or clean wounds (3%). The concentration of laboratory solutions may reach up to 30%, which is quite corrosive.

This oxidizing chemical is practical to employ since water is a byproduct. Corrosive solutions are those that have a concentration of more than 8%. Since potassium permanganate (KMnO4) is a potent oxidizer and the disappearance of the purple permanganate serves as an endpoint indication, it is sometimes used in laboratory titrations. Although you are likely to utilize diluted solutions, excessive concentrations and solid salts are far more corrosive to the skin. The final truth is that you may probably be oxidized by any effective oxidizing agent as well! If the proper measures are not followed, your skin and eyes will become the targets of these oxidizing chemicals.

REFERENCES:

[1] M. Stoica, S. Stoean, and P. Alexe, “Overview of biological hazards associated with the consumption of the meat products,” *J. Agroaliment. Process. Technol.*, 2014.

[2] D. Montano, “Chemical and biological work-related risks across occupations in Europe: A review,” *Journal of Occupational Medicine and Toxicology*. 2014.

[3] E. C. D. Todd, "Foodborne Diseases: Overview of Biological Hazards and Foodborne Diseases," in *Encyclopedia of Food Safety*, 2014.

[4] K. T. Rim and C. H. Lim, "Biologically hazardous agents at work and efforts to protect workers' health: A review of recent reports," *Safety and Health at Work*. 2014.

[5] B. Blagojevic and D. Antic, "Assessment of potential contribution of official meat inspection and abattoir process hygiene to biological safety assurance of final beef and pork carcasses," *Food Control*, 2014.

[6] F. Eyayo, "Evaluation of Occupational Health Hazards among Oil Industry Workers: A Case Study of Refinery Workers," *IOSR J. Environ. Sci. Toxicol. Food Technol.*, 2014.

[7] K. Girma, Z. Tilahun, and D. Haimanot, "Review on milk safety with emphasis on its public health.," *World J. Dairy Food Sci.*, 2014.

[8] J. R. Gallegos and C. P. Leyva, "Circulating tumor cells in breast cancer□: a potential biological hazard," *Rev. Espec. Médico-Quirúrgicas*, 2014.

[9] C. Loos *et al.*, "Functionalized polystyrene nanoparticles as a platform for studying bio-nano interactions," *Beilstein Journal of Nanotechnology*. 2014.

[10] M. S. Kumar, B. R. Goud, and B. Joseph, "A study of occupational health and safety measures in the Laundry Department of a private tertiary care teaching hospital, Bengaluru," *Indian J. Occup. Environ. Med.*, 2014.

[11] Y. Motarjemi and G. G. Moy, "Risk Analysis: Risk Management: Application to Biological Hazards," in *Encyclopedia of Food Safety*, 2014.

[12] Y. Motarjemi and T. Ross, "Risk Analysis: Risk Communication: Biological Hazards," in *Encyclopedia of Food Safety*, 2014.

CHAPTER 12

FLAMMABLES- CHEMICALS WITH BURNING PASSION

Prashant Kumar, Associate Professor
College of Pharmacy, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- kumarprashant86@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

Chemicals that are flammable readily catch fire and burn quickly, releasing a lot of energy, mostly in the form of heat. When they start to burn, they do so in a self-sustaining process that lasts until the chemical is either consumed or the fire is put out. Indeed, they love to burn, and they come in various shapes and sizes, including gases, liquids, and even solids. While there are several methods to employ flammables in the laboratory, analytical and synthesis labs most often use flammable liquids as solvents for processes and reactions. A solvent, which does not necessarily have to be flammable but is often, is a liquid that is used to dissolve reactants so that a reaction may be carried out. In lab work or other circumstances involving these materials, flammable compounds are a significant class of hazards. In order to manage, regulate, or reduce the danger while dealing with these compounds, it is advisable to understand what makes substances flammable. You are quite likely to employ flammables at some time in the laboratory or in your own everyday activities. Acetone, ethanol, diethyl ether, ethyl acetate, hexane, and toluene are typical flammable laboratory solvents.

KEYWORDS:

Chemical, Compound, Flammable, Ignition, Substances.

INTRODUCTION

Your daily activities often involve the use of flammable chemicals, such as petrol for your car, natural gas for heating and cooking, liquefied petroleum gas (LPG; bottled gas), butane for lighters, acetone for nail polish, isopropyl alcohol for rubbing alcohol and ethanol for alcoholic beverages. You may see flammable substances as just another kind of tool, similar to screwdrivers, automobiles, or computers. In their laboratory work, chemists and other scientists routinely utilize flammable compounds, therefore it's possible that you will as a student as well. Although flammable chemicals may be quite dangerous, we have learnt how to handle them properly so that we can take use of their beneficial chemical features despite the fact that they are highly valuable to us. Although flammables are the subject of this section, there is another class of burnable materials known as combustible compounds. These substances don't burn as quickly as flammable liquids. If combustible compounds are heated to the point where they produce a sufficient amount of vapour that may be quickly ignited, they become flammable. Combustibles are more difficult to ignite, but once they are, they burn quickly [1], [2].

Characterizing Combustible and flammable chemicals

It is crucial to comprehend the vocabulary used to describe the qualities of flammable substances since we can experimentally evaluate some characteristics that assist us in determining a chemical's potential flammability. The temperature at which a liquid's vapor pressure is at or just very slightly above atmospheric pressure, causing bubbles to easily form in the liquid as it quickly vaporizes, is known as the boiling point. (The typical boiling point is defined as P 1 atm.) A flammable liquid often has a low boiling point.

The lowest temperature at which a chemical's vapors near the liquid surface may spontaneously ignite is known as the chemical's flash point. This is the lowest temperature at which vapors rising above a liquid's surface may combine with air to create an ignitable combination. The temperature at which a flammable chemical spontaneously ignites in air under controlled circumstances is known as the auto- ignition temperature. A fire is more likely to start if the auto- ignition temperature is lower auto- ignition temperatures are often rather high. In general, you shouldn't be concerned about vapors burning on their own [3], [4].

Fires won't start below or beyond each chemical's range of flammability limits, which are the concentrations of its vapors at which an explosion or fire may happen. The lower explosive limit (LEL), also known as the lower flammability limit (LFL), is the lowest percentage by volume of air vapor concentration at which, in the presence of an ignition source, a fire may start and explode. The concentration of vapor is insufficient below the LEL to sustain burning. The greatest vapor concentration in air, stated as a percentage of volume, at which a fire will spread is known as the upper explosive limit (UEL), sometimes known as the upper flammability limit (UFL). Beyond this point, the vapor concentration is too high to sustain burning. As temperatures rise and the oxygen level rises, these limitations get broader. The changes in LEL and UEL have the effect of making flammability limitations ineffective. For instance, when a flammable liquid is spilled, its LEL is rapidly reached due to the wide surface, and if this happens in the presence of an ignition source, a fire or explosion may result [5], [6].

System for Rating Fire Hazard

In terms of quantifiable chemical characteristics, the Globally Harmonized System (GHS) of the United Nations defines flammable and combustible liquids. The maximum degree of flammability danger under this grading system is rated as 1, while the lowest level is rated as 4. The NFPA diamond is the second most used technique for classifying fire hazards. The NFPA flammability danger criteria3 are shown. The NFPA and GHS ratings are "opposites" of one another, as you shall see. While the GHS rating goes from 4 to 1 (highest danger by GHS), the NFPA rating goes from 0 to 4 (highest hazard by NFPA).

Combustibles are defined differently by the NFPA and the GHS. The NFPA classifies combustibles as substances having flash points more than 38°C (100°F) but lower than 93°C (200°F). Combustibles are defined by the GHS as substances with flash points over 60 °C but below 93 °C. Due to these distinctions, certain chemicals are labelled "flammable" under the GHS, although they are classified as "combustible" under the NFPA system. Flammable compounds have flash points more than 38 °C but lower than 60 °C. As a result, whereas acetic acid and dimethyl- form amide are flammable under the GHS, they are combustible under the NFPA system. Since you should already be taking steps to keep away from ignition sources, this shouldn't significantly affect how you deal with these substances. We will tell you more about flammable and combustible chemicals in the conversation that follows. The chemical and flammability characteristics of several solvents that are often employed in labs. You'll notice that the majority of these substances are classified as "highly flammable" or "extremely flammable" in ratings.

Fires occur when three factors come together in one location at the same time: a fuel, such as a flammable chemical, is present in gaseous form or is vaporised in a concentration that is within flammable limits; an ignition source, such as a spark, flame, static electricity, or even a hot surface, depending on the chemical's properties; and oxygen or an oxidising atmosphere is present depicts these three components as what has been dubbed the "fire triangle," and it's crucial to understand that by eliminating only one of these components, a fire may be avoided. STOP! Reread that last phrase. You will need to focus on eliminating one of the other two sides of the fire triangle, the fuel or ignition source, in order to avoid fires since the majority of laboratory work is done in the typical environment of air. If your job necessitates the use of flammable chemicals and you are unable to find nonflammable alternatives, your main concern should be keeping sources of fire away from the vapours of the flammable chemicals you will be utilising. The good news is that it's not difficult to accomplish this; the difficult part is being vigilant and looking for ignition sources.

DISCUSSION

The fire triangle provides us with a straightforward model to assist us in thinking about the methods to avoid fires; the fire tetrahedron is a notion that we presented. The fire tetrahedron, which is created by adding a fourth element termed the chain reaction to the fire triangle describes how certain fires are put out. Once a fire has been started, it may be put out in one of four ways: by removing oxygen, fuel, heat, or by breaking the cycle of events. A model for thinking about how to put out fires is the fire tetrahedron. Prior to beginning work with these materials, you must look for any possible sources of ignition while utilising a flammable solvent. Flames, such Bunsen burners, other burners, or torches, and sparks from electrical sources, including electrical switches, any electrically driven equipment, like hot plates, stirring motors, freezers, heat guns (hair dryers), and static electricity, are possible sources of ignition. Since laboratory solvent vapors are often heavier than air and may sneak undetectably along tabletops to these distant ignition sources, where they can be lit and flash back to the main solvent source, consideration should be given to even ignition sources far from your activities. This kind of distant ignition may occur when flammable laboratory solvent spills flow down the floor to a hot motor or spark source. In order to avoid sparks from static electricity while pouring or transferring solvents from metal containers, it is best to make sure the container is grounded, particularly in very dry settings [7], [8].

Unexpectedly, flammable liquids themselves do not burn; instead, the vapor that is present above the liquid ignites when the molecules transition from the liquid to the gas phase. Chemicals that are flammable have high vapor pressures and generally light molecular weights. These flammable compounds rapidly vaporize if spilt on a bench-top or the floor, and air currents may disseminate them into a large area where an ignition source might start a fire. To better comprehend fire, we might imagine a chemical reaction profile. An exothermic process with a fairly high activation energy barrier is shown. To overcome eact, an ignition source is required to ignite the fire; however, once it is burning, the heat emitted may provide the energy required to defeat Eact. The majority of fires exhibit this self-sustaining trait. As a result, the exothermic heat generated by the reaction is represented by the symbol H. Flammable chemicals must be labelled as such by law. You can identify the relative flammable danger of a chemical using the GHS's hazard class (HC) classification system, which includes flammables. These ratings range from HC 1 to HC 4, with HC 1 denoting substances that are very flammable and HC 4 denoting liquids that may catch fire. Flash points and boiling points are used to determine ratings, although auto-ignition temperatures also affect flammability. Some of the most typical solvents you can come across while working in the lab are described.

Even heated surfaces or static electrical sparks may ignite ether because to its very low flash point, which is far lower than ambient temperature. The second most flammable solvent in based on flash point is carbon disulfide, however because of its greater boiling point than ether, it receives an HC rating of 2. Keep in mind, however, that this solvent's auto-ignition temperature of 90 C is lower than that of any other substance, which implies it will ignite more easily than ether surrounding any source of ignition, such as a hot surface. In reality, there is no difference between the two chemicals' flammability risks, and both solvents have been involved in many flash fires. Only dichloromethane is nonflammable. Acetic acid has an HC rating of 3, which indicates that it is a lower risk flammable. The remaining compounds all have HC ratings of at least 2 and provide a very substantial risk of igniting in the presence of an ignition source lists a few compounds that you could come into contact with throughout your regular activities in order to compare their chemical characteristics. These hydrocarbon substances are made from petroleum or oil.

As previously said, the final column demonstrates how the GHS and NFPA ratings are in direct opposition to one another. As the GHS also becomes the norm, it is probable that scientists will have to make do with the NFPA ratings. It does, indeed! It is common to refer to flammable liquids as "volatile," which simply indicates they may readily vaporize at room temperature. There are nonflammable volatile chemicals as well as many flammable volatile compounds. The molecules' structures vary, which is the difference. For instance, methanol (CH3OH), which has a boiling point of 65 °C and a vapor pressure of 96 mm Hg at 20 °C, is a highly flammable liquid, while dichloromethane, also known as methylene chloride (CH2Cl2), is a noncombustible liquid with a lower boiling point of 40 °C and a higher vapor pressure of 440 mm Hg at 20 °C. Chemicals are often made less flammable or nonflammable by replacing the hydrogen atom on a hydrocarbon molecule with a chlorine atom (or another halogen). To learn more about this subject, read Chemical Connection Halogenated solvents and halo flammability. Methanol, ethanol, 1-propanol, and 1-butanol are the sequence of alcohols in that serve as an example of how molecular structure and chemical characteristics are related. As the molecular weights of these compounds grow within this chemical class with the same functional groups (alcohol or —OH), the boiling points, auto-ignition temperatures, and flash points of these four alcohols rise while the vapor pressures fall. In this sequence, as the molecular weight increases, the vapor pressure drops. These instances show how a chemical's structure may affect flammability and other characteristics. Most of the time, volatility and flammability go hand in hand [9], [10].

RAMP: Working with Flammable Chemicals

It seems logical to minimize the use of flammable compounds wherever feasible given their evident risks. RAMP may be used to handle flammable substances safely. Identify the correct solvent-related flammability threat. Estimate whether there are any accessible sources of ignition and the potential methods by which the vapor may be produced and discharged in order to estimate the degree of danger under the given conditions. Reduce the risk by using a less flammable solvent, using less solvent, working in a ventilated area to reduce vapor concentrations, and removing sources of ignition. • Be ready for emergencies by knowing where to find the right fire extinguisher and how to use it.

Hydrofluoric Acid/Tri-fluoro-acetic Acid Burn

A chemist handling a container while working with tri-fluoroacetic acid did not detect a little liquid deposit on the glass outside. After a few hours, the chemist started to feel excruciating agony on the inside of his thumb and hand as a result of a severe hydrofluoric acid burn. A skin transplant was necessary for the burn. (Hydrofluoric acid is created when tri-fluoroacetic acid combines with water. The acids HCl, H2SO4, and HNO3 are explored. Here, we look at three more acids that are often used in chemistry laboratories for undergrads. Since acetic acid is a weak acid and is also the main ingredient in vinegar, it may appear to be a relatively harmless substance. It is in small quantities. Acetic acid, which is 99.8% acetic acid in its "glacial" state, is nonetheless sometimes utilized. Glacial acetic acid has an extremely high affinity for water and is a powerful drying agent in addition to being flammable at concentrations >50% (which is not a frequent risk associated with most acids). This reaction is sufficiently exothermic to result in burns, and the strong acid it produces is corrosive. It makes sense that inhaling vapours of glacial acetic acid may be quite harmful.

Although phosphoric acid is a weak acid, it may also be found in 100% pure form. Ortho-phosphoric acid, another name for phosphoric acid, solidifies below 21 degrees Celsius. It is very corrosive and hygroscopic. It may result in serious skin burns when present in large quantities. It is an irritant at low concentrations. Although phosphoric acid mist may irritate the eyes, nose, throat, and respiratory system, pulmonary edoema is not expected to result from it. While all acids are exceedingly dangerous when concentrated, hydrofluoric acid (HF) is particularly dangerous and extremely corrosive at concentrations as low as 0.01 molar. Although you are unlikely to come across HF during your first laboratory sessions, you should be aware of its particularly harmful nature and that it needs specific care when exposed.

No work should be done with HF unless benzalkonium chloride (Zephiran) or calcium gluconate are present, are easily accessible to the laboratory or to a nearby medical clinic or hospital, and a plan has been made to treat HF burns. HF solutions must be handled with extreme care, and exposures to HF require special attention with flushing for only 5 minutes instead of the usual 15 minutes.3 Examples of HF burns may be found in Incidents 5.2.1.1 and Online resources from Honeywell include a document titled Recommended Medical Treatment for Hydrofluoric Acid Exposure.4 Hydrofluoric acid may be handled in labs without incident, just as with any other chemical, although there have been instances of serious injuries from improper handling of the substance. If you use this acid, take extra care to learn about handling safety measures.

The Oxidising Agents are the Halogens

The oxidising elements are all the diatomic halogens (F2, Cl2, Br2, and I2). Fluorine is a very potent oxidising agent that can oxidise practically anything it comes in touch with. It is clear that both people and physical buildings are in risk. Also a powerful oxidant is Cl2. The fact that it was the first chemical warfare weapon used in World War I indicates how dangerous it is to people. It is possible to die with only a few breaths of exposure to 1000 ppm of airborne concentration (0.0010 atm, 0.76 mm Hg). Only closed systems or chemical hoods should be used to handle this gas, which has a greenish hue. Bleach is made using chlorine by reacting sodium hypochlorite with sodium hydroxide to produce diluted solutions of sodium hypochlorite. Nitrogen trichloride is created when chlorine reacts with ammonia to create explosive combinations. Bromine has a vapour pressure of 175 mm Hg at 20 °C and is a dark reddish-brown liquid (BP 59 °C). Skin contact with it is very caustic, and either liquid or vapour contact with the eyes is hurtful and harmful. Lachrymation, or tearing, starts to occur at around 1 ppm, which is a good indicator that you've been exposed. Similar to chlorine, short-term exposure to bromine at 1000 ppm may be lethal.

You may be aware that "tincture of iodine" is a solution used to sanitise or disinfect water. The oxidising ability of I2 is what makes this solution, which contains roughly 8% ethanol, beneficial. It is safe to expose skin and open wounds to this concentration. But pure I2 is highly dangerous. The melting point (MP) of this deep purple solid is 185 °C, and its vapour pressure at 20 °C is 0.3 mm Hg (400 ppm). Eye discomfort in humans starts at 1.6 ppm and lasts for two minutes. Iodine is very irritating to the respiratory tract and more poisonous than corrosive (between 2 and 3 grammes may be deadly). The four elemental halogens all pose very dangerous risks in the laboratory. All of them will react in the lungs, producing different oxyacids further dehydrating substances and water-reactive substances

Reducing chemicals are sometimes used in sophisticated laboratory research. Water and elemental sodium react strongly, resulting in the production of hydroxide ions and the highly flammable gas H2. When sodium comes into touch with moisture (such as perspiration), it interacts to form hydroxide, which is exceedingly corrosive and has a very high concentration. Other typical reducing agents include hydrides like sodium hydride and lithium aluminium hydride. They exothermically react with water extremely easily, producing H2 gas. They are damaging to mucous membranes, the eyes, and the skin.

To sometimes eliminate traces of water from a contained environment or from organic solvents, "drying agents" are used. These compounds are very reactive with water, as you would expect, and this is precisely how they work as a drying agent. In addition to concentrated sulfuric acid, additional substances that easily react with water include elemental salt, hydride compounds, phosphorus pentoxide (P2O5, really P4O10), and calcium oxide (CaO). If any of them come into touch with the skin or eyes, they will all be caustic chemicals. Since these compounds react with water, the best first aid is to remove the solid, often by scraping it away with something (such as a credit card), even if accidental skin contact to the majority of chemicals is nearly always remedied by flushing with water. The exothermicity of the reaction will then be tamed by the heat capacity of the water, and flushing with copious volumes of water will be successful since only minute amounts of the compound will remain.

Phenol

Phenol is a chemical that resists simple classification. Its structure, a straightforward benzene ring with a hydroxyl substituent, may not indicate its toxicity. It is also sometimes referred to as carbolic acid. However, this white, solid substance (MP 41 C) has both poisonous and corrosive qualities and is quickly absorbed through the skin. The fact that it acts as a local anaesthetic at the point of contact and that exposure may not be immediately apparent make it potentially more dangerous. Exposure to the skin, eyes, and mucous membranes should be prevented, much as with other corrosives. Even a single gramme taken orally may be lethal. However, with an odour threshold of 0.06 ppm, it offers strong warning capabilities. Chemical Connection "If I Can Smell It, Am I in Danger?" discusses odour thresholds. You may be startled to hear that phenol is the active ingredient in the popular throat spray Chloraseptic even after being aware of these risks. Antiseptic qualities are present in this 1% solution.

Utilizing Corrosives

When dealing with corrosives, skin contact and inhalation are the two primary risks. Gloves should be worn by chemists while handling caustic solutions. The particular corrosive and the concentration in solution determine the kind of glove to use. Nitrile gloves will be sufficient for skin protection against common acids and bases. To choose the right gloves for various corrosives, refer to a table of glove compatibility. Handling the majority of corrosives requires a chemical hood. It is crucial to contain the spill, keep other students away, notify the teacher right once, and utilize a spill kit if necessary if there has been a leak of a caustic substance. Heat emitted, poisonous combustion byproducts, and oxygen consumption are the three primary aspects of a fire that are harmful. We'll talk about these three risks on the assumption that the fire is within a small room or group of small rooms rather than outside. The majority of the energy produced takes the form of heat energy, infrared radiation, and visible radiation. Infrared radiation is what causes the heat that you "feel" while seated far from a campfire, and it may be extremely intense. The heat produced by a fire in a small space, such a bedroom or living room, may be so intense that eventually, even when the flames are not yet reaching other items in the room, those items spontaneously catch fire. This situation, known to firefighters as the "flashover," is particularly hazardous since it causes a "small fire" to grow into a "large fire" in an instant. Flashover occurs at temperatures between 480 and 650 C (900 and 1200 F). Carbon monoxide has an ignition temperature of 609 C, and the combustion of this gas (generated by the fire) causes flashover.

In a fire, a lot of harmful byproducts are produced. The chemically evident results are carbon dioxide and carbon monoxide since numerous flammable substances are different types of hydrocarbon molecules. Carbon dioxide is a straightforward asphyxiate since it builds up in the body when oxygen levels in the air inevitably drop. CO, on the other hand, binds to hemoglobin in the blood and stops the organism from transporting oxygen, making it a chemical asphyxiate. Other harmful substances may be produced in a fire depending on what is burning. The particulate matter in smoke, which is a combination of gaseous byproducts of fire and particle matter, may be harmful because it impairs lung function. HCN, HCl, and other hydrogen halides, as well as nitrogen oxides (NO_x) and other organic irritants, may also be found in smoke, particularly when PVC pipes are burning. The load of harmful compounds in smoke is significantly increased by the presence of plastics of different types in the fire. Smoke inhalation is the main reason why people die in fires [11], [12].

REFERENCES:

[1] R. C. Leal, E. A. de S. Monteiro, T. L. de A. B. Nascimento, and J. M. M. Neto, "Exploring the chemical kinetics through the burning of a candle," *Educ. Quim.*, 2014.

[2] P. Dambruoso, G. de Gennaro, A. Di Gilio, J. Palmisani, and M. Tutino, "The impact of infield biomass burning on PM levels and its chemical composition," *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, 2014.

[3] Y. Xie, J. Wang, N. Xu, S. Yu, M. Zhang, and Z. Huang, "Thermal and chemical effects of water addition on laminar burning velocity of syngas," *Energy and Fuels*, 2014.

[4] L. Yang *et al.*, "Impacts of firecracker burning on aerosol chemical characteristics and human health risk levels during the chinese new year celebration in jinan, china," *Sci. Total Environ.*, 2014.

[5] V. Sinha, V. Kumar, and C. Sarkar, "Chemical composition of pre-monsoon air in the Indo-Gangetic Plain measured using a new air quality facility and PTR-MS: High surface ozone and strong influence of biomass burning," *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 2014.

[6] . K. B. J., "EXPERIMENTAL STUDY OF BEHAVIOUR OF POULTRY FEATHER FIBER - A REINFORCING MATERIAL FOR COMPOSITES," *Int. J. Res. Eng. Technol.*, 2014.

[7] J. Tao *et al.*, "PM2.5 pollution in a megacity of Southwest China: Source apportionment and implication," *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 2014.

[8] L. Li *et al.*, "Biomass burning contribution to ambient volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in the chengdu-chongqing region (CCR), China," *Atmos. Environ.*, 2014.

[9] J. E. Petit *et al.*, "Submicron aerosol source apportionment of wintertime pollution in Paris, France by double positive matrix factorization (PMF2) using an aerosol chemical speciation monitor (ACSM) and a multi-wavelength Aethalometer," *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 2014.

[10] N. Canha *et al.*, "Impact of wood burning on indoor PM2.5 in a primary school in rural Portugal," *Atmos. Environ.*, 2014.

[11] O. E. O., K. F. A., and O. D. A., "Techniques of Oil Spill Response in the sea," *IOSR J. Appl. Phys.*, 2014.

[12] J. Beeckmann, L. Cai, and H. Pitsch, "Experimental investigation of the laminar burning velocities of methanol, ethanol, n-propanol, and n-butanol at high pressure," *Fuel*, 2014.