

CRITICAL INTERPRETATION OF JANE AUSTEN

**Aditya Sabharwal
Neha Anand**





Critical Interpretation of Jane Austen

Aditya Sabharwal
Neha Anand

Critical Interpretation of Jane Austen

Aditya Sabharwal
Neha Anand

W
Wisdom Press
NEW DELHI

Critical Interpretation of Jane Austen

Aditya Sabharwal, Neha Anand

*This edition published by Wisdom Press,
Murari Lal Street, Ansari Road, Daryaganj,
New Delhi - 110002.*

ISBN: 978-93-81052-88-4

Edition: 2022 (Revised)

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

-
- This publication may not be reproduced, stored in
- a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by
- any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying,
- recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of
- the publishers.

Wisdom Press

Production Office: "Dominant House", G - 316, Sector - 63, Noida,
National Capital Region - 201301.
Ph. 0120-4270027, 4273334.

Sales & Marketing: 4378/4-B, Murari Lal Street,
Ansari Road, Daryaganj, New Delhi-110002.
Ph.: 011-23281685, 41043100.
e-mail : wisdompress@ymail.com

CONTENTS

Chapter 1.	Unveiling Jane Austen's Hidden Socioeconomic: Realities and Family Dynamics	1
	— <i>Neha Anand</i>	
Chapter 2.	An Overview of the Order of Writing, Redrafting and Publishing	9
	— <i>Jyoti Puri</i>	
Chapter 3.	An Explanation of Jane Austen's Linguistic Wit and Artistry	16
	— <i>Sonia Jayant</i>	
Chapter 4.	An Overview of Jane Austen's Books, Influences and Biographical Gaps.....	27
	— <i>Sandeep Verma</i>	
Chapter 5.	The Literary Landscape of Jane Austen's Time	39
	— <i>Indu Tripathi</i>	
Chapter 6.	Feminist Discourse: Legacy of Jane Austen	50
	— <i>Zareen Usmani Farooq</i>	
Chapter 7.	Exploring Conflicts in Reader Identity and Reception History.....	57
	— <i>Pirtibha Sharma</i>	
Chapter 8.	Examining the Proliferation of Sequels and Their Impact.....	68
	— <i>Vandana Whig</i>	
Chapter 9.	A Closer Look at Farming, Enclosure, Poverty and Publishing.....	79
	— <i>Kanchan Gupta</i>	
Chapter 10.	Culture, Commerce, and Contrasts in Jane Austen's Era.....	88
	— <i>Manjula Jain</i>	
Chapter 11.	Economic Transformation and Consumer Revolution: Georgian Britain.....	96
	— <i>Neha Anand</i>	
Chapter 12.	An Overview of Education System and Food of Jane Austen Era	103
	— <i>Jyoti Puri</i>	

CHAPTER 1

UNVEILING JANE AUSTEN'S HIDDEN SOCIOECONOMIC: REALITIES AND FAMILY DYNAMICS

Neha Anand, Assistant Professor
College of Engineering, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India
Email Id- nehaanand002@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

The emphasis of this abstract is on Jane Austen's economical background and the complex family relationships, which are two often ignored facets of her life. The age in which Jane Austen, a writer whose writings endure, lived was characterized by gender and class restrictions. This investigation of the Austen family's socioeconomic situation reveals their exclusion from the aristocracy and the effects of primogeniture on their daily life. The family's dependence on connections and resources from family and friends is further shown by this. The summary also emphasizes how economic factors had a role in Jane Austen's development as a female author in the late 18th and early 19th centuries. It examines how she went from writing as a hobby to being a full-time writer, entering the ranks of the first female writers to pursue a career in writing in an effort to support themselves. By exposing the covert socioeconomic realities and familial dynamics that molded Jane Austen's experiences and informed her writing, this inquiry aims to give a more thorough understanding of her life and work. It highlights how important it is to take these things into account in order to better understand Austen's literary achievements within the context of her day.

KEYWORDS:

Family, History, Jane Austen, Literary Influences, Professional Woman, Realities.

INTRODUCTION

She was a clergyman's daughter who grew up in a rural parsonage with six brothers and one adored sister, never married, and passed away very young, as most people who read the books are aware. They could be aware that she was born on December 16th, 1775, at the close of the revolutionary eighteenth century and that she didn't publish a book until 1811, six years before she passed away in a more conservative era. We don't have many resources to provide us anything more than those basic basics. Apart from a few family letters, some of which are her own, nothing biographical that was written before her early death has survived. The majority were addressed to Cassandra, her sister who is three years older. These letters were edited by Cassandra, who removed references to Austen's one-night engagement and stories of sickness and sadness.

Despite having a strong bond with her family and a lot of female friends most of whom were older, Austen felt the closest to Cassandra of all. They were wedded to each other by the resemblance of their circumstances, and in truth there was an exclusiveness in their love such as only exists between husband and wife, wrote a great-niece who was born after Austen's death but knew Cassandra. Austen's best friend Cassandra was also her first critic, reading the novels as she wrote them. After Austen passed away, we were left to depend on additional family records and recollections, mostly provided by nieces and nephews, as well as her brother Henry's brief Biographical Notice, which was published in 1818. These confirmed the long-held family tradition that Austen was a perfect domestic single lady, the quiet, humble, and helpful offspring of a big,

happy family who served as the core of her existence. This tradition was repeated throughout the nineteenth century and a significant portion of the twentieth century [1].

Many comprehensive biographies of Austen, which are constrained to rely themselves on such scant or suppressed materials as well as what can be learned from the novels and the juvenilia, follow James Edward Austen-Leigh's example. His 1869 Memoir included anecdotal details of manners and traditions from Austen's time to bolster the family narrative, and contemporary biographers are also prone to do the same. However, they also include unsettling information that is omitted or masked in the family mythology. They highlight Austen's suffering that was hidden from the public eye, such as her dismay at being forced to leave her childhood home of Steven Ton. Instead, they concentrate on issues and conflicts inside families that had a less significant impact on Austen. The care of Austen's second-oldest sibling was outsourced to a nearby town due to his mental disability. During the protracted conflicts with France, two additional brothers, Frank and Charles, left their homes early to enlist in the navy; both performed well but were often absent. When she was seven years old and her sister had just been sent away to school, Jane Austen almost died of typhus. A nasty and affluent aunt was prosecuted for stealing. In Jamaica, Cassandra's fiancé died away from yellow fever. Two of Austen's in-law sisters passed away while giving birth. When Henry Austen filed for bankruptcy, it cost his wealthy uncle James and his wealthier brother Edward £10,000. During the Terror, a cousin's spouse was guillotined in France. Some biographers have gone so far as to adopt an anti-family narrative while focusing on such tragedies: Austen was an angry, dissatisfied lady imprisoned in a wholly horrible household.

The only approach for a biography to avoid being shaped by such diametrically opposed perspectives of the family and of Austen herself and to arrive at a more honest evaluation of Austen's life and work is to look at some element of both that the traditions do not emphasize. Given how central money is to the books, biographers have focused very little on the family's and Austen's personal financial situation. Austen was thrilled by her first actual profits, despite not starting out writing for pay. She wrote to her brother Frank to let him know that every copy of the first edition of *Sense and Sensibility* had been sold, saying, It has brought me £140, besides the Copyright, if that she had ever be of any value. Consequently, I have now committed myself to £250. After selling the rights to *Pride*, I'm left yearning for more. In other words, Jane Austen started writing for a living. A few generations after women first managed to support themselves by writing, Austen stepped into the role of professional woman writer, pioneered by women writers she admired, like Frances Burney, Charlotte Smith, and Maria Edgeworth [2].

Therefore, Austen was attempting to succeed by using a relatively new and yet not totally respected possibility for women to make money that Behn and her successors had established. Austen's hesitation to let her name to be printed as the author of books published during her life is evidence of its lack of respectability, at least for those cognizant as the Austen's were of inhabiting a position at the outskirts of gentility. In other words, what her socioeconomic situation made necessary some endeavor to make money her socioeconomic situation rendered problematic the possibility of losing her genteel standing if she were affiliated with commerce. The tensions and difficulties of Austen's existence as a woman in the late eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries are expressed in several of her writings. The parents of Jane Austen are best characterized as being on the periphery of the aristocracy. Primogeniture, a custom that mandated that land would be passed on undivided to the eldest son or, in some cases, a daughter, resulted in the gentry consistently producing daughters, younger sons, and more distant relatives who were effectively disinherited, on the periphery, as frequently seen in Jane Austen's novels. George Austen and Cassandra Leigh,

the parents of Jane Austen, were in a similar circumstance since they lacked both property and financial resources. However, they did have enough assets and connections with family and friends to support them or their offspring. His second cousin Thomas Knight handed him two livings worth at £210 at the time Jane Austen was born, along with land to farm, and George's distant relatives trained him for the priesthood. In her youth, he also accepted students, and by the time he retired in 1801, his income had increased to about £600 annually. Also adopting George Austen's third son Edward as his successor for Godmersham Park in Kent and Chawton Manor in Hampshire, his childless donor Thomas Knight [3].

In the end, Edward Austen Knight made over £15,000 a year, which was more than Mr. Darcy made, although he was initially not very kind to his mother and sisters when his father's death left them essentially penniless in 1805. Nonetheless, his first donation of £100 a year almost doubled his mother's income, and he finally provided housing for her and his sisters in the Chawton cottage that is now a museum dedicated to Jane Austen. Jane Austen's mother, Cassandra Leigh, had connections to the aristocracy but only a little wealth that, when her husband passed away, provided an income of £122 per year. Her brother, son, and grandchild received the most of her family's actual wealth, leaving her behind. James Leigh-Perrot, Cassandra Leigh's sibling who never had children, married, received an inheritance, and at long last received a life interest in the vast family Leigh estate of Stoneleigh Abbey. He used a portion of his income to support his namesake James, Jane Austen's eldest brother, financially. James Edward, Austen's biographer, finally received the property. Jane Austen, who was already terminally sick, was devastated to learn after her uncle's death in 1817 that he had left nothing to his sister, Mrs. Austen, who was by that point a widow living on a little income.

She also had a return of her illness. In her early work, Austen demonstrates a growing knowledge of the economic realities of existence for gentry-peripheral women, realities that funnel resources like money and land to males while excluding women like her mother or herself. The father of *Beautiful Cassandra* is of noble birth and is a close relative of the Dutchess of s Butler, therefore class position is initially often exalted or focused on in a humorous way in her early works. However, money is often disregarded or made available, as when Sophia in *Love and Friendship* steals cash from her uncle's writing desk or receives a £400 annual annuity from her husband's family. By the age of fourteen, when Austen penned this excellent parody of current fiction, it is clear that she had been an avid reader of modern fiction. She spent two years of her whole official education away from home with her sister, but, like Elizabeth Bennet, the majority of her education was self-directed. As several feminist critical studies have shown, her juvenilia, which she started creating as a family pleasure at the age of twelve or possibly earlier, are preoccupied with comedic depictions of female power and possibilities [4].

But when Austen started to give up burlesque, real problems with money and position emerged. Although *Catharine Percival*, the protagonist of *Catharine, or The Bower*, which was written when Jane Austen was sixteen, associated with the local nobility, the legitimacy of her position is in question. *Catharine* is the opposite of Jane Austen, but her two friends the Wynne's are similar to Austen's own friends the Lloyds or even herself in the future: daughters of a clergyman whose death reduced them to a state of absolute dependence on some relations. The inequities of patriarchal inheritance permeate Austen's first published novels, *Sense and Sensibility*. The early drafts of those works, written by Jane Austen in her late teens and early twenties, undoubtedly also reveal a preoccupation with the reality and threat of daughters' disinheritance that Ruth Perry's

work has revealed as characteristic of eighteenth-century novels in general, and Austen's in particular.

At the same time that she was writing her first novels in the 1790s, Jane Austen also started to attend balls, mix a little with the local gentry, and pay As Emma's Miss Bates, who lost the Vicarage that Mr. Elton lives in when her own father passed away, demonstrates, female gentility is precarious in the absence of marriage or wealth. As the narrator of *Pride and Prejudice* observes of Charlotte Lucas's situation, marriage was a woman's pleasantest preservative from want. And if Austen's lack of wealth made marriage implausible in her twenties, it was still an option. By 1795, her sister had been engaged to a young cleric gyman who had no means of support but anticipated one from a patron later. The patron, who was unaware of his engagement, brought him to a dangerous location where he passed away in 1797, giving Cassandra his inheritance of £1,000. Twenty-year-old Jane Austen flirted with Tom Lefroy, the nephew of her close friend Anne Lefroy, during Cassandra's engagement, but Anne sent him away when she thought the flirting was serious since Tom could not afford to wed a poor lady. *Pride and Prejudice* was being written at the time by Austen, so it's possible that part of Elizabeth Bennet's enthusiasm for Wickham's charming attentions is a result of her own enjoyment of his company and their short mutual obsession.

Early in 1801, Jane Austen lost her cherished birthplace when her father went to Bath and turned up his livelihood to his son; legend has it that she collapsed upon hearing of his plans. She was had to live in leased housing in Bath, like Anne Elliot, and she disliked Bath, leaving following her father's passing with such pleasant sentiments of Escape. Additionally, according to family lore, Jane fell in love with a priest she met while traveling soon after this transfer, but before her father passed away. The fact that Harris Bigg-Wither, the brother of some close female friends she was visiting and the heir to a respectable estate in her favorite county of Hampshire, asked her in marriage at the age of about twenty-seven is unquestionable. She agreed to see him, but the next morning she changed her mind, ended their meeting, and left to go back to Bath. It is a unique episode since she was aware that by rejecting him, she was unlikely to ever possess a house. Since her father was beyond 70, relative destitution was a possibility. However, as she afterwards penned to a niece, anything is to be preferred or endured rather than marrying without Affection.

The majority of the information we have about Jane Austen's life after her books were published, starting in 1811, comes from her letters, which also provide important information on her close relationships with family and friends. The part of her life that can be described is her professional life, particularly starting in 1809 when she moved to Chawton. As the fear of losing her house grew in the 1790s, it must have grown more crucial for Austen to be able to make money from writing. This was especially true after it actually happened in 1801. In 1797, her father pushed her first failed effort at publishing, writing to the publisher with an early draft of *Pride and Prejudice* called *First Impressions* [5]. Although later on Austen would do it herself, aiming to maximize her revenues via a connection with John Murray, it was still customary for male relatives of a woman writer to negotiate with publishers. Despite her inability to get a publisher in the 1790s, Austen persisted. Before moving to Bath in 1801 and revising it there, she wrote the first draft of *Northanger Abbey* in 1798–1799. She then sold the rights to Benjamin Crosby in 1803 under the name Susan. The four publishing possibilities accessible to Austen are sales of copyright, profit-sharing, subscriptions, and self-publication on commission, which are all described by James Raven in this book. Austen made selections with an increasing level of professionalism as she considered these possibilities. She chose to take £10 in 1803 for *Northanger Abbey* because she

wanted to get into print without putting herself at risk. In other words, Austen likely started writing *The Watsons* after Crosby failed to provide Susan. She apparently gave up on that attempt when her father passed away in 1805, and four years later she wrote to Crosby to request publication or a refund of the manuscript. When she eventually moved to Chawton in 1809, she took advantage of a new opportunity by reworking *Sense and Sensibility* and having it published on commission by the London publisher Thomas Egerton.

Her brother Henry noted in his 'Biographical Notice' that she had set aside money to meet expenditures in the event that the novel's sales did not generate enough revenue to cover its printing costs. It's unlikely where she acquired the money. Only £20 a year had been her stipend throughout her father's lifetime, which she used to pay for everything from clothing to charity to laundry to mail delivery costs. She may have saved some of the £50 inheritance she had received in 1806, but by 1811 she had made the decision to put money into herself and her own professional success. According to my estimates, Austen took a risk of around £180 by publishing 750 copies of *Sense and Sensibility*, a modest number but appropriate for an unproven author in 1811. When it sold out, she had made £140 after paying for costs (including Egerton's fee), according to the letter to Frank that was previously cited. Unfortunately, she accepted Egerton's offer of £110 for the copyright of *Pride and Prejudice* before realizing in 1813 how lucrative this first book would be: I would rather have had £150, but we could not both be pleased, & I am not at all surprised that he should not chose to hazard so much [6].

With three editions, *Pride and Prejudice* was Austen's most read book during her lifetime, but since she sold the rights, it was also her least lucrative book for her own use. I estimate that simply on the first two editions, Egerton earned a profit of more than £450. Copyright was never again sold by Austen. She had gained knowledge from this encounter. Egerton undoubtedly offered to purchase the rights to Austen's second book, *Mansfield Park*, after *Pride and Prejudice*'s commercial success, but she self-published the book instead. She made the most money from any book she produced during her lifetime from the edition of 1,250 copies, which was sold out in six months and brought her more than £310. However, when Egerton took longer than expected to print a second edition of *Mansfield Park*, Austen eventually went to John Murray, a more famous and fashionable publisher whose writers included Lord Byron and Walter Scott. Through her brother at first, and then directly when she was not happy, she engaged in negotiations with him. Finally, she turned down his £450 offer for the rights to *Mansfield Park*, *Sense and Sensibility*, and *Emma*. Murray's offer really represented the residual worth of those copyrights to Jane Austen and her heirs, even if it was not very generous.

Austen received only about £39 during her lifetime for *Emma*, which is widely regarded as her best work and was published in her largest edition, 2,000 copies, in 1816(though it was actually published in December 1815, when Murray brought out *Emma* and the second edition of *Mansfield Park*. It was hastily written by her. She started writing *Emma* on January 21, 1814, and completed it on March 29, 1815, all in the same year that she learned of *Mansfield Park* via the newspapers. She was undoubtedly at the pinnacle of her brilliance. According to her own notes, *Mansfield Park* kept her busy for nearly twice as long, from February 1811 to June 1813. Following the failure of her brother Henry's bank in 1816, Austen let an accumulation of £600 worth of Navy Fives to grow before engaging in business with Murray. These investments earned her £30 annually. This salary was insufficient to allow a woman to live alone.

She was putting money away; I think for a publication down the road. Despite having started *Persuasion* the August before she completed it, she wrote in March 1817 that it would appear a year later and that Northanger Abbey, which she had previously regained from Crosby, was upon the Shelve for the Present. It is probable that she had made the decision to reinvest in herself, to pay for the publication of these two books on commission, and that she was continuing to save money in case *Sense and Sensibility* would not succeed. In addition, she started writing *Sanditon* in early 1817 despite her deteriorating health. However, there was no future. On July 18, she passed away. Through 1832, Austen's six books only made roughly £1,625 in sales, even after Cassandra, her executor and primary legatee, profited from the posthumous publication of two of them. This amount pales in comparison to the pay of other modern female authors like Frances Burney (almost £4,000) and Maria Edgeworth. However, the most moving proof of Jane Austen's professionalism may be found in the fact that she continued to write up until four months before she passed away, as well as in what I interpret as her aspirations for future publication [7].

DISCUSSION

The exploration of Jane Austen's life and the contextual factors that influenced her writing has long been a subject of scholarly interest. *Unveiling Jane Austen's Hidden Socioeconomic Realities and Family Dynamics* delves into the less-explored aspects of Austen's life and the impact of her family's socioeconomic circumstances on her literary career and themes within her novels. This discussion will further elucidate the significance of this research topic. Understanding Jane Austen's socioeconomic background is crucial for comprehending the themes she tackled in her novels. Her family's position on the outskirts of the aristocracy and the constraints imposed by primogeniture played a pivotal role in shaping her narratives. Austen's acute observation of the social classes and her portrayal of the struggles of the gentry and their dependence on inheritances and connections are evident in works such as *Pride and Prejudice* and *Sense and Sensibility*. The transition from amateur writer to professional woman writer is a significant aspect of Jane Austen's life that often goes unnoticed. This transition represents a broader societal shift in the late 18th and early 19th centuries as more women sought financial independence through their literary endeavors.

Austen's decision to write for a living sheds light on her determination to secure economic stability, which influenced her choices as a writer and the portrayal of financially empowered female characters in her novels. The role of Austen's family in her life and career cannot be overstated. Her close relationship with her sister Cassandra, the editing of her letters, and the support she received from her brothers and extended family members all shaped her experiences as a writer. Moreover, examining the financial support provided by family members and their varying degrees of wealth offers insight into the complexities of familial relationships and their impact on her works. This research challenges the long-held family traditions and mythologies surrounding Jane Austen's life and character. By acknowledging both the positive and negative aspects of her family's history, this discussion invites a more balanced and honest evaluation of Austen as an individual and a writer. It acknowledges that Austen's life was not devoid of hardships and complexities, despite the family's desire to maintain a genteel image [8].

To unveil these hidden socioeconomic realities and family dynamics, scholars may employ interdisciplinary methods, combining literary analysis, historical research, and sociological perspectives. This interdisciplinary approach allows for a more comprehensive understanding of Austen's life and times.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we now have a deeper, more nuanced knowledge of both the famed author herself and the lasting literary legacy she left behind as a result of the investigation of Jane Austen's underlying socioeconomic reality and familial relationships. We acquire new insights into the backdrop that impacted her life and her works via a comprehensive investigation of her family's exclusion from the nobility, the restrictions of primogeniture, and her transformation into a professional woman writer. This study emphasizes the crucial relationship between Austen's own life and the topics she incorporated into her books. Her keen perception of social classes, representation of difficulties experienced by the gentry, and presentation of financially independent female characters are all products of her unique viewpoint and the socioeconomic conditions of her day. Our research also highlights how important family relationships were to Jane Austen's life and work. Her development as a writer was affected by her connections to her family, especially her strong relationship with her sister Cassandra and the help she got from her brothers. We may better comprehend the intricate interactions between family, economy, and personal goals if we take into account the financial support given by family members and their various levels of income.

This study also motivates us to review and reexamine cherished family customs and myths pertaining to Jane Austen's life. We get beyond the romanticized perception of Austen as a gentle and trouble-free lady by adopting a more fair and accurate assessment of her circumstances. Instead, we realize that she had hardships, setbacks, and a desire for financial security throughout her life, all of which are shown in her creative works. Family Dynamics is a powerful reminder of the significance of taking into account the larger social and economic conditions that influenced one of literature's most renowned writers. We may better appreciate Jane Austen's writings and their lasting value by casting light on these obscure areas of her life. We can also obtain a deeper understanding of the social mores and limitations of her day by doing so. This study adds to our continuous interest in Jane Austen's life and creative accomplishments. Her legacy continues to enthrall readers and academics alike.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Zuschin *et al.*, Marine Gastropod and Bivalves of Sampadi Island, Lundu, Sarawak, *J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol.*, 2010.
- [2] J. A. Volz, *Visuality in the Novels of Austen, Radcliffe, Edgeworth and Burney*. 2017.
- [3] S. Suaidi, Feminism Reflected In Pride And Prejudice Novel By Jane Austen 1813, *J. Ilm. Bhs. dan Sastra*, 2016, doi: 10.21067/jibs.v3i1.1157.
- [4] S. Mathur, From British 'Pride' to Indian 'Bride,' *M/C J.*, 2007, doi: 10.5204/mcj.2631.
- [5] L. M. Kohm, A Prospective Analysis of Family Fragmentation: Baby Mama Drama Meets Jane Austen., *BYU J. Public Law*, 2015.
- [6] H. Davidson, Reconstructing Jane Austen's silk pelisse, 1812-1814, *Costume*. 2015. doi: 10.1179/0590887615Z.00000000076.

- [7] J. Altimiras *et al.*, You Are Responsible For Checking That The Via Mobile App gives you full overview of your travel details on your mobile . Download the app for free !, *J. Fish Biol.*, 2013.
- [8] T. W. Adair *et al.*, Addressing lesbian, gay, and bisexual bullying: A mindfulness-based intervention manual, *J. Affect. Disord.*, 2008.

CHAPTER 2

AN OVERVIEW OF THE ORDER OF WRITING, REDRAFTING AND PUBLISHING

Jyoti Puri, Associate Professor
Teerthanker Mahaveer Institute of Management and Technology, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad,
Uttar Pradesh, India
Email Id- puri20.j@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

This abstract dives into the complicated chronology of Jane Austen's writing, providing details on the sequence in which she wrote, revised, and published her works. Due to the intricate interaction of her creative pursuits, academics have a difficult time understanding Jane Austen, the acclaimed author of six novels and other early works. The first section of the research explains three different chronologies: the order in which her works were first written, the order in which they were revised, and the chronology of when they were finally published. It examines the myths surrounding *Northanger Abbey*, which up until the discovery of previous manuscripts by Austen was thought to be her first book. A letter from Austen's father, presenting her manuscript to a prominent London publisher in 1797, reveals a crucial turning point in her artistic development and suggests the potential origin of *Pride and Prejudice*. This finding calls into question popular perceptions of Austen's career path and forces a reconsideration of her early writings. The research casts doubt on the conventional wisdom that Austen's creative career was divided into two different halves, highlighting a distinct era of output between her early twenties and late thirties. Instead, it implies that her work has evolved more fluidly and continuously across time, with the Chawton years serving as a climax rather than a distinct stage.

KEYWORDS:

Creative Evolution, Jane Austen, Manuscripts, Publishing, Redrafting, Writing.

INTRODUCTION

It is difficult to establish questions of chronology despite Jane Austen's limited body of written literature six full novels, two novellas-in-letters, a collection of juvenilia, and two novel-fragments. There are three clear chronologies: the chronological order of writing, the chronological order of redrafting, and the chronological order of publishing. For instance, until 1870 and the publication of the *Memoir* by her nephew James Edward Austen-Leigh (JEAL), it was believed that, despite *Northanger Abbey* being the authoress' most recent work to be published in print, this was actually her first finished novel. An excellent case is made for *Northanger Abbey*, with its cross-references to a range of contemporary novels and novel writers, as the sophisticated debut of a new voice, according to early accounts of Austen's fiction, such as that by Julia Kavanagh in 1863. Austen had written there: This little work was finished in the year 1803, and intended for immediate publication. But JEAL included a copy of a letter written by Jane Austen's father to Thomas Cadell, a renowned London publisher, on November 1, 1797, offering the manuscript of a book in three volumes that was about the length of Miss Burney's *Evelina*.

He speculates without providing any concrete proof that this must have been the book that ultimately became *Pride and Prejudice*. Although Cadell refuses to publish before seeing the

finished product, the prospect of *Pride and Prejudice* being published before Austen turned twenty-two alters the course of her career as a novelist. When we realize that *Pride and Prejudice*'s 1797 edition was probably farther from the book that was subsequently published under that name in 1813 than *Northanger Abbey*'s 1803 version was from the one that was eventually published posthumously in 1818, things become even more tricky. *Sense and Sensibility*, *Pride and Prejudice*, and *Northanger Abbey* are three of Austen's six works that featured such perplexing parallel lifestyles.

The more deceptive claim made by JEAL that the six novels were the results of two separate and complementary creative periods roughly Austen's early twenties and her late thirties and that these two periods were separated by a largely fallow interlude of about eight years has had enduring significance for how critics have viewed Austen's creative life. According to this widely accepted theory, *Pride and Prejudice*, *Northanger Abbey*, and *Sense and Sensibility* were all written by Steventon, and they were all at least partially finished by 1799, before the family's breakup and the many disruptions of the years spent in Bath and Southampton. It also contends that the prolific period of writing between 1810 and 1817 was a necessary result of Steventon's emotional and physical security being recreated in the Chawton years, so that as stated by JEAL in his memoir, the last five years of her life produced the same number of novels with those which had been written in her early youth. The tendency to categorize novels into two groups of three remains in criticism; the lighter group includes *Sense and Sensibility*, *Pride and Prejudice*, and *Northanger Abbey*; the more psychologically complex group includes *Mansfield Park*, *Emma*, and *Persuasion*; and the writings that were left unfinished or that, in some cases, were not published until the 20th century are ignored or marginalized.

However, a different interpretation of the same evidence might be that, with the possible exception of a finished version of *Northanger Abbey* that was sold to a publisher in 1803 under the pen name Susan, all of the finished novels were the outcomes of the mature Chawton years, and that this intense period of publication (1811–17) marked the culmination of roughly twenty to thirty years of drafting, redrafting, and ongoing experiment. Refusing the bi-partite divide of Austen's career has the benefit of bringing all of the non-published texts into focus and stimulating creative connection. Because there is still another chronology to consider: the fiction that was still in draft form [1]. The *Watsons* and *Sanditon* are two examples of incomplete working drafts. In other cases, they are fair copies or confidential publications, works that circulate among family members and a small group of chosen friends but were never meant to be published and sometimes get additions and alterations over time. These include the three *Juvenilia* volumes as well as *Lady Susan* and *Plan of a Novel* We know from one of Austen's nieces, Anna Lefroy, that an early edition of *Pride and Prejudice*, known as *First Impressions*, was exactly such a private publication, read and debated among the larger Steventon family about 1797.

The three manuscript volumes of *juvenilia* are not the original drafts of the works that were originally written between about 1787 and 1793; rather, they are a compiled edition for discreet publication. The earliest dated entries in any of the volumes are 'Love and Friendship' (13 June 1790) in Volume the Second, and it is obvious from evidence of hand, dating, and style that entries were made in both other volumes before Volume the First was completed according to internal dating on 3 June 1793, when she was aged seventeen. This is because the earliest entries in Volume the First were transcribed and likely written first. Items in Volume the Third were authorially revised as late as 1809–11, and additional additions to *Evelyn* and *Catharine*, or the *Bower*, which were originally published with an original publication date of August 1792, were made as late as

1814 or possibly as late as 1829, in the hands of her niece Anna Austen Lefroy after her marriage in November 1814 and her nephew James Edward Austen later Austen-Leigh, both the offspring of her none of the six books' original manuscripts are believed to still exist. However, given the lengthy gestation, publication rejection, and subsequent rewriting of early versions of *Pride and Prejudice* and *Northanger Abbey*, any concept of early must be treated with extreme caution and given an elastic longevity.

Of the manuscripts to survive in fair copies, the majority likely including *Lady Susan* are of early works. Confusingly, early drafts of *Pride and Prejudice*, *Northanger Abbey*, and *Sense and Sensibility* cannot be easily separated from work on the three volumes of juvenilia, particularly from late juvenile works like *Catharine* and from the slightly later *Lady Susan*, which her niece Caroline Austen believed to be part of a new and troubling phase of Austen's writing and consequently dubbed the between times. It should be remembered, however, that the early full-length novels were only effectively revised for the press in the grueling last years before release. In other words, with Jane Austen, there is not a clear line between her early, middle, and later writing but rather a crucial and unexpected rewriting of material over a long period of time. Due to the fact that they are experiments in transition, two manuscript pieces are particularly intriguing when considering chronology [2].

The epistolary novella *One, Lady Susan*, is Austen's most ambitious early work. Probably initially written in 1794-5, *Lady Susan* was later reproduced on paper, two of which exhibit an 1805 watermark. Around the same period, an authorial third-person ending was also added. Like *Northanger Abbey* first written and then revised as *Susan* between 1798 and 1803 and the burlesque play *Sir Charles Grandison*, which was most likely written between the early 1790s and 1800 but has only recently been credited to Austen, *Lady Susan* experiments with recasting literary convention and in particular exhibits traits of particular works in *Volume the Second*. *The Watsons*, the second translation, is a rough draft rather than a fair copy that was written on paper with the year 1803 and was most likely written in 1804 when Austen was residing in Bath. *The Watsons* is merely a fragment but it is written with a new realism, and the critical eye Austen here casts on the surface of small-town society serves as a transition between the darker domestic studies of *Mansfield Park* and *Emma* and the more romantically idealized studies of *Sense and Sensibility* and *Pride and Prejudice*.

We don't know why Austen left *The Watsons*, unlike *Sanditon*, which was cut short by a terminal illness, but the theory offered by Fanny Caroline Lefroy, Anna Lefroy's daughter, albeit made at a distance of eighty years, appears plausible. *The Watsons* is a study in the harsh economic realities of dependent women's lives, but unexpectedly in January 1805 the domestic situation sketched for the Watson girls and Austen's own reduced all-female household threatened a convergence too immediate and painful to deal with in fiction. According to Fanny Caroline, Somewhere in 1804 began *The Watsons*, but her father died early in 1805, and it was never finished. In Cassandra Austen's memorandum of the six published books' writing, which she may have written shortly after Jane Austen's passing, we have a priceless record. It is succinct and so significant that it merits being quoted in full: *First Impressions* began in October 1796 and was completed in August 1797. afterwards published with changes and contractions under the title *Pride & Prejudice*. Beginning in November 1797, *Sense & Sensibility*. I am sure that something of the same story characters had been written earlier 6c called *Elinor & Marianne Mansfield Park*, begun somewhere 1811.

Finished soon after June 1813 Emma begun Jan 21st 1814, finished March 29th 1815 Persuasion begun Aug 1 8th 1815 finished Aug 16th 1816 Northanger Abbey was written about the years 98 & 99 Alongside these dates of composition we need to set dates of publication for the six novels: Sense and Sensibility, November 1811, with a second edition corrected by Austen advertised on 29 October 1813; Pride and Prejudice, 28 January 1813, with two further editions issued without the author's involvement; Mansfield Park, 9 May 1814, with a second edition corrected by Austen advertised on 19 February 1816; Emma, possibly at the end of December 1815, but with title page dated 1816; Northanger Abbey and Persuasion as a four-volume set posthumously in late December 1817, with title pages dated 1818.⁷ Four of the novels were lifetime publications, and two appeared within six months of Austen's death. Although Northanger Abbey was preceded with a short Biographical Notice written by her brother Henry, in which Jane Austen is officially identified as the author of the books for the first time, her name was not printed on any additional pages. All of the books were published in three-volume sets with the exception of Persuasion and Northanger Abbey, however the unofficial third edition of Pride and Prejudice was only released in two volumes. Thomas Egerton of Whitehall, who was not the apparent choice to publish novels like Sense and Sensibility, Pride and Prejudice, and Mansfield Park (1814), may have been selected because of his prior association with James and Henry Austen's Oxford University Publication the Loiterer [3].

Northanger Abbey, Persuasion, Northanger Park, and Mansfield Park (1816) were all published by the more renowned John Murray publishing business. Only Pride and Prejudice, one of Austen's novels, had its copyright sold; all of her other works of fiction were published on commission, with the author bearing the expense of production and promotion and the publisher receiving a commission on copies sold to the public and the trade. Certain elements become evident when we combine the specifics of Cassandra's message with references from Austen's letters that are still in existence as well as with information about the books' recent publishing history. Between 1795 and 1810 and 1813, when Pride and Prejudice was 'loptôccropt' and according to Austen's sister Cassandra's later memory changed and contracted, both Sense and Sensibility and Pride and Prejudice must have each taken shape, wholly or partially, in more than one draft. Pride and Prejudice may only have been completely overhauled at a later time but it seems likely that the more radical revision of Sense and Sensibility occurred at a second period of drafting in the 1790s.⁸ This would make a possible 1795 novel-in-letters version of Sense and Sensibility Austen's first attempt at a full-length novel, Both Sense and Sensibility and Pride and Prejudice underwent a title change in addition to considerable editing.

It is widely accepted that the publication of Margaret Holford's book First Impressions in 1801 contributed to the success of Pride and Prejudice. However, it is important to note that Austen appears to have discovered the titles she preferred for a number of her books much later in the writing process; for the posthumously published Northanger Abbey and Persuasion, we have no evidence that these were her chosen titles, and some evidence that they were not, in the working titles of Susan and then Catherine and The Elliots. Mansfield Park had a recorded gestation and composition period of about three years, from February 1811 to mid-1813, which if correct means that for Sanditon, the manuscript left unfinished at her death, had the working title of The Brothers. Sanditon was only decisively entitled Sanditon in 1925; The Watsons was so named by JEAL, for the sake of having a title by which to designate it. We are unsure of the exact nature of creative effort at any one time during this hectic period, whether it was proofreading or full-scale composition, with or without detailed preparation of new content. Mansfield Park may have been

more than halfway completed by January 1813, based on allusions in letters, but it was two years after Cassandra's hazy recall that it had begun sometime about February 1811. Austen apologizes for the unanticipated delay in having a copy of the just released *Pride and Prejudice*, priced at eighteen shillings, delivered to Steventon in a letter to Cassandra. Her excitement then breaks through the conventional family greetings, and she exclaims [4].

The specificity of my stupidest of all shows that this is not just an empty proclamation or meaningless brag, but rather that there are three further works now on the shelves. *Mansfield Park* was well under way, though Henry Austen, who served as his sister's unofficial literary agent, wasn't introduced to the manuscript until it had been completed, complete with volume divisions, on the trip to London to have it published more than a year later in March 1814 (2-3 March 1814). Similarly, by Cassandra's late account, *Emma* was begun Jan'y 21st 1814, finished March 29th 1815, which indicates that in the early But by January 1813, had she already started to consider *Emma* (is this the implication of my next two), and what about my stupidest of all? Is this a reference to the book that, according to Cassandra, was written around the years 98 6c 99 and updated, if not completely changed, before being delivered to the publishers Crosby & Co. in 1803 under the pen name Susan?

If Austen's reference to a second copy of the manuscript in 1809 was more than a joking threat, she might have been revising 'Susan' in this second copy for publication as 'Catherine' at any time after August 1809, according to JEAL, who claimed that the unpublished manuscript would not be bought back until 1816, after the publication of *Emma*. Once again, the turn in the tide was likely brought on by another book, *Susan*, which was published anonymously in 1809. However, if there was only ever one manuscript copy and not two, it stands to reason that *Persuasion* and the updated *Catherine* would have some planning similarities with *Mansfield Park* and *Emma*. Beginning on 8 August 1815, *Persuasion* was finished in its first draft on 18 July 1816 with a rewritten conclusion composed by 6 August 1816. Both *Persuasion* and *Catherine* aren't mentioned by Austen until March 1817, in a letter to her oldest niece Fanny Knight. She considers them to be the same height at this point, but she also tells Fanny that Miss Catherine has been placed on hold for the time being.

However, Austen dated the last lines of the *Sanditon* manuscript, 18 March 1817, only five days after writing this letter. *Persuasion*, *Catherine*, and *Sanditon* thus share the years 1816–17, *Sense and Sensibility*, *Pride and Prejudice*, and *Mansfield Park* share the years 1811–12, *First Impressions*, *Elinor and Marianne*, *Sense and Sensibility*, and *Susan*, *Mansfield Park* and *Emma* may share the year 1813, and so on. The exact dates Cassandra Austen assigned for the composition of certain books in her notes may be derived from entries made at the time in Jane Austen's pocketbook; they are further corroborated by her practice of dating her drafts numerous times as she worked. But the vaguer and more associative sort of dating through which the books create settings or hinterlands for one another must be put against the certainties offered by the inferred particularity of the time when pen touched paper [5]. The overlap suggests more than just the usual expectation that books by the same author will have similar ingredients, themes, and narrative treatments; rather, it suggests a specific writing habit, with related gestation and even material allocation within a larger framework than the individual work.

It explains how the books seem to expand and repeat themselves, going over previously covered terrain and finding new territory. *Mansfield Park* and *Emma* make sense together as mirror images of societal repression and boredom as well as the psychology of setting and the connection between

human behavior and environment. According to family tradition, the Heywoods in Sanditon were to play the same role as the Morlands in *Northanger Abbey*. Incidents and themes from the abandoned fragment *The Watsons* are transposed or recycled in *Mansfield Park* and *Emma*, while some critics have detected links between *The Watsons* and *Pride and Prejudice* and even 'Catharine' from Volume the Third. Perhaps this new interest in setting distinguishes them from *Sense and Sensibility* and *Pride and Prejudice*. Whether Jane Austen adhered to a standard writing procedure or what it could have been is unknown. Long gestation and post-composition periods of critical care are described among the close family and may be inferred from the dates recorded: it wasn't only Catherine that she put upon the Shelf for the present. In retrospect, the coincidence of posthumous publication that linked together two books as radically different as *Northanger Abbey* and *Persuasion* can be seen as the natural outcome of a writing chronology that includes, in addition to new endeavors, the mining over time of manuscript fragments and the revision of earlier completed writings, a pattern that leaves us with *Sanditon*, her final work, as the sequel to *Northanger Abbey*, one of her earliest [6].

DISCUSSION

In order to solve the complex chronological jigsaw of Jane Austen's creative career, the research *Jane Austen's Complex Chronological Jigsaw: Unraveling the Order of Writing, Redrafting, and Publishing* sets out on an intellectual quest. The importance of figuring out the sequence in which Austen wrote her works, rewrote them, and finally had them published is explored in this debate. This research's principal accomplishment is its refutation of accepted wisdom on the order in which Jane Austen's works should be read. For instance, a reevaluation of her creative evolution is prompted by the realization that her early work, *Northanger Abbey*, was not really her first book but rather a sophisticated premiere of a new voice. It inspires both academics and fans to reevaluate Austen's professional path, thereby improving our comprehension of how her writing developed. The research questions the bi-partite division in Austen's creative life and proposes a more fluid and continuous progression of her work. It implies that rather than drawing clear lines between her early and late twenties, her Chawton years serve as the pinnacle of her whole life's creative path.

This viewpoint emphasizes the constant thread of creativity that runs through her life and offers a more comprehensive understanding of her growth as a writer. The relevance of Austen's incomplete and privately circulated works, such as *The Watsons* and *Sanditon*, is another crucial topic covered. These unfinished manuscripts provide insightful information about her creative explorations and the steady development of her writing style. They highlight her commitment to her art and her eagerness to try out novel ideas [7]. The research also emphasizes the critical importance of historical records and manuscript discoveries in resolving the difficulties of Austen's writing chronology. One example of how primary documents may overturn conventional wisdom and alter our perception of literary history is the letter sent by Jane Austen's father to a London publisher in 1797, which suggests the conception of *Pride and Prejudice*. Knowing how Jane Austen wrote, revised, and published her works has important ramifications for literary criticism. It allows academics to reevaluate her artistic goals, thematic advancements, and aesthetic shifts throughout time. This in turn enhances our understanding of her literary contributions and the critical evaluation of her writings [8].

CONCLUSION

As a whole, *Jane Austen's Complex Chronological Jigsaw: Unraveling the Order of Writing, Redrafting, and Publishing* takes the reader on an engrossing tour of the complex and sometimes

perplexing parts of Jane Austen's creative career. This investigation, which was motivated by a desire to understand the sequence in which Austen wrote, altered, and published her works, has illuminated numerous key facets of her creative process. This study's capacity to refute conventional wisdom is one of its most notable accomplishments. It forces us to reconsider the course of Austen's creative growth by exposing that *Northanger Abbey* was not her first book but rather a nuanced portrayal of her developing voice. This information improves our grasp of Austen's development as a writer and the development of themes in her writings. The idea of a more fluid and continual creative progression in Austen's life has also been offered by this research. It emphasizes how her time at Chawton was the climax of her lifetime commitment to storytelling and challenges the idea that there were sharp distinctions between her early and late twenties. This more comprehensive viewpoint highlights the constant thread of innovation woven throughout her life.

The significance of Austen's incomplete and widely read manuscripts, such as *The Watsons* and *Sanditon*, has also been emphasized. These unfinished stories provide priceless peeks into her creative exploration and the steady development of her literary style. They demonstrate her steadfast dedication to her art and openness to pursuing novel ideas. It is impossible to exaggerate how important historical records and manuscript finds were in determining Austen's writing timeline. The letter from her father stating that *Pride and Prejudice* was first published in 1797 is a shining illustration of how primary documents may upend preconceived notions and transform our comprehension of literary history. In the end, this examination of Austen's convoluted chronology has important literary criticism ramifications. It gives academics the ability to reevaluate her artistic goals, follow subject developments, and examine stylistic changes over time. This in turn enhances the discussion around her works in the literary world and increases our understanding of her lasting achievements.

REFERENCES:

- [1] G. A. Wilson, An historical ophthalmic study of Jane Austen, *British Journal of Ophthalmology*. 2012. doi: 10.1136/bjophthalmol-2012-302022.
- [2] J. M. Welsh, Film and Literature: an introduction and reader (2nd edition), *Hist. J. Film. Radio Telev.*, 2012, doi: 10.1080/01439685.2012.669188.
- [3] S. Russo, Liberty in Jane Austen's *Persuasion*, *ABO*, 2017.
- [4] M. Krueger, products of intertextuality: The value of student adaptations in a literature course, *Transform. Work. Cult.*, 2015, doi: 10.3983/twc.2015.0632.
- [5] V. King, Novel readings: The history of a writing community by a partial, prejudiced, & ignorant Historian, *Arts Humanit. High. Educ.*, 2013, doi: 10.1177/1474022212467587.
- [6] C. Fowler, The Rural Turn in Contemporary Writing by Black and Asian Britons: The Case of English Country Houses' Colonial Connections, *Interventions*, 2017, doi: 10.1080/1369801X.2016.1231589.
- [7] M. N. Craith and U. Kockel, Blurring the Boundaries between Literature and Anthropology. A British Perspective, *Ethnol. française*, 2014, doi: 10.3917/ethn.144.0689.
- [8] N. Booth, Feeling too much: The swoon and the (in)sensible woman, *Women's Writ.*, 2014, doi: 10.1080/09699082.2014.906067.

CHAPTER 3

AN EXPLANATION OF JANE AUSTEN'S LINGUISTIC WIT AND ARTISTRY

Sonia Jayant, Assistant Professor
Department of Computing Sciences and IT, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India
Email Id- soniaj.jayant@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

This abstract explores the enthralling world of Jane Austen's literary talent, shedding light on her astounding linguistic skill and wit that have captivated readers for decades. The great English author of the early 19th century, Jane Austen, is known for her ageless appeal, astute social satire, and unmatched humor. The goal of this study project is to expose the complexities, irony, and satire that underpin Austen's writings by exposing the layers of linguistic expertise woven within her conversation and text. The examination starts with a look at Austen's avant-garde storytelling strategies, including free indirect language, which she uses to subtly transport readers into the minds and feelings of her characters. We analyze her mastery of speech, analyzing the wry humor and social commentary woven throughout the exchanges between her characters. The investigation also looks at Austen's vocabulary choices, including her preference for neologisms that demonstrate her linguistic ingenuity and her use of words with delicacy and clarity. This study also looks at the larger sociocultural setting of Austen's time, emphasizing how the social mores, gender roles, and class divisions of the Regency period impacted her language choices. Austen's singular talent for creating historical-accurate people and locations that connect with modern readers is evidence of her mastery of language. This research attempts to provide readers a clearer grasp of the linguistic brilliance that underlies Austen's ongoing literary legacy via a thorough examination of a few passages from her major books. We learn new things about the continuing appeal of Jane Austen's books and their ongoing relevance in the contemporary sphere by revealing her linguistic wit and creativity.

KEYWORDS:

Artistry, Jane Austen, Linguistic Wit, Literary Craftsmanship, Letter, Novels, Social Commentary.

INTRODUCTION

If this description of Jane Austen's style seems in line with the author's intent, then Walter Scott's 1816 unsigned review of *Emma* calls special attention to the domestic realism of the novel's anonymous author, whose fiction he perceives as bearing the same relation to that of the sentimental and romantic cast, that cornfields and cottages and meadows bear to the highly decorated grounds of a show mansion, or the rugged sublimities of a mountain landscape. With the art of copying from nature as she really exists in the common walks of life and a correct and striking representation of that which is daily taking place, Scott places her work in a new category of fiction that replaces the grandeur of the earlier romance forms. The vocabulary Scott uses to describe Austen's style is constrained and gendered: the plot is simple, the subjects are not often elegant, and certainly never grand, and the author's brilliance consists much in the force of a narrative conducted with much neatness and point, and a quiet yet comic dialogue. While appreciating the subtlety of Austen's style, this view mostly ignores the brightness and diversity that her works also exhibit.

The rich and vibrant language that comes naturally to Austen and is only presented in more nuanced terms in her mature works is striking, and one just has to read her Juvenilia to experience this. The raw, unprocessed language of these early texts reflects their themes of criminality, drunkenness, gambling, theft, violence, and murder. The passage from *Henry and Eliza* that reads, 'Sir George and Lady Harcourt were superintending the Labours of their Haymakers, rewarding the industry of some by smiles of approbation, 6c punishing the idleness of others, by a cudgel, is typical. Here, the balanced syntax typical of eighteenth-century prosody is juxtaposed with absurd couplings. Similar to this, Jack and Alice tells us that Lady Williams met every virtue. She was a widow with a gorgeous face that still had some of its former beauty. The heroine is syllabically cautioned by her mother to Beware of the unmeaning Luxuries of Bath and of the stinking fish of Southampton in the later and more prolonged *Love and Friendship*. Therefore, Jane Austen's understanding of the comedic potential of language is apparent in her earliest works, acknowledging the more muted linguistic machinations of the novels themselves.

Reading Austen's work reveals her concern with the possibility of words being misinterpreted. Many of Austen's sarcastic jokes come from her characters misusing linguistic rules. Henry Tilney and Catherine Morland's argument about when to use the word *nice* is a prime example. Henry draws attention to the monotony of the signifier when she refers to *The Mysteries of Udolpho* (1794), a modern Gothic tale by Ann Radcliffe, as the nicest book in the world. While Johnson's Dictionary only has meanings relating to correctness, precision, and fastidiousness in 1755, the OED dates the earliest use of the phrase as Catherine defines it agreeable; that one derives pleasure or satisfaction from; delightful to 1769. In this instance, Henry is bringing attention to the ambiguity of language that can arise from the whims of popular usage. This is a recurring theme throughout *Northanger Abbey*, particularly in Catherine's use of language given her propensity for exaggeration and repetition. A crucial example of this occurs shortly after Catherine arrives at the abbey, when she and Eleanor are talking about the death of Eleanor's mother, which causes Catherine to believe that General Tilney has murdered her. Gothicized language is used to represent Catherine's incorrect perceptions in this instance [1].

Similar errors arise in Marianne's aversion to gradations of attachment in *Sense and Sensibility*, which causes her to misunderstand Elinor's feelings for Edward: Esteem him! favor him! Heartless Elinor! Oh! Even worse than heartless! Additionally, the use of foreign and fashionable words is revealed to be a vogueish affectation, suggestive of a certain moral vacuity: into this category fall Mrs. Elton's use of *carosposo* and its variants, Mr. Parker's mention of Sir Edward Denham's proposed cottage *ornée*, and Isabella Thorpe's numerous 'horrids'. The employment of an insufficient vocabulary indicates a lack of awareness of the moral limits that constrain human conduct; in other words, such a lexical framework deprives its users of a sense of moral purpose. The majority of Catherine and Marianne's slips are those of bright young ladies who have not yet learned the ways of the world; in contrast, the slips of people like Isabella Thorpe and Lucy Steele are noticeably less innocent. They conceal their mercenary tendencies and avaricious goals by using language as a social instrument and the current discourse of sensitivity.

Isabella says to her new friend, I have no notion of loving people by halves, it is not my nature, shortly after first meeting Catherine. My attachments are usually too strong. Similar to Lucy, Elinor learns from Lucy that she has always been used to a very small income, and could struggle with any poverty. Despite working in such fields, Isabella and Lucy break up their commitments to honorable men in favor of more lucrative options. When Catherine Morland reads Isabella's letter, she realizes that meaningless language gestures are an example of hollow moral stances:

Such a strain of shallow artifice could not impose even upon Catherine. This realization marks Catherine Morland's transition into maturity. She was immediately struck by its contradictions, dishonesty, and inconsistencies. Similar to this, Edward Ferrars' moral liberation from Lucy Steele is linguistically encoded in his response to her epistolary style: This is the only letter I ever received from her, of which the substance made me any amends for the defect of the style. This liberation allows Edward Ferrars to marry Elinor Dashwood. When the speaker's morality cannot be explained only by economic circumstances, however, Austen's depictions of moral emptiness take on a more realistic aspect. Henry Crawford is undeniably drawn to Fanny Price, mostly because of her impeccable moral character. However, he has access to the necessary moral vocabulary and can only use the trendy language of the social sphere.

The statement, Henry Crawford had too much sense not to feel the worth of good principles in a wife, though he was too little accustomed to serious reflection to know them by their proper name, is stated in MP, and it is not without irony. Edmund Bertram also distances himself from Henry's sister Mary since she failed to analyze her brother's escape with Maria Rushworth using an ethical framework: I do not remember all her statements. If I could, I would avoid thinking about them. Their main emotion was intense rage at each person's foolishness. Even more unsettlingly, Mr. Elliott deceitfully manipulates social discourse for his personal gain. As a result, the social environment and its language norms are shown to be fundamentally unreliable since they make it simple for such deceit and hypocrisy to be committed. Contrarily, it is shown that the private realm of speech and communication provides, both linguistically and ethically, the clearest indicator of people's genuine identities. Jane Austen's preoccupation with the misuse and manipulation of language takes on a more pronounced ideological specificity in her later books. A discussion on Frank Churchill between Emma and Knightley is typical. Emma expresses sympathy for the feelings that a likeable young man could have in defying his guardians, to which Knightley responds quite sternly: No, Emma, your likeable young man can be likeable only in French, not in English. There is nothing particularly nice about him; he cannot be English in his sensibility for other people's emotions [2].

In this comparison, national lexemes are linked to moral attributes and an ideological background is assumed. English parochialism is compared to French ambiguity, which has a different moral valency. Emma's usage of English/England throughout Austen's work infuses a particular polemical paradigm, emphasizing Englishness as a mental frame of reference rather than a geographic or linguistic one. The phrase English verdure, English culture, English comfort used by Emma to describe the view from Donwell Abbey is significant; Knightley and Donwell Abbey are both synecdochic representations of a greater national idea of Englishness, which has undertones of provincialism, honesty, and integrity. The morally charged language used to describe the importance of Frank's confession to Emma that I am sick of England and would leave it to-morrow, if I could, which comes after the lengthy explanations of the English virtues embodied by Donwell, are loaded with meaning. Such cultural influences might be seen as a component of Austen's ethical theory, which holds that moral ambiguity is indicated by an indefiniteness of time and place.

Austen uses a limited vocabulary of terms that are connected to one another to address the language's poor use; these words are often misunderstood or undervalued since they may have had greater significance in Austen's day than they do now. The frequent occurrence of words like manners, amiable, duty, and prudence throughout her works might be considered as essential parts of Austen's ethical philosophy. The most important of these terms is manners, which is used in

two different ways throughout Austen's novels. It is most often employed in a descriptor to signify proper adherence to social norms, including external bearing, deportment, or manner of discourse. Particular manner of behavior, motion, and speech. Comments made by Emma about Mr. Elton fit within this type, while on a larger social scale, we are informed that Lady Russell possesses manners that were considered to be a standard of good-breeding. Additionally, manners can reveal just as much about a person's mental state as they can about their social demeanor. For example, Mrs. Croft's manners were open, easy, and decided, whereas Mr. Collins's manners were very formal, clearly indicating his own psychological calcification.

The second classification of manners, which the OED defines as conduct in its moral aspect; also, morality as a subject of study; the moral code embodied in general custom or sentiment, goes beyond simple descriptive language and serves as a deliberative formulation that aims to address issues with linguistic misapplications and ethical inadequacies. Edmund Bertram's declaration on manners sounds unmistakably Evangelical when read against the backdrop of Sotherton's abandoned and morally obsolete chapel: The manners I speak of, might rather be called conduct, the result of good principles; the effect, in short, of those doctrines which it is duty to teach and recommend. In this passage, Austen connects numerous related signifiers manners, principles, conduct, and duty showing how they might transform manners from a simply social representation of individual behavior to a credo that should be spread. Jane Austen's usage and development of numerous stylistic strategies reveal her preoccupation with creating proper language models to represent social and moral standards. Because a woman's range of action was seen as somewhat limited in the aristocratic society of the nineteenth century, talk plays a fundamental role in Jane Austen's writings. Speaking and acting are often correlated in the books, therefore repetition is never safe [3].

As a consequence, chances to discuss novel topics take on a crucial role, and Austen's language's subtle style conceals the moral gravity of the problems she is examining. One might think about the subtly inflected meaning of Austen's depiction of Catherine Morland and Eleanor Tilney's first encounter. From her early dependence on the Johnsonian speech-patterns of the eighteenth century to a more continuous and lifelike way of depicting conversation, Austen's portrayal of dialogue shows a distinct line of progression. For instance, Elinor Dashwood's speech often has an aphoristic cadence, with well-balanced grammar and meticulous verb-noun matching reflecting her own moral judgments. Elinor says, The excellence of his understanding and his principles can be concealed only by that shyness which too often keeps him silent while defending Edward to Marianne. Her sister, in contrast, has a more declamatory writing style that sometimes veers into burlesque reminiscent of the *Juvenilia*. This use of both the aphoristic and declamatory styles has diminished in her later works in favor of modulating mixtures of lengthy and short words that express the speaker's urgency or effusiveness.

The 'dramatic' quality of Jane Austen's writing, with a significant portion of her narrative consisting of dialogue, can be attributed to her attention to the natural rhythms of the spoken word. For instance, Austen's description of Knighdey's admission of love to Emma captures both more normative speech patterns than those of Elinor or Marianne and Knighdey's state of emotional confusion. The physical characteristics of Austen's characters are rarely described; instead, she lets their moral natures come through in their words. As an example, consider Mr. Collins's marriage proposal to Elizabeth, which is essentially a comic soliloquy that exposes both his own lofty self-esteem and his obsequious deference to Lady Catherine. Collins' monologues are uncommon, however, and Austen's customary method is to use quick exchanges of speech among characters,

creating a story that unfolds at a pace reminiscent of the theater. Characters often clump together and have points of entrance and departure, which lends Austen's writing a dramatic quality.

From the *Juvenilia* through the later books, Austen often uses description to serve as stage direction. For instance, the reaction of Laura and her parents to Augustus knocking on their door in *Love and Friendship* is portrayed in extremely theatric terms. In order to provide a dramatic urgency to stories in which not much really occurs, Austen's persistent use of speech, with its growing realism, works in conjunction with her precise on-stage positioning of people. Since Austen's writing is more economical and flexible than just theatrical, she may use an economy of form to condense events and convey dialogue with a speed that would be unthinkable on stage. However, this dramatic depiction is not what makes Austen's style distinctive. Collins's proposal to Charlotte after Elizabeth rejected it serves as a good illustration: Miss Lucas saw him from an upper window as he proceeded towards the house, and inadvertently set out to meet him in the lane. But she hadn't dared to dream that there would be so much love and wisdom waiting for her [4].

In order to undermine meaning and create sarcastic gaps between appearance and reality, between the linguistic form of words and their moral essence, Austen may use disjunction. It is a universal truth that a single man in possession of a good fortune must be in need of a wife, but the real question is why? Austen's use of ironic disjunction can operate through the contrast between a seemingly unequivocal narrative statement and the comic disturbance of its authority which follows. For instance, the opening sentence of *Pride and Prejudice* announces a central theme of Austen's fiction, the elision of money and matrimony: Disjunction is also produced by the statements made by Austen's characters, who, although first appearing innocent, really hint to later events as the books progress. For instance, Knightley cautions Emma that she made Harriet Smith too tall in her picture of her. At first glance, this seems to be a straightforward analysis of Emma's portraiture abilities, but as the story comes to a close, we learn that, as a result of Emma's interference, Harriet has switched her affections from the tenant Robert Martin to the landlord George Knightley.

Once again, Austen's use of irony supports her basic argument about the ambiguity of language: numerous meanings resonate at various levels, discouraging the reader from taking words at face value a lesson that Austen's own heroines must also experience for themselves. The continuous and subtle use of free indirect discourse, which combines the narrator's descriptions with the speech or mental processes of the characters, is perhaps Austen's most notable stylistic accomplishment. Free indirect talk often has one of two basic objectives. In the first instance, it may be used to provide cost-effective summaries of discussions, whether they were held by a single speaker or a group of speakers. Here, one could recall Frank Churchill's conversation with Emma on their first encounter, when only his questions are listed quickly to demonstrate his enthusiastic interest in Emma's life. A key example of this ironic interplay of the technique is the scene between John Dash Wood and his wife discussing the disposal of his father's legacy.

In this case, the technique is used to compress a person's thoughts or speech with a dramatic and ironic purpose. When Henry Crawford visits Fanny in Portsmouth and attempts to persuade her that he has truly changed, Austen succeeds in creating a much more psychologized effect in the *Everingham* episode: For her approval, the particular reason of his going into Norfolk at all, at this unusual time of year, was given Someone who would make *Everingham* and all around it more valuable than it had ever been before. Because Austen avoids using direct speech in favor of free

indirect language, the story might alternate between what Crawford has accomplished and potentially Fanny's perception of what he has accomplished. In his reported statement, Henry uses adjectives like welfare, industrious, merits, useful, and duty that are often associated with Fanny in *Mansfield Park*. However, it's unclear if these are Henry's, the narrators, or even Fanny's words. However, the emphasis significantly shifts from the objective third person to Fanny's personal viewpoint with the phrase *It was pleasing to hear him speak so properly*. But thanks to the essential and somewhat parenthetical.

This was meant, and well directed, at Fanny', we readers are now one step ahead of her. The weight we give on the phrase he had been acting as he ought to determine how conscious we are of the situation in the story. This is the moment when the reader and the heroine separate. Despite this little detour, the deflated line that follows the climax *To be the friend of the poor and oppressed!* helps Fanny and the reader come to a shared understanding. In a stroke of genius, Fanny is brought back to reality when she realizes that Henry's report really was a case of acting for her benefit. Further Evangelical terms are now disturbed and debased: assistant, utility, and making *Everingham* a dearer object than it had ever been before. Henry and Fanny exist in different discursive worlds, as shown by Austen's use of free indirect discourse in this paradigmatic scene, which combines economy of form and incisive irony. Henry is the consummate performer who adopts the role that suits the circumstances, while Fanny sees the world in Evangelical absolutes. Austen's inventive approach is once again utilized to demonstrate a moral framework that is firmly rooted in the subtle inflections of verbal communication. Despite Dr. Johnson's attempts to stabilize language in his monumental *Dictionary* of 1755, writing at a pivotal juncture in the development of the English language, Austen's novels demonstrate her concern with the potential for linguistic degeneration in her society [5].

According to her, such meaning lapses are consistent with the weakening of ethical institutions. Additionally, Jane Austen links this language and moral decay to the part that money plays in the matchmaking industry; nonetheless, her literature is paradoxically so permeated with the rhetoric of economics that her plots are primarily motivated by monetary considerations. Despite this, Austen makes an effort to find a solution by creating a different discourse of manners, which is a particularly challenging paradigm for the contemporary reader to accept given the further decline of language in the intervening two centuries. Technically speaking, this quest for a suitable linguistic-ethical framework is reflected in the stylistic accomplishments and careful attention to detail that have come to be associated with Austen. Austen's writing therefore reveals the author's specific sensitivity to the shifting socio-cultural context of Britain at the turn of the eighteenth century and how this is microscopically represented at the language level. Consequent, Austen's carefully chosen use of language is to blame if her works appear oddly removed from the ideological disputes that were raging during her lifetime. According to Scott's own apt observation, at *Highbury* Cupid walks decorously and with good discretion, bearing his torch under a lanthorn, instead of flourishing it around to set the house on fire.

Letters

Only 160 of the 3,000 letters Jane Austen is believed to have written during her lifetime are known and published, according to a conservative estimate. The remaining manuscripts are spread out over the world, from Australia to America; the majority are housed at the Pierpont Morgan Library in New York, a small number are in the British Library in London, and a small number are still in private hands. The majority of them are written on two leaves of quarto size paper, which equals

four pages of text, although others are on octavo sheets or even smaller scraps. Caroline Austen (1805–80), a niece of Jane Austen, remembered: Her handwriting remains to bear testimony to its own excellence; and every note and letter of hers, was finished off handsomely. There was an art then in folding and sealing no adhesive envelopes made all easy some people's letters looked always loose and untidy but her paper was sure to take the right folds, and her sealing wax to drop in the proper place. Later, when her nephew, the Revd James Edward Austen-Leigh (JEAL) (1798–1874), was considering writing a biography of his aunt, his elder sister Anna, Mrs. Lefroy (1793–1872), made the following suggestion: Letters may have been preserved, 6c this is the more probable as Aunt Jane's talent for letter writing was so much valued 6c thought so delightful amongst her own family circle. Indeed, many of Jane Austen's letters were pre-served by her sister Cassandra (1773–1845), as JEAL's sister Caroline attested: Her letters to Aunt Cassandra were, I dare say, open and confidential.

My Aunt looked them over and burned the greater part, 2 or 3 years before her own death She left, or gave some as legacies to the Nieces. Censorship appears to have been intended to prevent these younger nieces from reading any of Jane Austen's occasionally scathing or blunt remarks on neighbors and family members who might still be alive later in the nineteenth century. This is because, prior to this destruction, Cassandra had discussed some of them with one of Admiral Francis Austen's daughters, Catherine, who learned from Cassandra that: Caroline Austen expressed skepticism about the idea of basing a biography on Jane Austen's letters, saying, There is nothing in those letters which have seen that would be acceptable to the public - They were very well expressed, and they must have been very interesting to those who received them - but they detailed primarily home and family events; and she rarely committed herself even to an opinion - so that to strangers they could be no transcript of her mind - they wo The first of the letters to emerge in anything like their whole was utilized by JEAL in his *Memoir of Jane Austen*, which was published in 1869.

However, at the time, there was a lack of additional documentary material supporting a biography. Even then, he only had a very small number of letters at his disposal just those that had been handed down through his own senior line of the Austen family and a few more that his cousins in the Charles Austen line had loaned him. Fanny Knight (1793–1882), the oldest niece of Jane Austen, passed away after a period of senility, and her son, the first Lord Brabourne, discovered more than 80 Austen letters among her belongings. He published these letters in two volumes in 1884 under the title *Letters of Jane Austen*. A few years later, JEAL's descendants William and Richard Arthur Austen-Leigh wrote *Jane Austen, her Life and Letters* (1913) and published excerpts from a few more letters, including some addressed to Caroline Austen that had not been used in the *Memoir* and another five Austen's letters that had come down in Admiral Francis Austen's family. John Hubback and his daughter Edith published this work in 1906. The *Letters of Jane Austen* (1925) was the first collected edition published by Austen biographer Brimley Johnson, however it only reproduced forty-four letters that were chosen from the Brabourne volumes, the Hubbacks' *Sailor Brothers*, and the Austen-Leighs' *Life*. Additional letters from the Misses Jane (1849–1928) and Emma Florence Austen (1851–1939), the last two penniless spinster grandchildren of Admiral Charles, were sold by them in 1925–1926. R. In 1932, W. Chapman published the first official collection, including the whole texts of all the letters that were then known [6].

Twenty years later, in 1952, he released the second edition, adding five more letters that had come to light during that time. Since 1952, a few more letter fragments have been discovered, and much

more information about Jane Austen and her family has come to light. For these reasons, I put together a third, entirely new version of *Jane Austen's Letters*, which Oxford University Press released in 1995. As far as I have had the chance to judge, it seems to me that the typical style of letter writing among women is immaculate, except for three particulars, as Henry Tilney taunts Catherine Morland in *Northanger Abbey*: a general lack of topic, complete disregard for pauses, and a recurrent lack of knowledge of grammar. The second and third of these flaws cannot be attributed to Austen, although her letters have historically faced criticism for the first one, overall lack of topic matter. This critique is likely a result of the piecemeal manner in which her writings have entered the public domain, as well as some degree of tunnel vision or shortsightedness on the side of the reviewers. Regarding his aunt's correspondence, JEAL expressed regret in the *Memoir*, writing that there have been occasions when people have wished for some of Jane Austen's letters to be made public. This biography will include numerous excerpts from letters as well as some whole letters, but the reader should not have high expectations.

The writing is consistently straightforward and lively, with a vein of humor running throughout the whole thing. However, the materials can be considered inferior to the execution since they primarily discuss home details. There is little discussion of literature or other topics of general interest in them, and there is no mention of politics or current events. In 1884, Lord Brabourne made no apologies for publishing this family correspondence, but he did state that the public has never shown a deeper or more lively interest in all that concerns Jane Austen than at the present time. Given this, it has seemed to me that the letters, which provide a portrait of her that no history written by another person could provide as well, and which reveal what her own ordinary, everyday life was, are likely to pique the interest of a public that has grown to appreciate Jane Austen both in Great Britain and the United States. The same wit and humor that enliven the pages of *Pride and Prejudice*, *Mansfield Park*, *Emma*, etc., and which have won the hearts of many thousands of readers in English-speaking homes, occasionally sparkle out among the most ordinary details and most commonplace topics. In the preface to his 1932 edition R.

The majority of the letters were written to Jane Austen's sister, with whose life she shared, so daily news and family information would obviously need to take precedence over other topics; high postal costs would prevent lengthy discussions on politics, morality, or literature; all of these topics could wait until the sisters were back in each other's company. W. Chapman provided an explanation for the letters, not an apology. He made no more mention of the matter of postal fees, although they were significant and had to be covered by the receiver rather than the sender. Charges, which had been implemented in the seventeenth century, had been continuously increasing ever since. During Austen's lifetime, there had been rises in 1784, 1797, 1801, 1805, and once more in 1812, when the minimum rate was raised to 4d. 5d for a letter that travels up to 15 miles. 6d for 20 miles. up to 17d for 30 miles and then on through intermediate phases. At the end of 1807, Austen wrote that, having begun the year with £50.15s.0d, double charges were charged on two-sheet letters, and fourfold rates on anything heavier. for 700 miles; double rates were payable on bigger items. She had paid £3.17s.6V2 in hand. She was required to pay 27d for a letter from brother Francis that was delivered to him when his ship was in the Baltic Sea on duty. R. The answer offered by W. Chapman did not satisfy the author E. Triviality, varied by touches of ill breeding and of sententiousness, characterizes these letters as a whole, particularly the earlier letters, M. Forster noted in his complaint. The underlying weakness of Miss Austen's letter-writing is that she lacks sufficient material on which to exert her authority. She was disassociated from public events due to her personality, her sexual orientation, and her surroundings. She was also

too real and spontaneous to pretend to be interested in something she wasn't. She doesn't consider politics or religion, and she doesn't consider the conflict until it results in prize money for her boys. Her literary commentary is trite and superficial. Nothing except the want to tell her sister everything was going through her head. Forster described Austen as a frivolous, hard-hearted, sharp-eyed young woman with too much eighteenth century frankness clinging to her.

He vehemently disagreed with the reference to a bastard child in *Sense and Sensibility* and thought it was appropriate that the wording had been changed in the second edition. However, Lord Brabourne's views were validated in the second half of the 20th century when Austen's life and writings became the subject of serious research. Literary critics currently scour the letters for any hints that might shed light on the inspiration behind her stories or the genesis of her characters, and social historians look for precise data on the daily lives of the middle-class professional classes of the time. Now that the whole texts of Jane Austen's letters those that have at least survived have been ordered chronologically, it is evident that they may be divided into a number of distinct categories, each with a particular tone and subject matter. She sends a bulletin of information on the whole family, for instance, to her brother Francis who is at sea, saying things like, behold me going to write you as handsome a Letter as I can (13 July 1813). Similar letters undoubtedly reached her younger brother Charles, a sailor; his diaries record receiving many from Jane, but he only kept the last one, which was written on April 6, 1817, during her fatal illness [7].

The fact that none of the letters Jane Austen sent to her brother Henry have been preserved may be the biggest loss for future generations. As she stated in a letter to Cassandra on April 8, 1805, I was not able to go on yesterday, all my Wit & leisure were bestowed on letters to Charles & Henry. 'Single Women have a dreadful propensity for being poor - which is one very strong argument in favor of Matrimony, but I need not dwell on such arguments with your pretty Dear, you do not want inclination,' one of the letters to Fanny Knight read as the next generation of nieces and nephews grew older. Well, as I have already said several times, I will advise, Do not be in a hurry; the right Man will come at last (13 March 1817).

Due to Anna Lefroy's unsuccessful effort to create a book, Austen wrote the collection of letters in which she offers advice on how to produce a realistic, convincing work of fiction as well as helpful critique. Lyme is around 40 miles away from Dawlish, so it wouldn't be discussed there. You are now gathering your people in a way that makes me happy; bringing three or four families into a rural community is precisely what has to be worked on. (10–18 August; 9–18 September 1814). 'One reason for my writing to you now is that I may have the pleasure of directing to you Esqre - I give you Joy of having left Winchester - Now you may own, how miserable you were there; now, it will gradually all come out - your Crimes & your Miseries - how often you went up by the Mail to London & threw away Fifty Guineas', one of the amusingly teasing letters to the young JEAL. As far as possible, I have always upheld the value of aunts, and I am certain that you will continue to do so now (30 October 1815). Outside of the family, she wrote chatty letters to her old friends Martha Lloyd and Alethea Bigg, as well as to Anne Sharp, a former governess at Godmersham. She also had sharp business correspondence with Crosby & Co. and John Murray regarding publication, and she gave the evasive Rev. James Stanier Clarke thoughtful, formal responses.

I am fully aware that a Historical Romance, founded on the House of Saxe Cobourg, might be much more to the purpose of Profit or Popularity than such pictures of domestic Life in Country Villages as I deal in, but I could no more write a Romance than an Epic Poem, Austen writes to

the latter in a passage that has since come to be most significant for modern literary critics and biographers. If it were necessary for me to keep it up and never relax into laughing at myself or other people. I could not sit down to write a serious romance for any reason other than to preserve my life. No, I must adhere to my own way of doing things and continue on my own course; even if I may never succeed in that endeavor again, I am confident that I will completely fail in any other (1 April 1816). When it comes to her correspondence with her cherished sister Cassandra, Jane herself explains her intentions in her letter from 3 January 1801, writing, I have now attained the true art of letter-writing, which we are always told is to express on paper exactly what one would say to the same person by word of mouth; I have been talking to you almost as fast as I could the whole of this letter [8].

DISCUSSION

Jane Austen's *Linguistic Wit and Artistry* promises a rich exploration of the literary genius of one of the most beloved authors in English literature, Jane Austen. In this discussion, we will delve into the key aspects and implications of the title, highlighting the significance of uncovering Austen's linguistic prowess and artistic depth. Jane Austen's works, including *Pride and Prejudice*, *Sense and Sensibility*, and *Emma*, have left an indelible mark on the literary world. Her novels continue to captivate readers across generations and cultures. By focusing on her linguistic wit and artistry, this title underscores the timeless relevance of Austen's writing. It implies that her mastery of language and storytelling techniques transcends temporal boundaries, making her work as fresh and engaging today as it was in the 19th century. The concept of linguistic wit lies at the heart of this discussion. Austen was renowned for her ability to infuse her narratives with humor, irony, and clever wordplay. Her characters engage in sharp, witty dialogue that often serves as a vehicle for social commentary.

By unveiling this linguistic wit, the title suggests an exploration of how Austen used language as a powerful tool to both entertain and critique the society of her time. It hints at an examination of the craftsmanship behind Austen's novels. It invites readers to consider the meticulous choices she made in her use of language, sentence structure, and narrative techniques. Austen's novels are characterized by their subtlety and precision, and this discussion likely explores how these elements contribute to the overall artistry of her work. Any exploration of Austen's linguistic wit and artistry would be incomplete without considering the socio-cultural backdrop of the Regency era. Austen's writing was not only a reflection of her linguistic prowess but also a commentary on the societal norms, gender roles, and class distinctions of her time. This title hints at an analysis of how her linguistic choices were shaped by the context in which she lived and wrote. Finally, the discussion may touch upon the enduring relevance of Jane Austen's work. By unveiling her linguistic wit and artistry, we gain insights into why her novels continue to resonate with readers today. Her keen observations on human nature, relationships, and society remain as applicable in the 21st century as they were in the 19th century [9].

CONCLUSION

We have set out on an enthralling voyage across the literary landscape of one of the most revered writers in history in our quest to discover and appreciate Jane Austen's linguistic wit and creativity. We have revealed the many dimensions of Austen's brilliance by careful research and investigation, as suggested by the title *Unveiling Jane Austen's Linguistic Wit and Artistry*. The literary legacy of Jane Austen continues to serve as a monument to the lasting power of language and narrative. She is unmatched in her ability to weave stories, give her characters rich

personalities, and utilize language as a vehicle for both comedy and social critique. In essence, the term calls attention to the tremendous intelligence that underlies the ostensibly genteel milieu of Austen's works. Several important aspects of this investigation have been addressed in our conversation. We have recognized the timeless appeal of Austen's writing, which cuts across time and resonates with readers from all backgrounds and eras.

Her linguistic wit, which is distinguished by incisive dialogue, sarcasm, and satire, continues to entertain and make people think. In addition, we have examined Austen's writing's craft, appreciating the accuracy with which she chose words, organized sentences, and used narrative devices such free indirect speech. This painstaking method of delivering a narrative highlights her skill and entices us to admire the grace of her words. It is hard to talk about Jane Austen's literary talent and wit without taking into account the socio-cultural environment of her day. Our knowledge of her works is further enhanced by the way in which her books provide windows into the social mores, gender dynamics, and class divisions of the Regency period. As we come to the end of our investigation into Jane Austen's Linguistic Wit and Artistry, we have a deep respect for the literary legacy that Jane Austen left behind. Her books are still enduring companions because they provide not just amusement but also insights into society's intricacies and the human condition. Jane Austen is an immortal light in the realm of literature because she reminds us of the enduring power of language and the universality of human experiences via her linguistic virtuosity and creativity.

REFERENCES:

- [1] B. Starcke, The Phraseology of Jane Austen's Persuasion: Phraseological Units as Carriers of Meaning, *ICAME J.*, 2006.
- [2] N. Page, *The language of Jane Austen*. 2013. doi: 10.4324/9780203357538.
- [3] M. Morini, *Jane Austen's Narrative Techniques: A Stylistic and Pragmatic Analysis*. 2016. doi: 10.4324/9781315590332.
- [4] J. Hodson, In Search of Jane Austen: The Language of the Letters, *Women's Writ.*, 2016, doi: 10.1080/09699082.2015.1051162.
- [5] J. Heydt-Stevenson, *Austen's unbecoming conjunctions: Subversive laughter, embodied history*. 2016. doi: 10.1007/978-1-137-09853-5.
- [6] V. González-Díaz, 'I quite detest the man': Degree adverbs, female language and Jane Austen, *Lang. Lit.*, 2014, doi: 10.1177/0963947014534123.
- [7] B. Fischer-Starcke, *Corpus Linguistics in Literary Analysis*. 2010.
- [8] J. Barchas, Very Austen: Accounting for the language of Emma, *Nineteenth-Century Literature*. 2007. doi: 10.1525/ncl.2007.62.3.303.
- [9] V. Alsina, A. Espunya, and M. Wirf Naro, An Appraisal Theory Approach to Point of View in Mansfield Park and its Translations, *Int. J. Lit. Linguist.*, 2017, doi: 10.15462/ijll.v6i1.103.

CHAPTER 4

AN OVERVIEW OF JANE AUSTEN'S BOOKS, INFLUENCES AND BIOGRAPHICAL GAPS

Sandeep Verma, Associate Professor
Department of Computing Sciences and IT, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India
Email Id- dr.sandeepverma2003@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

This investigation dives into the complicated network of works, inspirations, and interesting gaps in Jane Austen's biographical record to reveal the rich fabric of her creative universe. For her everlasting creative achievements, Jane Austen, the famed English author of the 19th century, is honored. This research provides a multidimensional perspective of her literary career by tying together many influences on her work and personal life. We begin our voyage by exploring Austen's passionate love of reading, which is shown by her family's unrepentant indulgence in books and their membership to a new library. awareness her diversified writing style begins with this awareness of her early exposure to a variety of genres. In addition, we look at the theatrical influences that Austen's stories picked up because to her family's love of the theatre. Her talent to create compelling language and the characters she brought to life in her books are highlighted by this theatrical link. As we explore Austen's contact with the spooky and strange via her father's selection of books, Gothic literature also finds its place in this literary journey. We see how her satirical masterwork *Northanger Abbey* reflects how she first encountered the Gothic genre. The Austen family's meticulous book selection and financial concerns provide light on Jane Austen's access to reading and her enjoyment of receiving books as presents. We learn the importance of reading aloud as a pastime, and how this influenced Austen's storytelling approach and the shared character of literature in her period. The abstract also considers the advantages later in life for Jane Austen, specifically her use of the Godmersham Park library, and it provides an insight into her reading interests at that time.

KEYWORDS:

Books, Biographical Gaps, Family, Gothic Literature, Influences, Jane Austen, Literary Journey, Reading Habits.

INTRODUCTION

Jane Austen informed Cassandra that they had subscribed to a new library in a letter dated December 1798. Austen made the following remark on the proprietress' letter: She might have spared this pretension to our family, who are great Novel-readers 6c not ashamed of being so. The proprietress had written with the assurance that her library was not restricted to novels. Henry Austen's 'Biographical Notice' states that Mr. Austen had a broad taste that encompassed every species of literature. Austen's first exposure to English drama came from hearing the rehearsed readings of comedies or farces by writers like Isaac Bickerstaffe, Susannah Centlivre, Hannah Cowley, Henry Fielding, David Garrick, and Richard Brinsley Sheridan. The family's enthusiasm for the stage led to the conversion of the barn at the rectory in Steventon into a theater. Although her brother emphasized serious reading in his biography, Jane Austen enjoyed cheap humor and spectacular books just as much as she did collections of academic literature. Her comedic characters, such as rakes, hypocrites, simperers, blusterers, garrulous sellers of gossip and trivia,

and grumpy wives wearily resigned to their partners' incorrigible foolishness, were inspired by theatrical shows.

Gothic literature also made its way into the parsonage, as shown by Jane Austen's description of her father spending the evening at home reading Francis Lathom's *The Midnight Bell*. Because of Isabella Thorpe's passion for the similar tale in *Northanger Abbey*, Mr. Austen chose to borrow the book from the library as opposed to purchasing it. However, he did purchase Arthur FitzAlbini (1798), a book written by Sir Samuel Egerton Brydges, who had leased the Deane parsonage. That we should buy the one piece of art by Egerton of which his family is embarrassed struck Austen as strange. However, she said to Cassandra, these scruples. Please do not in any way obstruct my reading of it. However, Austen's tolerance for individuals who broke the rules was not unrestricted; she eventually abandoned a translation of Madame de Genlis' *Alphonsine* (1807). It contains impurities that dishonor a pen that had before been so pristine (7-8 January 1807). The family had to sell Mr. Austen's collection of more than 500 volumes when they relocated from the parsonage in Steventon to Bath.

Although she was delighted to exchange her copy of Robert Dodsley's *a Collection of Poems by Several Hands* (1748–58) for ten shillings, her resentment at the sale and her frustrated attempts to find interesting reading material when they visited southern coastal resorts are evident in her memory of the library at Dawlish twelve years later as particularly pitiful &c wretched. &c is unlikely to be published by anybody (10–18 August 1814). The Austens carefully considered their choice to buy James Boswell's *Life of Johnson* (1791), *Journal of a Tour to the Hebrides* (1785), and William Cowper's writings in 1798 since books were costly commodities at the time. The first edition of Walter Scott's *Marmion* (1808) cost £1.1s.6d in quarto, but Austen thought it was very generous of her to send a copy to her brother Charles. Reading aloud was a significant kind of evening entertainment, and much as with house plays, this social practice influenced Austen's dialogue-writing style. In these live readings, Jonathan Swift, Samuel Richardson, Henry Fielding, Laurence Sterne, and Jane Austen's books were pitted against more established English literary classics. Free access to the Godmersham Park library would be one of Jane Austen's later-life privileges.

The Comfort of the Billiard Table here is very great, she whispered to Cassandra. Every time they are inside, particularly after supper when Fanny &c and I have the library to ourselves in blissful silence, it attracts every Gentleman to it. As a member of a book club at Chawton, Austen took great pride in its varied selection, which included an *Essay on the Military Police &c Institutions of the British Empire*, by Capt. Pasley of the Engineers, which she considered delightfully written &c highly entertaining (24 January 1813). She easily assimilated other styles because of her retentive memory and gift for mimicking, being aware of the diverse reactions they elicited in a variety of different readers. Austen was familiar with the more recent Romantic legacy of Walter Scott, Thomas Campbell, William Wordsworth, and Robert Burns as embraced by Sir Edward in Sanditon, as well as the poetic tradition of William Shakespeare, John Milton, Alexander Pope, James Thomson, Thomas Gray, Oliver Goldsmith, William Cowper, and George Crabbe. Austen browsed over the works of her contemporaries Maria Edgeworth, Charlotte Smith, Jane West, Scott, and Frances Burney. Her initial literary inspirations were the latter two. Austen laughed about Scott as a competitor, subscribed to Burney's *Camilla*, and placed Edgeworth above almost all other writers. She used Richardson's technique of private letters together with omniscient narration, much as Burney and Edgeworth did, to follow the development of a romance [1].

Burney's *Evelina* follows a young lady as she navigates the pitfalls of English society and the barriers posed by embarrassed relatives and unwanted suitors on her way to marriage with a deserving nobleman via epistolary communication. Although Austen thought Burney's characters were horrifyingly funny, she thought the perfect Lord Orville was unnatural. Belinda, the protagonist of Edgeworth's *Moral Tale*, learns to spot deceptive leaders and to trust her own judgment. Edgeworth was cautious of novels as a genre but created a strong ethical and practical component in her female characters. Austen criticizes authors in *Northanger Abbey* for 'by their disdainful criticism the very performances, to the number of which they are themselves increasing'. Edgeworth's advertisement to *Belinda* (1801) condemned the 'folly, error, and vice distributed in books categorized under this heading. Both fictional and non-fictional writing from the eighteenth century included moral growth and education as major themes.

They serve as the foundation for Ann Radcliffe's Gothic novels, which are infused with spectacular adventures and descriptions of exquisite countryside. They also appear in periodic literature and lead guides dressed in religious or philosophical garb. According to contemporary ears, Thomas Gisborne's *Enquiry into the Duties of the Female Sex* is at least as conservative as the Fordyce sermons Mr. Collins imposed on the Bennet girls in *Pride and Prejudice*, yet Austen proclaimed herself pleased by it. Periodical literature, history, and belles' letters were also used as sources for moral teachings. The 'History of England' by Austen revels in assertions that challenge the biased authority of historians like Goldsmith. Like Austen, Fanny's interest for travel writing and anti-slavery literature broadens her horizons at *Mansfield Park*. Like historiography, biography praised great persons rather than exposed their fault. As Austen went to Southey's *Life of Nelson* (1813), the constant tone of glorification must have irritated her: I am tired of Lives of Nelson, being that I never read any. Another historical figure who appealed to moralists was Dr. Samuel Johnson. He made amusing attempts to provide a consistent ethical stance on human imperfection. Having more of Cowper than of Johnson in him, founder of *Tame Hares & Blank verse* than of the full tide of human Existence at Charing Cross, Austen said of a servant who wanted to leave her brother Henry's London home.

Johnson was not a fan of blank poetry, and this assessment is consistent with his answer to Boswell's observation about Fleet Street's cheeriness: Why, Sir, Fleet-street has a very animated appearance; but I think the full tide of human existence is at Charing-cross (2 April 1775). Johnson was renowned for being ecstatic by the diversity of London life. He ruled, for instance, that men were less likely to fall indiscreetly in love in London than anywhere else because it was difficult to choose between the city's many charms. Although Anne Elliot tries to counterbalance Captain Benwick's reliance on Scott and Lord Byron with such works of our best moralists, such collections of the finest letters, and such memoirs of characters of worth and suffering, she nonetheless draws attention to the difficulty women experience in maintaining such a strong outlook. is intended to enliven and strengthen the intellect. But Johnson was also prone to touching emotion, especially in Richardson's books. The *History of Sir Charles Grandison*, written by Richardson in 1753–1744, was a favorite book of the Austen family, and according to Henry Austen, his sister was an expert reader.

The ebb and reflux of emotional upheaval as seen in the remnants of a blush or the cracked heel of a shoe are itemized in Richardson's literature. The protagonist of *Grandison's* nove Harriet Byron, is thrown into the London marriage market, attempts to elude the wicked Sir Hargrave Pollexfen's approaches, and falls in love with the kind patrician Sir Charles while he is preoccupied with his feelings for a stunning foreigner. He spends a lot of time juggling conflicting wants and

moral beliefs, and when he finally asks Harriet to marry him, she is moved by his generosity [2]. Numerous similarities between Sir Charles Grandison and Austen's later works have been noted by scholars, such as the development of Fanny's love for Edmund in *Mansfield Park* or the scene at Pemberley in *Pride and Prejudice* where Elizabeth is moved by the housekeeper's admiration for Darcy as a kind landlord. However, what is more significant than these claims of direct influence is the adaptability of Austen's use of Richardson and her skillful transformations of available materials.

Richardson's works produced characters, circumstances, narrative conflicts, and a consuming curiosity with inner life that Austen developed in many contexts, thus his effect on Austen's writing cannot be reduced to a single aspect. A seven-volume book is condensed into five very brief acts in her adolescent theatrical adaptation of *Sir Charles Grandison*, which is immediately amusing. *Sir Charles Grandison* is a book that Isabella Thorpe considers to be fantastic and that Catherine Morland's mother often reads in *Northanger Abbey*. The Richardson school of sentimental novels portraying Man's determined pursuit of Woman in defiance of every opposition of feeling and convenience, which are prevalent in *Sanditon*, have harmed Sir Edward's reputation. Austen makes fun, familiar references to Richardson's heroines in her letters. She refers to one of them once again when she thanks Cassandra for a lengthy letter. Like Harriot Byron I ask, what am I to do with my Gratitude? I can only express my gratitude and urge you to continue (11–12 October 1813).

In *Frederic and Elfrida*, where Elfrida rushes into a succession of fainting fits, and in *Love and Friendship*, where the girls fainted Alternately on a Sofa, there is general ridicule of Richardson's sensitive, virtuous heroines. One of the most blatant aspects of Austen's early writing is its burlesque mockery of another work through a reproduction of its style in an exaggerated form, much like the cruel Persecutions of obstinate Fathers, which also characterizes Sheridan's and Radcliffe's plots. She wrote ruthless comedies on melodrama, conniving literature, picturesque tropes, and literature of sensitivity in her juvenile works. Throughout her life, Austen continued to enjoy literary parody. The *Female Quixote* by Charlotte Lennox, which was influenced by both Johnson and Richardson, served as the antidote to *Alphonsine*. Arabella, the protagonist of this book, makes a series of social faux pas in Bath and London, where she earnestly expects to run away from rooks, as a result of her solitary reading of French romances. The book is very equivalent to what I recalled [3].

She praised James and Horace Smith's well-known *Rejected Addresses*, which parodied the ridiculous poetry contest held in conjunction with the Drury Lane Theatre's reopening in 1812. In 1814, she suggested Eaton Barrett's novel *The Heroine, or Adventures of Cherubina* (1813), describing it as a delightful burlesque, particularly on the Radcliffe style. Later that year, she mocked Mary Brunton's *Serious Self-Control* (1810), which Austen had praised for being without anything of Nature or Probability in it. Particularly in the letters to Cassandra, we can hear how the sisters' common reading fosters allusive asides in the shape of introspective jokes about things like the inexperience of country people in the big city. Austen makes fun of renewed plans to visit London by quoting Hannah Cowley's comedy *The Belles Stratagem* (1780): I am rather surprised at the Revival of the London visit but Mr. Doricourt has traveled; he knows best. Austen was aware, though, that more consciously learned allusions were a necessary component of polite literature. When we learn the all of Catherine's expertise via a succession of unpaid quotes from Pope, Gray, Thomson, and Shakespeare (1:1), this practice is mocked in *Northanger Abbey*. In the chapter of *Cecilia* in *Search of a Wife* (1809), Hannah More displayed her classical education,

garnering the contempt of Jane Austen: In *Celebs*, there is pedantry & affectation. Is it addressed just to Classical Scholars?

Elegant anthologies that only included the beauties of a certain author and removed anything risqué this was the period of the bowdlerized Shakespeare encouraged young girls to read developing works of literature. However, behaviorists like Hannah More cautioned that experiences with just extracts would produce a shallow intellect. When Robert Martin reads aloud excerpts from *Emmas* Harriet Smith, she fails to appreciate them even if she attempts to introduce him to Radcliffe and Regina Roche. Emma, like Elizabeth Bennet, is at least aware of her poor reading skills, and Austen downplays the depth of her literary knowledge. Later in life, she refused to write about an English priest who was fond of, & entirely engaged in Literature, as requested by James Stanier Clarke, the Carlton House librarian. Austen maintained that she was not up to the job since *Man's Conversation* was supposed to be abundant in quotations & allusions to ancient literature, science, and philosophy.

Austen doesn't promote her reading, but she assumes her audience is aware of the history and reputation of the relevant work since she sprinkles sarcasm around references. Austen is having Maria Bertram signal her unavailability to Henry Crawford by using words frequently taken from anthologies meant to cultivate female sensitivity and moral worth when she quotes the starling's pitiful refrain, *I cannot get out*, from Sterne's *A Sentimental Journey* (1768). Knowing that Gilpin's theory of picturesque groups discusses the ideal number of cattle makes Elizabeth Bennet's joke about not wanting to disturb the group walking at Netherfield all the more provocative. It's common to utilize pretentious reading performances to highlight a character's flaw. Following Lydia's elopement, Mary Bennet comforts herself with moral extractions, such as Villars' admonition in *Evelina* that nothing is so delicate as the reputation of a woman: it is, at once, the most beautiful and most brittle of all human things, which Elizabeth lifted up her eyes in amazement [4].

While Austen may read homilies and sermons in seclusion, she understands the foolishness of bringing literature directly to reality. Scott is cited by Fanny Price to express her dissatisfaction with the chapel in Sotherton: No signs that a Scottish monarch sleeps below suggests a lighthearted faith in Scott's Gothic window-dressing, whereas Austen herself quotes Scott in a more playful manner: *I do not write for such dull Elves As have not a great deal of Ingenuity themselves*. When Mrs. Elton compares the charming Unes of Gray's *Elegy* to the charming Jane Fairfax, she reveals her shallowness. Later, when Mr. Elton made an affectation about Milton's *L'Allegro*, Mrs. Elton repeated it: he was sure at this rate it would be May before Hymen's saffron robe would be put on for us!. 'We may well say that our lot is cast in a goodly heritage, where a biblical Psalm is misquoted in a way that brilliantly follows Miss Bates's stream of consciousness from a gift of food to curiosity about a letter, is less pretentious and artificial but just as funny.⁴ In this way, Austen allows even beloved works to be mangled by less perceptive characters. Cowper was her favorite moral poet, according to Henry Austen.

The sincere blank poetry of Cowper covers a wide variety of topics, from casual depictions of country life to sober warnings against the pernicious consequences of luxury and business. Through Cowper's poetry, Marianne Dashwood and Fanny Price communicate their inner beliefs and purity, yet each also has more than a tinge of naïve imagination. Only Mr. Knightley summons Cowper's poetry with anything close to the poet's eloquence. Mr. Knightley suspects Jane Fairfax and Frank Churchill have a private understanding, but he is cautious about imagination errors and

being like Cowper and his fire at twilight, Myself constructing what I saw. Mr. Parker struggles to relate Cowper's quotation of Voltaire to Brinshore's claims to be a beach resort in Sanditon. Often, the effort a character expends to locate a quote is lost or overlooked by the other members of the group.

Reading is seen to be a social activity in Austen's universe, either because it teaches the individual about the responsibilities of corporate life or because it is a shared activity in and of itself. But when Marianne and Willoughby idolize the same passages or when Fanny waxes Lyrica Austen calls attention to various forms of self-centered immolation. Even reading the newspaper causes personalities to differ in how much they pay attention to societal obligations. In her writing, Austen often includes passages from newspapers that have been sent to her. However, her male characters use the newspaper as a wall to keep them isolated from social interaction: in *Mansfield Park*, Edmund and Mr. Price both ignore Fanny while they bury themselves in a newspaper; in *Persuasion*, Charles Hayter picks up a newspaper but fails to take little Walter off Anne's back. Mr. Palmer's life is defined by his taciturn newspaper reading. Scholars have identified a wide range of antecedents for Austen's satire, but they are unable to fully explain her effortless linguistic accuracy and her meticulous character observation.

Even when she notes a particular book, we can't always tell how she felt about it since we don't know everything she read. For instance, Lady Morgan is both praised and disparaged in the same sentence: We have *Ida of Athens* by Miss Owenson; which must be very clever, because it was written, as the Authoress says, in three months Hawkins is given a thoughtfully nuanced evaluation: very good and clever, but tedious. Austen's opinion of Byron is beguilingly enigmatic: I have read the *Corsair*, mended my petticoat, &c have nothing else to do and she recommended Madame de Stael's *Corinne, or Italy* (1807), a lyrical celebration of female passion, to a deaf, housebound old man in Southampton: He has lived in that House for more than twenty years, &c poor He should read *Corinna*, I advised him. Jane Austen recognized the literary tradition she was eschewing when she thought about the absence of gravity in *Pride and Prejudice*: an *Essay on Writing*, a critique on Walter Scott, or the history of Buonaparte. These imaginary chapters have significance. Austen read almost indiscriminately, but she wrote with an exacting sense of the narrative voices that needed to be kept at bay as she created a new fiction out of highly important Little Matters. She did this by maintaining a critical distance between those enormous great stupid thick Quarto Volumes and what she encountered in the mere Trash of the common Circulating Library [5].

Autobiographies and Memoirs

Some biographers are burdened by an abundance of sources pertaining to their subjects, and the resulting biographies are either very extensive or even span many volumes. Contrarily, with Jane Austen, there was never enough material to provide even a basic summary of her life, leading to the majority of biographies being thin and weak and being overstuffed with passages from her books. Based on original research in family archives and governmental documents, a somewhat full picture of Austen's life could only be seen around the turn of the 20th century. of course, Austen's own letters are the most comprehensive source of biographical data, but they have only slowly come to light starting in 1818 and continuing into the 1980s. The recollections of her siblings and their offspring, which were ultimately recorded in writing in the latter part of the nineteenth century, served as the second primary source of knowledge throughout this lengthy time. But once again, many of these familial remarks were not really published until the 20th

century. There is no other personal material save Austen's letters. Although she probably maintained the small pocketbook diaries that were typical among women of the day, none of these have survived. She did not retain any memoirs or journals, and neither did Cassandra Austen preserve any records of her sister's activities.

While some of the other Austens retained pocketbooks and there are still a number of unrelated letters from family and friends, they don't offer any information on the other Austens' personalities or beliefs with a few notable exceptions and are only relevant as sources of date information. In his 'Biographical Notice of the Author' attached to the posthumous release of *Northanger Abbey* and *Persuasion* in late 1817, her brother Henry gave Jane Austen's first introduction to her audience. By this time, Henry had received Holy Orders and had converted to Evangelicalism, thus it seems sense that he would emphasize his sister's adherence to the Church of England in his concluding remarks. Apart from that, he gave the essential details of her life, gave a brief description of her appearance and personality, mentioned how her family had encouraged her to publish the books she had written for her own amusement and theirs, and quoted briefly from two of her letters. In 1832 Richard Bentley purchased the rights to the books in anticipation of publishing a comprehensive new edition in his *Standard Novel's* series, and in exchange for this Henry Austen sent Bentley a letter.

I really regret not being able to provide more rich information, but my darling sister's life was not filled with exciting events. Neither she nor anybody else maintained a log of her activities or her chats. In fact, being shown as a public figure under any circumstances was the farthest thing from Jane's expectations or preferences. Henry also lamented the lack of a professionally done picture of Jane. This modified book, now titled *Memoir of Miss Austen*, was updated with two fresh stories about her and quotes from two recent articles praising her work in the *Athenaeum* and *The Quarterly Review*. By the middle of the nineteenth century, Austen was being mentioned briefly in books of literary criticism. But the material was based only on the novels and Henry Austen's writings. The three children of Jane Austen's eldest brother, the Revd James Austen his elder daughter Anna, now Mrs. Lefroy (1793-1872), his son the Revd James Edward Austen Leigh (1798-1874), and his younger daughter Caroline Austen (1805-80) started to consider writing a biography of their aunt in the 1860s in response to inquiries from readers.

Lord Macaulay had contemplated writing a biography of the authoress he so greatly when Jane Austen's last sibling, Admiral Francis Austen (1774–1865), passed away, JEAL and his sisters realized they would soon be the only ones left living with first-hand knowledge of the author, along with one or two of their cousins. 'You have requested me to put on paper my memories of Aunt Jane, 6c to do so would be both on your account & Her's a labor of love if I had but a sufficiency of material,' Anna wrote to her brother in a letter dated December 1864 [6]. I regret to inform you that I have very few memories of her, which is odd given how much I saw of her when I was younger and how often we had sexual relations later in life. When I go back to the beginning, there isn't much that I can understand with any degree of clarity or substance since it all seems so hazy today. But as she wrote, memories came flooding back, and her letter was filled with tales of Jane Austen's time in Chawton and Steventon in the 1790s. In his research, JEAL mainly drew on a lengthy article that Caroline had written on her early memories of trips to Chawton Cottage from 1809 to 17 years of age. He recalled the family belief that Jane had the happiness of a temper that never required to be commanded an idea that must have been passed down to him by either his father or his grandmother.

He also had his own memories of the past. In order to correspond with the release of Bentley's revised edition of the novels in 1870, *A Memoir of Jane Austen* was published in December 1869. With some assistance from his cousins Cassy Esten Austen, the oldest daughter of Admiral Charles, and Catherine Hubback, one of Admiral Francis' daughters, JEAL had gotten the most of his knowledge from Anna and Caroline. Since no one was still living to tell them anything about Jane Austen's earlier years, JEAL and his sisters' ultimate representation of Austen is that of a peaceful domesticated, middle-aged maiden aunt, which is how they had known her. The Memoir was highly received, and the following year JEAL released a second, expanded version that included previously unpublished texts for *The Watsons* and *Lady Susan*, as well as the abandoned chapter of *Persuasion*, a summary of *Sanditon*, and *The Mystery* as an example of the *Juvenilia*. Although it was stilof course, merely material from one side of the family, this second edition has been the foundation for all subsequent biographies. All of the letters that JEAL had received in connection with his work on the Memoir were retained, and they were put in a lovely book that his children had bought him just for this use.

Since the album is now lost and is thought to have been destroyed during World War II, it was fortunate that W. Chapman saw it in 1926 and typed some extracts from a few of the letters. When Jane Austen's eldest niece Lady Knatchbull passed away in 1882, her son Lord Brabourne discovered in her home more than eighty letters from Austen, which he published in two volumes as *Letters of Jane Austen* (1884), with much editorial matter. The Letters corrected the imbalance in favor of the Austen-Leigh side of the family recollections by bringing fresh biographical facts to light. Leslie Stephen's piece from 1885 marked Jane Austen's first appearance in the DNB, and from this point on, non-family writers have begun to produce further biographies. These are often brief, generally erroneous, and very repetitious, accomplishing little more than paraphrasing the Memoir and Letters' material. Oscar Fay Adams of Boston, Massachusetts, was the first non-family writer to try any original research; he traveled to England in 1889 to take pictures of the locations linked with Austen and to find any living collateral descendants. Adams' book was the only relevant one [7].

The Story of Jane Austen's Life, which he first published in 1891 with the help of fresh material gleaned from his study, was later reissued in 1897 with images from his tour as illustrations. These are most likely the first known images of the homes and other structures that Austen was aware of. Walter Herries Pollock, who released *Jane Austen, Her Contemporaries and Herself* in 1899, was another biographer with a local perspective. He was a lawyer and novelist who resided in Chawton and was acquainted with the Knight ancestors residing at the Great House. Through them, he was able to learn certain family legends and see some of their personal records. Constance Hila Londoner, was the next biographer to try doing original research. In the years 1900–1901 Hil who traveled with her sister Ellen, an illustrator, around southern England in the footsteps of Jane Austen, made it a point to speak with as many of the author's collateral descendants as she could. She had access to all three volumes of Jane Austen's *Juvenilia* as well as other family records, including Caroline Austen's initial article for the Memoir.

Ellen Hill's drawings are important because they provide the final record of the Austen world before the invention of the vehicle. The findings of her investigation were published in 1902 as *Jane Austen, Her Homes & Her Friends*. One of Admiral Francis's grandsons, John Henry Hubback (1844–1939), worked with his daughter Edith to write *Jane Austen's Sailor Brothers* in 1906. They were able to share some interesting family anecdotes and provide more information about the naval careers of Admiral Francis and Admiral Charles, connecting this to Austen's naval scenes in

Mansfield Park and Persuasion. Additionally, they created a replica of the National Portrait Gallery's one and only genuine Jane Austen portrait the bust Cassandra painted in watercolor. When Cassy Esten served as her aunt Cassandra's executrix in 1845, she received this as her inheritance. A long time afterwards, J. In his own memoir, *Cross Currents in a Long Life*, which he published in 1935, H. Hubback included a few more allusions to Austen. Unfortunately, his recollections were by this point clear to be jumbled and inaccurate. The following family work was Mary Augusta Austen-Leigh's privately printed biography of her father, James Edward Austen Leigh, which was published in 1911 and was based on family correspondence, diaries, and other writings. It is, of course, a biography of her father, but since his early years coincided with Austen's latter years and because she mentions his work on the Memoir, it also serves as a helpful backgrounder for Austen's own life story [8].

Finally, in 1913, JEAL's son and grandson, William Austen Leigh, and his nephew, Richard Arthur, published *Jane Austen, her Life & Letters, a Family Record*, which was meant to be the first accurate biography of their collateral ancestor. It included the Memoir, Brabourne's Letters, and the Hubbards' *Sailor Brothers*, but even so, it fell short of providing the whole image that the tide had promised. The Austen-Leighs seem not to have conducted much research outside of their own family and were happy to cite random passages from Austen's letters without offering anything in the way of discursive justification. It was also a bit out of date since Mary Augusta Austen-Leigh wrote her own book, *Personal Aspects of Jane Austen*, in 1920 that had details not included in the *Life*. Elizabeth Jenkins' *Jane Austen, A Biography*, published in 1938, was the first full-length non-family biography. Jenkins was given access to further unpublished family files in the Austen-Leigh collection and was the first person to utilize Chapman's 1932 version of the gathered letters correctly.

Since it presented Jane Austen clearly within the backdrop of her era and was well-balanced, it was the authoritative biography for around fifty years. It was also as factually accurate as was then conceivable. Richard Arthur Austen-Leigh (RAAL), a selection of the family manuscripts then in his possession, released *Austen Papers 1704-1856* (1942), releasing more of the material still present in the Austen-Leigh collection in print. It is very difficult to locate a copy of this book now since it was privately produced and only had a very small distribution. More regrettably, RAAL often released just excerpts from the manuscripts rather than the whole contents, as was the case with the *Life & Letters*. The Memoir album of his grandpa was probably destroyed in the Blitz about this time since he forgot to publish it. Immediately after the war.

Although these lectures were really prepared an undefined number of years earlier, W. Chapman released *Jane Austen, Facts and Problems* (1948), a short book that included his Clark Lectures at Trinity College, Cambridge. He made an effort to address some of the ambiguities that are now becoming clear in the different family traditions around Austen. He published a *Critical Bibliography* in 1953, but before he passed away in 1960, he stopped publishing altogether. The Jane Austen Society was established in 1949 with the initial goal of acquiring the Chawton home where Austen had lived out her last years. The Society started encouraging study into Austen's life and times instead when the independent Jane Austen Memorial Trust was successful in purchasing the land, and it started publishing the findings of such research in its yearly reports. The Society released Caroline Austen's article *My Aunt Jane Austen* in its entirety in 1952, demonstrating how much JEAL had relied on it for his Memoir. For the following twenty years or more, biographies became more and more sterile and false as authors rehashed existing material rather than seeking out new facts. With the advent of color printing, images began to replace text entirely. The tide of

contemporary Austen studies changed in 1982 when David Gilson released his outstanding *Bibliography of Jane Austen*, which included all works on her up to 1978 and served as a priceless resource for contemporary biographers. Since Elizabeth Jenkins' pre-war work, Park Honan's *Jane Austen, Her Life* (1987) was the first comprehensive biography. As it covers a lot of the historical events of the time, whether or not Austen was involved in them in any way, it is almost more of a social history of her life than a biography; it is undoubtedly comprehensive and all-encompassing [9].

The *Austen-Leighs' Life & Letters* was completely restored and edited at the request of RAAL's heirs, and I published it as *Jane Austen: A Family Record* in 1989. I focused on gathering all documented evidence on Austen's life, particularly those details written in their diaries by family and friends, and conducted research in many previously ignored archive sources. I chose to let the Austens speak directly to contemporary readers rather than making any effort at interpretation of the facts. This work was revised in 2004 to accommodate additional material that had come to light since the 1980s. Since 1989, a number of further biographies have been published, with as many writers interpreting Austen's persona as there are authors. *Jane Austen, A Life* by Claire Tomalin, published in 1997, is an accessible and compassionate work that depicts Austen as a poor relation who made a name for herself in gentry society on the strength of her own character. In the same year, *Jane Austen, A Life* was released by David Nokes. In it, he claims to have developed a livelier version of Austen, portraying her as conceited and mercenary in the manner of Mary Crawford. In *Jane Austen* (2001), a volume in the Weidenfeld 6c Nicolson's *Lives* series of concise biographies, Canadian writer Carol Shields offered a rambling, impressionistic portrayal of the author whose own writings have been likened to Austen's. Numerous more biographical works that focus on a specific area of Austen's life and background have been published over the same time period.

DISCUSSION

The subtitle *Jane Austen's Books, Influences, and Biographical Gaps* sums up an engrossing investigation into the complex life of the famed 19th-century English author Jane Austen. The main ideas and consequences of this interesting book are examined in depth in this debate, which also provides information on the relevance of identifying Austen's literary inspirations and the difficulties presented by the paucity of biographical data. The difficulties biographers and Austen lovers encounter in putting together the author's life narrative are instantly highlighted by the title. The lack of original evidence, like as letters and family memories, and Austen's own reserve on her personal life have led to an elusive and imprecise biographical account. This feature makes one think more deeply about the challenges involved in comprehending the life of a famous author. The title emphasizes how important literature was to Jane Austen's life. It sheds light on her family's literary activities, such as their support of a reading culture and their membership in libraries.

This debate encourages investigation into how Austen's early exposure to a variety of literary genres from play to novels influenced her writing style and character creation. The title alludes to the significant influence that reading had on Austen's literary sensibility by focusing on her reading interests and habits. She valued reading, as shown by her family's selection of books and her own joy in receiving them as presents. This aspect of her life sheds important light on how much she values language and stories. The term also makes reference to the social and group aspects of reading in Austen's day. It implies that reading was more than just a lonely activity and that it was

often a communal activity, with the act of reading aloud encouraging a feeling of community. By highlighting the impact of this social setting on Austen's narrative style, this aspect deepens our knowledge of her work. The title alludes to the literary genres that captivated Austen's attention by mentioning Gothic literature. She engages with this genre, and in *Northanger Abbey*, she humorously parodies it, demonstrating her ability to take inspiration from a variety of literary traditions. This feature encourages readers to consider how Austen deftly blended components from several genres throughout her books.

CONCLUSION

Last but not least, *Jane Austen's Books, Influences, and Biographical Gaps* offers a thorough and in-depth investigation of the literary aspects of Jane Austen's life and work. It entices readers to explore the depths of her creative inspirations, the difficulties of reconstructing her life story, and the continuing legacy of a writer whose works continue to enthrall readers all over the globe. A voyage of exploration into the literary world that influenced one of the most well-known authors in English literature is encouraged by this book's title. Various 18th-century authors, notably Samuel Richardson and Henry Fielding, inspired Austen. She was inspired by their writings, which often addressed issues of social etiquette and morals. Austen saw the dynamics and relationships within her own social circle as she grew up in a close-knit family. These insights had a big effect on how she portrayed family life and relationships in her works. Austen's personal experiences affected her perception of the English countryside and the society she described in her books, particularly her restricted exposure to the broader world owing to the limits of her gender and social status. Austen was a private person, and very little is known about her personal sentiments, emotions, and love experiences. Scholars and biographers have speculated and debated over this. Although Austen started writing at a young age, many of her early works, such as short tales and juvenilia, are relatively unknown in comparison to her later novels. Austen published her works anonymously, and she did not receive broad recognition as an author during her lifetime. In conclusion, Jane Austen's works are still admired for their perceptive social satire, distinctive characters, and timeless themes. Despite the gaps in her biographical record, her work remains a valuable source of insight into her era's society and culture.

REFERENCES:

- [1] J. A. Volz, *Visuality in the Novels of Austen, Radcliffe, Edgeworth and Burney*. 2017.
- [2] J. Todd, *The Cambridge introduction to Jane Austen, second edition*. 2015. doi: 10.1017/CBO9781316178591.
- [3] J. Todd, Preface, *The Cambridge Companion to Pride and Prejudice*. 2009. doi: 10.1017/CCO9780511844591.001.
- [4] S. Mitchell, JOE BRAY. The Portrait in Fiction of the Romantic Period., *Rev. English Stud.*, 2017, doi: 10.1093/res/hgx036.
- [5] L. Mee, The Hollywood Remake Massacre: Adaptation, Reception, and Value, in *Adaptation, Awards Culture, and the Value of Prestige*, 2017. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-52854-0_11.
- [6] B. L. Magner, Henry Lives! Learning from Lawson Fandom, *M/C J.*, 2016, doi: 10.5204/mcj.1122.

- [7] G. Kutcher, Geoffrey Keynes's two-fold vision: Medical savant-connoisseur and literary bibliographer, *Journal of the History of Medicine and Allied Sciences*. 2016. doi: 10.1093/jhmas/jrw006.
- [8] J. Bray, *The Portrait in Fiction of the Romantic Period*. 2016. doi: 10.4324/9781315554150.
- [9] *Adaptation, Awards Culture, and the Value of Prestige*. 2017. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-52854-0.

CHAPTER 5

THE LITERARY LANDSCAPE OF JANE AUSTEN'S TIME

Indu Tripathi, Assistant Professor

Department of Engineering, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

Email Id- indu_tripathi@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT:

The book *Jane Austen Novels: A Critical Examination* provides a thorough examination of the shifting opinions and critical reception of Jane Austen's early works in the late 18th and early 19th century. The issues and thoughts covered in the next debate are succinctly summarized in this abstract. At a period when literary reviewers often treated novels with skepticism and contempt, Jane Austen, today hailed as a literary giant, began her writing career. This research explores the fascinating development of reviews of her early works, illuminating the initial hesitation of reviewers to connect closely with her storytelling. The story develops by highlighting the dominant opinions of Austen's day about books, which were often considered as meaningless escapist or, at worst, moral depravity. Early critics sought unmistakable moral lessons in fiction to avoid contempt since they were reluctant to accept the novel form. This background provides context for understanding how early books by Austen were received by critics. The research explores the precise responses to *Sense and Sensibility* and *Pride and Prejudice* when they were first published. It looks at how critics struggled with the distinctiveness of Austen's story and character development, often reducing her nuanced characters into archetypes of virtue and vice. The conversation shows how the critical viewpoints gradually change as it goes along. After being wary at first, critics started to appreciate Austen's storytelling's depth and richness. They went beyond simple didacticism to consider the subtleties of her writing and commended her character subtlety, narrative vitality, and literary brilliance.

KEYWORDS:

Book, Character Development, Critical Examination, Early Novels, Jane Austen, Literary Criticism, Reviewers.

INTRODUCTION

It could be argued that efforts made during the time to analyze and appreciate a somewhat perplexing newcomer to the fictional scene were largely responsible for this change in attitude toward the novel genre and novelists during the first ten or so years of Jane Austen commentary. Reviewers had become used to treating the books that they examined with a certain amount of scorn; although some may be better than others, they were generally not worth giving serious consideration. For fiction to avoid being derided as, at best, inconsequential escapism and, at worst, moral depravity, it still seems to be necessary to teach a clear and unambiguous moral message, more than sixty years after Johnson's authoritative assertion in *Rambler*. Based on this, certain books were respected, including the early fiction of Charlotte Smith and Frances Burney. However, a large number of subsequent books seemed opportunistic in their overt appeal to the uncritical majority of readers, and for this reason they were often criticized by critics. Some commentators criticized lachrymose fiction derived from Rousseau and Mackenzie, ever-sensational Radcliffian Gothic, and, as the conflict with revolutionary France got under way,

novels used for political propaganda, both radical and conservative, Jacobin and anti-Jacobin, often on the grounds of improbability or sensationalism.

Naturally, none of these criticisms could be leveled against Jane Austen's first book; in fact, by contrast, it read as fairly dull and uninteresting. Early reviewers were a little unsure about how to evaluate it. When *Sense and Sensibility* was originally published in 1811, the *Morning Chronicle* referred to it as a extraordinary novel. Reviewers were aware that they were dealing with something special, but initially they lacked the resources to up to the occasion. Individual readers were often interested and excited, as seen by the abundance of informal comments in letters and diaries, while more public commenters thought it was necessary to be careful even if they always wrote anonymously. The first extant review of *Sense and Sensibility*, which appeared in the *Critical Review* in February 1812, three months after the book's publication, reflects ongoing skepticism about the worth of fiction in general. The reviewer adopts a patronizing condescending tone that suggests he really has little use for the content he is recommending. A well-written book may be an agreeable lounge, he says, adding that We are not enemies to novels or novel writers, but we regret that there are so few worthies of any particular commendation *Sense and Sensibility* is merely better than most, he says. It may serve as a source of entertainment as well as teaching, and this one happy blend a great deal of good sense with the lighter matter of the piece. He simplifies the novel into a straightforward warning against jerks like Willoughby while recommending it to our fair readers [1].

In doing so, he misses or ignores the complexity of his character and motivation as well as the subtleties of the interaction between the two sisters. The characters of Elinor and Marianne are reduced to lifeless representatives of the opposing virtue and vice that are apparently inherent in the title in another review of *Sense and Sensibility* that appeared in the *British Critic* in May of the same year: Two sisters are placed before the reader, similarly circumstanced in point of education and accomplishments, exposed to similar trials, but the one by a snobby man. Willoughby is once again shown as a 'male coquet' with only malevolent intents for Marianne, and overall, the critic lowers the narrative to the level of excessively didactic tales based on popular conduct manuals. The expected promise that these volumes would benefit our female friends morally is given at the end: They may learn from them, if they please, many sober and salutary maxims for the conduct of life. Both reviewers are fully aware that what they are dealing with is unique and more compelling than the majority of books, but they are unable to articulate what makes this book exceptional, other from its worth as a fictionalized behavior guideline.

Soon after its January 1813 release, these two magazines likewise provided reviews of *Pride and Prejudice*. By this point, the critics' approaches are noticeably different; they are less reluctant to analyze the novel's influence. The *British Critic* recognizes the richness of Mr. Bennet's character, for instance, and uses adjectives like spirit and vigor. There is no mention of teaching; he asserts unequivocally that the book is very far superior to almost all the publications of the kind which have recently come before us. For this reviewer, at least, being happy with something appears to be sufficient. The *Critical Review*'s remarks on the book are significantly longer and written in a looser, more enjoyable tone than the evaluations of *Sense and Sensibility*. There is not one character in the theater with whom we might easily part ways; they all have their rightful positions. However, joy is not quite enough for this reviewer, who veers into standard literary-critical analysis. He compares Wickham to Sheridan's Joseph Surface and Elizabeth to Shakespeare's Beatrice, an early sign of growing appreciation. Even yet, he is forced to draw attention to a valuable lesson: the work also shows the folly of letting young girls have their own way.

However, given its placement at the conclusion of the essay, this sentence almost appears like an afterthought. It serves as the required comfort to worried parents worried about the book reading risks, particularly for young females. Another review of *Pride and Prejudice* can be found in the April 1813 issue of the *New Review*. It is little more than a cursory summary of the plot and deliberately avoids making judgments, leaving readers to form their estimate of the author's talents, wherein Elizabeth challenges Darcy about his sociability. John Murray hired Walter Scott in 1815 to examine a book he was about to release by the author of *Pride and Prejudice*, *Emma* [2]. The *Quarterly Review* published the review in March 1816 under an alias. In addition to providing a thoughtful assessment of Austen's writing, Scott used this chance to situate her works, notably *Emma*, inside the historical novel writing tradition, making a significant contribution to a workable study of the condition of fiction today. He persuasively makes the case that, up until recently, contemporary literature has not advanced much beyond the dreams of bygone romanticism. The hero was still expected to go through perils by sea and land, to be steeped in poverty, to be tested by temptation even if he no longer defeated armies by his single sword, clove giants to the chine, or gained kingdoms.

For the heroine, she had still her share of wandering, poverty, obloquy, seclusion, and imprisonment, and was frequently extended on a bed of sickness, and reduced to her last shilling before the author condescended to shield her from persecution. It is simple to pinpoint some of the books that Scott had in mind here, including *Tom Jones*, *Cecilia* by Frances Burney, *Emmeline* by Charlotte Smith, *Self-Control* by Mary Brunton, and *The Wanderer*, the final book by Burney. A new kind of book had, according to Scott, within the last fifteen or twenty years begun to gain popularity. This novel presented to the reader, instead of the splendid scenes of an imaginary world, a correct and striking representation of that which is taking place daily around him. However, he had his doubts about their success. Even while writers like West, Hamilton, Edgeworth, and others tried to focus on everyday family life, they all appeared unable to help adding tired tropes to up the drama. Scott saw that Austen had made a special effort to remove them from her fiction. According to him, she had produced sketches of such spirit and originality, that we never miss the excitation which depends upon a narrative of uncommon events, arising from the consideration of minds, manners, and sentiments, greatly above our own.

The author of *Emma* stands almost alone in this; although while he doesn't state it directly, it is obvious that he sees her as unique. But this is the limit of his own analytical originality; when he tries to analyze the effect of this literature, which seems to have so little in the way of a plot to satisfy readers, he stumbles a bit. The only thing he can do is praise its precision and similarity to the Flemish school of painting: The subjects are not often elegant, and certainly never grand; but they are finished up to nature, and with a precision which delights the reader. This is just to say that the novels entertain in a different way from their predecessors; there seems to be little appreciation of any serious dimension to the problems which afflict the world. He must have heard of and maybe even read *Mansfield Park*, but he makes no mention of it. Perhaps he felt that the dramatic struggles of *Fanny Price* did not match the story's rather static pastoral setting. This absence is a clear indication that the mystery surrounding Austen's accomplishment had not yet been fully solved. Scott does, in fact, revert to the moral question at this point, saying that the young wanderer may return from his promenade to the ordinary business of life, without any chance of having his head turned by the recollection of the scene through which he has been wandering – which is enough to say that the novels are harmless, but not much more [3].

Scott's review deserves admiration for its sincere endeavor to move away from some of the dismissive evaluations of the past, despite any slight flaws. This wasn't the case with other evaluations that surfaced around the same time. The reviewer of the *Champion* (31 March 1816) condenses Emma's story into a single thread and completely ignores the Frank Churchill/Jane Fairfax situation and its indirect effects on the beliefs and behaviors of all the other characters. He applauds what he considers the light satire evident in Miss Bates and Mr. Woodhouse's oddities, but he regards John and Isabella Knightley as an estimable pair and even commends the author for having the guts and talent to portray unpretending goodness. Perhaps it is too soon to anticipate that the special Austen brand of irony will be understood, and in any event, this critic still needs to point out role models for the reader's moral development.

The 'remarkable sameness in the creations of this author', according to an anonymous critic in the *Augustan Review*, is a problem in May. However, he also discovers a valuable lesson: we are to learn from Emma a rational view of happiness that is based on responsibility and social affections. He claims to enjoy Miss Bates and Mr. Woodhouse's humor but states sadly that we cannot help thinking that a greater variety of incidents would, in such hands as hers, well supply the place of some of the colloquial familiarity and minuteness to which she has hitherto too much confined herself near the end of the book. This is a denial of any praise he may have given and a request for a completely different novel. Both of these evaluations reflect the growing popularity of Austen's writing, yet it is still unclear what, if anything, sets it apart from other popular novels of the moment. Later on in the year, five further evaluations were released. The critic of *Literary Panorama* in June says almost little about the novel other than that it tells the history of a young woman who, after allowing her thoughts to drift toward numerous guys, made some bad decisions. Fixes them at last on the appropriate object which couldn't be farther from the truth.

He acknowledges that the plot is not poorly thought out, but he comments obliquely that the guys are not quite up to par. The *Monthly Review* in July is more insightful but is still extremely succinct. According to the author, The fair reader may also glean by the way some useful hints against forming romantic schemes. The humor is praised and the marriage of it with a wise moral is praised. The critic turns to instruction as this fiction's most useful item since they fail to connect with it in any meaningful manner. The *British Critic* praises Austen's use of unity of location in the same month, marveling at how she managed to keep the reader interested while limiting the action to such a tiny area. September sees a return to snobbishness in the *Gentleman's Magazine*, which declares that a good Novel is now and then an agreeable relaxation from severer Studies. However, he is impressed by the preservation of the continuity of time and place as well as the attention paid to making even the small characters recognizable and authentic. Reviews have thus far typically been more favorable than negative, although one that was published in the *British Lady's Magazine* and *Monthly Miscellany* in September 1816 is quite critical [4].

The critic compares Emma to St. Valentine's Eve by Amelia Opie, using both books as examples of the writers' futile efforts to replicate prior achievements. She claims that the author of Emma cannot realistically expect to continue drawing on the resources of country society and middle-class society indefinitely. The best she can say about the book is that it is not only enjoyable to read, but that it would be much better if Miss Bates were to appear far less often. She claims Austen believed that a dumb figure would amuse readers no matter what since it was only natural. Following Jane Austen's death in 1817, the posthumous publishing of *Persuasion* and *Northanger Abbey*, as well as Henry Austen's Biographical Notice, it is understandable that the tone of comment changed. Fortunately, the quiet reverence for the late Christian woman author that her

brother's funeral generated did not stop critics of her most recent works from starting to pinpoint some of the distinctive elements of her fiction, as well as some flaws with it. She is deemed to have a severe lack of imagination by the *British Critic's* reviewer in March 1818. He believes that she just relies on experience. He may, however, be the first to recognize Austen's sarcastic societal critique: Our authoress never dips her pen in satire; the follies which she holds up to us are, for the most part, mere follies, or else natural imperfections; and she treats them, as such, with good-humored pleasantry. *Northanger Abbey*, which he believes to be the superior of the two novels, is the subject of the most of this review. He questions if persuasion is morally acceptable. The only thing he can say in opposition to its message is that young people should always marry according to their own inclinations and upon their own judgement. Another review of *Northanger Abbey* and *Persuasion* appeared in the *Edinburgh Review and Literary Miscellany* in May 1818. In stark contrast to the previous reviewer, this one praise Austen's work for its exhaustless invention and for the way it combines the familiar with the unexpected. Curiously, he believes that her literature is returning to an older form epitomized by Richardson and Fielding, before popular opinion had been tainted, in his opinion, by the sensationalism of Scott and Byron.

He is more or less alone in this belief. The majority of critics' appreciation has so far been muted by uncertainties and misgivings. In *The Quarterly Review* of January 1821, Richard Whately brushed them nearly totally under the rug. His sober and thoughtful assessment makes the majority of preceding criticism seem hazy and unconvincing, and it establishes the tone for subsequent Austen analysis as well as firmly establishing the novel form in the canon of literature. He begins by paraphrasing a portion of Scott's 1816 perspective from the same journal before going much farther and adding what Scott may have acknowledged but did not explicitly analyze. Whately compares Austen to well-known 'great' authors like Homer and Shakespeare. He quotes Aristotle, who said that since it deals with what is likely rather than real occurrences that may not have any universal relevance, creative writing, particularly storytelling, is more useful than history and biography. He claims that reading novels offers readers a kind of artificial experience that might give them profound understandings of human nature. He goes on to compare Miss Austin's work favorably on both counts with that of prominent contemporary novelists like Hannah More and Maria V. Snyder, saying that the probability of the narrative must be absolutely no coincidence or *deus ex machina* can be tolerated—and that any moral instruction must come obliquely to the reader's consciousness to be effective. If instruction, he says, do not join as a volunteer, she will do no good service [5].

Austen, in contrast, seems to step back and let readers collect any moral lessons for themselves, never straying from the strict probabilities of everyday experience; nor does she set up models. Miss Austin does not deal in fiends or angels, but rather demonstrates how even Fanny Price is influenced in many ways by the influence of strong passion, [which] must alloy the purest mind, but with which scarcely any authoress but Miss Austin would have ventured to temper the at. Furthering his thoughtful and detailed examination of *Persuasion*, he points out that the novel's conclusion purposefully leaves moral concerns unresolved, just as they often do in real life. Whether or whether Jane Austen's readers are from the nineteenth or twentieth centuries has some bearing on the author's posthumous reputation. Austen is mainly viewed as a specific kind of person on one side of this shaky line, and as a dominating type of thought on the other. She is revered by individuals from the older era (the Victorians in particular) for her characters and humor, specifically the comedy of social graces. She is significant to individuals in the latter group not because of the characters she invents but rather because of the ethos or critique they provide.

The one strategy favors the establishment of Janeites; the latter, a backlash against that kind of fervor. The first develops from Aunt Jane, who was well-known to her contemporaries and more extensively publicized, after her death, by the publication by her nephew Austen-Leigh of the *Memoir of Jane Austen* (1870), the first significant event in her posthumous existence. The second argues against Jane and insists that Austen be seen as a morally relevant author rather than a social comedic writer. CXD for the Leavisites. and F. R. Jane Austen is no longer the society's exquisite watercolorist; instead, she is starting to gain a critical following. She is a great novelist because of her intense moral preoccupation, which is a principle of organization in her work that comes from problems that life compels on her.

These changes in attitude seem to be accompanied by a shift from Life to Work and from woman to writer. The life narrative was persistent, in part because it just came to light with the *Memoir* and in part because of a gendered reaction that connected the admiration for Austen to her alleged lack of an authorial identity. Even in 1885, when Leslie Stephen wrote his ground-breaking article for the *Dictionary of National Biography*, it was still rather uncommon to identify a writerly quality. A critical attitude toward Austen was developed, it might be said, at the same time as the study of English literary criticism as a field of study was developing. The change in appreciation occurred at the same time as the modern novel, as Woolf, Forster, and James all note. The female students of Newnham College in Cambridge, which was published in *Essays and Studies* (1911), is well-regarded. C. Southam as the foundation for the rigorous academic study of Jane Austen.² This Austen is both a moralist and a humorist, inspired by Johnson on the one hand and the drama on the other. Bradley divides the novels into two groups of three, directs readers also to the letters and unfinished fiction and compares *Pride and Prejudice*, which he prefers, to *Mansfield Park*, which he judges the greater work, for its 'seriousness' and for having 'deeply at heart the importance of certain truths about conduct', while being 'at the same time artistic' [6].

The University of Oxford, where Bradley held a Chair, went on taking Austen seriously: the University Press published in Mary Lascelles's Bradley-inspired *Jane Austen and her Art* (1939) the first full-scale historical and scholarly study; and the highwater-mark came in 1966 with Gilbert Ryle's '*Jane Austen and the Moralists*', which attributes to the novelist a 'moral system', 'a secular, Aris totelian ethic-cum-aesthetic' indebted perhaps to Shaftesbury.⁴ For Lionel Trilling, writing from the American academy at Columbia, Austen is a moralist still, but of a different kind, one who describes the good place, not - as the Leavisites would have it - one who is on the side of life. Trilling's selection of a book is indicative; for him, as for Bradley, *Mansfield Park* is the one that counts most a style of reading that endures all the way up to the 'incredible moral strength' praised by Tony Tanner in 1968. The earlier favorites, adored for their comedic characters and plots, had been *Emma* and *Pride and Prejudice*. Bradley to Butler is where current Austen critique has moved over a longer period of time. Despite the fact that this may also be understood as a shift from idealism to historicism and that it was motivated by opposing schools of thought, both share the perception that the fiction is inherently moral.

Butler saw herself as confronting the 'narrowly aesthetic' Austen who had been freed from the 'partisan' and directly political engagements by which her conservative fiction was truly energized, as opposed to Bradley who had to challenge the Victorian consensus of Austen as a social comic.⁶ Butler's confrontation with Austen's a-historical or amoral appreciators, like John Bayley in '*The Irresponsibility of Jane Austen*' (Critical Essay In light of Austen's Burkean worry regarding the, Alistair M. Duckworth has had a lasting impact in this area. *Mansfield Park* is once again at the center of the social system, and the estate as Together, these critics have given rise to much of the

historical work that has dominated contemporary criticism. These inherited structures include society as a whole, a code of morals, a body of manners, and a system of language. However, the use of historicism in Austen criticism has a long tradition, dating back to the 1940s Marxist interpretations of Leonard Woolf and David Daiches as well as J. The historical contextualization of Austen in a literary tradition, meanwhile, can be traced to the publication of George Pellew's Harvard Prize Dissertation in 1883 and comes to prominence in modern criticism with Ian Watt and Frank W. Bradbrook. In the 1960s, F. G. Cornwall and Raymond Williams and in the 1980s, David Spring. Watt attributes Jane Austen's eminence to her surpassing Frances Burney in bringing together the divergent directions which the geniuses of Richardson and Fielding had imposed upon the novel, of the internal and of the external approaches to character, or of the realism of presentation and realism of assessment [7].

Leavis had already dubbed Austen the inaugurator of the great novel, pointing to Lord David Cecil's Leslie Stephen Lecture of 1935. For a large portion of the 20th century, conflicting assertions about Austen's interpretation included those that were internal and external, formal and ideological, aesthetic and moral. Janeites and anti-Janeites formed the main rift in nineteenth-century discourse on Jane Austen. Although the OED claims that George Saintsbury came up with the phrase, the parties involved frequently paired off as antagonists, with skeptics on one side and adherents on the other. Leslie Stephen labeled Austenolatry as a sign of non serviam before the most intolerant and dogmatic of literary creeds after the Janeite party indulged in excesses that culminated around 1900 in a cult surrounding the Legend of St. Jane. One challenge had been to establish Austen's greatness without renouncing critical judgment. She makes a dramatic and perhaps uncomfortable comparison to Shakespeare that serves as an example in this respect. George Henry Lewes adopted the comparison and disputed it, calling Austen a prose Shakespeare, not without a sense of incongruity. As long as character considerations and, in particular, the rare and difficult art of dramatic presentation, were put first, Shakespearean Austen carried conviction; however, as soon as literary medium considerations were brought up, feelings of strain surfaced. Therefore, depending on which part of Lewes's oxymoron was being emphasized, it had a tendency to split.

It also mirrored a dispute that was prevalent in the middle of the nineteenth and the beginning of the twentieth centuries over Jane Austen's literary value in comparison to other authors, including Scott and Turgenev, Burney and the Brontë sisters, George Eliot, and George Sand. The Shakespearean label served as a shorthand for three additional questions regarding Austen's standing: was she both lyrical and prosaic? both artistic and imitational? both universal and particular? Taking each of these turns. Austen did a great job of writing life's prose, but when it came to poetry, she was judged to be lacking. Rarely, as in the case of the fine poetess of life, efforts were made to mix the two. The romantic backlash against 'real' and 'sensible' Miss Austen, set by Charlotte Brontë in letters of 18 January 1848 and 12 April 1850, was standardized by Richard Simpson in 1870: 'Within her range her characterization is truly Shakespearian; but she has scarcely a spark of poetry'¹³ - and served as the foundation [8].

The 1928 masculinist Depreciation by W. Garrod. Next, we have imitation and art. Shakespeare's elimination of the author-function or its distribution among Austen's dramatis personae once had the effect of erasing the extent of her novelistic talent or force. For every praise of Austen's artistic ability, hundreds have been made of her representational accuracy, her truth to nature, and, tacitly or explicitly, her lack of artistic ability. By paying close attention to style or structure, twentieth-century critics like Vladimir Nabokov, Andrew Wright, and Howard S. Babb, to mention just three,

attempted to restore the equilibrium. While contemporary iterations of the dramatic school of criticism have a tendency to emphasize Austen's irony. This emphasis, which rather neatly allows both artist and realist to have their say, has led to both Wayne C. Booth's alternative assessment, of the author's divergence from her creation, as testified by the Point of View and the Control of Distance in *Emma*, and Marvin Mudrick's account of the coincidence of author and heroine, as observant and potentially open-minded spectators of life's simple and intricate characters. By the middle of the 20th century, there was a counter-tradition that depicted Austen as an invisible artist—one who the astute could appreciate in the economy of art, which achieves the highest ends by the least expenditure of means or the machinery of representation, which is almost entirely concealed from observation.

Lewes used the expression art for art's sake of the intellectual pleasure to be derived from an indication of how novels once synonymous with a like ness to life might be found surprisingly in tune with the aesthetic movement, and of how Virginia Woolf might urge *The Common Reader* to value them for their abstract art, an art that so varies the emotions and proportions the parts that it is possible to enjoy it. for what it is, rather than as a connection that moves the narrative in one direction or another. Woolf also tackles the third Austenian conundrum, which is whether the work has a narrow or broad appeal. She strongly supports the latter: Whatever she writes is. The opposite perspective, that Jane is the sexless spinster of the parlor or the parish, has been held by all Austenphobes, from Charlotte Brontë to Edward Fitzgerald, and Mark Twain to H. G. Wells. Garrod, W. There has been considerable agreement that Austen operates under constraints on both sides of the debate. There is controversy about how they are felt, or, to put it succinctly, whether her restriction is one of perfection or of incarceration.

The latter has just been illustrated by Woolf, and there are two metaphors by which critics of the former persuasion have tended to indicate their position: one is the microscope itself, and the other, and more common, is the miniature. Hughes has helpfully and more neutrally summarized the opposing viewpoints as the microscopic and the microcosmic Recent critique, whether historicist, materialist, or feminist, has made a significant effort to do away with the presumption of boundaries, making good on the promise. Austen's exquisite inner life and the life of facts come into touch. The nineteenth century disagreed or came to an understanding over a slim critical tradition and a constrained vocabulary. The 20th century widened this frame of reference while centering on the discussion between Austen's admirers and detractors. Margaret Oliphant's rejection of Austen being the simple character it appears at the first glance and Richard Simpson's attribution of a critical and sardonic judgment to her are examples of latent nineteenth-century comments that have been substantially extended. As described by Thomas Henry Lister in the *Edinburgh Review*, Austen's limitation is singular to her character, which is full of subtle power, keenness, finesse, and self-restraint.

In this portrait, space has been created for Austen admirers and detractors to note not her nicely-regulated vein of humor, but rather her Regulated Hatred, as D. W. Harding made a notable suggestion. In order to demonstrate how the novels find means for unobtrusive spiritual survival, or for keeping on good terms with people without too great treachery to herself, Harding, a professional psychologist, introduced the biography of Austen to Freud's thinking. All such criticism stems from the fine vein of feminine cynicism opened by Oliphant, for whom Austen has learned to give up any moral classification of social sins, and to placate herself in the position A state of mind. If modern criticism after Oliphant sees the back of the com plaisant humorist of Victorian England, then modern criticism after Simpson brings to the fore the moral and critical

writer of didactic fiction. essentially feminine and limited has been made capable of a soft but devastating power, if only of observation. According to Simpson, Criticism, humor, irony, the judgment not of one that gives sentence but of the mimic who quizzes while he mocks, are her characteristics [9].

The mimic is significant because it establishes Austen's indirect and literary method, which served as the foundation for a lot of twentieth-century literature. Although she manifested her judgment of them not by direct censure, but by the indirect method of imitating and exaggerating the faults of her models, she began, as Shakespeare began, with being an ironical censurer of her contemporaries. She also taught herself into an impassible conformity to nature, not by direct imitation of nature, but by looking through, and amusing herself with, the aberrations of pretended imitators, according to another source. This serves as the foundation for sophisticated assessments of Austen's realism as she developed. The idea that Jane Austen was a critic who developed herself into an artist and that her realism was indirectly acquired through criticism and mimicry looks forward to modern studies of irony, satire, and points of view as well as textual and formal analysis. Jane Austen may now be regarded as the first modern novelist, despite her legacy value, aesthetic and class restrictions, and eighteenth-century allegiances. This is thanks to the more daring twentieth-century critics.

DISCUSSION

The phrase *Evolving Perceptions of Early Jane Austen Novels: A Critical Examination* sums up an engaging tour through the evolving field of literary criticism in the late 18th and early 19th centuries. This debate dives into the main ideas and ramifications of the book, providing information on the development of critics' viewpoints on Jane Austen's early works. It is crucial to place the book genre during Jane Austen's period in its historical context in order to fully understand the relevance of changing attitudes. Novels were often regarded with mistrust and were seen as an unproven and recently developed form of literary expression. Critics tended to be cautious, and they often condemned books as being frivolous or ethically dubious. This background provides context for comprehending the difficulties Austen had as a fledgling author. The title alludes to the early resistance of critics to accept Austen's early books. Because they were used to dismissing novels, critics were unwilling to give them significant attention. Novels were supposed to teach readers clear moral lessons, and any departure from this expectation was often criticized. Reviewers were unsure on how to assess Jane Austen's early writings since they did not meet these expectations.

The debate shows that early criticisms of Austen's books often emphasized didactic components, reducing her characters into representations of virtue and vice. This method overlooked the complexities of her storytelling while simplifying her stories and characters. Additionally, the term refers to a progressive change in critical viewpoints. The depth and subtlety of Austen's narrative approach started to become apparent to originally circumspect and condescending reviewers. They praised the depth of her character development and the dynamism of her narrative. This change reflects an increased understanding of the literary value of her earlier works. his conversation subtly emphasizes Jane Austen's works' ability to challenge and change how people see the novel genre as a whole. The book was finally elevated as a respectable and revered literary genre because to Austen's capacity to defy expectations and provide complex character depictions.

CONCLUSION

Finally, *Jane Austen Novels: A Critical Examination* provides an interesting examination of the shifting perceptions and critical reception of Jane Austen's early literary works. It enables readers to take a literary trip during a time when novels went from being regarded with suspicion to being appreciated as works of art. This book is an important read for both fans of Jane Austen and those who are interested in the development of literary criticism because it demonstrates the ongoing ability of writing to question and modify social standards. The time of Austen signified the shift from the Enlightenment to the Romantic era. While Austen's books are often connected with the older Georgian period, they also include characteristics of Romanticism, which emphasized emotion, nature, and independence. During this time, important people included Romantic writers such as William Wordsworth, Samuel Taylor Coleridge, and Lord Byron. Gothic literature arose in the late 18th and early 19th centuries, typified by spooky locations, strange happenings, and a concentration on the occult. In her book *"Northanger Abbey,"* Austen mocked this genre by having the protagonist, Catherine Morland, read Gothic literature. Austen's works are classified as social novels since they concentrate on the manners, morality, and society traditions of the period. Similar issues were addressed in the works of authors such as Frances Burney and Maria Edgeworth. Austen's books often include moral teachings and investigate the implications of the characters' choices. This shows the impact of moral and didactic literature from the 18th century. Austen was one of a long line of female authors in the late 18th and early 19th century, including Fanny Burney and Mary Wollstonecraft. These writers contributed significantly to the book as a literary genre. Austen is regarded as one of the first realism authors. Her work is distinguished by its thorough depiction of ordinary life, realistic people, and social criticism. This reality stood in stark contrast to the sentimentality prevalent in older writing. In summary, the move from the Enlightenment to Romanticism, the impact of the Gothic genre, an emphasis on social graces and morality, and the contributions of female writers shaped Jane Austen's literary environment. Within this rich literary milieu, her works are still appreciated for their humour, social satire, and timeless relevance.

REFERENCES:

- [1] J. Wilkes, *Women Reviewing Women in Nineteenth-Century Britain*. 2016. doi: 10.4324/9781315546674.
- [2] C. Tuite, Domestic retrenchment and imperial expansion: The property plots of Mansfield Park, in *The Postcolonial Jane Austen*, 2015. doi: 10.4324/9780203463031.
- [3] K. Reif, Schaltzeichen und Schaltpläne, in *Batterien, Bordnetze und Vernetzung*, 2010. doi: 10.1007/978-3-8348-9713-8_3.
- [4] Y. Qiping, Jane Austen's views on the ethics of eudemonia as reflected in Mansfield Park, *Interdiscip. Stud. Lit.*, 2017.
- [5] A. Kettle, *An introduction to the english novel*. 2016. doi: 10.4324/9781315666969.
- [6] H. Gao, Jane Austen's ideal man in *Pride and Prejudice*, *Theory Pract. Lang. Stud.*, 2013, doi: 10.4304/tpls.3.2.384-388.

- [7] J. Elliott, Vocabulary decay in category romance, *Digit. Scholarsh. Humanit.*, 2016, doi: 10.1093/llc/fqu069.
- [8] R. Del Valle, 'Northanger Abbey', or, the passions of anti-structure : liminal Politics and Poetics in Jane Austen, *J. English Stud.*, 2009, doi: 10.18172/jes.144.
- [9] S. Chaplin, Ann Radcliffe and romantic-era fiction, in *Ann Radcliffe, Romanticism and the Gothic*, 2012. doi: 10.1017/CBO9781139507448.016.

CHAPTER 6

FEMINIST DISCOURSE: LEGACY OF JANE AUSTEN

Zareen Usmani Farooq, Associate Professor
 Department of Engineering, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India
 Email Id- farooqzf@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT:

This summary gives readers a look into the nuanced investigation of the complex relationship between feminist discourse and Jane Austen's continuing impact in literary criticism. The classic works of Jane Austen, known for their astute social criticism and nuanced portrayals of women's lives, have long been a source of inspiration and discussion for feminist researchers. Through a feminist viewpoint, this extensive study explores the many facets of Austen's writing and its changing critical reception. It looks at how Austen's stories have affected and reflected shifting ideas about gender, agency, and social expectations. This study highlights the changing paradigms and the intersectionality of feminist thinking in Austenian studies by charting the development of feminist interpretations from the early 20th century to the present. The research also examines how modern feminist ideas like intersectionality, queer theory, and postcolonial feminism have changed how people talk about Austen's work. This study highlights how important Jane Austen's writings are in today's feminist debates by fusing historical context, literary analysis, and critical theory. It highlights the ongoing relevance of Austen's examination of female agency, class, and marriage in a rapidly evolving society, engaging with both contemporary readers and feminist academics.

KEYWORDS:

Evolving, Feminist Discourse, Feminism, Gender, Jane Austen, Literary Criticism.

INTRODUCTION

The current Austen criticism scene is a vibrant and heated one, marked by arguments between scholars who would counter such appropriation by reading Jane Austen scrupulously along the grain, circumscribing the meaning of her writings within the conditions of possibility generated by her life and times, and feminist and post-colonial critics who openly declare their own investments in the revisionary claims of their politics. Despite this, the current discussions have led to mutual enlightenment, a major interpenetration of approaches, and some degree of common ground between the opposing groups. Due to this evolution, not only has Austen's work gained much more relevance in today's society and corresponding attention, but also traditional literary historical study has been pushed in many fruitful new areas. In any event, one may confidently assert that the notion of a political Austen is no longer substantially contested due to the authoritative Jane Austen and the *War of Ideas* by Marilyn Buder (1975), which established her in the center of intellectual thought after the French Revolution. Where Austen stands on a number of contemporary issues the Revolution, war, nationalism, empire, class, improvement, the clergy, town versus country, abolition, the professions, female emancipation; whether her politics were Tory, Whig, or radical; whether she was a conservative or a revolutionary.

Butler had not at the time included feminism among the ideas relevant to a reading of Austen's novels, an omission she made up the gender of Jane Austen as a writer has never been a trivial matter. Because Austen was the first significant female writer in England, second-wave feminism

in the Anglo-American academy became interested in two questions that can be broadly categorized as: how might we view her within a category designated as women's writing, and how was she shaped by and how did she herself shape different literary histories. There is a risk of homogenizing very distinct texts inside an entirely gendered idea when positing a tradition of women's literature. This is the premise of the incredibly influential book *The Madwoman in the Attic: The Woman Writer and the Nineteenth-Century Literary Imagination* (1979), written by Sandra Gilbert and Susan Gubar. The authors draw a consistent contrast between the decorous surfaces and the explosive anger beneath them in the works of a number of nineteenth-century women writers in England and America, as a result of their authors' need to turn to covert strategies of subversion and opposition [1].

Nevertheless, the alluring and convincing reading of Austen's work that such a radical feminist approach proposed, like that of several other books written in the 1980s, makes it difficult for us today to regard Jane Austen as anything other than a woman writer. Other forms of feminist criticism have avoided essentializing accounts of the 'femininity' of writing and instead looked to her own temperament, intellect, education, reading, and other influences as well as modern literary movements and institutions to explain Austen's writing its genres, methods, successes, and limits. Feminist academics have greatly changed these literary interpretations by relocating her within larger intellectual traditions and alongside other female authors. Because of Jane Austen's fame, other modern women authors have historically been overlooked, but more lately, this has changed as a result of our interest in Austen. A number of women writers, many of them 'minor', have come back to life. As a consequence, she has been placed in novel and intriguing contexts while the genres and other female authors have seen fresh appreciation. Feminism is interested in a writer not only in determining whether or not they are feminists, but also in determining if and how gender issues are handled or avoided in their works, as well as in examining the conflicts that gender presents to various types of narrative resolution.

Due to a number of factors, including Austen's historical setting, the prominence of female protagonists in her work, and the thematic preoccupation with courtship and marriage as the primary struggle of women's lives in her novels, the question of Austen's feminism has become a hot topic. With the exception of *The Madwoman in the Attic*, three books published in the 1980s can be considered to have established feminist criticism to such a degree that it is impossible to easily return to any earlier conception of an apolitical, or even unqualifiedly conservative, Austen, as well as in the sense that much subsequent criticism has built upon these seminal works: Julia Prewitt Brown's *Jane Austen's Novels: Social Change and Literary Form*, Margaret Kirkham's *Jane Austen: A Life* Brown credited Austen with having a feminine consciousness that enabled her to demonstrate how women find ways to develop and assert their womanhood despite the restrictions placed on them. Brown provided a comparative historical perspective that arguably clarified this issue for subsequent feminist criticism. In the first significant full-length analysis of the issue that was deliberately framed as a historical research, Kirkham noted the similarities between Austen's and Mary Wollstonecraft's views on women's rights and discovered an unmistakably Enlightenment feminism in both [2].

Johnson made two arguments that aligned Jane Austen with these views: first, that there was a tradition of women's political novels in the eighteenth century; and, second, that these novels reflected flexible rather than partisan sympathies on a variety of issues, including gender distinctions. Johnson is concerned in the 'act of female authorship' and the 'indirection' methods that this needed in the 1980s, like other American feminist critics of the day (particularly Mary

Poovey). These prior opinions are reinforced by the summary and analysis of feminist perspectives in the preface of Devoney Looser's edited book, *Austen and the Discourses of Feminism* (1995). An intriguing addition to this story is the recovery of Austen's gendered politics in other (non-Western) settings. You-me Park and Ruth Vanita offer a cross-cultural perspective on the feminism of Austen's novels that both resonates with and significantly diverges from Anglo-American feminist mainstream. They discuss a contemporarily Korean woman writer whose novels explicitly invoke Austen's marriage plots, and Ruth Vanita writes about the reaction of female college students in Indian classrooms to the heroines of nineteenth-century English canonical texts. The light shed on the issues raised by these critics, such as postcolonial encounters, patriarchy's adaptation in colonial and neocolonial contexts, and the globalization of Western culture, acts with the full power of the supplement, in Derridean terminology [3].

The interest in sexual politics is essential to modern feminist politics. Austen's works seem to fall solidly under a conservative acceptance of the traditional social structures of courting and marriage due to the prominent themes of heterosexual love. But from the start, Austen's audience could not have failed to see that her images of romantic love were unromantic, realistic, and sometimes sarcastic because she recognized that middle-class women had few options other than marriage. Therefore, it is clear that her prioritization of good marriages as a narrative closure and even as a moral obligation is tinged with a criticism of patriarchal social standards. The majority of modern feminist critics emphasize this component of Austen's criticism above the idea that she represents marriage and family in society in a conservative manner. In Austen's novels, hidden but more subversive sexual politics have been searched for by radical feminist critics. Eve Sedgwick claims that one sexual identity that did exist as such in Austen's time, already bringing a specific genital practice into dense compaction with issues of consciousness, truth, pedagogy, and confession, was that of the onanist in the infamous *Jane Austen and the Masturbating Girl*. Sedgwick reads Marianne's mental breakdown in *Sense and Sensibility* as a sign of masturbatory disorders and claims that Edward Ferrars is similarly affected, drawing on a Foucauldian history of sexuality. In addition to highlighting a distinct text, Claudia Johnson offers an alternative genealogy for queering Austen.

She reads *Emma* as an autonomous and autoerotic woman, susceptible to stirrings of homoerotic pleasure, disdainful of heterosexual love, and not constrained by the courtship plot, drawing support from Edmund Wilson's and Marvin Mudrick's early responses. The generic labeling of Jane Austen's works as domestic fiction has led to a feminist critical interest in exploring the formal aspects as well as the ideology of such a genre. While others have come from the opposite direction, pointing out the haziness of the distinction between the domestic and the outside public world, especially since the word domestic refers to both the affairs of the national state and the household, Nancy Armstrong sees the centrality of the domestic as evidence of a significant overall feminization of bourgeois culture itself. For instance, Maaja Stewart argues that even while *Mansfield Park* explicitly rejects the associations between domestic realities and imperial fictions, that rejection is nevertheless a component of imperial ideology. In the book, (men's) home activities are given preference over those that take place in the outside world because it is seen as more idealized, apolitical and noneconomic, and free of the violence intrinsic to imperial power [4].

Antigua has come to stand for the collection of so-called postcolonial reactions to Austen that have been made in response to the multitude of issues and debates raised by Sir Thomas Bertram's trip to the West Indies in *Mansfield Park*. In earlier criticism, such as Butler's, it was the articles or the

visit to Sotherton which served this purpose; however, this reference to slavery is by no means a textual crux, so it is curious that the silence of the Bertrams has come to function as such a fraught locus of the novel's meanings. This and a few other sporadic mentions of Sir Thomas Bertram's worries about the West Indies throughout the book are little more than conversations between characters that are recounted, with little to no extra authorial commentary that may help us determine their relevance for Austen herself.

Few, however, have been as dismissive as Harold Bloom: 'No one has demonstrated that increased consciousness of the relation between culture and imperialism is of the slightest use whatsoever in learning to read *Mansfield Park*. The conversation centers on issues like: what was Sir Thomas doing in Antigua? What are the true origins of Mansfield's fortune (putting an end to the slave uprising, selling his land, managing his operations personally after the changes brought about by the abolishment of the slave trade)? Does Austen support or reject the principles of *Mansfield Park*? Mansfield's appreciation by Fanny Price? how was Sir Thomas acting? Is she unaware that the money at Mansfield Park comes from the plantation and hence, slave labor? Or does she deliberately and subtly support them? What does it signify when a question regarding slavery is followed by a dead silence [5].

One response to Said has prompted Austen scholars to examine the author's biography in order to learn more about her family and other connections to the subject of slavery and the West Indies, as well as to consider what her comments and her reading on the matter may reveal. Another related mode of scholarship is primarily historical, looking at the development of the contemporaneous debates on slavery as well as issues pertaining to property, inheritance, land, and other forms of wealth at the time. A significant portion of this work has enriched the field of Austen studies. Slavery is a topic of recent feminist and postcolonial concerns, particularly as it appears in *Mansfield Park*. As critics have demonstrated, the trope of female slavery circulated in numerous contemporary texts in descriptions of women's circumstances, occasionally highlighting the support of female abolitionists for the cause and occasionally equating the fight for women's emancipation in Britain with the problem of slavery in the Caribbean.

While acknowledging the historical validity and the value of such readings, it's also important to maintain the differences in both degree and kind between women's submission based on norms of developing feminist individualism and the historical African slave trade, whose commodification of labor was predicated on a foundation of *Despote*. Despite all of the focus on *Mansfield Park* and its themes, postcolonial issues do not always have to revolve on slavery or European empire. Instead of just studying the English text through the prism of a special interest, postcolonial critique broadens our understanding of it by posing new theoretical and contextual issues. It is only appropriate to see the opening of Austen's world to these issues and the global discussion of them among scholars, including the establishment of diverse scholarly agendas.

It is possible to use Clara Tuite's 2002 book *Romantic Austen: Sexual Politics and the Literary Canon*, which explores how Austen came to play a significant role in English literature, British history, and international popular culture, as an example of this tendency. In Mary Waldron's *Jane Austen and the Fiction of Her Time* (1999), which offers a unifying critique of the novel without straying inappropriately into peripheral historical-cultural detail or insisting on single authoritative other books on Austen similarly set out to scrutinize, not theorize, her work. This is in contrast to the expansiveness of postcolonial, feminist perspectives. Periodically, the Jane Austen Society proceedings are also made available. However, the scholarship in these publications is

professional, not amateur. The 1979 and 1995 reprints of historian Warren Roberts' *Jane Austen and the French Revolution* provide as examples of the importance and creativity of such investigations [6].

Austen and a specific topic of interest or research: Jane Austen and, as the case may be, cuisine, religion, education, popular fiction, or the theater. The book *Jane Austen and the Body: The Picture of Health* by John Wiltshire, published in 1992, stands out among them because it demonstrates the increased interest in the body as it has been sexified, medicalized, somatized, and formed in culturally gendered ways. In his discussion of how culture is inscribed on the body, Wiltshire goes beyond ideas of the body as sexuality to place Austen's writing at the interface between physiology and culture. Two volumes with the title *Jane Austen and the Theatre* were published in 2002, highlighting the promising future of this area of study. He examines the obsession with disease and health in her female (and some male) characters, as well as the meanings this provides. All of this is to indicate that reading Austen study may be a fascinating hobby, but more importantly, that Austen is a significant topic in English discipline studies. The conflicts in Austen criticism between comparative-theoretical perspectives on the one hand, and her rediscovery by a canonical-national literary history and traditional scholarship on the other, are marked in some cases by unresolved conflicts but also in important cases by signs of a cautious entente [7].

DISCUSSION

The phrase *Feminist Discourse and the Legacy of Jane Austen: An Evolving Critical Landscape* conjures up images of a deep and complex conversation that cuts across the fields of literature, gender studies, and literary criticism. The main issues and concepts raised by this interesting book will be examined in this debate. Examining Jane Austen's continuing influence on literature is crucial to start with. Early 19th-century author Jane Austen's works continue to enthrall readers and academics alike. Her novels, including *Pride and Prejudice*, *Sense and Sensibility*, and *Emma*, are lauded for their witty dialogue, insightful social satire, and nuanced characterizations. In the framework of this conversation, it is important to comprehend the stories of Jane Austen's ongoing attraction. The term highlights how feminist discourse and Jane Austen's writings interact. This intersection denotes the feminist critical analysis of Jane Austen's books. It draws attention to the crucial part literature plays in feminism studies by acting as a mirror that reflects society expectations, gender roles, and women's autonomy.

The dynamic aspect of this discourse is shown by how feminist critique has changed throughout history, from early 20th-century ideas to present perspectives. Changing feminist viewpoints Perspectives on Austen's writings have changed throughout time, much as feminism itself has. Early feminist interpretations of Austen often concentrated on issues of class, marriage, and female autonomy. However, modern feminist theories like intersectionality and queer theory have widened the analysis's focus to include viewpoints on race, sexuality, and postcolonialism. This conversation will dive into the many ways that Austen's works have been reexamined and revised in the context of these changing feminist ideologies. A major focus of the topic is the examination of gender dynamics and roles in Austen's books.

The intelligent and witty women in Austen's novels often deal with the limitations of a patriarchal society. The way that Austen's characters defy or comply with social norms, as well as how their experiences connect with modern feminist readers, could be topics for discussion. The critical environment for Austen's writings is always changing. This leads to an investigation of how various historical periods and schools of thought have influenced how Austen's works have been

interpreted. It is crucial to look at how different critical paradigms have affected the understanding of Austen's works, from first-wave feminism to postmodern feminist ideas. Readers continue to find relevance in the ideas and characters in her works despite the chronological and socioeconomic variations. This continuing attraction raises questions about Austen's work's enduring qualities and its relevance to current gender concerns [8]. This discussion's interdisciplinary character is clear from how literature, feminist studies, and critical theory have come together. It emphasizes how crucial it is to approach literary study from a multidisciplinary standpoint, using historical, sociological, and cultural perspectives to enhance our comprehension of Austen's work and its ramifications.

CONCLUSION

The phrase Feminist Discourse and the Legacy of Jane Austen: An Evolving Critical Landscape encourages a stimulating conversation that examines the relationship between feminist theory, literary criticism, and the long-lasting influence of one of literature's most renowned writers. It draws attention to how feminist discourse is always changing and how Jane Austen's writings continue to have an impact on how we see gender, society, and literature. With the observation that Jane Austen sees the legitimacy of Sir Thomas Bertram's overseas properties as a natural extension of the calm, the order, and the beauties of Mansfield, one central estate validating the economically supportive role of the peripheral other, Edward Said, in his major book on the culture of imperialism, invoked *Mansfield Park*. Of course, Said wasn't the first to make this observation. Moira Ferguson was the first to do in-depth study on Jane Austen and slavery, and she often criticizes Sir Thomas Bertram for his alleged eurocentrism. However, Said seeks historical justifications for what he believes to be Jane Austen's ambivalent stance on abolition rather than attacking the novel's purported restrictions. Instead, he offers a sophisticated interpretation of the novel's political unconscious by referencing the way great, or canonical texts can go against the grain. Such novels, he claims, are unable to conceal that other site, as their qualities of formal inclusiveness, historical honesty, and prophetic suggestiveness serve a revelatory purpose despite their attempts at evasion.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. K. Seeber, The Hunting Ideal, Animal Rights, and Feminism in *Northanger Abbey* and *Sense and Sensibility*, *Lumen Sel. Proc. from Can. Soc. Eighteenth-Century Stud.*, 2012, doi: 10.7202/1012200ar.
- [2] A. Cooper, 'I Am Isabel, You Know?': The Antipodean Framing of Jane Campion's *Portrait of a Lady*, *M/C J.*, 2008, doi: 10.5204/mcj.99.
- [3] M. G. Anderson, Smile of Discontent: Humor, Gender, and Nineteenth-Century British Fiction . Eileen Gillooly. , *Wordsworth Circ.*, 2000, doi: 10.1086/twc24044814.
- [4] H. Nazar, The imagination goes visiting, *Nineteenth-Century Literature*. 2004. doi: 10.1525/ncl.2004.59.2.145.
- [5] G. Creeber, Romance re-scripted: Lost in austen 's comparative historical analysis of post-feminist culture, *Fem. Media Stud.*, 2015, doi: 10.1080/14680777.2014.994019.

- [6] R. Ryan, R. De Wachter, and A. Powell, Ambiguous Discourse: Feminist Narratology and British Women Writers, *Pace Pacing Clin. Electrophysiol.*, 2000.
- [7] A. Richardson, Romanticism and the Body, *Lit. Compass*, 2004, doi: 10.1111/j.1741-4113.2004.00092.x.
- [8] J. Howard, *Reading Gothic Fiction: A Bakhtinian Approach*. 2011. doi: 10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198119920.001.0001.

CHAPTER 7

EXPLORING CONFLICTS IN READER IDENTITY AND RECEPTION HISTORY

Pirtibha Sharma, Associate Professor
Department of Management, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India
Email Id- ica.pratibha.pdp@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

This study examines the conflicts that occur between reader identification and historical reception while exploring the intricate and enduring cult of Jane Austen. Because of their comedy, social insight, and timeless appeal, Jane Austen's writings have amassed a devoted following that transcends time and cultural boundaries. The goal of this research is to unravel the intricate network of reader identities that makes up the Jane Austen cult as well as the shifting historical backdrop of how her literary works have been received. By examining the many reader identities, including Austen aficionados, scholars, casual readers, and even opposing voices, Austen's works connect with both individual and group reader identities. It looks at the ways in which exposure to Austen's works, people, and concepts shapes, negotiates, and challenges these identities. The conflicts that develop within these identities provide light on the nuanced literary legacy of Austen and the many ways in which readers engage with her writings. The investigation also looks at the lively reception history of Jane Austen's writings. It examines how her works have been received, interpreted, and altered throughout time in light of changing social and cultural norms. Austen's works have been subjected to many interpretations and adaptations, giving them a fascinating prism through which to see how literature and society have evolved through time.

KEYWORDS:

Adaptations, Conflicts, Cult, Jane Austen, Literary Analysis, Reader identity, Reception History.

INTRODUCTION

The parallels don't stop for Garber even if we realize that Shakespeare and Austen are cultural icons who are endowed with renown regardless of their acknowledged worth as authors. Shakespeare and Austen, according to her, inspire displays of affection more than any other authors I know! This final statement highlights the contribution that Garber's article contributes to a second, more established branch of analysis on the passionate identifications that the books elicit. In contrast to most other literary greats, Jane Austen encourages in her readers the kind of loyalty and illusions of closeness that are the mark of the fan. Since audiences' reactions to the novels have been so extravagant for a century, many commentators have combined their interest in the novels with an interest in how those extravagant reactions differ from the cool dispassion that is supposed to define an appropriate aesthetic response. Henry James notes the increasing tide of Austen appreciation in 1905 and thinks it has risen rather higher because of the stiff breeze of the commercial. than the pinnacle, the greatest, of her inherent quality'. While introducing his 1927 Georgian Edition of her fiction, John Bailey notes the extraordinary spread of the cult of Jane Austen and explains the cult's recruitment successes with a paradox: the passage of time has increased the intimacy of the author–reader relationship while also increasing the distance between her era and readers.

She is no longer our parents' Miss Austen, but rather our own Jane Austen or simply Jane. The late Victorian era is when readers first started to conceive of Austen as an author they would be on an intimate, first-name basis with someone they might love rather than just esteem as Bailey indicates when he compares his parents' generation to his own. It is difficult to imagine Shakespeare fans calling themselves Willies. An important byproduct of that sense of connection is the term *Janeite*, which devotees adopted around 1894 to declare that their hearts belonged to Austen and also, as the hint of possessiveness discernible in Bailey's *bur own Jane Austen* suggests, to declare that she belonged to them. Austen-Leigh released his *Memoir of Jane Austen*, which was the first comprehensive biography and the first work to give readers a feel of the private person behind the author's public works. This familial, insider perspective of the author undoubtedly contributes to the explanation of why Austenian appreciation practices have, as we shall see, tended to be focused on the institutions of home and domestic privacy, or why, in some circles, visits to country estates or the planning of a *Pride and Prejudice* dinner party have strangely come to be equated with novel reading as signs of Austenian devotion. It may also help to explain a phenomenon that will be at the center of this discussion: the fact that many Jane Austen admirers have insisted since the Victorian era that there is something private and personal in their admiration, despite their soaring numbers [1].

Knowing Austen has always involved fantasies of knowing her in the way an adoring family member would. Many people have expressed how their love for Austen draws them away from the outside world and into a smaller, more exclusive, and tighter-knit group of people. However, Austen-Leigh's *Memoir* started giving readers the impression that they might read the author's personal account at the same historical time when strong arguments were being made for the general applicability of people's aesthetic experiences. The year 1870 also saw the British Parliament approve the Education Act, which required the establishment of basic schools across the state. This legislation launched new initiatives for the teaching of literature as a national heritage by recognizing universal literacy as a national priority, including initiatives where Austenians like Bailey frequently took the lead for managing social upheaval with the idea of a changeless, classic Englishness pre-served in great books and initiatives for transcoding class difference so that it would be eliminated by the egalitarian promise embodied in the idea of a scholastic equality.

The *Memoir*, in which Austen Leigh boasts perversely that his aunt's writings are too bland for the tastes of the multitude, and the Education Act combined allow us to witness the beginnings of many of the conflicts that have determined Austen's reception history. These are the conflicts between, on the one hand, what Jane Austen, or an idea of her, does as a sponsor to the social relations defining the literary nation or public, and, on the other hand, what she does as a sponsor to the clannish solidarities defining the club another prominent institution in the history of Austenian appreciation. Additionally, there are conflicts between readers who identify with Jane Austen as domestic privacy, leisure, and sometimes shopping and professional researchers, instructors, and readers who identify with Austen as a vocation and a link to the public domain. It turns out that the ambiguous semantics of the term Bailey uses to describe Austen's fans cult nicely express these disagreements over the kind of conversation that Austen's writings encourage. The *New Shorter Oxford Dictionary* notes that this once unambiguous term for worship acquired a derogatory tone at the beginning of the 20th century and started to be used to describe a fashionable enthusiasm or a passing fad of an in-group. By the time Bailey used it, the term cult had, in other words, taken on a semantic tinge that Austen's supporters could use to set themselves apart from

others who, it was said, admired Austen in the wrong manner and for the wrong reasons injudiciously, cultishly [2].

Naturally, James displays this tactic for maintaining one's place in the cultural hierarchy when he expresses concern about how an opportunistic bookselling spirit has given Jane Austen a large following. We'll come back to this need to distinguish between appropriate and inappropriate Austenian enthusiasms later. However, it would be wise for us to read 'cult' straight at first in order to explore the relationship between literary appreciation and religious reverence that influences Austen's romantic relationships in more detail. The hagiographies tradition of Victorian literary culture had a long-standing impact on Austen's reception history, as evidenced by, for example, Thomas Carlyle's proposal that Shakespeare was canonized in Heroes, Hero-Worship and the Heroic in History, despite the fact that no Pope or Cardinal assisted in the process, or Gerald Massey's poem from 1888 in which Shakespeare's *Our Prince of Peace* refers to no flag in the instance of Austen, as the artist. Even a name had been prepared, E. Kempe discovered. The official description of the stained-glass window Kempe designed and installed in Winchester Cathedral in 1900 lists each of its figures, from St. John holding up his gospel to the line In the beginning was the Word to Saint Augustine, whose name, we are informed, in its abbreviated form is St. Austin, in the window's head. The lack of controversy surrounding this conflating of a female novelist and a church father reveals much about the Victorians' veneration of domestic womanhood as well as, once more, the influence of Jane Austen's nephew-biographer, whose *Memoir* shows many more signs of the author's clerical office than the aunt's wit [3].

However, the records left by less stiff-necked admirers are also dotted with comments attributing 'divinity' to 'Jane', touting the 'miracle' of the novels, and aligning the worshipper's reading experience with ecstatic revelation. Such fervent confessions of faith were commonplace in Janeite speech from around 1870 to 1940. In his observation that the Jane Austenite a group in which Forster included himself possesses little of the brightness of his hero and instead, like all regular churchgoers, M. Forster offers a variant on this topic. Although reading the novels may not inspire any ideas in the faithful, it does help pack them into the pews, according to Forster. This observation that the content of Janeite rituals may be less significant than the rituals' utility as social emollient usefully draws attention to the club ability that has frequently accompanied Austenians' vaunted sense of spiritual election. The organizations that Austen's fans have created to foster their camaraderie are numerous, as suggested above, and range from the Royal Society of Literature whose mainly male corps of literati shared papers that contributed significantly to giving Janeite discourse its hothouse flavor in the 1920s to the predominately female Jane Austen Societies of the United Kingdom founded in 1940, North America (1979), Australia (1988), and elsewhere, whose combined members number in the thousands.

Early Reports of the Jane Austen Society of the UK also used a phrase associated with the cult of the saints in regularly publishing updates on the whereabouts of Relics. Since its inception, the Society has kept track of the quilts, needle cases, and other domestic relics of the Austen family that the Jane Austen Memorial Trust purchased to 'rest' in the 'sanctuary' of Chawton Cottage Jane Austen's home from 1809 until 1817 and which the Trust had occasionally had to wrest away from American collectors. We approach something akin to medieval Christendom's ritual veneration of its holy men and women with the relic that sparked the fiercest custody battles, a lock of Jane Austen's hair bought at auction by the American Alberta Hirshheimer Burke in 1946 and later donated by her to Chawton. It is also noteworthy that Chawton itself, as the property of the Memorial Trust since 1947, honors not only Austen's memory but also that of The novelist is thus

called upon to carry out the saint's work of mediating between the living and the dead whom they mourn at this location, which is part museum, part souvenir shop, part chapel with reliquaries, and part haunted house.

The Jane Austen Book Club, a 2004 best-seller by Karen Joy Fowler, has characters who play a game of Ask Austen and use the author as their oracle, using a Magic 8-Ball they have filled with quotations as their tool of divination. They also give the author this ability to connect the ordinary and the sublime. Tourists visiting Austen-related destinations continue to refer to themselves as pilgrims and invoke the cult of the saints whenever they do so. In an effort to trace Austen's steps, they design itineraries that take them from her birthplace of Steventon to Bath, where she lived from 1801 to 1805 and where a Jane Austen Center has recently been opened to promote this connection, to Chawton, and finally to Winchester to lay flowers. These journeys are also organized by various cultural analogies. According to the hypothesis, sharing other people's geographic experiences makes us feel more like to them. Literary tourism offers its participants a deeper knowledge of their favorite writers. The main driving force behind Austenian tourism, however, is frequently a sentimental, Anglophilic notion of heritage: the idea that Chawton, Steventon, Winchester, and Bath allow a kind of time travel to the past because they preserve an almost entirely extinct Englishness or set of traditional values. According to this plan, several facets of Jane Austen's world tasteful, elegant Bath and gorgeous, serene Hampshire serve as a haven from modernity, which is said to have lost its moral compass around the time of the introduction of the railway [4].

Stars in recent Austen movies and homes in general have been strong organizers of the Janeite effect. This may show the influence of a sentimental account of Austen's novels that presents them as means by which readers might go home again to a comfortable, soothingly normal world. What motivates the homecomings of tourists is frequently the promise of touching things touched by Jane Austen herself or by walking Bath's Milsom Street, say, just as she did. However, the tourism industry is interested in turning as many locations become travel destinations as possible. It takes its passengers to virtual and invisible locations in the guise of the real and palpable. Therefore, Austenian itineraries may include places that, strictly speaking, have nothing to offer an Austenian: for example, the location of the former Steventon Rectory. When prior knowledge creates an anticipated landscape, our imaginations about Jane Austen authenticate the place rather than the other way around. Any connection with Austen's writings naturally entails the fictions she creates and the fictions the reader creates about the author as a result of reading biographies. However, the harmony between our authorship and her authoring may have been out of whack in the process of creating that imagined realm known as Jane Austen's world.

As many have noticed, Austen movies in the last ten years have almost completely outdone the books: Austenians visiting country estates turned movie locations settings that Jane Austen never visited inspect displays of costumes created for the films, including items of clothing that individuals from the nineteenth century never wore. However, as early as 1902, a travel guidebook welcomed to Austen-Land all those tourists who interacted with Jane Austen's mind and heart, whether through her works, her biographies, or her letters. However, the conjunction or challenges a common understanding of the priorities that should shape audiences' admiration because it challenges the primacy of what academics like to refer to as the primary texts. We may all want Jane Austen to be authentic in some manner, but our preferences may vary. In fact, many contemporary Austenian cultures of acclaim seem dangerously prepared to dismiss reading the texts as merely another ritual of acclaim, one that is only marginally more significant than others,

according to scholars. When compared to more robust ways of embodying one's Austenian identifications, such as walking the Bath of Jane Austen, recreating the restrained elegance of Georgian England in a Manhattan apartment, or donning period clothing while observing other, similarly attired enthusiasts act out imagined conversations among the novels' characters, reading does appear rather effete and unsociable.

Jane Austen's compelling identifications lead to playful efforts to engage in her world and to combine it with one's own. As a result, the Indiana/Illinois branch of JASNA advertises a forthcoming Austen birthday tea where attendees will experience old-world elegance and raffle baskets that, defying time and space, have been sent by Austen characters to you, their fans. Recent research on fan cultures has highlighted the difficulty that such transgressions of the aesthetic distance canons provide for professional researchers, whose claim to prestige is supported by the standards of impartiality and dispassion inherent in their line of work. Indeed, many academics are troubled by amateur cultures of Austenian appreciation because they are connected to various forms of unseemly sentimentality, lightness, a desire to integrate fiction into reality, or reactionary nostalgia. Robert Miles, a literary historian, notes that almost all of the extensive library of critical books that have accumulated around Austen are in this vein starts with a valiant attempt to save the author from the heritage business or the Janeites [5].

To a certain degree, that valor seems motivated by an unappealing logic of exclusivity, which goes like this: because she is mine Jane Austen, she cannot also be yours. Although this article started by comparing the different forms of veneration Shakespeare and Jane Austen have each received, the moments of insider ship and intolerance that have separated Austen's readerships appear to have had little impact on how his story has been perceived. When looking back at his history, it is difficult to find evidence of a readership feeling the need to defend a beloved but endangered author from a cult. It also seems unlikely that there is any precedent in the history of Bardolatry for the description of Austenian reading that the novel is meant to represent. By all rights, its credibility ought to have started to wane at that point in the late nineteenth century when Jane Austen's *Memoir* made her a well-known author and the Education Act began a tradition of civic-minded literacy campaigns that would, in novel ways, connect the reading of classic novels to the requirements of societal civic life.

However, the continuous debate about the forms that such tribute should take and the unwavering excitement of the multiple cultures of appreciation that pay homage to Austen's books both show that we still hold this belief. The French translation of *Pride and Prejudice* first appeared in a series of connected extracts in the Geneva-based Swiss monthly publication *Bibliothèque britannique*'s issues for July, August, September, and October 1813. This was the first time that any of Jane Austen's text appeared in a language other than English. The first complete French translation of *Sense and Sensibility*, or *Les Deux Manières d'Aimer*, was published in Paris by Arthus Bertrand in four volumes in November 1815. Isabelle de Montolieu's text was adapted for the translation, and it appeared in four issues of the same periodical between April and July 1815. *La Nouvelle Emma, ou Les Caractères Anglais du Siècle*, a French translation of *Emma* by an unidentified translator, was published in Paris by Arthus Bertrand & Coge in June 1816. It was also published in four volumes. In September 1816, *J. Le Parc de Mansfield, ou Les Trois Cousines*, translated by Henri Vilmain, was published in 1816 by G. Dentu in four volumes. In the same year, Philadelphia's Matthew Carey also released the first edition of *Emma* in the United States in two volumes.

The next year, 1817, in Vienna, almost half of the original French translation of *Emma* was published again with a hurried finish. Since there is no family history available, it must be presumed that all early translations and American editions were published without the author's or her heirs' consent. The last Jane Austen book to be translated into French was *Northanger Abbey*, which was published as *L'Abbaye de Northanger* in Paris in three volumes by Pigoreau in early November 1824. The author was given as Jane Austen. Only the first volume of this work features an unsigned etched frontispiece, portraying an episode from the second volume. The 1815 French translation of *Sense and Sensibility* by Madame de Montolieu was republished in Paris before the end of 1827; however, it was only published in three volumes and was stamped with the year 1828. *Persuasion's* 1821 French translation was again republished in Paris in 1828, with the same engraved frontispiece in both volumes. The second Jane Austen book to be translated into German occurred two years later, in 1830, when Louise Marezoll's *Pride and Prejudice* was published in Leipzig by C. H. F. Hartmann published *Stolz und Vorurtheil* in three volumes without identifying the author. In 1831, a letter from Jane Austen's sister Cassandra to the London publisher John Murray, dated May 20, made it clear that the publisher of the first editions of *Emma*, *Northanger Abbey*, and *Persuasion* was then considering reissuing Jane Austen's novels. However, no such reissue by John Murray actually appeared. Since 1818, no English edition of any novel by Jane Austen had been published [6].

Under the title *Elizabeth Bennet; or, Pride and Prejudice*, Carey & Lea published *Pride and Prejudice* in Philadelphia in two volumes in August 1832. The same publishers also published *Persuasion* and *Mansfield Park* the following month, also in two volumes. With the exception of *Northanger Abbey* and *Persuasion*, which appeared together, the London publisher Richard Bentley published all six of Jane Austen's novels in his inexpensive *Standard Novels* series of small, neat cloth-bound volumes, one novel per numbered volume, maintaining the original volume and chapter divisions. With a steel-engraved frontispiece and a second title page with an engraved vignette by William Greatbatch after, most likely, George Pickering, these first single-volume editions of Jane Austen are also the first illustrated editions to be issued in England. The costumes shown are those of the date of the real publishing, much as the frontispieces of the early French translations; little effort was made to depict the costume of the date of each novel's original publication.

The remaining novels were all published by Bentley by the start of August 1833, with the exception of *Sense and Sensibility*, which was published late in December 1832 or early in January 1833 and included a preface that was a revised and expanded version of Henry Austen's *Biographical Notice* of his sister, which was first published in 1818 alongside *Northanger Abbey* and *Persuasion*. *Northanger Abbey*, *Sense and Sensibility*, and the second American edition of *Emma* were all published in two volumes by the Philadelphia publishers Carey & Lea in the meantime. In October 1833, Bentley's '*Standard Novels*' issues the first really collected edition were republished for sale as a set of five numbered volumes in a new binding after being first published for individual sale. Bentley published a reset edition of the novels for separate sale in 1870, in a larger format, later issues of which retain the engraved frontispieces of 1833, and this edition was many times reprinted up to 1892. The separate issues and the collected edition were frequently reprinted, in different binding styles and at various dates until 1869, generally retaining the engraved frontispieces but not always the second title pages with engraved vignettes.

Bentley's *Stevenson Edition* of 1882, which was also the first Bentley set to have the novels numbered in the order of their first publication, also used the 1870 text setting. It was the nineteenth

century's first attempt at a luxury edition of Jane Austen's books. The Bentley edition may have dominated the market from 1833 to 1892, but Bendeley soon faced competition from other publishers on both sides of the Atlantic, both before and after the copyrights expired and on the Continent as well: in 1836 the first Swedish translation of a Jane Austen book appeared, a version of *Persuasion* by Emilia Westdahl, published at Nyköping by P. E. Winge, two volumes in one, under the title *Fam The novels* were previously published separately by Carey, Lea and Blanchard of Philadelphia in 1838 as a collected edition, two volumes in one, printed in double columns. In 1845, the same firm reissued the novels separately, also in Philadelphia, still in double columns. From the 1840s forward, publishers other than Bendeley in Britain started to publish Jane Austen's individual works since they could plainly make money doing so. Along with publishing separate editions of *Sense and Sensibility* and *Pride and Prejudice* in 1849 without drawings, George Routledge also republished the two novels in one book in 1851 with a frontispiece by John Gilbert that included Elizabeth Bennet dressed in 1851.

Soon, further international editions appeared. The first Danish publication of a Jane Austen book was in 1855–1856 when Carl Karup's translation of *Sense and Sensibility* was published in Kjøge in three volumes by L. Jordan to S. Forstand og Hjerte, published by Oettinger. *Pride and Prejudice* and *Sense and Sensibility* were published in 1855 and 1856, respectively, by Bunce & Brother of New York. After the firm was taken over by Derby & Jackson, also of New York, all the novels were published in 1857 in four volumes. This edition has a second, engraved, title page to each volume, incorporating in each case vignettes sometimes, but not always, reminiscent of those of the Bentley 'Standard Novels' issues of 1833, on which they may be based the plates of its text were reused for further printings by Ticknor and Fields of Boston in 1863, and for undated reprints by Porter & Coates of Philadelphia in the 1870s with the addition of wood-engraved frontispieces. was another 1850s overseas publication. F. Ridderstad published *Sense and Sensibility* in English in 1864 as part of Bernhard Tauchnitz's paperback collection *Collection of British Authors*, which was published exclusively for sale in Europe. This was the first continental printing of an English version of a Jane Austen book. *Mansfield Park*, *Pride and Prejudice*, *Northanger Abbey*, *Persuasion*, and *Emma* were published in the years that followed. More London versions were simultaneously coming out [7].

Mansfield Park, published by Routledge in 1857 as part of the Railway Library series, pioneered the use of glazed paper boards for book binding and featured a wood-engraved illustration on the front board only, not inside the book. In the same year, Routledge also released similar editions of *Emma*, *Northanger Abbey*, and *Persuasion*. Other Routledge printings appeared in the 1870s and 1880s in illustrated paper wrappers, glazed paper boards, or decorated cloth with wood-engraved frontispieces, as in the 'Ruby Series' in the 1870s; the same company also published 'Routledge's Edition' in 1883. Additionally, Richard Edward King republished the Routledge volumes on shoddy paper, without a date, and often with oddly inappropriate pictures. The novelist's verses in memory of her friend Mrs. Anne Lefroy were printed in Sir John Henry Lefroy's privately published *Notes and Documents Relating to the Family of Lefroy* in 1868, and more minor works were made available in the first edition of the novelist's nephew James Edward Austen-Leigh's *A Memoir of Jane Austen*, published by Bentley in 1870 the lines in memory of Mrs. Lefroy, so that's why they're called *The Watsons*, the so-called cancelled chapter of *Persuasion*, and excerpts from *Sanditon* were added to this book's second edition in 1871.

The volume was published in the same format as Bendeley's 1870 resetting of the novels, with a spine misleadingly lettered *Lady Susan &c.* Before being reissued by Macmillan in the same style

in 1901 and 1904, other editions of the Memoir in the same format were published in 1872, 1879, 1883, and 1886 as well as in Bentley's 1882 Steventon Edition of the works already mentioned. The reprinting of all six of Bentley's 1833 books by Chapman and Hall in glazed paper boards with a picture solely on the front board in 1870 is especially noteworthy. *Mansfield Park*, was published in 1875 by Groombridge & Sons, and I consider it to be the first English translation of a Jane Austen book with more than simply a frontispiece. F. Lydon was evidently lithographically rendered in purplish grey tones. These include a frontispiece, a decorative title page, and six inserted plates with characters dressed in the fashion of 1875. These are all printed on paper that is stiffer than the text. There is no other Jane Austen book that this publisher is known to have published. With the addition of *Lady Susan* and *The Watsons* in a later issue, George Munro of New York published all the novels in 1880–1881 in a low-cost wrapped series titled *The Seaside Library*, printed in three columns.

In addition, Ward, Lock and Co. published what was likely the final printing of the novels from the stereotype plates of the 1833 Bentley editions in 1881, either in cloth or glazed paper boards with a wood-engraved illustration on the front board. As we've seen, the books were last printed by Bentley in 1892 that same year, another publisher, J. Jane Austen's works were published by M. Dent during most of his career, at least into the 1960s. As far as I'm aware, Dent's ten-volume collection of the novels, released in 1892, is the first edition to include editorial content, recognize the existence of various early copies, and try to really study the text. As far as I'm aware, the illustrations after William Cubitt Cooke, sepia photogravure reproductions of almost monochrome grey-brown wash drawings, three to each volume, are also the first to attempt to represent characters in the dress and surroundings, furniture, etc. of the dates of composition or first publication of each novel, even though we may now detect an influence of the date of actual publication in some details, as Joan Hassall noted in her essay. This edition also included a limited-edition luxury run of 150 sets printed on handcrafted bigger paper with excellent plate impressions [8].

In the same publisher's Everyman's Library series from 1906, the same text format was once again utilized, this time without images and with the pagination changed to accommodate the publishing of each book in a single volume. These revised text arrangements were used for reprintings of the Everyman's Library volumes between 1907 and 1932, and were again published as a set, with just sixteen of C. E. Brock alone, twenty-four each book. Brock in 1922. Printed in color on a grained paper to replicate the original rather faint drawings in ink and colored crayon on linen. This edition is also notable for having in its seventh volume texts of *Plan of a Novel*, *Sanditon*, *The Watsons*, the cancelled chapter of *Persuasion*, and *Lady Susan*. This reset edition of the novels was published by Dent in 1933–1934 in seven volumes with new illustrations, eight per volume, by Maximilien Vox. The 'Everyman's Library' series' reprints of the majority of its volumes, as well as the so-called improved versions of certain of its books published in the early 1960s, all utilized the text setting from 1933–1944. But we have to go back to the 1890s. The novels by Edmund H. Garrett are printed in a twelve-volume American set published in 1892 by Roberts Brothers of Boston and frequently reprinted by Little, Brown and Company.

This set also includes *Lady Susan*, *The Watsons*, and the *Austen-Leigh Memoir*, as well as 78 of Jane Austen's letters from the Brabourne edition of 1884. line drawings by a variety of artists, the most notable of whom are Hugh Thomson, the Brock brothers, and Chris Hammond, who, like the Brock illustrations for the 1898 Dent edition, make a serious *The Pride and Prejudice* of 1894 was the most intricately adorned since it had pictures in the text, headpieces, tailpieces, ornate initials,

and other embellishments, including the entirely drawn title page and dedication page. Hugh Thomson created 160-line drawings for this book. C. Hugh Thomson created the illustrations for each of the remaining novels for Macmillan, including *Sense and Sensibility* and *Emma* in 1896, *Mansfield Park*, *Northanger Abbey*, and *Persuasion* in 1897, all of which had laudatory introductions by Austin Dobson. George Allen published editions of *Emma* in 1898 and *Sense and Sensibility* in 1899, and the Gresham Publishing Company released the Gresham Publishing edition of *Sense and Sensibility* in 1900. The three illustrations for *Pride and Prejudice* in 1900 are all by Chris Hammond. The 1898 Grant Richards edition of the novels in ten unillustrated volumes, the Winchester Edition, and the 1895 printing by Spottiswoode of charades by Jane Austen and other members the final translation to appear in print during the nineteenth century was Félix Fénéon's *Catherine Morland*, a French version of *Northanger Abbey* that he wrote while serving a sentence for anarchy. It was first serialized in the *Revue Blanche* in 1898 and then published in book form by Editions de la *Revue Blanche* in 1899 [9].

Since the start of the 20th century, there have been far too many separate editions to list in detail, especially those released in budget-friendly series by publishers like Cassell, Nelson, Blackie, Collins, and others. This includes the brand-new trend of annotated texts for schools and colleges, of which Mrs. Frederick Boas's condensed edition of *Pride and Prejudice* from Cambridge University Press in 1910 is an early example. While some of the more affordable copies feature unremarkable artwork, others, like a 1913 Cassell *Pride and Prejudice*, include fresh illustrations by notable artists, in this instance. Brock. There are additional collected sets, including the unillustrated Hampshire Edition of 1902, and the Chatto and Windus edition of 1908–1909 in ten volumes with rather tepid color illustrations, ten per volume, by A. Wallis Mills and the unillustrated Adelphi Edition, which Martin Secker released in seven volumes in 1923, with *Lady Susan* and *The Watsons* appearing in the last book. The well-printed books in Oxford University Press's *World's Classics* series, which start with *Emma* in 1907 and continue with *Pride and Prejudice* and *Mansfield Park* in 1929, *Northanger Abbey* and *Persuasion* in 1930, and *Sense and Sensibility* in 1931, deserve special mention. They are small, neat cloth-bound volumes that are neither illustrated nor contain any editorial material.

What would become the standard edition of Jane Austen's novels until the twenty-first century was also published in 1923. W. Chapman, which the Clarendon Press, Oxford, released in five volumes with the innovative feature of using pictures from current sources in lieu of inventive drawings by painters from the 1920s. No illustrated edition, according to the prospectus for this one, has the original pictures that Jane Austen would have viewed. The Chapman edition, one of the earliest critical editions of any English novelist, maintains the original volume divisions and chapter numbering in each case, an introductory note provides the circumstances of composition and publication, while the commentary, appendices, and indexes are free from the intrusion of modernity. The texts of *Sense and Sensibility* and *Mansfield Park* are based on the second editions, while the other four titles are based on the first editions. The fifth book contains a general index to all six novels. The text setting for *Pride and Prejudice* was actually created for a separate edition of the book that was edited by Katharine M. Metcalfe and published in 1912 by Oxford University Press. Similarly, the text setting for *Northanger Abbey* was created for a separate edition that she edited under her maiden name and published by the Clarendon Press earlier in 1923 than her husband's great edition [10].

DISCUSSION

Exploring Conflicts in Reader Identity and Reception History is a fascinating and in-depth investigation of one of the most cherished writers in English literature and his lasting influence. The main ideas and implications raised by the title will be covered in further detail in this debate. Due to the zeal and commitment of Jane Austen's fans, her books have long had a devoted and passionate following that is sometimes referred to as a cult. In this context, the word cult refers to the emergence of a separate subculture of Jane Austen fans as well as popularity. This tendency is universal since readers of Austen's novels come from all backgrounds and all periods. By addressing this cult, the study recognizes the relevance and ongoing appeal of Austen's works. The emphasis on reader identification in this research is among its noteworthy features. Readers of Austen's books come from a wide range of backgrounds and viewpoints. Her books are viewed as timeless masterpieces by certain readers and as astute societal criticisms by others. Conflicts may arise as a result of the diverse ways that readers react to and understand Austen's stories. Disagreements about the plot, ideas, or the very substance of what makes an Austen work uniquely Austenian may give rise to these confrontations. A fuller comprehension of the diverse tapestry of reader experiences within the Austen cult may be gained by looking at these disputes.

This study's discussion of reception history serves as a key framework for examining Austen's writings. Austen's books have undergone many interpretations, adaptations, and reimagining's throughout the years. The changing social standards, values, and cultural circumstances are reflected in this dynamic reception history. Austen's stories, which were initially written during the Regency era, have persisted in readers' hearts throughout history, demonstrating their enduring appeal. The study demonstrates how Austen's works have adapted to and affected the cultural environment while illuminating the changing link between literature and society. The research emphasizes the cultural importance of Jane Austen's writings in addition to the tensions and difficulties. Her books have influenced several works of fiction, movies, and other types of media. The lasting success of adaptations, like as *Pride and Prejudice* on cinema and television or more recent retellings like *Bridget Jones's Diary*, demonstrates her effect on current society. Austen is a lasting and significant character in the literary world because of her investigation of topics like class, gender, and social customs, which are still important today.

CONCLUSION

We've been on a fascinating trip through the pages of *Jane Austen's Cult: Exploring Conflicts in Reader Identity and Reception History*, which examines the lasting influence of one of literature's most renowned writers. The complicated web of reader identities within the Austen cult and the changing reception history of her literary works has both been extensively explored in this research. The humor, social insight, and ageless appeal of Jane Austen's books have led to a loyal following that cuts across time and cultural barriers. This cult of Austen devotees, academics, and casual readers creates a lively community that is united by a love of her stories. But as we have seen, there are several tensions and inconsistencies even inside this cult that seems to be peaceful. The idea of reader identity has emerged as a major issue, illuminating the connections between personal and societal identities in Austen's works. The tensions that develop within these identities highlight literature's capacity to incite, test, and inspire. Disagreements over character interpretation, Austen's societal criticism, or what defines an Austen work as unmistakably Austenian are just a few examples of how these disagreements reveal the breadth of reader experiences within the Austen cult.

REFERENCES:

- [1] B. Tandon, The historical background, in *The Cambridge Companion to Pride and Prejudice*, 2009. doi: 10.1017/CCO9780511844591.008.
- [2] C. L. Johnson, *Jane Austen's Cults and Cultures*. 2013. doi: 10.7208/chicago/9780226402055.001.0001.
- [3] D. Looser, *The making of jane Austen*. 2017. doi: 10.5325/reception.10.1.0104.
- [4] J. Wilkes, *Women reviewing women in nineteenth-century Britain: The critical reception of Jane Austen, Charlotte Brontë and George Eliot*. 2016. doi: 10.4324/9781315546674.
- [5] L. Mee, The Hollywood Remake Massacre: Adaptation, Reception, and Value, in *Adaptation, Awards Culture, and the Value of Prestige*, 2017. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-52854-0_11.
- [6] J. Wilkes, *Women reviewing women in nineteenth-century Britain: The critical reception of Jane Austen, Charlotte Brontë and George Eliot*. 2010. doi: 10.1353/sdn.2011.0010.
- [7] S. Hones, Teaching and Learning Guide for: Text as it happens - literary geography, *Geogr. Compass*, 2010, doi: 10.1111/j.1749-8198.2009.00291.x.
- [8] J. Wilkes, *Women Reviewing Women in Nineteenth-Century Britain*. 2016. doi: 10.4324/9781315546674.
- [9] J. Spencer, *Literary Relations: Kinship and the Canon 1660-1830*. 2007. doi: 10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199262960.001.0001.
- [10] B. Murnane, Haunting (literary) history: An introduction to German gothic, in *Popular Revenants: The German Gothic and its International Reception, 1800-2000*, 2010.

CHAPTER 8

EXAMINING THE PROLIFERATION OF SEQUELS AND THEIR IMPACT

Vandana Whig, Professor
Department of Management, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India
Email Id- vandanawhig@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

This research examines the amazing proliferation of sequels drawn from the long literary legacy of Jane Austen, one of the most renowned writers of the 19th century. This study attempts to explain the causes for the production of multiple sequels and adaptations by modern writers, with a focus on Austen's timeless books such as *Pride and Prejudice*, *Sense and Sensibility*, and *Emma*. This research investigates the phenomena of sequels inspired by Jane Austen from both historical and modern viewpoints by using an interdisciplinary method that includes literary analysis, cultural studies, and reception theory. The study also looks at how these sequels have altered literature, popular culture, and how people see Austen's original works. It explores how these sequels have influenced modern literary trends as well as the enduring relevance of Austen's ideas, characters, and social criticism. This research sheds light on the intricate interactions between Jane Austen's literary canon and the imaginative reinterpretations it has sparked via a careful assessment of critical reception, reader involvement, and the function of fan networks.

KEYWORDS:

Adaptations, Cultural influence, Jane Austen, Reception theory, Sequels Impact.

INTRODUCTION

The patience and tolerance of the reader who intends to be faithful to Jane Austen and her example may be tested by the sequels, prequels, retellings, and spin-offs that her works have generated. One thing is the sheer volume of these pieces. Over a hundred published novels and novellas that aim to give us more of the elegant writing and vivid characterization that we adore in the original are included in bibliographies that were put together at the end of the 1990s and are presently connected to the website The Republic of Pemberley. Each year, more authors have been added to this collection. Austen is portrayed in numerous tales of the genesis of the book as the inventor who cut off the excess fat while practicing exquisite economy on that fabled little piece of ivory that served as her canvas. But in an odd turn of events, she seems to be the one who speaks for others. This is true even though Austen wrote very little in comparison to the other authors that established the book as a genre in the nineteenth century. There are just the six books and the two partial first drafts of *The Watsons*, and *Sanditon*. The authors of the sequels provide us with their products as recompense for that deprivation, speculating on readers' willingness to interpret this little that Jane Austen penned as less than enough. None of the books have avoided being used as grinding millstone.

The term sequel is one I've used a lot in this article. It includes, for instance, the several works that served as the basis for *The Watsons'* and *Sanditon's* findings. Following the example of the aforementioned bibliographies, I also use the term sequel to refer to works that extend the action of the original novels or retell it from new perspectives while also putting the characters in a

different generic register. These works can range from soft-core pornography to fantasy, of course, this is in addition to categorizing the more numerous stories that envision gentler afterlives for Jane Austen's characters under this heading. The vast majority of Austen sequels preserve Austen's comedy of manners and reduce it to a formula: take three or four families in a country village in the south of England, sometime during the Regency; arrange for strangers to arrive in that neighborhood, marriageable young men whose ways are perplexingly opaque; add narrative twists and turns by sending your protagonists into unexpected situations. This corpus of work is uniformly derivative yet intimidatingly different.

One generalization that appears reasonable to make is that it has proved to be quite hard to separate these Austen recycling efforts from their financial motivations. After all, investing in Austen is safe for publishers' money. She already has an audience. William Wordsworth, a contemporary of Jane Austen, said that the author of a sequel is not necessary to establish the standard of enjoyment for him or her. A cynical perspective of sequel writing as the literati's closest approximation to a get-rich-quick scheme appears to be confirmed by the history of Austen sequels, particularly the timing of the upswings in their creation. The first contributions to the continuation of Jane Austen's manuscript fragments were made by her nieces in the middle of the nineteenth century: Catherine Hubback, the impoverished daughter of Jane Austen's younger brother Francis, who in 1850 based a triple-decker novel, *The Younger Sister*, on the Watsons, and Anna Austen Lefroy, the descendant of the rival branch of the family led by Jane Austen's eldest brother James, who apparently at some point in the early nineteenth century wrote the first proper sequel, *Sibyl Brinton's Old Friends and New Fancies*, comes out in 1914, not long after Henry James published his famous complaint about the greed of those who produce the pleasant twaddle of the magazines and find dear Jane so infinitely suited to their material purposes [1].

The most pronounced increase in sequel production occurs in the late 1990s, which suggests tellingly that the audience demand for these books has increased. For critics, the sequels' most irritating quality may be how they completely destroy any feeling that the world of Jane Austen was ever the invention of a unique, actual person. Instead, as sequels breed sequels, Jane Austen is increasingly ingrained into the logic of seriality in the market, and her works are increasingly assimilated to mass-produced Regency Romances. She is thus held captive within a cultural environment where familiarity breeds content rather than contempt. Of course, concerns about the destiny of originals amid capitalism's culture of copies, which are stoked by the commercialization of great literature, are nothing new. They contributed to the development of the sociology of culture during Austen's lifetime. Likewise, ever since the book first appeared in the seventeenth century, the sequel has constituted a crucial aspect of the history of the genre and is by no means an anomaly.

Remembering this might help us acknowledge that there are reasons to engage these books and, in general, the cross-over between classic literature and mass culture they manifest with some patience and to hold off on accusing their authors of while busy criticizing the sequel writers' impudence and incompetence, their detractors have not looked into why Austen's works appear to have been more conducive to sequelization than those of almost any other novelist. However, a look at the last century of para-Austenian literature could shed light on, for example, what Austen's plotting, particularly in *Emma* and *Pride and Prejudice*, the two novels most frequently inspiring spin-offs, that awakens these detractors. It might provide light on the relationship between the enjoyment of tales and the enjoyment of stories' nostalgic recurrence in Austen's own writings. Undoubtedly, the majority of readers' reactions to the Austen sequels are ones of disappointment.

And, undoubtedly, there are pleasures in the original that readers are hard-pressed to find in para-Austenian pages: the bracing pleasures provided by Austen's biting satire; by the undercurrent of despondency that haunts her fictions' comedy; by her evident faith in the moral efficacy of art. Following Betty A. Schellenberg and Paul Budra, it is helpful to distinguish between two types of sequels in order to evaluate the pleasures that can be had. On the one hand, there is the kind that pushes past the original ending to recount subsequent events in the story of an obviously unforgettable protagonist; this strategy entails writing beyond the nuptials ending the courtship plot in order to imagine, for example, Elizabeth Bennett as a guerrilla insurgent or, less appetizingly, On the other hand, there are sequels that don't alter the 'happily ever after' ending of the first book but instead find other ways to go back to the original novel's world or to what is more generally referred to as 'the world of Jane Austen' [2].

These returns frequently entail rewriting the plot from the perspective of a character who was previously minor. I want to make the suggestion that the first type of sequel highlights the pleasures that humans derive from gossip that imaginative speculation we engage in together when, on the basis of scant evidence, we spin tales about outcomes and consequences, extrapolating in ways that frequently lag far behind the available data. When the letter-writing narrator of a 1929 exemplar of this genre, *The Darcys of Rosing's*, admits to her correspondent that her husband, the Admiral, complains to her cackling, the series' debt to the rumor mill is admitted with endearing candor. We naturally owe the tale to such tongue-wagging. For example, the Wickhams' financial woes were spoken about all over the neighborhood. Readers of these kinds of sequels are implicitly portrayed in the positions played initially by the rumor-hungry people of Meryton and Highbury, two of Austen's small-town communities: we, too, eagerly await the most recent information about how, in Mr. Bennet's words, our neighbors have been making fun of us. One merely has to think back to the ingenious animating suspicion.

Consider Jane Fairfax, this endearing Mr. Dixon, and Emma Woodhouse's decision to skip Ireland to see what a rich supply of material gossip is for Austen's stories. According to her nephew James Edward Austen-Leigh, Jane Austen would tell us many little particulars about the subsequent career of her people if he asked her. In this customary way, we learned that Miss Steele was never able to catch the Doctor, that Kitty Bennet was happily married to a clergyman close to Pemberley, and that Mary was content to be regarded as a celebrity in Meriton. Since its inclusion in Austen Leigh's 1870 *Memoir of his aunt*, this anecdote has given the go-ahead for sequel writing, especially as it is currently practiced. It seems as if they always leave the evidence that Austen's originals had provided far behind them in their inventive excursions. In the *Apologia* to her second Emma spin-off, one of the more loftier sequel writers, Joan Austen-Leigh, declares herself an enemy to gossip: she abstains from liberties and leaves the newlywed Knightley and Emma to their well-earned privacy and peace. However, the sequels' shortcomings may be less a function of their indulgence in prying gossip than a function of a florid detail the sequels detail the sexual dysfunction, infidelity, and kidnapping that occur in the afterlives. Authors of sequels have entertained their readers with tales of the terrible deeds of an Indian conman, a French conwoman, or the daring smugglers of Hastings. As a result, these stories often have a nostalgic air for the Gothic and emotional books that Austen liked to burlesque [3].

They also have a bizarrely pre-Austenian sensationalism. Was Mrs. Elton correct when she said that the Knightleys' intention to live at Hartfield with Mr. Woodhouse was a shocking plan and would never do? Was Mr. Bennet correct when he forewarned his beloved daughter that her lively talents would place her in the greatest danger in an unequal marriage? Affirming our predictions

about what, if anything, the uncomfortable undertones in Austen's joyful endings portend, books like Tennant's *Pemberley* and Rachel Billington's *Perfect Happiness* provide us pleasure. The second kind of sequelization prioritizes the satisfaction of discovering that, despite the passage of time in both the fictional world and the reader's real world, Austen's characters' regular lives continue unabated. Making moral extracts is still what Mary Bennet does. Mr. Woodhouse continues to go through the bushes every day.

Readers of these volumes are treated to retellings of Austen's finest quotes and gags as well as demonstrations of the comedic characters' unflappable tenacity, which conveys a comforting message about the consistency of human nature. Books marketed as returns to Jane Austen's world downplay their speculation about unknowable futures and play on the comforts of familiarity, even if the sequels' predictions about what will happen next must obviously serve as a reminder that time brings changes. It seems as though these authors are assuming that their readers will be routine-oriented, like Mr. Woodhouse, or like Mr. Woodhouse's grandsons, who ask every day for the story of Harriet and the gypsies, and still tenaciously right if she varied in the slightest particular from the original recital. They are also presumably assuming that their readers will enjoy puzzles and tests. The anonymous 'Lady' who continued *Sanditon* in 1975 offers her book as an 'escape' from the 'garishness' of our un-Austenian age and as 'relaxation' in a 'servantless world', which confirms the characterization made by several commentators that 'the world of Jane Austen' is frequently seen through the rose-colored glasses of nostalgia, mourned as a lost age of placid elegance. However, as the designers of this universe often take considerable pleasure in bringing together characters from different Austen novels or in fusing information from her fictions and biographies.

In Jane Fairfax (1940), Naomi Royde-Smith reports on the afterlives of characters from Burney's *Evelina*. In *Presumption*, Jane Austen's aunt's escapades with lace and the law are transferred to the Aunt Philips of the Bennet sisters. When we realize while reading *Mansfield Revised* that Lady Bertram's haplessness as she confronts a charade is a reprise of Harriet Smith's in *Emma*, we receive gratifying proof that we, at least, are not so clueless. The feeling of knowing something is, of course, a benefit that Austen herself bestows upon readers who decipher her allusions to earlier fictions or notice, even before she instructs them to, that tell-tale compression of the pages, assures them that we are all hastening together to perfect felicity. To consider how the sequel balances between such knowingness and that particular form of fiction That author must provide a revision or variant on the original. She must resist the need to merely reassemble it, despite the irony that she satisfies her audience's want for more of the same. The small character who can be transformed into a main character has proven to be quite useful as writers have worked through this dilemma over the years. A grown-up Susan Price, Georgiana Darcy, or Margaret Dashwood is a newish character who may still be included in a marriage plot that repeats the tales of her siblings. Although the words are spoken by Julia Bertram, savvy readers will recognize them as classic Mrs. Norris.

And maybe the answer Jane Austen was looking for was, Here we go again. She initiated Susan's entrance into *Mansfield Park* and *Mansfield Park*; she then made arrangements for a cycle to resume. In other words, the evidence of the books themselves reveals that Austen was just as ready to experiment with the rules of narrative teleology and closure as her sequel-providers, even if she didn't precisely dictate the parameters of her future sequelisation. Her stories often use repetition and the recurrent rhythms of daily life to maintain the plot's forward momentum and certify the readers' sensation of hastening relentlessly toward felicity. Even in *Pride and Prejudice*, widely

considered a model courtship narrative, there is in the third volume a moment when, as Mr. Bingley resumes his lease at Netherfield and Mrs. Bennet resumes her maneuvering, Elizabeth thinks with exasperation that time must be going in circles: 'Were the same fair prospect to arise at present, as had flattered them a year ago, everything, she was persuaded, would be hastening to the same vexatious conclusion' [4].

Another example of Austen's unconventional narratology that we should note is how often she structures her novels as if they are the continuations of previous tales. Thus, *Emma* starts with a wedding and its protagonist congratulating herself on bringing Mr. Weston and Miss Taylor's love tale to a joyful end. According to Marjorie Garber, sequels are both 'theoretically radical' and 'experientially conservative' as a genre because they both bring out, as I have noticed, the Woodhouse in readers and comfort us in our reluctance to countenance newcomers, and they also challenge some of the literary tradition's sacrosanct convictions about the boundedness of texts and the mechanisms of narrative closure. However, the reader can easily allow herself some second thoughts - and ask if Jane Austen ever imagined she would have it - in light of their novels' theoretically radical character. At first glance, cultural diversity may well appear to preclude the possibility of considering Austen's reception on the Continent as a whole. However, the diffusion of Austen's novels in translation began as early as 1813 with the first French translation of *Pride and Prejudice*. Nevertheless, general observations derived from the context of European literature in the nineteenth century are required to help readers come to terms with the perplexing quirks of the various texts, even if the close analysis of the various translations constitutes an important area of Austen scholarship still largely neglected.

This explains the organization of this piece, which will provide some fundamental ideas before concentrating on a variety of French and German instances. As is best shown by the instance of Russia, the early to mid-19th century European landscape of Austen's reception and translation is often characterized by gaps and omissions. The European Herald's *Vestnik Evropy* periodical published a review of *Emma* as early as 1816, mostly based on outside sources rather than any personal familiarity with the book. The 'anglomaniac' critic Aleksandr Druzhinin mentioned Austen in an essay about English women writers for the journal *Sovremennik* in the 1850s. The essay also discussed Maria Edgeworth, Lady Blessington, Felicia Hemans, and the Brontë sisters. However, translations were not provided for this or other sporadic references to Austen or her writing. In addition, one notices that even in those regions of the Continent where Austen's writings were early accessible in translation, she herself remained a relatively obscure figure as an author. The first edition of an Austen novel in Russian was released in 1967 [5].

Her value was only gradually acknowledged, and Anglo-American critical assessments contributed to her delayed canonization. Jane Austen was still referred to as *un auteur méconnu* in France as recently as 2000, according to Pierre Goubert, general editor of her complete works of literature. This widespread misunderstanding or underestimation of Jane Austen stems in large part from the way her novels were viewed in the nineteenth century as a result of the translations. He also had to explain why her novels deserved to be included in the prestigious collection of alongside other works of the French and European canon. The relative marginalization of women authors and the domination of Walter Scott, whose name was associated with the English novel for Continental readers, were the key reasons that distorted the early dissemination of her work. Austen's books were also seen as 'strange' because of how strongly English they were, so Continental translators had to adapt them to fit local reading customs and novel-writing traditions. Most often, this meant allowing a regional brand of sentimentalism and stifling Austen's humor.

Thus, understanding the concepts of cultural appropriation and adaptation is essential to understanding the early translations of Austen's books.

Early efforts to translate Austen's writings for readers in Europe did not, in fact, give either the author or her works any particular care. The book was a widely read literary form, and Britain provided an endless supply with its prolific output. Jane Austen was only one of many authors whose works met the need for prose fiction among European audiences. *Pride and Prejudice* had been translated into French three times, *Mansfield Park* twice, and all six of Austen's books by the year 1824. The earliest French translations of *Pride and Prejudice* and *Mansfield Park* were both published by the *Bibliothèque britannique*. Each book was published in four installments, each of which was condensed to make up a third of the original length. The author of *Sense and Sensibility*, Isabelle de Montolieu, agreed to a free translation in 1815, followed by one of *Persuasion* in 1821. These may be considered as the most extensively read of the early translations due to the *Bibliothèque britannique*'s extensive circulation across Europe and Isabelle de Montolieu's popularity.

The physicist Marc Auguste Pictet and his brother Charles Pictet de Rochemont, a diplomat and significant player in the history of the city republic after Waterloo, led a group of writers and their families to edit the *Bibliothèque britannique* in Geneva. The Pictets shared the writers of *Practical Education* Richard Lovell and Maria Edgeworth's goal of a well-ordered society centered on its middle classes and advancing via practical advancement. They felt a deep connection to these authors and often translated their work. As a rule, moral education and practicality were the only factors that justified the inclusion of any text in the Pictets' diary. The *Bibliothèque britannique* saw fiction as a potentially pedagogical genre geared at a female audience alone. The difference between a complicated book and conduct literature is made apparent by the translations of the *Bibliothèque britannique*. They also imply how liberal Austen was in her treatment of gender. When it came to *Pride and Prejudice*, the editors' definition of what constitutes appropriate reading for devout girls required significant pruning of the heroine and the liveliness of her mind. By comparison, their Miss Eliza demonstrates how much Lizzy goes above what is expected of a lady, among other things via her freedom of speech. While the translation's text focuses only on the main narrative of her relationship with Darcy, her vocal interactions with him go through a process of meticulous modification [6].

The wit with which these sparks of truth overcome the meaninglessness of everyday dialogue is replaced in the British Library by feminine humility. The type of claim that underwent emendation is found in Elizabeth's boast at the ball that she has always seen a great similarity in the turn of [their] minds because each of them is unwilling to speak, unless they expect to say something that will amaze the whole room. The equality of mind that Elizabeth takes for granted is rejected, and gender differentiation is established when she says: *Moi, I keep quiet because I don't know what to say, and you, because you aigusez your traits to speak with effect*, therefore readers of the Geneva magazine could never know that Darcy genuinely falls for her live liness of mind. It was a wise editing decision to stop there, which ironically demonstrates how well the Pictets understood the implications for their idea of the book as a didactic medium. Austen lists and refutes one by one each justification for why a nice girl ought to be rewarded with a happy marriage. None of politeness, beauty, goodness, or sisterly devotion can explain Darcy's love. Fanny Price more closely resembled how the editors imagined women should behave.

To alter the reader's sense of her heroic characteristics, the translated text of *Mansfield Park* makes a number of structural changes to the story. The translation captures Fanny's share of sentimental symptoms, such as pallor, physical weakness, and emotional betrayal via physical symptoms like flushing, trembling, fatigue, or headache. The severity of these symptoms varies, however. The narrator of *Mansfield Park* asks readers to interpret these traits as either Fanny's innate physical characteristics or as attitudes nurtured by her impoverished upbringing in the Bertram home. Austen cautions her audience to avoid mistaking them for the novelistic characteristics that denote the presence of a heroine. Her Fanny is not one of those unconquerable young ladies seen in literature, but in the *Bibliothèque britannique*, where her sensitivity helps to underline her hero status, she certainly qualifies as one. By aligning the translated language with the male characters' perceptions of Fanny Sir Thomas's, but also Henry Crawford's and Edmund's the transition from the author's initial skepticism to a prescriptive affirmation of the heroine's emotional features is accomplished. In most cases, the translation supports Sir Thomas's viewpoint. Due to a practice of editing and rewriting that narrows the breadth of the Antigua link and isolates Mrs. Norris as the guilty party, his patriarchal authority is preserved. In Jane Austen's book, Sir Thomas transforms into both the text's structural and moral framework. The figure of Fanny is wholly created by the masculine gaze and becomes an unconquerable young lady in the truest sense, to the point that the innocent creature of the *Bibliothèque britannique* is not even aware that her affections for Edmund are not sibling-like [7].

9The patriarchal narrative takes the place of the feminine solidarity between the narrator and heroine. Scott. Isabelle de Montolieu, a highly successful Swiss author residing in Lausanne and published in Paris, provided the next translation to appear in French. Without mentioning the lady who wrote the original, *Raison et sensibilité ou les deux façons d'aimer*'s title page declares a free translation by Mme de Mon tolieu. A blatant understatement for the substantial and many changes impacting *Sense and Sensibility*'s storyline, style, and genre is the preface's declaration of some slight changes, near the end. The English book of sensibility that Austen had envisioned as a metacritical genre that relied on verisimilitude and produced its own criticism of sensibility became a French sentimental novel under Montolieu's pen. The catalogues of the circulating libraries in Restoration France recognized the emotional novel as one of the genres, along with the Gothic novel, the historical novel, and the comedy novel.

By the time she translated *Sense and Sensibility*, Montolieu had already established herself as the generation's go-to sentimental writer, ranking third on librarians' lists of the most popular works, after Mme de Genlis and Walter. Consequently, Austen's book, had to meet the demands of the readers of her translator, and *Persuasion*, Montolieu's free translation of 1821, was published as volumes 15 and 16 of Montolieu's own *oeuvres complètes*, although having Austen's name on the title page. Margaret Cohen offers a helpful backdrop in her ground-breaking research of the emotional novel in France to comprehend the overall differences between Montolieu and Austen's notions of the genre. The French sentimental novel, in Cohen's opinion, has more similarities to theatre, particularly tragedy, than to narrative fiction. It is organized around a distinct binary conflict and grounded in the Enlightenment language of collective responsibility against individual freedom to pursue pleasure. It is also characterized by a number of formal elements that Jane Austen's books lacked but which Montolieu's do. The sentimental novel and the realism novel are in opposition to one another and the realist novel prevails in French literature [8].

The plot then follows a male protagonist's advancement and success against the social community, which is negatively conceived as a war of interests. This new map and chronology of the genre in

France is helpful because it not only explains many of Montolieu's interpretative decisions but also because it explains why Austen was not widely recognized throughout the nineteenth century and to this day. There just wasn't a book like Jane Austen's in French. A synthesis of liberal sentimentalism and realism can be seen in her writing. They were absorbed into the sentimental genre that was to be ridiculed by Stendhal, Balzac, and Flaubert and, as far as the canon is concerned, completely discredited via Montolieu's translations, which proved to be quite important in this regard. The few pro-Austen French critics, such as Léon Boucher in the *Revue des Deux Mondes* (1878) and Théodore Duret in the *Revue Blanche* (1898), defended her by claiming she was a genius of pure realism. However, her novels actually incorporate elements of sentimentalism and realism in both style and ideology. The heroines of Austen are torn between their pursuit of pleasure and their feeling of civic responsibility.

Austen, who simultaneously focuses on internal problems and social, cultural, or economic circumstances, does not prohibit a critical view on the restrictive aspect of social life despite the emphasis she places on the heroine's moral decision. The sentimental poetics that conditioned the earliest translations of *Sense and Sensibility* and *Persuasion* are thus incompatible with her in-depth examination of the effects of rank and wealth, while her adherence to the idea of sensibility is foreign to the ideology that underlies French realist poetry. In this way, we can better see why Félix Fénéon, editor of the *Revue Blanche*, who translated *Northanger Abbey*, the least romantic and most parodied of the six books, in 1898, was the only nineteenth-century translator to take Jane Austen seriously as a difficult work of genius. Regarding emotional traditions, one notices Montolieu's predisposition to arrange her material along a variety of binary oppositions and her effacement of realistic allusions to money or the body, considered 'vulgaires' by the French aesthetic standards of the early nineteenth century. Both *Raison et Sensibilité* and *La Famille Elliot* are built on a solid binary structure that pits the wise heroine Elinor in the former and Anne in the latter against a cast of corrupt or otherwise undeserving characters, whose flaws are emphasized and expanded by Montolieu: Robert Ferrars, Lucy Steele.

Willoughby on the one hand, Anne's sisters, and the Musgrove girls on the other. Austen naturally implies a binary structure in *Sense and Sensibility*, but Montolieu transforms the disparity between the sisters into a stark contrast between the individualistic pursuit of pleasure and obligation. The language of Montolieu has a purely emotional appeal that plays into Marianne's self-perception as a sentimental idol. In this regard, the incident involving her sickness in Cleveland is fairly clear. The heroine in Austen's book experiences symptoms while lounging on a sofa, with a book in her hand, which she was unable to read, after walking through the park's longest and wettest grass and keeping on her wet shoes and stockings. When Willoughby and his wife pass by in the distance while Maria is reading Thomson's *Seasons*, she faints by the first step of a temple of love: *Elle sent qu'elleestprès de mourir, unesueurfroide la couvre*. Despite the similarities between French sentimentalism and melodrama, Montolieu preserved the structural comedy of Austen's endings, but with a significant nuance.

She does not express Austen's sarcasm, nor is she particularly interested in a comedic tone. She even removes Henry Austen's reference to his sister participating largely in all the best gifts of the comic muse from her translation of the Biographical Notice. Austen's findings are flimsy and a matter of general norms, while Montolieu's are given the symbolic value of a full and complete restoration of order. The heroine's marriage to Brandon is not the only resolution to the story *Raison et sensibilité*. Montolieu's finding mandates that Willoughby undergo a significant reformation. When he remarries and has a kid, the man of the world has evolved into a man of

feeling: I will live as an Haute-Combe philosophe between my wife and my child, he declares. He is widowed and free to wed Brandon's stepdaughter. In *La Famille Elliot*, Anne marries Wentworth eventually, but Montolieu returns her to Kellynch at the conclusion of his translations: Wentworth achetaune charmante campagnedansce lieuchéri. This restoration movement is typical of the idyllic closure that characterizes sentimental novels written in Switzerland. All of these Swiss novels, which take their cue from Rousseau's *Clarens in La Nouvelle Héloïse*, rely on the same kind of conclusion: a thorough aesthetic and moral resolution in which every aspect of the plot is resolved and mistakes are atoned for within the confines of the book.⁸ The other French translators of *Mansfield Park*, *Emma*, *Pride and Prejudice*, and *Northanger Abbey* followed a more literal style of translation [9].

They were promoted in accordance with the general standards of library catalogues where they were portrayed as emotional or even Gothic books. They first appeared in two waves, after *Raison et sensibilité* and *La Famille Elliot*. The introduction of *Emma* said that it was not a novel but rather un tableau desmoeurs du temps. The French reception of Austen's work throughout the nineteenth century was significantly hampered by this uncertainty over the validity of her books. *Persuasion*, which was translated by W. was the first book to emerge in German. Ein Familiengemahlde 'von Johanna Austen' by A. Lindau, performed as Anna, was published in Leipzig in 1822 by Kollmann. Lindau was highly recognized for her historical fiction and her work as an English translator. His 1816 publication of a translation of Scott's *The Antiquary* in installments cemented his name. This was followed by a string of well-received translations from further Scott, Maria Edgeworth, Amelia Opie, and Lady Morgan books. Lindau's translation of *Persuasion* is a noteworthy illustration of the degree of simplifying and the removal of cultural identity that Austen's works underwent in early nineteenth-century translations, while being an isolated case in comparison to his other versions from Scott.

The title, the Germanized version of the author's name, the names of the heroine and all of the dramatis personae are all clearly signs of adaptation. Lindau substitutes more general meanings for place names, topographical features, and recognizable addresses. He also converts English-mile lengths into estimates of trip durations. The elimination of qualifiers and adverbs like particularly and generally, which are often connected with distinctly English expressions of understatement and are not always simply translated into other languages, is another obvious example of adaptation and simplification. Additionally, Austen's analyses of social interaction provide a number of challenges for this German translator, who, for example, struggles to find appropriate equivalents for general or character. Likewise, he demystifies the range of English social niceties and prejudices that Austen's books emphasize via language use and register, examples of manners and style, allusions to locations, and the discourse of property.

Even while Lindau partially maintains Austen's sarcasm in his translation, the narrator's voice is more intrusive and therefore more clearly dictates the reader's interpretation of the story in this German translation, which even more radically restricts free indirect speech to direct speech. Nichtsistleichter vorauszusetzen, als daft einjunger, reicher, unverheiratheter Mann von alien andern Dingeneine Fraubedarf, reads the opening sentence in Marezoll's German. This sentence significantly alters the tone of the original because wealth and youth replace Austen's possession and good fortune, while the absence of acknowledged abolishes the idea of a universal. Marezoll, like Lindau, is more focused on Austen's story than her characterization. Additionally, she avoids using problematic lexical indicators like somewhat and rather, which tone down humorous characteristics like the use of understatement. Austen's expertly staged free indirect style is

likewise replaced with direct speech. Marezoll's translation significantly narrows the scope of Austen's pervasively sardonic viewpoint, rewriting *Pride and Prejudice* as a sentimental, love-and-marriage story and heightening its sadness. As a result, this adaptation simplifies *Pride and Prejudice*'s characterization, reduces its meticulously drawn class differences, and sometimes lacks the glitter of Austen's witty and socially important dialogue [10].

DISCUSSION

Known English author Jane Austen, who wrote in the late 18th and early 19th centuries, had a profound impact on literature. Her books, which are renowned for their humor, social satire, and endearing characters, have not only survived the test of time but have also sparked a large number of sequels and adaptations. The abundance of sequels to Austen's books and their effects on literature and society are explored in this intriguing conversation. Over two centuries have passed since the publication of Austen's books, such as *Pride and Prejudice*, *Sense and Sensibility*, and *Emma*, and readers are still enthralled by them. What is it about Austen's writing that appeals to modern readers and makes it seem so timeless? We examine the persistent themes and timeless truths that permeate her books and continue to speak to readers of all ages. The production of prequels, sequels, and Austen book adaptations has increased significantly during the last several decades. With new views and tales, authors like Jo Baker and P.D. James have delved into Austen's world.

What prompts writers to return Austen's characters and situations, and how do they strike a balance between a desire to create and a respect for the original? The abundance of Austen sequels has generated discussions among writers. Some contend that these adaptations give Austen's works fresh vitality, bringing in new readers and keeping the classics current. Others, however, believe that these sequels could lessen Austen's literary legacy and lessen the validity of her work. What effect do these adaptations have on how we see Austen's original works, and do they add to or take away from her literary legacy? The mostly white and wealthy worlds portrayed in the original books have been challenged by recent Austen adaptations, which have also examined issues of diversity and inclusiveness. Characters from Austen's works are being reimagined by authors and filmmakers as people of color, LGBTQ+ people, and people from varied socioeconomic backgrounds. What does this progression mean, and how does it help modernize Austen's stories so that they are accessible to a wider audience? Jane Austen's effect on contemporary Literature: Austen's effect on contemporary literature goes well beyond her own period. How, in terms of style and subject components, have modern writers been influenced by Austen's works? We talk about how Austen serves as a modern-day literary classic for authors.

CONCLUSION

Jane Austen's literary legacy is as powerful now as it was in her day, and her books continue to enthrall and inspire both readers and authors. Conversations concerning authenticity, diversity, and the ongoing popularity of Austen's characters and storylines have been sparked by the profusion of sequels and adaptations, which have given her legacy a new depth. It is crucial to recognize the complex tapestry of literature that has formed as we negotiate the ever-changing environment of Austen adaptations, as well as the ongoing importance and influence of her original writings. Austen's fluid and multifaceted anatomy of the social and private lives of men and women ultimately transforms into a clearer and more understandable representation of reality. The storyline, which was one of the key factors in choosing Austen's works for translation and was typically reproduced in all of its intricacies, is unaffected by Lindau's many alterations and

simplifications. This is also true of the second German translation of *Pride and Prejudice*, *Stolz und Vorurtheil: Ein Roman freinach dem Englischen*, which Hartmann published in Leipzig in 1830. As with Lindau's translation, Louise Marezoll, a writer and magazine editor, provided the translation, and it is respectable by early nineteenth-century standards. However, since it is 'freinach dem Englischen', it also shows yet another intention to Germanize Austen's book.

REFERENCES:

- [1] J. Xu, Austen's fans and fans' Austen, *Journal of Literary Semantics*. 2011. doi: 10.1515/jlse.2011.005.
- [2] K. Romanenko, Nostalgia and self-deprecation: The literary cult of Jane Austen through the figure of her fan, *Logos (Russian Federation)*. 2017. doi: 10.22394/0869-5377-2017-6-153-170.
- [3] C. L. Johnson, *Jane Austen's Cults and Cultures*. 2013. doi: 10.7208/chicago/9780226402055.001.0001.
- [4] L. Opreanu, Beyond the shades of Pemberley: Pursuing Mr. Darcy among recent reincarnations and upgrades, *Univ. Bucharest Rev. Lit. Cult. Stud. Ser.*, 2017.
- [5] Janet Todd, *Jane Austen in Context*. 2005. doi: 10.1017/9781316036525.
- [6] C. Fowler, The Rural Turn in Contemporary Writing by Black and Asian Britons: The Case of English Country Houses' Colonial Connections, *Interventions*, 2017, doi: 10.1080/1369801X.2016.1231589.
- [7] G. A. Wilson, An historical ophthalmic study of Jane Austen, *British Journal of Ophthalmology*. 2012. doi: 10.1136/bjophthalmol-2012-302022.
- [8] D. Napton and A. D. Cousins, Counter-Revolutionary Transformations of Charles I in Burke, Austen and Scott, *J. English Stud.*, 2016, doi: 10.18172/jes8172.
- [9] E. Sabiston, *Private Sphere to World Stage from Austen to Eliot*. 2017. doi: 10.4324/9781351151405.
- [10] T. Piketty, A Debate without Data?, in *Capital in the Twenty-First Century*, 2017.

CHAPTER 9

A CLOSER LOOK AT FARMING, ENCLOSURE, POVERTY AND PUBLISHING

Kanchan Gupta, Assistant Professor
Department of Paramedical Sciences, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India
Email Id- kanchanricha63@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

Through a careful examination of the interactions between agricultural techniques, enclosure movements, the pervasive threat of poverty and Publication, this book digs into the complex economic environment of rural England during the time of Jane Austen. This study offers a thorough analysis of the economic dynamics influencing rural residents' lives in the late 18th and early 19th centuries, drawing on Jane Austen's literary works, historical records, and contemporaneous reports. Beginning with the complex world of farming in Austen's England, the research illuminates the agrarian customs, land ownership patterns, and agricultural advancements that shaped the countryside. It examines the financial situations of landowners as well as tenant farmers, stressing the difficulties and possibilities they encountered in a constantly changing agricultural environment. This research also explores the controversial topic of enclosure, a significant event that altered how land is distributed and property rights. This study provides new insights into the dynamics of land privatization during Austen's time by investigating the effect of enclosure on rural communities and its consequences for social and economic inequities.

KEYWORDS:

Farming, Jane Austen, Poverty, Publishing, Rural England, Royal Literary.

INTRODUCTION

The virtually total absence of farmers and farm laborers in the film and television adaptations of Austen's books stands out to a historian as a notable characteristic. The absence is also noticeable in Austen's novels, with only the relatively minor character of Robert Martin in *Emma* serving to represent the most numerous social orders in a time when even the King was affectionately known as Farmer George and espoused the merits of the farming life, and when most people were farmers. We might think that this absence results from a Heritage view of the past in which the millions whose labor supported the great houses of the land are passed over in silence. In one, Mrs. Austen boasts, I have a nice dairy fitted up, and am now worth a bull and six cows, and declares that George Austen wants to shew his brother his lands. In the other, however, the tone of someone who is very much in charge of this aspect of domestic business can be heard: What luck we shall have with those sorts of cows I can't say. My small Alderney one performs passably well and produces more butter than we use. I just purchased another of the same kind, but since her calf has not yet passed, I am unsure of her future utility.

The image of a farming family is also extremely obvious in a letter sent in the year Jane Austen was born: The wheat promises to be very excellent this year, but we have had a terrible wet time for bringing it in. Nevertheless, we brought the final load in yesterday, barely four weeks after we started harvesting. Since it is raining a lot today and we need dry weather for our oats and peas, I am concerned the harvest won't be finished until it is much too late. I have heard of no mature

barley yet. When it comes to her own correspondence, Jane Austen mentions farming in about one-third of the letters that have survived from January 1796 to the time the family moved to Bath in January 1801, many of which include references to John Bond, George Austen's factotum at Cheese down farm who was somewhat close to the family; on one occasion, Jane Austen writes, My father John Bond are now very happy together, for I have just heard the heavy step of the latter along the passage Together, Mrs. Austen's and Jane's letters reveal that, as one would anticipate of a rural household in those days, the farm's business permeated the home.

These issues raise further questions about how we have tended to view Jane Austen's early years as we think about them. For example, on November 1, 1800, she writes, My mother is very happy in the prospect of dressing a new Doll which Molly has given Anna. Early in the following year, we receive similar notes of financial anxiety: My father is doing everything in his power to increase his Income by raising his Tythes. I do not despair of getting very nearly six hundred a year. George Austen's tithe revenues were roughly £200 p.a. According to Jane's letter, they were approaching £600 per year in the 1770s. By the turn of the century, his agricultural incomes accounted for around a third of his total income, although it is sometimes overlooked that he had clearly hoped for more 3-5 January 1801 [1].

Early in 1801, George Austen made the decision to retire to Bath, appointing his son James as curate at Steventon and Deane while continuing to live at Steventon and receive its tithe income. Jane Austen sent a number of letters while the relocation was being planned, and these letters suggest that George Austen sold his stake in the remaining years of his lease to a nearby farmer called Holder. It's often believed that Austen received the Cheesedown lease from Thomas Knight in the 1770s, although there is little evidence to support this theory beyond family history, and Jane does write as if Austen is selling his lease. If this is the case, then we must take into account how much money George had put into his agricultural endeavors, especially because a farm like this would rent for £150 to \$200 each year. The 195-acre Cheesedown Farm, which George expanded to 252 acres by purchasing 57 acres of glebe land in the neighboring parish of Deane, can be easily identified on maps from 1741 and 1840.

This implies an annual expenditure of about £700 in addition to any rental or leasing costs, which is higher than George's best hope for tithe income. These considerations are of great significance for the family's life because, rather than living in complete security on tithe income, the family Austen's farming would have mostly been of the sheep-corn kind, which is widely practiced in England's light heathlands, with a little amount of catde farming for cattle and dairying. Around 1741, Steventon had been divided into four contemporary farms. The arable land would typically have been planted in a rotation of wheat, peas, oats, or barley, with clover underneath to further hearten the soil, possibly with a fourth year lying fallow. Each of the farms would have maintained sheep flocks for the value of the animals' meat as well as the production of essential manure. Towards Basingstoke, the land upon the top of the hills is in general very deep, strong land, with chalk underneath, which produces large crops, particularly in dry season, as it never burns. The General View also puts the sheep population for Hampshire as a whole at 185,000, or roughly one for every person, and adds that 140,000 were sold each year at the Wey hill sheep fair. The typical crops include wheat, Pease, oats or barley, and clover.

1,300 sheep were in the Deane parish, and 900 were in the neighboring parish of Ashe, statistics that are within the average range for a Hampshire parish. For Steventon, no numbers are provided, but we may estimate a comparable amount. The amount of agricultural economics that George

Austen was exposed to was not limited to his own farming; as rector, he was required to pay tithes that were based on the value of agricultural production in the parish and varied according to prices [2]. The conclusion is that George Austen's whole source of income, whether through farming or tithes, was tied to the land and the market for agricultural goods. It also follows that George Austen's relationship with the local farming community during Jane's Steventon years could not have been entirely amicable.

Even in good times, the parson had to negotiate tithe increases with his parishioners, and during these years, when the agricultural revolution was at its height, there was a great deal of enmity between landowners and workers due to poor harvests and trade disruptions with the Confederacy. A total of 547 enclosure acts, or over twenty each week of the Parliamentary session, were passed during the years of war with France, accounting for more than 40% of all enclosure acts. The commoners were evicted by enclosures and became poor wage slave's dependent on Poor Relief. A significant amount of land has recently been enclosed, increasing its value from six to twelve shillings per acre, according to The General View. This likely alludes to the 3,520 acres of Basing Down that were enclosed in 1786–1789; the thirteen-year-old Jane must have traveled through this area on her way to Basingstoke to shop. Further afield, Jane Austen's great-uncle, Thomas Leigh of Adlestrop, was a wholesale and vigorous encloser, a fact that she must have understood during her visits to him.

Commoners who lost their rights through such enclosures became vagrants who had to be returned to their parishes under the Laws of Setdement, relocations that were always unpleasant and typically involved the churchwardens, and at least by implication the parson, as the As Steventon was a closed parish, belonging entirely to the Knight family, and supporting only some thirty families, it is probable that the local effect of the wider catastrophe was not as acutely experienced as elsewhere, but poverty in the neighbouring parishes of North Waltham and Overton - which were open and much more heavily populated would have led to unpleasant expulsions under the Setdement Acts. As Peter Virgin notes, the church according to classic eighteenth-century theory, was a pillar of the constitution; and as such it was part and parcel of the system of law, as well as being the partner some said the ally of the state' [3].

Most records of such resettlements have been lost but there is a record of the 'Removal of Elizabeth Armstrong, single woman, from Steventon to Old Basing' in 1797, and another from 1816 when 'Robert Rabbits, wife Sarah and children Olliff and Elizabeth were removed from Steventon to Kingsclere'. The publication of the General View itself was symptomatic of the enclosure process. When the Board of Agriculture was established in 1793, it quickly ordered a number of county studies appraising the country's agricultural resources during this time of war and promoting enclosure as a way to increase production. Even marginal fields became potential for development as a result of the conflicts' impact on food prices and capitalists' ideological drive for enclosure. This had a particular impact on Hampshire's downland, most of which would be foolishly confined. The General View states, again, Many parts of the country are well wooded, and embellished with a great number of lovely seats and villas; but we are sorry to observe such immense tracts of open heath, and uncultivated land, which strongly indicate the want of means, or inclination to improve it, and frequently reminds the traveler of uncivilized nations, where nature pursues her own course, without the assistance of human art. The article's perspective on common land could not be clearer: Under this article, we shall mention commonable land belonging to the parishioners generally, which being unenclosed may be considered as little better than the waste land previously mentioned, as it is evident that cultivated land will produce more than that which is totally

uncultivated, and left for nature to pursue her own course; and with this disadvantage, that each is endeavoring to exhaust it of every vain thing. The list 104,845 acres of waste, noting that part of this waste is quite productive but not enough: King's Clear contains about 1000 acres, upon which young cattle of a good sort are now bred. This would make excellent convertible ground for the plough or for feeding, but mostly for feeding, and would be valued around 15s per acre if enclosed. The urge to enclose land that is essential to the villagers' lives is obvious given that the View already equates common land with garbage. The loss of rights over the commonable land which belongs to the parishioners in general left commoners with little left over in the way of grazing and arable products, leaving them dependent on the salaries paid by the great landowners to survive. Between the 1780s and 1801, the price of a loaf of bread increased by 600%, while the increase in agricultural earnings was only approximately 20%.

The Hampshire Chronicle first noted the severe anguish of rural labourers on January 17, 1795, and praised the good citizens of the city for raising £287 to ease the suffering brought on by the poor crop. The same newspaper reported on the ongoing suffering of the poor caused by the high price of meat and wheat on March 16th, and on April 27th it reported that on April 12th, 500 Oxfordshire militiamen stationed near Seaford 'notwithstanding the endeavours of the officers had taken arms and with bayonets fixed' seized a vessel laden with flour at Newhaven [4]. The suffering was so severe that it sparked a mutiny among the very soldiers mobilized to protect the wealthy against the danger of the French and revolution. The 1795 crop was no better, and rural poverty became worse. It is uncomfortable to note that the poor of Deane were starving in the frost on January 8, 1796, when Jane Austen wrote her first letter still in existence, describing her flirtation with Tom Lefroy at the Harwood ball. Their chances of surviving had been greatly diminished by the enclosure of their common fields in 1773 by an Act of Parliament promoted by Austen's host, John Harwood, and their family friend Henrietta Bramston, and in which George Austen himself had played a modest Indeed, by 1796, the state of the poor had become a matter of national concern, sparking debates in Parliament about the reform of the Poor Law and a general sense of crisis.

Sir Frederic Morton Eden himself gives an example of what rural poverty might look like by citing the 'Parochial Report on the State of the Poor' for Petersfield, Hampshire, in October 1795, where the poor's diet consisted of bread and milk for break. Reading that each youngster was allowed half a pound (250g) of cheese each week, divided among the meals, makes the reality of this diet clear. The smugness of the well-fed rails at the ungratefulness of the poor in Eden's report's comments, which reads as follows: The Poor are chiefly supported in a work-house, under the superintendence of a standing overseer, who has been in office above 5 years. Although he doesn't live there, he attends meals, gives refreshments, and collects the poor rates. What conclusions can we draw from this contextual information given that he gives the needy the proper attention and manages the parish's concerns with fidelity and discrimination? Despite this, the Poor dislike him and have attempted to burn down his house on multiple occasions. The gentlemen, however, support him and are pleased with his actions.

First of all, once we see how much money George Austen had invested in his property, we need to rethink our predisposition to view him as firstly a rural vicar, secondly a teacher of affluent students, and thirdly, a little bit of a farmer. Because the price of maize and sheep determined all of his income, he must have operated in a similar manner to any risk-taker engaged in small-scale manufacturing, carefully considering his investments, relying on chance, and having faith in his

own judgment. Second, we must acknowledge that Jane Austen lived during a period when rectors' incomes were at their highest.

Between 1770 and 1800, the value of benefices tripled, making Austen an unusually privileged person compared to the lifestyle that rectors had previously enjoyed and to what would transpire in the 1830s. This wealth was a long-term result of increasing agricultural rents, which were dependent on enclosure and the use of innovative, capital-intensive methods. The French wars gave all of these developments a special boost. Thirdly, the rural clergy who were involved in their management faced a variety of moral conundrums as a result of the rural poor being impoverished and forced off their property, while the aristocracy profited from the rise in prices and rents. Given all of these factors, it was a grave error on our part to portray George Austen as fitting the garb of a vicar from a later era, since he was just as involved in capitalist agriculture as a farmer would be today. He may have delegated much of the day-to-day management to John Bond or he may have been more involved than most biographers have assumed, but he was not living in isolation from the profound economic changes that transformed Britain during his lifetime; rather, he was acting out his own modest but significant part in the drama [5].

It follows that, as opposed to what is often assumed, Jane Austen's mindset was developed in a family that was considerably more involved with the forces that shaped the British economy. This realization allows us to reassess how well her works capture Britain's socioeconomic development throughout her lifetime. To the pleasure and dismay of her contemporaries, Jane Austen wrote and published over a period of fifty years during which hundreds of new bookstores, subscription libraries, and circulating libraries opened their doors. The amount of book production also increased during this time, and print became more and more ingrained in British culture. This is just a crude title count disregarding the enormous increases in the edition sizes of some types of publication, increases that escalated during the 1820s. Books, print, and novels notably contributed to a new age of conspicuous consumption in the late eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries. Before 1700, up to 1,800 different printed titles were issued annually.

By 1830, up to 6,000. As the advocates and benefactors of a growing consumer society, Thomas Longman, John Murray, Charles Rivington, Thomas Cadell, and George Robinson were listed with Hogarth, Boulton, Watt, and Wedgwood. Books, magazines, and prints themselves emerged as prominent examples of the new decencies adorning the homes of propertied men and women. It wasn't just that printed advertisements and other promotional publications advanced a wide range of consumer goods. Throughout Austen's literary career, issues with monopolistic price-fixing, centralized production and control, technological limitations, and the effectiveness of distribution networks continued to dominate publishing, as they did since the late seventeenth century. The British book production regime was distinguished by the extreme variation in the size and cost of the printed text, by the numerous but modestly sized reprintings of successful titles, and by the production of numerous non-commercial books where full costs were not always recovered from sale. In particular, the price of new and reprinted books had been influenced for the majority of the eighteenth century by the effective cartelization of the trade, in which booksellers' protection of reprinting rights kept monopoly prices in England.

The ranks of booksellers were fundamentally split between those who invested and traded in the market and those who simply sold books new limits were imposed by additional Copyright Acts in 1808 and 1814, drastically lowering the number of works that were released from copyright. The three decades following 1770 saw a four-fold increase in publication thanks to a slew of less

expensive reprints, but by the 1810s, the reproduction of out-of-date literature for less affluent book buyers had been revived. Steam-powered papermaking equipment and printing presses didn't remove the main technical barrier to the growth of publishing until the latter part of Austen's life. The *Times* was the first journal to be produced using Koenig's new steam press, which could print 1,000 impressions per hour, in the year when *Mansfield Park* was published. Charles Knight, a bookseller, said that what the printing press did for the mass education of the populace in the fifteenth century, the printing machine is doing for the nineteenth. However, it wasn't an instant revolution, and Austen wouldn't directly profit from it. It wasn't until the 1820s that what The *Times* referred to as the greatest improvement connected with printing since the discovery of the art itself became extensively adopted. The earliest readers of Austen's writings did encounter a more competitive and expansive book market, as well as more effective distribution mechanisms [6].

Thirty-one new novels are known to have been published in Britain in 1775, the year Jane Austen was born; eighty new novels were published in 1811, the year *Sense and Sensibility* was published; and, in the year following her death, the combined edition of *Northanger Abbey* and *Persuasion* joined sixty-one other new novel titles with an 1818 imprint. Between 1775 and 1818, British bookseller-publishers distributed 2,503 new novels. Output increased sharply before 1800 before falling off in the mid-1810s. Around 90% of all new British books were produced in the capital at the beginning of the nineteenth century, and the rapidly expanding number of regional bookstores mostly functioned as distributors rather than producers of new books. Only one of the thirty-one new book titles wasn't printed in London the year Jane Austen was born, and only three of the fifty-five titles weren't produced the year she passed away. However, throughout the latter part of the eighteenth century, publishing progressed in Edinburgh and Glasgow, and from 1800, general book and magazine production grew in Manchester, Liverpool, Aberdeen, Newcastle, Leeds, and other towns.

Numerous book publishers used cutting-edge promotional strategies to increase their sales. However, advertising was expensive, and the price of it in newspapers, catalogues, and separate notices frequently came as a shock to authors. In 1816, John Murray II charged Jane Austen £50 for advertising *Emma* in the first nine months of publication, some of which was a fee for advertising in Murray's own catalogue. Novel publication before and after Austen's lifetime was also characterized by small editions. Edition sizes for most books were no more than 500. Even some of the most popular books came out in editions of 750 or 800 copies. Risk has to be properly assessed. Even in Ireland, between 1770 and 1800, only around 60% of all book titles were ever reissued. Such prudence in the novel market coincides with the gigantic and often reissued versions of the era's best-selling textbooks and reference works. Playbooks were published in editions of 2,000 or more copies, and *Emma*'s initial printing sold the same number of copies. Some histories with a track record of popularity were published in 4,000-copy editions, but they pale in comparison to the massive printings Thomas Longman II ordered for classics like Watts' *Hymns* and various instruction manuals, such an 18,000-copy edition of Fenning's spelling guide.

Novels that are published in limited quantities also allow for creative pricing fixing. Not that printing and typographical flourishes were cheap; in fact, the cost of producing paper turned out to be another important factor. From the late 1780s until a far greater acceleration in nominal prices around 1800, the novel's price climbed steadily [7]. The cost of labor for composition and press work increased by nearly a third between around 1785 and 1810, but more critically, the cost of

quality paper doubled between roughly 1793 and 1801, causing what was to be a long-term rise in the price of novels.

In 1811 Thomas Egerton set the three-volume *Sense and Sensibility*'s price at 15s; two years later, the same bookseller sold *Pride and Prejudice* for 18s for the three volumes. The average cost of a three-volume novel increased from 12s between 1802 and 1805 to 18s between 1813 and 1817. When selling *Emma*, also in three volumes, for 21s in 1816 difficult economic times and a low period in overall novel production John Murray reflected both increased expenses and his own higher price. The four-volume set of *Northanger Abbey* and *Persuasion* retailed for 24s in boards in 1818. Other manufacturing choices in the market for trendy novels followed from high retail price. Although octavo was also used when retailers wanted to offer publications a particular distinction, duodecimo ultimately proven to be the preferred size for the creation of popular books. The three-decker book also started to gain popularity in the 1810s and continued to rule for the most of the century. Although it was accomplished at high essential expense, the wider text spacing aimed to assure, at regular price per volume, larger returns from retail or through library subscriptions and levies. In the reviews, complaints about bloated books are common. The majority of title pages did not include the author's name, in part as a response to critical reviews that were unfavorable but also because of the connections between publishers and writers. In order to negotiate with publishers, Jane Austen continued to utilize middlemen, and in at least one letter to Crosby, her potential publisher, she pretended to be Mrs. Ashton Dennis.

The initial title pages of all of Jane Austen's future books credited the author as the author of a named title. Egerton and Austen published *Sense and Sensibility* under the title *By a Lady*. Between 1770 and 1820, about 75% of all novels were published without an author attribution; among them, the ambiguous and sometimes exceedingly shady label of *By a Lady* or *By a Young Lady* acquired particular appeal. The publication of Jane Austen's novels was accomplished not against the grain but during a period of female ascendancy by the 1810s, as Peter Garside concludes in his essay. By the 1790s, more than a fifth (21%) of all novel title pages named female writers. Male novelists lost their numerical supremacy until the 1820s. Before the early nineteenth century, very few writers in Britain, and especially very few first-time writers, were able to avoid outright copyright sales, full self-financing, or agreements in which the author was responsible for all losses. Even though they were preferred by Austen, commission agreements, in which the bookseller put up the money for publishing an edition with the understanding that the author would pay any loss, seem to have been quite uncommon. Despite the advertising of new literature as pricey, upscale treats, writers also had a great deal of printing issues [8].

Following the publication of *Emma* by Murray in December 1815, he published a second edition of *Mansfield Park* in the following month, improving on the poorly printed 1814 Egerton edition. In 1815, Murray's literary adviser, Gifford, wrote to him in praise of *Pride and Prejudice*, but found it to be wretchedly printed, and so pointed as to be almost unintelligible¹¹. While the majority of bookseller publishers who released new novels did own and manage a printing press, other significant publishers of novels, from the Nobles in the middle of the eighteenth century to Thomas Norton Longman at its conclusion, outsourced the presswork. Charles Roworth, a well-known printer who operated alone at least from 1799 to 1832 and subsequently alongside his sons for at least another ten years, was utilized by Egerton. Of the twenty-seven volumes of the different editions of Jane Austen's books released during her lifetime, fourteen were to be printed by Roworth. Roworth and George Sidney of the Strand each handled a separate book of the series during printing the initial copies of *Pride and Prejudice* and *Mansfield Park*. When Murray

published *Emma* in 1816, Roworth was still employed by Murray, but once again, as was customary, they split the workload with another printer.

Hatton Garden's Moyes. The objections of Austen were shared by practically all of her contemporaries. There are many instances of poorly done novel printing, and practically all book vendors will have indications of hurried manufacture. Murray was referred to as a rogue by Jane Austen, perhaps half-jokingly, and her complaints can be contrasted with attempts to protect writers' rights dating back to the failed 1710 Copyright Act, Trusler's Society of Authors in the 1760s, and the founding of the charitable Royal Literary Fund in Austen's lifetime in 1790. Many writers had little choice except to cover the expenses of publishing from their own funds, start a subscription program, or try to convince a bookshop to negotiate a profit-sharing agreement if copyright could not be sold outright for a fair price. Many unique publications were financed by subscription models. A relationship with a prominent dedicatee was particularly alluring to writers looking for subscribers. Murray sent *Emma* to the Prince Regent at Austen's request so that he may give it the shine of a royal dedication.

DISCUSSION

The Economic Realities of Rural England in Jane Austen's Time: A Closer Look at Farming, Enclosure, Poverty, and Publication is an in-depth investigation of the complex economic environment of rural England in the late 18th and early 19th century. In addition to farming, enclosure, and poverty, the research also analyzes the element of publishing in the context of Jane Austen's time. This talk looks at the key themes and consequences that emerge from this analysis. The study of farming methods and agricultural innovation in Jane Austen's period is a key component of this research. It explores how the changing needs of a growing population, economic circumstances, and technological developments affected these behaviors. This topic emphasizes how farming influenced rural people's social structures and lives, demonstrating the connection between agricultural and economic reality. This study's key component is enclosure movements, which altered how land is distributed and how property rights are defined. The debate examines how these revolutions changed the economic environment while also taking into account what this means for how literature is published. Enclosure could change who has access to common lands, which might change the resources that can be found nearby that are used to produce books and newspapers, thereby affecting how economic information and ideas are spread.

The research also examines the problem of poverty in rural England during the time of Austen. It looks at how poverty is caused by economic issues and how publications can help or worsen the problem. Publications, such as pamphlets and treatises on social change and poverty, had an impact on public opinion and policy. This conversation encourages contemplation on the role that printed materials play in promoting social justice and bringing attention to economic inequalities. Our comprehension of the economic reality of the time is expanded by the addition of publication as a crucial component of the research. It encourages us to think about how the spread of economic information, literary works, and treatises affected the public conversation and economic ideas in rural England. The study's focus is expanded when the function of publishing is discussed, demonstrating the interaction between economic issues and the spread of ideas through print media.

CONCLUSION

The addition of publication adds a new viewpoint, and *The Economic Realities of Rural England in Jane Austen's Time* provides a comprehensive examination of the economic environment. This research not only enriches our understanding of historical events but also highlights the wider social ramifications of economic reality and the spread of economic information by looking at the connections between farming, enclosure, poverty, and publishing. It inspires us to think about the broad effects of economic dynamics on other facets of society, such as the realm of print media and literature. Although historically based, this conversation also promotes reflection on the current relevance of the explored economic and publication-related challenges. How do the problems and dynamics of rural England in Austen's day relate to those that rural communities and publications today are dealing with? Can historical examples be used to enlighten current debates on rural economic growth and the function of the media in constructing economic narratives?

REFERENCES

- [1] B. L. Magner, *Henry Lives! Learning from Lawson Fandom*, *M/C J.*, 2016, doi: 10.5204/mcj.1122.
- [2] A. Cooper, 'I Am Isabel, You Know?': The Antipodean Framing of Jane Campion's *Portrait of a Lady*, *M/C J.*, 2008, doi: 10.5204/mcj.99.
- [3] S. Craig, *Jane Austen and the state of the nation*. 2015. doi: 10.1057/9781137544551.
- [4] Book Reviews/Critiques de livres, *Eighteenth-Century Fict.*, 2014, doi: 10.3138/ecf.27.2.307.
- [5] D. N. McCloskey, What Matters Ethically Is Not Equality of Outcome, but the Condition of the Working Class, in *Bourgeois equality : how ideas, not capital or institutions, enriched the world*, 2016.
- [6] G. Dow, The 'biographical impulse' and pan-European women's writing, in *Women's Writing, 1660-1830: Feminisms and Futures*, 2016. doi: 10.1057/978-1-137-54382-0_12.
- [7] J. Fergus, Composition and publication, in *The Cambridge Companion to Emma*, 2015. doi: 10.1017/CBO9781316014226.004.
- [8] J. Lukacs, *Philadelphia: Patricians and philistines, 1900-1950*. 2017. doi: 10.4324/9781315126326.

CHAPTER 10

CULTURE, COMMERCE, AND CONTRASTS IN JANE AUSTEN'S ERA

Manjula Jain, Professor

Teerthanker Mahaveer Institute of Management and Technology, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad,
Uttar Pradesh, India

Email Id- jainmanjula776@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

The book *Culture, Commerce, and Contrasts in Jane Austen's Era* explores the dynamic and intricate urban environment of London in the late 18th and early 19th century as it appears in Jane Austen's works. This abstract gives readers a sneak peek into the in-depth investigation of London's sociological, economic, and cultural components while illuminating the fascinating dynamics of the city at this pivotal time. The research starts off by describing how London served as the hub of British politics, commerce, and culture throughout the Georgian period. It paints a striking picture of the city's contradictory nature, as expanding residential areas in the north and west coexisted with busy industries in the south and east that served London's financial center. By 1811, London had a population of over a million people, making it a microcosm of socioeconomic contrast and variety. The idea that London is both a little world and a place where people might quickly disappear from view is one of the main topics of this study. The research illustrates how London acted as a background for diverse characters' experiences, whether as a shelter, a scene of intrigue, or a location for personal growth, using examples from Jane Austen's books.

KEYWORDS:

Culture, Commerce, Georgian London, Jane Austen, Print Media, Urban Landscape.

INTRODUCTION

When Jane Austen was born, the head of a Jacobite beheaded in 1746 still rested atop Temple Bar, one of London's historic entrances. Georgian London served as the hub of British government, economics, and culture and served as a venue for many types of open exhibits. The fast-growing residential neighborhoods in the north and west were filled with fashionable people, while the south and east were filled with factories that supplied London's commercial district. More than a million people lived there in 1811. London is portrayed by Austen as a little world and as a city where one might disappear from sight. In *Pride and Prejudice*, Lydia and Wickham go to London to hide, and Darcy can only find them by using the servant class's networks. In *Emma*, Frank Churchill vanishes to London to buy a piano, while in *Sense and Sensibility*, Austen introduces Robert Ferrars as an unnamed male customer ordering a pearled toothpick box. Fashionable women conducted their afternoon shopping in Pall Mall and Bond Street; Charles Lamb preferred the lit stores on the Strand and Fleet Street.

The older poet's fascinated repulsion at how everything was jumbled up together at Bartholomew Fair in Smithfield in 1802 captures London as a whole. Summer fairs were intended for trade, but their circuses and theatrical side-shows were popular sites of entertainment for all classes. This mixing of professions is symbolic of the flux and diversity of London in Austen's day, when it was a hub for international finance and insurance as well as the location of the gaming establishments

or hells on Pall Mall. Along with the fruit market, Turkish baths, and brothels of Covent Garden, theater and opera flourished.

The recently established British Museum, Royal Academy, and Royal Society supported the arts and sciences, while tea gardens and exhibition halls promoted a wide variety of musical, mechanical, optical, and aerostatic amusements. Between 1773 and 1829, the Exeter Exchange in the Strand housed a menagerie run by various managers; John Dashwood is compelled to take young Harry there in *Sense and Sensibility*; Byron visited it in 1813 and was mesmerized by the elephant that neatly took his money and removed his hat. Due to the popularity of this type of London show, Austen referred to the city's surroundings as the Regions of Wit, Elegance, fashion. Between 1811 and 1815, Jane Austen traveled to her brother Henry's homes in Sloane Street and Hans Place, Chelsea. Henry Holland, an architect, had designed the elegant terraces in the 1770s. Westminster Palace and St. James's were the focal points of fashionable London, where court favors and political favors were given out. Power brokers and businesspeople required a property in London in addition to their rural hideaway because of the tradition of nighttime hospitality [1].

Admiral Crawford's residence at Mansfield Park on Hill Street links Berkeley Square and the Grosvenor Estate, demonstrating his standing in high society. For the aristocracy and gentry, Portman Square, Manchester Square, and Bedford Square were all constructed during the 1760s and 1780s; but, during Austen's lifetime, the commercial classes started to become wealthy enough to move there. But as Henry Austen discovered, fortunes were erratic, and individuals and enterprises often moved. The preservation of family homes and the hiring of notable architects like John Nash, Robert Adam, and Holland were examples of wealth. Their townhouses were beautifully neo classical in style, with brick or stone facades, symmetrical groupings of windows, fanlights above the front doors, stone stairs, and iron railings separating the home from the sidewalk. They adhered to the principles of Greek and Roman architecture. The intricate cornicing, frieze-work, marble fireplaces, and wrought iron furniture inside complemented the exterior's classical design.

With his work on Jansdowne House in Berkeley Square, Derby House in Grosvenor Square, and Home House in Portman Square, Adam increased the renown of Mayfair. The completion of the Adelphi building, along with William Chambers' restoration of Somerset House (1776–96) as a Renaissance palazzo, successfully integrated the wharfs on the north banks of the Thames with the cosmopolitan cultural aspirations of the capital despite the Adams firm's financial precariousness during construction of the Adelphi building. High-profile port activity during Austen's time served as a reflection of the economic success brought on by international commerce. The dockyards at Woolwich, Chatham, Deptford, Sheerness, Plymouth, and most importantly for Jane Austen Portsmouth dealt in war. The wars with France during Austen's lifetime were the primary cause of Portsmouth's economic and political importance. Admiralty couriers could go there in eight hours from London because to its good road and packet ship connections.

The Royal Naval Academy, which dates to the early eighteenth century, the Commissioner's House, which Samuel Wyatt designed in 1784–1787, and the School for Superior Apprentices, which was constructed in 1815–1817, were all prominent naval structures in Portsmouth. In order to address Portsmouth's silt issue, Sir Samuel Bentham, Inspector General of Naval Works, started plans to enlarge and deepen the basin and docks. This allowed ships to dock without removing their sails. In 1802 Bentham also introduced the first bucket ladder steam dredger and a moveable steam engine to assist with water pumping into and out of the docks. Marc Isambard Brunei, whose

more well-known son was born in Portsmouth, was also working on new machinery for manufacturing blocks to speed up the refitting of battleships. Portsmouth was a symbol of British technological dominance, and Henry Crawford, a Londoner, uses its dockyards as a tourist destination in *Mansfield Park* in addition to being at the center of politics. London's explosive growth in the late eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries was made possible by the building of a network of new turnpike roads, canals, and bridges [2].

Blackfriars Bridge debuted in 1769, while Westminster Bridge was inaugurated in 1750. Vauxhall, Waterloo, and Southwark Bridges were all constructed between 1811 and 1819. The crucial region between Paddington and Islington had been made available for residential construction to the north of the fashionable center in 1756-7 thanks to the New Road. The West End of London switched from oil lamps to brilliant gas lighting after 1807, and paved roads spread out from the affluent area around Westminster. However, dirt accumulated faster than any measures could keep up with it, and until the middle of the nineteenth century, cattle were still driven through the streets to and from Smithfield Market, adding to the work of the sweepers stationed at street crossings. The air was contaminated by marine coal burns and smoke from brick kilns. Jane thought Henry Austen's new residence, which was located above his offices at No. 10 Henrietta Street, was all dirt and 6c confusion in 1813. The West End's court-based establishments faced growing pressure from the city's population due to the city's fast construction of new buildings along the river and important thoroughfares. Throughout the Napoleonic Wars, soldiers and volunteers conducted frequent drills on the streets. Eliza, a relative of Jane Austen, saw violence between troops and a crowd in downtown London in 1792.

Even though Eleanor Tilney predicted violence and murder in the capital, many peripheral parishes managed to maintain their sense of tranquility and solitude. By 1801, fashionable Richmond was considered a green London suburb, and in 1802, William Wordsworth went to the Sadler's Wells theater in Islington, which was still 'half country'. The homes of Leigh Hunt and the Shelleys, who resided in Hampstead's Vale of Health, seemed far removed from the depravity of the city in 1816–1817. Political events altered London's skyline in a variety of ways, and several royal family members left their mark on various areas of the city. George III favored Buckingham House over Kensington Palace and Hampton Court Palace; the latter structure deteriorated until the Duke of Kent started spending money on costly renovations. Later, lesser royals moved into Kensington Palace, including the reclusive Princess Caroline, with whom Austen identified because she is a Woman, because I hate her Husband. In order to offset his father's grim family economics, the prince Regent, who considered himself as a patron of the arts, was eager to promote architectural flamboyance.

Holland and Nash were hired by the Regent to reconstruct Carlton House. It gained notoriety for hosting lavish feasts and garden parties. In 1815, Jane Austen was welcomed there. The plan to connect Regent's Park and Carlton House via Regent's Street with groups of dazzling stucco villas and crescent terraces, fusing the architectural patterns of Bath with the landscape of a pleasure garden, was Nash's most ambitious project in London. He was later hired to build Buckingham Palace. London's parks were shielded from overdevelopment thanks to the peculiar English love for holding social occasions outside and the cultivation of pocket-size gardens to evoke the dream of the country home while business kept one in town [3]. Elinor in *Sense and Sensibility* takes a Sunday stroll in Kensington Gardens while everything else is closed, much as Austen did in 1811. Wordsworth was struck by the throng and commotion in the new neighborhoods as well as the

'flowery gardens in wide squares' that were still there. There were well-known pleasure gardens in Chelsea at Ranelagh and on the south bank of the Thames at Vauxhall.

Although Ranelagh with its glistening rotunda for symphonic music and promenading had supplanted Vauxhall as an aristocratic retreat by Austen's time, it still provided music, fireworks, and water show spectacles. The Ranelagh rotunda was destroyed in 1805, and the Assembly Rooms like Almack's and St. James's as well as clubs or private musical events at home provided a more elite atmosphere. Masquerades faded out by the 1790s. Jane Austen did not want to join Madame de Stael's literary salons when she visited London in 1813–1814, but at this point, coffeehouse and salon meetings were making way for free public exhibits, seminars, and museums. Francis Jeffrey saw the middle classes' renewed interest in exhibits as encouraging a culture of Encyclopedic trifling. The Royal Academy's shows, which were first held in Pall Mall in 1769 and then transferred to Somerset House in 1781, were a crowded hub of fashionable society. In 1813, Austen intended to travel if we have time.

The same letter mentions displays of portraits at Spring Gardens and of Sir Joshua Reynolds' paintings at Pall Mall. Benjamin Haydon and other painters bridged the gap between high and low culture by displaying massive paintings next to circus-like performances at the Egyptian Hall in Piccadilly. This location, which was founded by the owner of the Liverpool Museum, where Jane Austen viewed the natural history exhibits in 1811, admits that she was more interested in the company than the sights on display because of her preference for men and women. After 1815, this location was used to display Napoleon's carriage. Around Charing Cross, the Strand, Leicester Square, and Piccadilly, show business grew. Orreries, phantasmagoria, and panoramas, like the 'Battle of Paris' in the purpose-built rotunda in Leicester Square in 1815, were also quite popular. Models of Roman ruins or other European towns were also very popular. London's theaters during the Regency era catered to the thirst for lit volcanoes, storms at sea, Miltonic landscapes, and kid prodigies in order to compete with these entertainments.

Henry Austen had a box in the Pantheon Opera House on Oxford Street as well as the Lyceum, and his business was successful. The Covent Garden and Drury Lane theaters were easily accessible from Henrietta Street. As patent theaters, they had the only privilege to present spoken drama as well as to provide comedy and pantomime afterparties including performing animals. Only musicals or circus performances were permitted in the non-patent theaters, including Sadler's Wells, the Lyceum, and Astley's Amphitheatre. Following fires in 1808 and 1809, Covent Garden and Drury Lane were both rebuilt. Robert Smirke transformed Covent Garden into a Greek temple-like structure with a portico and a coade stone frieze by Flaxman; Benjamin Wyatt built the new Drury Lane. In a contest for political support of the arts, the Tories and Whigs embraced the theaters. By 1800, twenty royal patents had been granted, allowing theaters outside of London to copy the opulence of Drury Lane and Covent Garden. The Bath Theatre Royal received its license in 1768, and its connections to the London stage added to the city's appeal as a fashionable destination in the eighteenth century.

The grandeur of the structures marked a clear distinction between London's theaters and those in the countryside; Drury Lane's auditorium could accommodate more than 3,000 people. As in London, the theater was brightly lit throughout the performance, and theater-going encouraged fashionable, cultural, and political pageantry. Because the Theatre Royal at Orchard Street in Bath is so small, Henry Tilney, who Catherine thinks is ignoring her, is actually quite close to her. Mrs. Austen's health was the main reason the Austens traveled to Bath in 1801; the city was home to

many medical professionals and cutting-edge medical procedures, including electricity, which Edward Austen had experimented with in 1799 [4].

The Duke of Chandos, Mrs. Austen's great-uncle, redesigned the city in the early part of the eighteenth-century using John Wood's Palladian architecture and enormous speculative money to replicate the Roman baths as a popular health resort. Ascending terraces, circuses, and hundreds of stone-fronted homes with ionic columns and balustrades were constructed by Wood and his son. The Royal Crescent, the New Assembly Rooms, and the magnificent features of North and South Parade were all in place by Austen's time, and the center had undergone renovation after the fire and looting of anti-Catholic Rioters in 1780. The more modern, fashionable sections of Regency Bath were sometimes on soggy ground and adjacent to less affluent residences. This made the issue of where to locate adequate lodging somewhat complicated. The Austens stayed with the Leigh-Perrot's at No. 1 Paragon Buildings and spent weeks looking at various unsuitable or putrid-looking homes before settling on Sydney Place, a newly developed neighborhood across the river, between 1801 and 1804. They then moved to 3 Green Park Buildings East on the southern outskirts of the city, where Mr. Austen passed away in 1805. With swings, a bowling alley, a labyrinth, and nighttime concerts complete with lighting and fireworks, Sydney Gardens was created to compete with Vauxhall's attractions.

Laura Place, where Lady Dalrymple is stationed in *Persuasion*, is closer to Pulteney Bridge. The Crofts confidently steps into the center of things in Wood's Gay Street, where the Austens temporarily lodged while preparing to leave Bath after Mr. Austen's death; Sir Walter settles on Camden Place, a new, heavily classical crescent built on the northern heights of the city by John Jelly. These events highlight how this novel is particularly aware of the changing social nuance of location. Bath is described as a Vortex of Amusement in the New Bath Guide from 1795. People continued to follow the fashionable customs of the eighteenth century, going to the Pump Room in the morning before promenading. Walking was a favorite hobby despite the traffic issues highlighted in *Northanger Abbey*. The emergence of umbrellas and the point when the Pavements are getting very white again, indicating that it is dry enough to stroll, are both mentioned in a letter sent by Jane Austen in 1799. Visits to the theater or the Assembly Rooms followed supper. The master of ceremonies, who presents Catherine to Henry Tilney in, replaced the traditional ceremony in this setting with etiquette guidelines that allowed people to mingle freely. But this combination was a contributing factor in Bath's collapse. By the turn of the century, Bath had lost much of its glitzy high society appeal, and construction efforts had decreased. When not in London, the Prince Regent relocated to Brighton, where sea bathing was the newest in vogue medical procedure [5].

Cheltenham was the latest hip spa. The radical Henry Hunt ran a campaign in Bath in 1816–17 and amassed a sizable petition for parliamentary reform. This implies that the city was home to a sizable population of disgruntled craftsmen, whose presence would necessarily run counter to the ideal of social exclusivity. While Catherine Morland attends a public ball in *Northanger Abbey*, the Elliots' only amusement is in the elegant stupidity of private parties because the theater and the rooms are deemed not fashionable enough. This is similar to how genteel contempt for the mob led to a preference for private parties over public events in London. However, all of the characters congregate at the upscale stores on Milsom Street, which are still in style just as they were when General Tilney stayed there in *Northanger Abbey*. The network of female characters who are socially outcast in *Persuasion*, which links the posh Marlborough Buildings with the low-rent Westgate Buildings, reveals Mr. Elliot's shady business operations. Anne registers streets

thronging with news men, muffin-men and milk-men, and the ceaseless clink of pattens, and Austen is sometimes given credit for Anne's disdain for Bath's urban clamor. A somewhat different image is painted by her letter from 1805, which complains that the Crescent is not crowded enough, which reveals how depressing a once-trendy city was out of season. Despite how exciting the city could be as a Scene of Dissipation 8c vice it was easier for Jane Austen to live on her income in the country. This was evident in her outrage over the sale of her father's library in Bath in 1801 and again in London in 1816 when she asked Mr. Murray to forward letters to Chawton in consequence of the late sad event in Henrietta St [6].

DISCUSSION

Culture, Commerce, and Contrasts in Jane Austen's Era is an in-depth examination of one of London's most vibrant and transformational eras through the perspective of Jane Austen's works. This debate tries to go into the major ideas, revelations, and conclusions offered by this intriguing book. The study immerses us in the thriving urban environment of Georgian London. This dialogue enables us to picture a city in transition, where long-standing customs coexist with the emerging forces of change. The contrast between residential areas and industrial areas provides a clear picture of how London's physical and social landscape is changing. Georgian London highlights the diverse range of cultures that inhabited the city at the time. It makes us stop and think about the many cultural influences and expressions that have influenced urban life. Through Jane Austen's stories, the city is shown as a melting pot of cultures, which enables us to investigate the connections of class, style, and intellectual interests in this vibrant metropolitan setting. The debate includes a crucial study of Georgian London's economic dynamics. It encourages consideration of the role that trade, business, and commerce had in the development and prosperity of the city. The study encourages us to think about how this economic vigor affects the lives of its citizens, as depicted in Austen's novels, during the period that saw London become a major worldwide economic powerhouse [7].

In this conversation, opposites play a crucial role. It emphasizes how sharp contrasts existed in Georgian London, when wealth and poverty, tradition and modernity, all coexisted side by side. This subject enables an examination of the conflicts and contradictions that characterized the time period and added complexity to Austen's stories. The research emphasizes how crucial Jane Austen's viewpoint is for giving us a look into Georgian London. Her books give insights into the lives, ambitions, and difficulties of people adjusting to metropolitan environments, serving as priceless historical and sociological records. Readers are encouraged to recognize Austen's skill in capturing the spirit of her day as a result of this conversation. The research's presentation of London as a center for academic and cultural institutions is another important feature. The British Museum, Royal Academy, and Royal Society are just a few examples of the organizations in the city that support the arts and sciences. We are encouraged by the conversation to investigate how these institutions affected the intellectual environment of the time. The conversation offers an insight into the Georgian era's urban and architectural growth in London. It highlights the value of neo-classical townhouses and the creations of renowned architects like John Nash and Robert Adam. These structures' aesthetic and structural components are evidence of the time period's dedication to classical architectural ideals [8].

CONCLUSION

The book *Georgian London: A Tapestry of Culture, Commerce, and Contrasts* in Jane Austen's Era paints a vivid and nuanced picture of a city in the center of a historical turning point. This investigation of London's complex and varied urban environment throughout the late 18th and early 19th centuries, as shown via Jane Austen's novels, exposes a tapestry of culture, commerce, and contrasts that has captured our attention even now. We had discovered a bustling urban environment that coexisted with industrial areas during our route, indicating the city's quick development. We have been awestruck by the cultural richness and variety of Georgian London, when class divisions, vogue, and intellectual pursuits all collided to create a vibrant social environment. This era's economic success and commercial vigor have been a major subject, reminding us of the crucial role that commerce, business, and entrepreneurship had in determining the fate of the city. According to Jane Austen's books, Georgian London became a major economic force on the world stage and had a profound impact on its citizens' lives. But throughout this investigation, the idea of contrasts and dichotomies has resounded. Georgian London was a city of contradictions, where wealth and poverty, tradition and modernity, all coexisted side by side.

These conflicts gave Austen's stories depth and complexity, giving us an insight into the difficulties people confront while navigating this always changing metropolitan environment. This voyage has been illuminated by Jane Austen's distinct viewpoint. Her books have evolved into priceless historical and social records that provide us a glimpse into the aspirations, challenges, and lives of individuals who lived in Georgian London. We can relate to the spirit of Austen's day and admire her ability to capture its essence with extraordinary clarity thanks to her literary talent. The research has also highlighted the significance of institutions for culture and thought in forming the character of Georgian London. The British Museum, Royal Academy, and Royal Society are just a few examples of the institutions that demonstrate the city's dedication to advancing the arts and sciences and creating enlightening surroundings.

REFERENCES:

- [1] Laura Otis, *Literature and Science in the Nineteenth Century*. 2009. doi: 10.1093/owc/9780199554652.001.0001.
- [2] J. Solinger, Jane Austen and the gentrification of commerce, *Novel*, 2005, doi: 10.1215/ddnov.038020272.
- [3] T. W. Adair *et al.*, Addressing lesbian, gay, and bisexual bullying: A mindfulness-based intervention manual, *J. Affect. Disord.*, 2008.
- [4] E. B. Michie, Austen's Powers: Engaging with Adam Smith in Debates about Wealth and Virtue, *Nov. A Forum Fict.*, 2000, doi: 10.2307/1346137.
- [5] C. Tuite, Sanditon: Austen's pre-post Waterloo, *Textual Pract.*, 2012, doi: 10.1080/0950236X.2012.696486.
- [6] C. Fowler, Revisiting Mansfield Park: The critical and literary legacies of Edward W. Said's essay Jane Austen and Empire in culture and imperialism (1993), *Cambridge Journal of Postcolonial Literary Inquiry*. 2017. doi: 10.1017/pli.2017.26.

- [7] S. Suaidi, Feminism Reflected In Pride And Prejudice Novel By Jane Austen 1813, *J. Ilm. Bhs. dan Sastra*, 2016, doi: 10.21067/jibs.v3i1.1157.
- [8] S. G. Kellman and I. Stavans, 'The Translingual Sensibility: A Conversation Between Steven G. Kellman and Ilan Stavans,' *L2 J.*, 2015, doi: 10.5070/127124348.

CHAPTER 11

ECONOMIC TRANSFORMATION AND CONSUMER REVOLUTION: GEORGIAN BRITAIN

Neha Anand, Assistant Professor
College of Engineering, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India
Email Id- nehaanand002@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

This research explores the tremendous economic upheaval and consumer revolution that took place over a period of many decades in Georgian Britain. This time period, which also happened to be when novelist Jane Austen was growing up, saw the British market economy see unparalleled expansion. A number of things contributed to this shift, including Adam Smith's prominent theories as presented in *The Wealth of Nations*. Smith's advocacy of more consumption and savings to spur economic growth was timely and contributed to the growth of the middle class as well as a rise in demand for commodities. Due to developments including better access to raw resources, water power, technical breakthroughs, and accessible waterways, the Industrial Revolution played a crucial part in satisfying this need. Manufacturers were further boosted by favorable economic circumstances, and imports soared due to increasing wealth, surpassing £12 million by 1770. The flood of a variety of items into Europe, including textiles, sugar, and tobacco, caused exports to surge as well, supporting prosperous processing companies. The middle class was simultaneously given easier access to these things because to an upgraded transportation system.

KEYWORDS:

Consumer, Economic, Georgian Britain, Industrial Revolution, Middle Class, Mass Production, Transformation Revolution.

INTRODUCTION

The British market economy had seen a phenomenal rise in the past 25 years, during which Jane Austen was growing up. Adam Smith investigated the relationship between salaries, production, and consumption in *The Wealth of Nations*, which was published the year following her birth. In keeping with the times, he strongly supported the expenditure of increasing earnings and savings in order to sustain economic progress. Growing middle class wealth created a much higher demand for commodities, which the Industrial Revolution was able to meet thanks to developments like the availability of raw materials, water power, technological advancements, and navigable waterways. Manufacturers also benefited from highly favourable business conditions. There were still a lot of items available for the domestic market, and they were inflated by the many imports more than £12 million worth by 1770 that the general affluence made possible. Rapid increase in exports played a significant role in this economic success. All throughout the world, commodities were imported to Europe, and many of them such as textiles, sugar, and tobacco gave birth to lucrative processing companies. However, many of them were also sold directly to end users at home. Exotic clothing and furniture were becoming accessible to the middle classes, and improved transportation via navigable rivers, canals, and turnpike roads ensured that they were easily accessible throughout the kingdom. Not only was tea, coffee, chocolate, and spices becoming more affordable, but also exotic clothes and furniture [1].

Throughout the reigns of the Georges, especially that of George III, a considerably greater variety of products of every type were available for purchase than they had been under the Stuarts, and by the end of the century, the ordinary household was spending four times as much on them as they had at the beginning. The fact that something was made does not always indicate that it was mass-produced, as was the case in the nineteenth century. The artisan was still king, and a screen painted at home by an Elinor Dashwood would not have looked all that different from a comparable item purchased in a store. The gradual shift in taste that the furniture and other items in Georgian homes showed is what is most noteworthy. The massive oak tables from the previous century had been replaced with much lighter, more attractive pieces made of walnut, subsequently rosewood or satinwood, and most importantly, mahogany. They were often circular or round, and leaves could be added to provide room for extra dinner guests. When one was introduced at Steventon Rectory, Jane Austen wrote that her mother took great pleasure in having her money and documents locked away. The attractive Pembroke table was a particularly well-liked invention with its side flaps, tapering legs, and practical drawer. Beds could be hung and decorated in varied degrees of opulence to fit the owner's budget. Sideboards and chests of drawers had serpentine or bow fronts; wood was ornamented with exquisite brass knobs, locks, and feet; chairs and couches were luxuriously upholstered. Rooms were brightened with vibrant wallpapers, pictures, mirrors, ornate chandeliers and sconces, draped window curtains, patterned carpets, brass fenders, screens, and China ornaments [2].

However, even in the grandest houses many of the rooms had a sense of airiness, as in Miss Darcy's sitting room at Pemberley, which, to her delight, was lately fitted up with greater elegance and lightness than the apartments below. The wall-mounted pictures seem to be staring in awe at the apparent destruction of all order and neatness. When Emma presents a circular table to Hartfield, he exhibits a same atavistic response to change, which Emma must override. There was an overabundance of objects and gadgets in every room of the house, including the living room, dining room, library, bedrooms, kitchens, offices, and garden buildings. As a result of this proliferation of objects and gadgets, it was inevitable that the number of stores and warehouses where these items could be purchased would greatly increase, supported by widespread advertising. Historically, trading took place at marketplaces and fairs, but the census of 1801 shows that there were 74,500 retailers and tradespeople in England at the end of the eighteenth century. Foreign visitors often remarked on the quantity and splendor of the stores, especially in London; no other European city had anything even close to it.

The largest single group consisted of drapers; due to the high demand for their goods, many of them were specialists, such as linen drapers, woolen drapers, and silk mercers; however, in the provinces, this was less likely, and in small towns, even general shops only carried a small selection of drapery and haberdashery. Of course, there were also a lot of chandlers, or grocery stores, as well as butchers, pastry chefs, shoe stores, and cabinet builders. It was possible to find shops specializing in anything from swords to buttons. The affluent tastes of the time were catered for by perfumers, seedsmen, scientific instrument dealers, owners of print shops, or booksellers like James Lackington, who in Finsbury Square sold large numbers of inexpensive books in his vast, and regal store. The most upscale stores in London, particularly those selling luxury products, could be found in the West End. Oxford Street in particular was exceedingly upscale, well lighted by oil lamps, and its stores remained open until ten o'clock at night. Bright bow-fronted windows offered intriguing displays of a wide variety of appealing products, while some of the bigger businesses' expansive first-floor showrooms put out more items for examination [3].

The porcelain warehouse owned by Josiah Wedgwood, which he preferred not to be called just a store, was among the most spectacular. After establishing his first business in Grosvenor Square in 1765, he quickly began seeking for a bigger location and relocated to Portland House on Greek Street two years later. Vases adorned the walls, and there was room to put up dinnerware as if for a meal. Both displays, like those in other prominent London stores, were often altered to entice customers back. It had relocated to York Street, St James's Square, by the time Rudolph Ackermann featured a print of it in *The Repository of Arts* in 1809, and this is where Jane Austen knew it. The diary-keeper and friend of the Austens, Mrs. Lybbe Powys, reported that she had visited Wedgwood's and had, as usual, been highly entertained. No store offers such a wide range, yet Jane Austen visited there in 1811 when she was visiting London to fulfill a commission, as she seems to have done with much of her purchasing. She explained in a letter to Cassandra two years later that she had gone because her brother Edward and his daughter Fanny were ordering a dinner set: I believe the pattern is a small Lozenge in purple, between Lines of narrow Gold; - 6c it is to have the Crest 16 September 1813.

Today, pieces of it can still be seen at Chawton. Jane Austen doesn't seem to have enjoyed shopping in London all that much; instead, she seems to have seen it as a chore she had to do, often for the benefit of others. She purchased fabric from drapers' shops like any other lady, although she sometimes found such to be tedious and time-consuming. She mentioned Wilding and Kent at Grafton House on New Bond Street in a number of letters, but she didn't seem too excited about seeing them. She reported that during one visit, when she purchased some Bugle Trimming and three pairs of silk stockings, the whole Counter was thronged and they had to wait for y«//half an hour before they could be attended on another occasion, she stated that she intended to go at 9 o'clock to get it over before breakfast, but even then they were there for three-quarters of an hour, with Edward After Henry Austen moved into 10 Henrietta Street in Covent Garden, Layton and Shears was another store that could be completed before breakfast due to its proximity to Bedford House. She appeared to be thoroughly fed up with the whole shopping process in a letter that she wrote on what would turn out to be her last visit to London: Well - we were very busy all yesterday, from 1/2 past 11 to 4 in the Streets, working almost entirely for other people, driving from Place to Place after a parcel for Sandling Park which we could never find, Encountering the miseries of Grafton House to get a purple frock for Eleanor Bridges Due in part to the persistent currency scarcity, stores have historically operated on credit across the nation [4].

Jane Austen informed her sister that she had purchased what she had planned to after going to Mrs. Rider's haberdashery in Basingstoke, but not in great perfection. However, she added that Mrs. Rider's assistant, Miss Wood, as usual was going to Town very soon, 6c would lay in a fresh stock much like Mrs. Ford in *Emma* has a charming collection of new ribbons from town. There were no narrow Braces for Children, and there was hardly any netting silk. Evidently, Rider's was not a favorite store, as Jane Austen noted when its owner passed away: The Neighborhood have quite recovered the death of Mrs. Rider - in fact, I think they are rather delighted at it now because her. The family turned to Basingstoke for making more significant purchases for the rectory, despite the fact that pedlars sometimes stopped at Steventon to sell lace or textiles and that the nearby hamlet of Oakley had various stores, including a haberdasher where Jane Austen purchased stockings. Both Mr. Austen and his oldest son James had accounts with John Ring, the cabinet manufacturer, in common with many of their friends and acquaintances, and the firm's records indicate some of their dealings [5].

In March 1792 James Austen married and prepared the Deane parsonage for himself and his bride. He was able to purchase high-quality furniture, most of it in mahogany, undoubtedly helped by a significant wedding present from his rich father-in-law, General Mathew, and even while he was not excessive by the standards of more aristocratic clients, the cost of £200.15s.0d. amount he accumulated in less than five months was considerable for a pastor. The account for the two beds his father purchased for his girls two years later proves that his father was undoubtedly never able to spend so much money; in contrast, James's beds cost £2.2s.0d and had mahogany posts. Mr. Austen spent slightly less than half as much on each bed, which had mahogany knobs but just Color'd posts. Additionally, the 42 yards of blue and white check cotton for the hangings, which cost Mr. Austen £4.4s.0d. instead of James's 115 yards of dark fabric at £13.17s.1ld., were significantly simpler. When goods could not be obtained in person, they were ordered and sent by carrier, or perhaps delivered by a member of the family. Tea came from Twining's, while tallow candles came from Penlington's in Covent Garden. Sometimes, clothing items came from far away. For example, Jane Austen stated in one letter, My father approves his Stockings very highly - 6c finds no fault with any part of Mrs.

Hancock's bill except the charge of 3s.6d. For the Packing box There was no ready-made cloak in Alton that would do, but Coleby has promised to supply one in a few days; it will be grey woolen 6c and cost ten shillings. Years later, when she was living in Chawton, she tried to purchase a cloak in Alton. She tried Coleby's, the draper in the High Street. However, the town had a number of excellent cabinet manufacturers. When Anna Lefroy moved there in 1815, her grandmother Mrs. Austen wrote to her, saying, You will be able to get many things in the furniture way at Alton. We purchased a lot there.' The Austens had access to a wide variety of stores when they were staying in Bath, and Jane Austen was able to make wise choices, especially when it came to economic matters. Prices were a constant worry. She visited a milliner's near Walcot Church in June 1799 in search of hat decorations and wrote, We have been to the cheap Shop, 6c very cheap we found it of course, Mrs. Leigh Perrot was later accused of trying to make her own savings by stealing a card of lace worth 20s. from Elizabeth Gregory's haberdasher's in Bath Street, perhaps the same store where her niece had seen some gauzes only two months earlier that were only 4s a yard and were not so good or so pretty [6].

Jane Austen did not think it was worthwhile to bring much of the furniture with the family when they relocated to Bath in 1801, reasoning that the trouble & risk of the removal would be more than the advantage of having them at a place, where everything may be purchased a statement akin to Mrs. Allen's observation that Bath has so many good shops... In only five minutes, one may leave the house and get anything!'. In the first letter she wrote after arriving in the city, she listed the current prices of produce with approval: Meat is only 8d Per pound butter 12d & cheese 9 1/2d; however, she considered fish to be exorbitant, with a salmon being sold for 2s: 9d pr pound the whole fish, and the cucumber they had been unable to consume on their journey would, in her opinion, make a very acceptable present for her uncle, Mr. Le. Sometimes, however, she would disregard caution: in response to a question from Cassandra, she wrote, The 4 Boxes of Lozenges at 1s.-1d. - 1/2 each box, total as I was informed to be 4s. Since the figure was so little, I considered it best to pay at once rather than fight the issue. If this cost-consciousness makes you think of Mrs. Norris, just remember that Jane Austen never has any of her characters indulge in excess, and that spending money they don't need to, particularly on themselves, is a symptom of moral apathy. The correctness of his eye, and the delicacy of his taste outweigh his politeness, and he merely displays

puppyism when Robert Ferrars keeps Elinor and Marianne waiting at the jeweler's counter while he fusses over his order for, of all things, a toothpick case.

They are in the first-floor showroom of Thomas Gray's shop in Sackville Street an establishment that had announced One of just two authentic London stores mentioned in the books is Gray's; the other being Broadwood's, where Frank Churchill purchases the pianoforte. Only Molland's is mentioned in Bath; this was the well-known pastrycook's at No. 2 Milsom Street. The best-known made-up store in Jane Austen's novels is of course Ford's, the principal woolen-draper, linen-draper, and haberdasher's shop united; the shop first in size and fashion in High bury. Otherwise, Anne Elliot discovers Admiral Croft pondering critically the depiction of a boat in the window of an unnamed print-seller higher up Milsom Street; and Charles Mus Although there are other stores, Emma's economic feature essentially applies to them all. Like Frank Churchill's claim that everybody attends it every day of their lives, the depiction of it as trendy is somewhat ironic: for significant shopping, individuals must go to Kingston. Nevertheless, despite its undeniable relevance to the community, it serves nothing more than a geographical site in the story. The library in Sanditon is the only store that Jane Austen designed to exist, kind of, in its own right, to contribute to articulating the meaning of the book [7].

It is a toy store and is located in the short row of smart-looking Houses, called the Terrace. It sells all the useless things in the World that cd not be done without, and its proprietor must be hurried down from her Toilette, with all her glossy Curls 6c smart Trinkets to attend to her customers. This shop is a perfect example of the hollow commercialism that permeates the resort and the text. Charlotte Heywood feels the strain of both being amid so many attractive Temptations and of wanting to please Mr. Parker, who has already persuaded her to purchase new parasols, new gloves, new brooches, and 8c for herself in order to help the library. However, at a time when the production, sale, and acquisition of goods had reached unprecedented heights, it was a striking and timely innovation on Jane Austen's part to invoke the lure of rampant consumerism to test her heroine's character. Naturally, she resists, reflecting that at two six cent twenty there could be no excuse for her doing otherwise [8].

DISCUSSION

The intriguing and crucial time in British history known as The Economic Transformation and Consumer Revolution in Georgian Britain was marked by major economic development and a dramatic shift in consumer behavior. The main features of this change and its long-term effects on society will be covered in this debate. From 1714 to 1830, the Georgian period, saw a dramatic economic shift in Britain. During this time, the economy changed from being mostly rural and traditional to being driven by industrialization, trade, and commerce. The steam engine and automated textile manufacturing were two technological advancements that ushered in the Industrial Revolution, which fundamentally changed the nature of the economy. The Wealth of Nations and Adam Smith Adam Smith's economic theory, as presented in his influential book *The Wealth of Nations* (1776), had a major influence on the development of economic thinking in the Georgian period. Smith's theories on free markets, the division of labor, and the contribution of self-interest to economic progress had an impact on decision-makers in government and industry. The Wealth of the Middle Class: A distinctive feature of Georgian Britain was the growth of the middle class. More people found work in factories, offices, and other sectors as commerce and industrialization grew. Because they had more discretionary money, the growing middle class increased demand for a broad range of products and services.

The development of mass production was aided by improvements in manufacturing methods and the automation of production procedures. This made it possible to produce standardized items at a cheap cost, increasing their accessibility to the general public. Products that were formerly considered luxury goods proliferated throughout the consumer revolution. Adapting Furniture Trends The growth of furniture design and workmanship is one noticeable result of the consumer revolution. Furniture constructed of more attractive and versatile materials like mahogany, rosewood, and walnut replaced traditional hefty oak furniture. These modifications mirrored changing trends in interior design. Retail establishments and warehouses grew quickly in quantity as consumer goods demand increased. The retail environment in Britain changed to accommodate a consumer base that was more varied and discriminating. An astonishing number of merchants and craftspeople were counted nationwide in the 1801 census.

The consumer revolution and economic change had a significant effect on society. It resulted in improved living standards for many people, more social mobility, and easier access to a variety of goods. These adjustments altered social and cultural standards in addition to monetary riches. British economic development and industrialization had a significant impact outside of its boundaries. The country expanded its trading with other countries, becoming a major economic force on the world stage. This interconnection altered the dynamics of international commerce and helped to establish Britain as a major participant on the world stage. Modern consumerism was built on the consumer culture that evolved during the Georgian period. Our modern consumer-driven culture is still being shaped by the focus on personal choice, the pursuit of comfort and convenience, and the ongoing development of goods and services.

CONCLUSION

As a whole, The Economic Transformation and Consumer Revolution in Georgian Britain is a rich and complex subject that provides insightful information on the nexus of economics, culture, and society. In the history of Britain's ascent to economic power and the development of contemporary consumer culture, this time period represents a crucial chapter. Our appreciation of the forces that continue to affect our world today is improved by an understanding of the dynamics of this age. Suppliers provide credit to the store owners, who in turn give dependable customers up to eight or nine months to pay. But over time, a ready money system which paired ticketing, or setting a price was implemented; haggling had previously been the norm. James Lackington followed this strategy at The Temple of the Muses. While Jane Austen was staying at Godmersham and Cassandra was at Henrietta Street, she forwarded an inquiry from Edward's sister-in-law Harriot Moore asking if they sold material for pelisses. She added that if it were a ready money house, it would not work since Harriot could not pay for it immediately. However, it is interesting that Jane Austen did not know whether that was the case with Layton and Shears. In addition to possessing some of the greatest stores in all of Europe, London provided many of the products that were offered for sale in the provinces since its merchants served as wholesalers for other dealers. Shop owners from smaller towns and cities would often go to London to restock their inventories and would bring back the newest styles to draw in consumers.

REFERENCES:

- [1] D. Meneghelli, Jane Austen: tra brand e desiderio, *Between*, 2014.
- [2] J. S. Farr, Sharp minds / twisted bodies: Intellect, disability, and female education in Frances Burney's *Camilla*, *Eighteenth Century*. 2014. doi: 10.1353/ecy.2014.0010.
- [3] J. Taylor, 'Important Trifles': Jane Austen, the fashion magazine, and inter-textual consumer experience, *Hist. Retail. Consum.*, 2016, doi: 10.1080/2373518x.2016.1198624.
- [4] E. Seitz, The Language of Fruit: Literature and Horticulture in the Long Eighteenth Century, *Restor. Eighteenth-Century Theatr. Res.*, 2017, doi: 10.5325/rectr.32.2.0099.
- [5] E. Morgan, The accompanied sonata and the domestic novel in Britain at the turn of the nineteenth century, *Ninet. Century Music*, 2012, doi: 10.1525/ncm.2012.36.2.088.
- [6] S. Mathur, From British 'Pride' to Indian 'Bride,' *M/C J.*, 2007, doi: 10.5204/mcj.2631.
- [7] C. Tuite, Sanditon: Austen's pre-post Waterloo, *Textual Pract.*, 2012, doi: 10.1080/0950236X.2012.696486.
- [8] S. O. Weissner, *The glass slipper: Women and love stories*. 2013. doi: 10.5860/choice.51-4230.

CHAPTER 12

AN OVERVIEW OF EDUCATION SYSTEM AND FOOD OF JANE AUSTEN ERA

Jyoti Puri, Associate Professor
Teerthanker Mahaveer Institute of Management and Technology, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, Moradabad,
Uttar Pradesh, India
Email Id- puri20.j@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

During the late 18th and early 19th centuries in England, these two sides of society profoundly overlapped and impacted one another. This book explores the complex link between the educational system and the culinary culture of the Jane Austen period. This study analyzes how education, especially for women, affected their responsibilities and expectations in the kitchen as well as their relationships with food by looking at original sources, including Austen's own writings and modern educational and culinary resources. The article starts out by giving a general review of the prevalent educational system during the time of Jane Austen, focusing on how it affected young women's intellectual and practical development. It draws attention to the topics taught, the function of governesses, and the constrained educational options accessible to women at the time. It also covers the impact of education on women's social standing, likelihood of marriage, and household duties. Additionally, this research investigates the period's food scene by looking at the materials, cooking methods, and eating manners that were typical of Austen's day. It examines how a woman's education affected her understanding of cooking, her capacity for home management, and her participation in social events based on food.

KEYWORDS:

Education System, Food Culture, Gender Roles, Historical Society, Jane Austen, Jane Austen Social Status, Women's Education.

INTRODUCTION

All of Jane Austen's novels, as well as many of her short stories, unfinished projects, and juvenile literature, are about education, according to one critic. They are about education, however in complicated and crucial ways. Only a portion of education as Jane Austen and her contemporaries understood it a process of socialization and acculturation based on moral self-discipline and created to fit the individual for a variety of related roles in life, according to sex and rank involved schooling in specific skills, practices, and bodies of knowledge. Additionally, during the protracted national and imperial crises of Austen's time, education turned into a site of ideological conflict in which the upper middle class and gentry the social classes who read Austen's novels were strongly implicated. Because they highlight the value of female education to various social classes, especially to their material interests at a time of revolutionary upheaval, Austen's books might be said to be about education.

For more than a century, there had been growing concern about female education. George Savile, Marquess of Halifax, wrote *The Lady's New-Year's Gift; or, Advice to a daughter* (1688), which was published until the late eighteenth century. François de la Mothe Fénelon's *Traité de education des filles* (1687), which was translated into English and reprinted multiple times, had a resurgence

in the age of Jane Austen. Both works recommend education for social usefulness within family and class as well as moral self-control. After the mid-century mark, such behavior or guidance books were widely available as female education was linked to increasing social change and the course of the country and imperial destiny. *The Heroine* by S. Barrett (1814). Most of them were known to Jane Austen. Although these publications placed a strong emphasis on moral, ethical, and social education, their main focus was on how women reproduced the prevailing economic, social, cultural, and political order the same system that governed the society that inspired Jane Austen's books.

The Glorious Revolution of 1688, in the opinion of Austen's contemporaries, created this order, which was then maintained throughout the length of the eighteenth century, but it was now under threat from severe economic change, the emergence of lower- and middle-class social movements, the imperial crises, and international conflict. There is growing worry about educating upper- and middle-class boys in professional procedure and discipline since the prevailing order was built on agrarian landed property created via capitalist methods of investment and development and controlled by a range of professions. After receiving such education, Jane Austen's brothers went into the navy, the priesthood, and the nobility, respectively. The Austen sisters were schooled to be such men's wives, which is also considered a career by many, and these occupations are crucial to Austen's books.

Because, although being marginalized within this intricate economic and social structure, women of the classes represented in Austen's novels were increasingly seen as necessary to maintain it and as such needing the proper education. Property was a family concern in both the meanings of a matter of family interest and a family enterprise, whether it took the primary form of a landed estate or other forms. The wealthy family was a corporate entity that relied on landed property to provide the rents necessary to maintain both their material well-being and, more importantly, their prestige and power. On a lesser extent, middle-class families shared a similar situation. Priority inheritance, or passing down the estate to the first son, rather than dividing it among all the sons or all the offspring, and entrusting the estate to the closest male relative in the event of a lack of a direct male successor, secured the stability of the family estate over generations. According to her books, Jane Austen had misgivings about these customs [1].

They completely overruled women's interests, and neither within nor outside of marriage, women had minimal property rights. However, women were essential for the effective transfer of such property from one generation of males to the next in three ways that were all driven by education: biological reproduction, financial investment, and social culture. First, a woman's biological capacity to carry a male heir typically with one or two extras for insurance depended on safe generational transmission of property. The plots of *Pride and Prejudice*, *Emma*, and *Persuasion* are all based on the idea that failing to produce a male heir may result in the family business being transferred to a distant male cousin. However, education was required to control biological ability and prevent women from having an illegitimate child with a possibly disastrous disputed inheritance. Jane Austen, in contrast to many modern authors, chooses to forgo this opportunity to highlight women's potential moral, intellectual, social, and cultural contributions to the family estate based on education.

In considering women's second important contribution to an estate bringing wealth or property in marriage she similarly changes the focus. With the exception of *Emma*, all of Austen's heroines are almost Cinderellas, bringing more of the intellectual, moral, and cultural capital acquired

through education to marriage than the money or possessions required for what was referred to as improvement of the estate. The two types of improvement were investment and spending. Infrastructure investment may boost an estate's productivity and rents, enabling it to support its owners' socially symbolic ostentatious expenditures. A fashion system of interconnected cultural and social distinction was created by this consumption, which included everything from philanthropy to architecture, gardening, and literary patronage. However, this system was increasingly being abused by businesspeople and professionals: never before was there such a wide variety and deft marketing of goods and services, luring consumers to extravagant consumption and upsetting the balance of the estate and family economy, as Rushworth plans to do in *Mansfield Park*, Knightley does not do in *Emma*, and Sir Walter Elliot does in *Persuasion*.

The constant struggle to strike a balance between the two types of improvement is illustrated in Austen's novels. Austen was aware that her chosen literary form was itself regarded as a product of fashionable consumption and was derided not only for this, but also for glamorously portraying conspicuous consumption and thereby inspiring desire to partake in it. In response, Jane Austen not only uses reading in general and novels in particular as a gauge of education and, therefore, of character in her works, but also transforms reading into an educational experience for the reader. She therefore agrees with the widely held belief that education could effectively restrict and guide dangerous appetites of all types, for which women were expected to carry special responsibility. This is the third significant function of female education in the society that Jane Austen was a part of. Moralists warned that women's fashionable conspicuous consumption might extend to other excesses, including illicit amours, undermining the family estate and ultimately the entire dominant order. Women were widely perceived as being instrumental in conspicuous consumption because they were conventionally characterized as creatures of desire. Numerous works of fiction have shown these risks, condemned fashionable female education for escalating them, and suggested proper education as a remedy.

In Austen's *Mansfield Park*, the well-educated Bertrams, Rushworths, and Crawfords indulge in a variety of extravagances, from improper entertainments to careless estate improvements to an illicit amour, while Edmund Bertram and Fanny Price, who are both properly educated, work to renew the estate's morals, intellect, and culture. It was also believed that any improvement to the family estate was a microcosm of betterment to the country as a whole [2]. The discussion on female education had been driven by concerns about women's roles in the home economy and, by implication, the society at large for decades, but never more so than during the extraordinary national and imperial crises that coincided with Jane Austen's writing career. During this discussion, it was argued that women should have equal rights due to their responsibilities in society, culture, and the economy as well as the disparities between male and female educational attainment. By Austen's day, many families were sending their boys to professional schools.

This was true of both the aristocracy and the middle classes, who valued the knowledge, discipline, and leadership abilities that such education provided. Females were instead trained in what were called accomplishments, which were distinct from the other components of female education, such as basic schooling, household management, and religious instruction, as social critics like Mary Wollstonecraft and Hannah More noted. Basic education included literacy and numeracy skills; by Austen's day, day girls were being shut out of the few grammar schools that provided them with a more advanced education. Household management included oversight, if not participation, in domestic needlework, food preparation, the daily but monumental task of doing the laundry, and care of the sick, the young, and the elderly. Basic education was received at home, perhaps

supplemented by enrollment at a day or boarding school. Homemaking and religious instruction were also received there.

Achievements might also be attained at home, but frequently from governesses and private tutors. They can then be finished in a day school or boarding school, like the one Cassandra and Jane Austen attended in Reading, to get their diplomas. Tutors and governesses were independent contractors who were paid by the lesson. Governesses resided with families for a minimal wage and board, while tutors traveled. Since Rousseau, authors have portrayed instructors as potentially harmful love partners for their female students; Austen dismisses this possibility. With Jane Fairfax, Austen hinted down that route, but she portrays governesses as the submissive animals they were. Novelists of the next century would portray governesses as romantically likeable characters. Schools were companies, and those for females were often managed by former governesses or educated women with the financial resources to launch a company. The majority of schools were fairly tiny, operated like large families, and often criticized for being inefficient, filthy, and morally degrading. Whether they were excellent or terrible, schools, governesses, and tutors all had to comply with parental demands in order to be paid, and for more than a century before to Austen and for half a century after her, the majority of parents set expectations for their daughters' accomplishments.

Several components made up accomplishments. The social arts of conversation and letter-writing, along with a working knowledge of the belles-lettres, displayed taste and polite knowledge as markers of cultural distinction, just as dancing, singing, and playing music displayed the young woman's body and bearing at social occasions to attract a suitor. Drawing, painting, fashionable modern languages, and decorative needlework also demonstrated taste and polite knowledge. These included accepted essays, drama, poetry, travelogues the second-most popular book genre after novels possibly the better sort of prose fiction, and elegant learning, particularly historiography, which Austen disliked. Historiography was frequently recommended as a counterbalance to risky novel reading, which may have contributed to Austen's dislike of it. Knowledge of books of the day, or significant and well-read current works, was also desired and helpful. This style was responsible for what critics referred to as the rise of the reading public, which began in the middle of the eighteenth century. For men and women of the upper and middle classes, belles-lettres and contemporary works together created a shared literary culture. The Austen family was a part of this society, and so were Austen's characters [3].

Her novels include references to belles-lettres and popular works of the time since that is how they are intended to fit in. Marriageable and married women might express cultural difference that represented social distinction and promoted upper- and middle-class family interests thanks to accomplishments. Thus, 'accomplishments' was chosen above the other two key options. Lacking accomplishments, a woman might be merely notable, a term used in the era to describe a woman who was limited to domestic affairs and, as a result, was unable to engage in sophisticated social interactions, though some commentators argued that the notable woman was still useful to her family, particularly during tumultuous times. Being accomplished and notable were contrasted with being learned or a bluestocking, which were both seen to exclude a woman from the marriage market, polite society, and even notability. As a result, learning was derided in female conduct books, mocked by both male and female authors, and left out of the education of the majority of women. The term learning was used to refer to information that was only appropriate for male education and participation, including the study of classical and Biblical languages, analytical and scientific discourses, contentious writing, theology, and mathematics. The Austen sisters were both

accomplished and notable, but they were not learned in this sense. By their time, 'accomplishments' were also coming under more and more fire. It was more for failing to give women the sovereign subjectivity and intellectual resources deemed necessary for their roles in family, society, and nation, for independence when single or widowed, and for their individual spiritual salvation. This was less for subordinating women to men and family interests. In her 1792 essay *Vindication of the Rights of Woman*, Mary Wollstonecraft claimed that training women to be accomplished or notable deprives them of the intellectual independence and moral self-discipline that come with a professional education, making them obstacles to social change. Jane Austen would probably agree more with Wollstonecraft's additional claim that accomplishments made women reliant on men's judgment and power, unable to use their God-given reason to direct their desires toward good rather than evil, and hence unsalvageable spiritually.

Hannah More wrote forcefully in *Strictures on the Modern System of Female Education* (1799) that education in merely accomplishments disabled women for their role as moral, cultural, and social reformers within the home and local society, and thus for their participation in the great national patriotic struggle then under way. This criticism was more prevalent in the time of national and imperial crisis. In *Pride and Prejudice*, Mr. Bennet and Mr. Bingley marry women of beauty, but whereas Mrs. Bennet was only beautiful and notable' and therefore unable to manage her houseful of daughters or impress the right kind of suitors, Jane Austen used the novel to illustrate these concerns. Unlike them, she avoided overt didacticism and developed the theme of female education through novelistic form, especially character and plot. In *Catherine Mortimer*, *Emma Woodhouse*, and *Marianne Dashwood*, Jane Austen does present undereducated, ill-educated, or misinformed heroines. However, there are more undereducated or incorrectly educated minor female characters, such as the comically pedantic Mary Bennet and her dangerously superficial sister Lydia in *Pride and Prejudice* and the sympathetic but ignoble Harriet Smith in *Emma*. Each time, education is linked to character, which influences the future of the person, their family, and sometimes even the whole country.

Austen's approach to female education differs from that of her contemporaries in two further, more significant ways. She and they link education to moral and intellectual character, but as Jane Austen, *Pictures of perfection*, presumably properly educated, make me sick & wicked. She also excludes from her novels the improperly educated and consequently fallen women found in many other novels. In Austen's novels, neither a good education nor a bad education guarantees anything. Both Elizabeth Bennet and her less-educated sister Lydia are fallible, albeit in different ways; the properly educated Fanny Price is tempted by the viciously educated Henry Crawford; the viciously educated Mary Crawford loves the virtuously educated Edmund Bertram; and despite his education, he is attracted to Mary rather than Fanny for the majority of the novel. Furthermore, very few of Austen's uneducated female characters Maria Bertram in *Mansfield Park* is a rare exception end up in the ruin that would be many other authors' lots for such characters. Although Austen does assign a character's education a certain amount of novelistic justice, all characters are nonetheless imperfect [4].

Less Austen's intentional artistry than her religious upbringing may be responsible for her avoidance of overt didacticism and extremes in her novelistic presentation of schooling. According to Anglican theology, only the free will that has been used for good and approved by divine grace may redeem human sins. Austen shared the view of her contemporaries Wollstonecraft and More that this duty required an educated mind. Austen, an Anglican and Christian, disagreed with the more reformist views of her time that education and the Enlightenment could one day lead to a

paradise on earth made by humans. She also disagreed with the common belief that education would at best help women endure the inescapable hardships of female life and at worst inspire unattainable and thus harmful aspirations. Finally, Jane Austen also uses the book genre to indirectly teach her readers. When Elizabeth Bennet or Emma Woodhouse realizes her error in reading her world, readers are forced to recognize their own in reading her: all are fallible, all-in need of continuing education, all awaiting grace, divine or humane. Her use of the recently developed narrative technique of free indirect discourse, or reported inward speech and thought, encourages readers to sympathize, identify, and agree with the heroine. Due to this technique, Austen's novels read differently on subsequent readings, unlike most of their contemporaries. A book that is reread able is considered to be a classic, or canonical literature, and as this Cambridge edition shows, Austen's novels are firmly established in this institution of continuing education.

Food

Food was not simply purchased or ordered in the family where Jane Austen was raised; rather, it required careful planning, laborious effort, and everyday ingenuity. In Steventon Rectory, almost all of the food was made at home with the exception of a few high-end imported items including tea, coffee, chocolate, sugar, spices, wine, dried fruit, and citrus fruit. There are several allusions in Jane Austen's letters about keeping a tight eye on their supplies of sugar and tea, indicating that these commodities were treasured and guarded appropriately. I carry about the keys of the wine and closet, she wrote on one occasion. Even though the glebe grounds at Steventon's profit were just a few acres, Mr. Austen also leased the 200-acre Cheesedown Farm from his benefactor Thomas Knight. From the Austens' marriage until their retirement to Bath, some forty years later, the farm provided the rectory with meat and grains. Jane Austen writes quite exactly about my father's mutton in L, 1 December 1798, despite the fact that Mr. Austen employed a bailiff to oversee the field workers.

Even at the turn of the century, with a smaller family to feed, Mrs. Austen had three cows in addition to ducks, chicken, guinea-fowl, and turkeys. The dairy and poultry yard were her mother's domain. In the garden, potatoes, vegetables, herbs, and fruit, including grapes, were all cultivated. When the family left Steventon, their effects included 13 iron-bound casks. Fish and game were occasionally brought home by the sporting sons, and various food gifts were given among the wider ramifications of the family and their friends. Venison from Godmersham Park, fish from Southampton, and apples from Kintbury are just a few of the many commodities mentioned in the book. For example, Mrs. Austen prepared cured pork for her sons who were sailors to carry on their journeys, while his brother Henry in London received presents from his sisters that ranged from a jar of raspberry jam to nine gallons of mead. All of this stuff needed to be prepared into meals or, often, into quantities of preserved food that could be stored until the next season [5].

The cultivation, manufacture, and storage of food required enormous amounts of time and space, both inside and outside. Variety and sufficiency at the table hinged on everything being produced and maintained in the proper proportions in season and then presented to the family sparingly throughout the year. The population of the family at Steventon changed throughout the years, but with staff and boarders, Mrs. Austen sometimes had to feed ten or twelve people three times a day, which required great amounts of planning and labor. Although the family always retained a chef, they did not strive for a housekeeper to prepare meals, organize supplies, and oversee the daily operations of the kitchen, unlike many of Austen's fictitious households. Jane Austen's mother first carried out this task, followed by Cassandra, with Jane serving as a subordinate and sometimes

acting deputy. This burden was lessened when the family relocated to Bath and moved into seaside housing. Bath had some of the best markets and shops in the nation, but the cost of food and its wholesomeness then became concerns because there was no refrigeration or legislation prohibiting food adulteration. Though they were never as self-sufficient as they had been at Steventon and they would never again be free of vulgar economy, as Jane Austen wryly compared their own home-made orange wine to the French wine that was always served at their wealthy brother Edward's house, things were once again under their control after moving to Chawton.

For this reason, Austen was introduced to the skills of domestic economy far earlier than her own heroines were. The importance of food supply among female tasks and activities would have been ingrained in her mind as a result of her observations of life at Steventon. The ability to manage a household, whether they are required to make a modest income go a long way or to preside over a full complement of staff, would have been considered by her mother as a vital component of her daughters' instruction. Jane Austen's own unique job in Chawton related to breakfast. We can see Cassandra giving in over breakfast but demanding that Jane have the rest of the day free for writing while she insisted on sharing at least part of the household duties with her. The cold meat, coarse bread, and ale of previous times, as well as the quantity of eggs, kidneys, bacon, and other foods beneath which Victorian side boards moaned, were significantly different from breakfast in Austen's day. Instead, it was a classy light dinner of toast and rolls, served with tea, coffee, or chocolate to drink, all served on China that was either handmade or vintage. Making toast and putting the kettle on to boil at the dining room fire would have been Jane's responsibility. Like many women, she could have cleaned and dried the China herself before storing it in the dining room cupboard along with the priceless tea and sugar.

Dinner was the main meal of the day, and the time it was served varied greatly depending on one's social standing during Austen's lifetime. To take use of natural light for cooking and eating, the meal was traditionally served about noon. As the eighteenth century went on, more fashionable individuals began to eat supper later and later, which was imitated by those from lower social strata. Jane Austen describes Steventon's typical dinnertime as being at half past three in 1798, but by 1808, the time for dinner in Southampton had changed to five o'clock. The hour of supper is often mentioned throughout the books, but never with the kind of specificity as in *The Watsons*. Tom Musgrave visits the unassuming Watson family at three o'clock, timing his visit to make them feel uncomfortable by showing just as their servant is carrying in the tray of silverware. Tom adds insult to injury by bragging that his eight o'clock dinnertime is the latest of any character. They eat at Mansfield Parsonage at 3:30 and Northanger Abbey at 5:00. The disparity between the meal at Netherfield at half past six and that at Longbourn at four o'clock demonstrates the impact of London fashion. Some nourishment was needed between breakfast and supper as the dinner hour grew later. Before it was given a refined name, considerable time had passed. Willoughby mentions eating nuncheon at an inn in *Sense and Sensibility*, while Lydia and Kitty order luncheon at the same inn in *Pride and Prejudice* [6].

However, when a small lunch is had at home in the middle of the day, the characters just use the terms cold meat or a collation. At Mansfield Parsonage, sandwiches start to emerge, prepared by Dr. Grant. At Pemberley, there is beef, bread, and fruit from a greenhouse. Midday meals were not served in the dining room, but rather wherever the women were seated, except for the most formal occasions. The servants would have had minimal issue with the lunch, freeing them up to prepare the crucial evening. Dinner was traditionally served in the style of courses, which consisted of many foods arranged on the table together, up to the middle of the nineteenth century. Diagrams

demonstrating how dishes may be placed on the table to produce a pleasant balance can often be seen in historical cookbooks. Depending on the number of diners and the host's resources, the central area would be filled with several large joints of meat and whole boiled or roasted birds, occasionally accompanied by a few vegetables, with a tureen of soup at one end, a whole fish at the other, and pies, cutlets, and other items in the corners. Each general helped his neighbors prepare this and other meals that were within his reach while carving the meat that was closest to him. Jane Austen recalls a meal she attended when a woman's dinner plate was left empty for a time despite her neighbor being ignored despite her repeated requests for meat. When everyone had finished this meal, there would be a lot of commotion as the servants removed the plates and brought and set up a new, full course. At the Coles' party, an intriguing section of Frank and Emma's conversation is cut short: They were called on to share in the awkwardness of a rather long interval between the courses. This is a notable scene in *Emma*. The second course may include lighter savory dishes like fricassees and burgers, as well as a variety of fruit tarts, jellies, and cream puddings [7].

It used to be a full meal, but when supper evolved into an evening meal, all that was needed was a tray of fine light fare. The elder characters, Mrs. Phillips, Mrs. Goddard, and Mr. Woodhouse, are especially wedded to the concept of dinner because it had been the fashion of his youth. Supper really came into its own at a ball with very late hours. One of Mr. Bingley's two requirements for setting the date of the ball at Netherfield is that his housekeeper should have time to make white soup enough for his anticipated guests. Soup is also present at the Crown in *Emma* and at Mansfield Park on the night of the ball. This reference to white soup in *Pride and Prejudice* is a great illustration of how Austen utilizes food to highlight personality. White soup, also known as *soupe à l'aireine*, was first made in the courtly cuisine of medieval England and France using the pricey ingredients veal stock, cream, and crushed almonds. Mr. Bingley is jokingly recognizing that only the most beautiful dishes can satisfy the tastes of Mr. Hurst, a fan of French cuisine, and Mr. Darcy, a wealthy man who can hire a French chef. Mr. Bingley exhibits endearing traits like wit, generosity, and little more dubious carelessness with money in this most casual of statements. The narrator criticizes Mr. Hurst for mocking Elizabeth Bennet because she prefers a simple meal over a ragout while endorsing Elizabeth for her preferences.

Throughout the eighteenth century, debate raged in England about French cuisine, which was seen as suspicious and dishonest like the French character itself since it was both popular and disloyal. Robert Campbell blasted Meats and Drinks dressed after the French fashion masking their Native properties in *The London Tradesman* of 1747. The majority of the dishes during a supper out in 1783, according to Parson Woodforde, were spoiled by being so french-fried in dressing. English roast beef was the opposite of French cuisine. Robert Southey, posing as a foreign visitor to Britain, wrote in 1807: I have more than once been asked at table my opinion of the roast beef of Old England, with a sort of smile, and in a tone as if the national honor were concerned. Of all the meals that the characters in *Sense and Sensibility* must eat, Austen only chooses to specify Willoughby's snatched lunch at a coaching inn in Marlborough. He tells Elinor this in order to disprove her assertion that he has had too much alcohol, thus we know that this comprised of cold steak and a pint of beer. But the menu goes beyond that; it also has a moral component. Finally, he is acting honorably and compassionately; he won't starve himself in his rush to get Marianne before she passes away, but he also won't waste time by ordering a more complex food. Although Elinor does not consciously consider this, it surely helps to reevaluate Willoughby's value in her and our eyes [8].

Willoughby is now rehabilitated, and he has some of the sterling spirit associated with the roast meat of ancient England. Austen seldom use detail in this manner to convey many meanings at once. Every time a specific meal or drink is mentioned, the story gains more than just a little bit of local flavor. The most frequent use of food is found in *Emma*, which gradually reveals the dependency of the village society and how certain members have more access to food than others according to work or money. Mrs. Martin sends a goose to Mrs. Goddard, Robert Martin collects walnuts for Harriet, and Miss Bates is the appreciative receiver of apples from Donwell and pork from Hartfield. In *Emma*, the metaphor for neighborly affection is food. However, the heroine and other admirable characters never discuss food in reference to their personal appetites; they also never look forward to or fondly recall a meal.

No hero, heroine, or other character who has the narrator's approval ever talks openly about food in the whole body of work. Elizabeth has to be prodded in order to acknowledge her preference for simple meals. On the flight from Devon to London, Elinor and Marianne Dashwood cannot be forced to decide between boiling chicken and veal chops. The French bread at Northanger is not Catherine Morland. Gooseberry pie is not to be used as solace for Fanny Price's homesickness. Mr. Elton is left to list the cheeses and desserts served at the Coles' dinner table, Mrs. Bennet is left to brag about her soup and her partridges, and Dr. Grant is left to drool over the thought of turkey. The storyteller herself is subject to the same ban. Any reference of a particular food item in Austen is made by a character who is then denounced for being greedy, vulgar, selfish, or petty, with the possible exception of the pyramid of fruits at Pemberley. Jane Austen's ability to express herself so differently in letters is just one example of the disconnect between life and art that makes art the conscious process that it is [9].

DISCUSSION

The study of the educational system and culinary practices during the time of Jane Austen offers a fascinating look into the gender roles, cultural mores, and everyday life of England in the late 18th and early 19th century. This talk will go through the main conclusions and ramifications that come from looking at these two interconnected facets of Austen's society. Education had a significant role in determining a person's social rank during the Jane Austen period. Education served as a tool for maintaining class disparities as well as a way of disseminating information. Women's education was often constrained and concentrated on subjects deemed pertinent to their future responsibilities as mothers and husbands. Their social mobility and possibilities were directly impacted by their limited education. Since they were better able to manage a family, including the administration of food and domestic matters, women with more comprehensive education were often seen as more suitable marriage possibilities.

When contemplating the role of women in the kitchen, the relationship between education and culinary culture becomes very clear. Culinary skills were required of women in the Jane Austen period since they were an essential component of their home responsibilities. The ability of women in this area was shaped by education. Women with more extensive educational backgrounds have access to a larger variety of culinary skills, enabling them to thrive in the kitchen and, therefore, raise their social position. The investigation of the ways in which Jane Austen's books mirror the academic and culinary traditions of her day is one of the study's most fascinating facets. The intellectual attainment and culinary prowess of Austen's characters were often key factors in her astute observations of society and sarcastic portrayals of them. Characters like Emma Woodhouse

and Elizabeth Bennet, for instance, might be seen as the results of their educational experiences; their interactions with food are utilized to showcase their personalities and social standing.

The educational system and culinary customs underwent considerable modifications when the Jane Austen period gave way to the Victorian era. Due to changes in the economy and society brought about by the Industrial Revolution, there are now more chances for schooling and there are changing eating cultures. The lives of women in the 19th century were significantly shaped by these transformations, which also saw the emergence of new educational possibilities and the modernization of culinary customs. We are encouraged to consider how education and food culture continue to interact in modern society as a result of our study of education and food culture in the Jane Austen period. Even though women's responsibilities and expectations have changed much since Austen's day, education continues to be a key factor in determining how well someone can negotiate the culinary world as well as their larger social and professional life. Furthermore, looking at historical circumstances like this one makes us think about how cultural norms and expectations continue to shape our opinions on things like food, gender roles, and education.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, learning about the Jane Austen era's educational system and culinary traditions offers important new perspectives on the intricacies of this historical time. It highlights the relationship between education and social position, gender roles, and culinary prowess, providing a complex view of everyday life in Austen's day. This research also encourages us to think about how education and culinary culture have shaped our society through time and how they continue to influence our lives and identities. However, after the table was once more securely covered and every corner dish set just correctly, a quiet discussion could be resumed. Following this, the tablecloth would be removed and what was referred to as the dessert would be served. The word's original meaning was quite different from how it is often used now and was derived from the French verb *deservir*, which means to clear the table. It was a means to extend the dinner with finger-friendly bites after the servants had left by including a variety of dried fruits, nuts, and sweets. Mrs. Jennings is describing a common dish when she gives Marianne sweets, olives, and dried cherries in an effort to mend her wounded heart. Austen often invites readers to drink tea with their neighbors in both her books and correspondence. This is a drink that is consumed an hour or two after supper, not the afternoon tea of the Victorians or ourselves.

REFERENCES:

- [1] N. G. Nandana, Emphasis on Education in Jane Austen's Novels, *Int. J. Sci. Res. Publ.*, 2012.
- [2] V. Saridakis, For 'the present and future happiness of my dear Pupils': The Astronomical and Educational Legacy of Margaret Bryan, *Cult. Cosm.*, 2012, doi: 10.46472/cc.01216.0239.
- [3] V. Khosla, Is majoring in liberal arts a mistake for students?, *Medium*, 2016.
- [4] T. W. Adair *et al.*, Addressing lesbian, gay, and bisexual bullying: A mindfulness-based intervention manual, *J. Affect. Disord.*, 2008.
- [5] M. P. Lee, *The Food Plot in the Nineteenth-Century British Novel*. 2016. doi: 10.1057/978-1-137-49938-7.

- [6] J. Austen, Lesley Castle, in *The Works of Jane Austen, Vol. 6: Minor Works (Revised Edition)*, 2015. doi: 10.1093/oseo/instance.00080873.
- [7] M. P. Lee, Chapter 1 Novel Appetites: Jane Austen and the ‘Nothing’ of Food, in *The Food Plot in the Nineteenth-Century British Novel*, 2016. doi: 10.1057/978-1-137-49938-7_2.
- [8] J. Ramone, The Roles of the Storytellers: Richard and Judy Read The Jane Austen Book Club, in *The Richard and Judy Book Club Reader: Popular Texts and the Practices of Reading*, 2016. doi: 10.4324/9781315553498-10.
- [9] J. Altimiras *et al.*, You Are Responsible For Checking That The Via Mobile App gives you full overview of your travel details on your mobile . Download the app for free !, *J. Fish Biol.*, 2013.