A Textbook of Business Economics

Sandeep Saxena
Dr. Somprabh Dubey
Dr. (Prof.) Ashok Kumar

A Textbook of Business Economics

Sandeep Saxena
Dr. Somprabh Dubey
Dr. (Prof.) Ashok Kumar

A Textbook of Business Economics

Sandeep Saxena
Dr. Somprabh Dubey
Dr. (Prof.) Ashok Kumar

Dominant
Publishers & Distributors Pvt Ltd
New Delhi, INDIA

Knowledge is Our Business

A TEXTBOOK OF BUSINESS ECONOMICS

By Sandeep Saxena, Dr. Somprabh Dubey, Dr. (Prof.) Ashok Kumar

This edition published by Dominant Publishers And Distributors (P) Ltd
4378/4-B, Murarilal Street, Ansari Road, Daryaganj,
New Delhi-110002.

ISBN: 978-93-82007-22-7

Edition: 2022 (Revised)

Dominant
Publishers & Distributors Pvt Ltd

Registered Office: 4378/4-B, Murari Lal Street, Ansari Road,
Daryaganj, New Delhi - 110002.
Ph. +91-11-23281685, 41043100, Fax: +91-11-23270680

Production Office: "Dominant House", G - 316, Sector - 63, Noida,
National Capital Region - 201301.
Ph. 0120-4270027, 4273334

e-mail: dominantbooks@gmail.com
info@dominantbooks.com

w w w . d o m i n a n t b o o k s . c o m

CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1

EXPLORING THE SIGNIFICANCE OF NEW GAME STRATEGIES

Dr. Somprabh Dubey, Associate Professor, Department of Business Studies & Entrepreneurship,
Shobhit University, Gangoh, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- somprabh.dubey@shobhituniversity.ac.in

Dr. (Prof.) Ashok Kumar, Professor, Department of Business Studies,
Shobhit Deemed University, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- dr.ashok@shobhituniversity.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

New Game Strategies have become essential in the world of gaming due to the ever-evolving landscape of video games. With advancements in technology, game design, and player expectations, developers constantly strive to create innovative and engaging gameplay experiences. This paper explores the significance of new game strategies in various genres, from single-player to multiplayer and competitive eSports. Through an analysis of recent trends and case studies, we identify the impact of novel gaming mechanics, user interfaces, and emergent gameplay on player enjoyment and retention. Additionally, the paper discusses the role of artificial intelligence and procedural content generation in shaping new game strategies. The findings emphasize the importance of adaptation and creativity in the gaming industry to ensure continued growth and player satisfaction.

KEYWORDS:

Game, Innovation, Player. Strategies, Sport.

INTRODUCTION

Strategic breakthroughs and innovative game tactics are at the heart of each of these outstanding performances. A game-changing innovation in goods or services, business models, operational procedures, and/or competitive positioning to boost performance is referred to as a strategic innovation [1], [2]. A new game strategy is a collection of actions that add new value or appropriate existing value. It is what affects how well a company performs when faced with a strategic innovation. To generate value and/or position a business to appropriate value, it includes doing new value chain activities or existing ones differently from how they have previously been conducted. It involves not just producing value in many ways, but also positioning a company to make money off the value produced. It often involves changing the game's rules and dismantling conventional methods of value creation and appropriation. For instance, Goldcorp decided to challenge the public to identify the gold rather than keeping its data banks of geological survey data on its Red Lake, Ontario site private and struggling to determine where gold may be hidden. Instead of turning to one of its employees or a contractor, Goldcorp was going to the general public for help [3], [4].

Only those who achieved desired objectives will be compensated. Compare this to workers who would be paid whether or not they discovered gold. Instead of creating and selling its own T-shirts, Threadless asked registered users to create and submit new designs to the company every week. The best design was then chosen by community members, and the winners received rewards. The winning design was subsequently constructed and offered for sale to the neighborhood. In reality, the company either did not carry out many of the tasks that T-shirt businesses have historically carried out or conducted them in a new way. The business that acted first to modify the game's rules or a firm that entered later and played the game better may both win in a new game. The pursuit of the appropriate new game strategy by a company in order to develop and capture value is crucial. Although Google did not invent search engines,

it did play the new game extremely successfully since it was superior at making money from search engines. In the early 2000s, it adopted a new game plan that involved using paid listings to monetize searches rather than pop-ups or banner ads, creating algorithms that provided customers with more relevant searches than competitors' search engines, and posting the paid-listing advertisements on both its website and other websites [5], [6].

Innovation in products is not usually the focus of new games. In actuality, some of the most intriguing examples of new games don't include brand-new goods or services. Instead, they have focused on altering the game's rules in order to provide an existing product to consumers or position a company to better appropriate current value. Consider the Dell instance. Bypassing wholesalers, it offered direct sales to end consumers. Additionally, it created "build to order" business and manufacturing practices, under which each customer's computer was made to their exact specifications and only after they had placed their order and made payment. Both of these actions offered Dell an edge over its rivals. By avoiding distributors, for instance, it could deal directly with the more dispersed end-customers and build switching costs, brand identity, and other revenue streams like services instead of having to contend with the more consolidated and powerful distributors [7], [8].

It's not always necessary for new games to aim to outdo rivals by offering goods with superior competitive product qualities. In fact, some of the most intriguing new game tactics include companies reducing certain aspects of their offerings that have come to be seen as cash cows while simultaneously introducing a few fresh features.

In order to compete with Microsoft and Sony, which used the newest and fastest but significantly more expensive technologies that many ardent gamers had come to expect in each new generation of game consoles, Nintendo purposefully chose to offer its Wii with much older, cheaper microprocessor and graphics technologies that were three years old. Other aspects of the Wii, including the option to play games that simultaneously allowed users to exercise, were more appealing to casual players [9], [10].

In brand-new games, money may be made by both early adopters and latecomers. For instance, Merck pioneered the statin cholesterol medicine category, revolutionizing the market for cholesterol-lowering drugs while making substantial profits. Warner Lambert, which entered the market late with the introduction of Lipitor, was able to increase profits utilizing its own in-house innovative gaming methods. It formed an arrangement with Pfizer in order to use Pfizer's extensive sales force and marketing power to promote directly to consumers and sell drugs to physicians.

And last, a game that is brand-new in one sector or nation could not be in another. Take Ryanair as an example. Ryanair went above and beyond some of the actions that had distinguished Southwest Airlines from its US rivals such as flying just one kind of aircraft model and operating mostly out of minor airports.

It offered food, ran advertisements both inside and outside of the aircraft, and took a percentage on any bookings for hotels and rental cars made via its website. Additionally, it developed fruitful contacts with the local government at the secondary airports where it set up shop. A new game strategy often involves some kind of commitment from the company implementing the plan. Trading off is also a part of it. For instance, Google spent a lot of money on R&D to maintain enhancing the relevancy of its searches. By switching to paid listing advertisements, it gave up banner and pop-up ads along with the advantages and drawbacks that come with them.

DISCUSSION

Value Creation and Appropriation

It is only fitting that we describe value creation and value appropriation as we have defined a new game strategy as the collection of actions that produce and/or appropriate value in novel ways. A company adds value when it provides clients with goods or services that they believe will benefit them more than it will cost to provide those advantages. When a company makes money off of value, it appropriates it. For instance, if a musician writes, composes, and creates a song that audiences want, the musician has generated value—so long as the expense of providing the music does not outweigh the advantages seen by audiences. The value that the musician captures is the money that comes from the sale of his or her music. Value acquired is not necessarily equivalent to the value generated, as the examples of numerous musicians demonstrate. Prior to the Internet, management of recording studios and the outlets that sold records often had the negotiating power over artists and so made more money from each record sale than it was worth. Ten cents out of every $1 was often taken by musicians as opposed to the dollar they generated. When a customer purchases a product, the value that the customer perceives in the product depends on a number of factors, including the value created by the product maker as well as the value of the product's components, complements, and the effort the customer puts into using the product. Effectively, coopetitors suppliers, customers, competitors, complementors, and other actors with whom a business cooperates and competes to produce and appropriate value contribute to the value that a consumer sees in a product. For instance, the value that a client sees in an iPhone depends on both what Apple contributes to the design and production of the product as well as the contribution of the providers of the CPUs, LCDs, and other component suppliers. It also depends on the quality and accessibility of the phone service, the music and other media that may be played on the device, and the product's usability for the user.

As a result, businesses collaborate with their customers, suppliers, complementors, and sometimes competitors to generate value and then engage in competition with them to acquire it. Strategic alliances, joint ventures, and long-term contracts are examples of direct collaboration. However, most "cooperation" is indirect, such as when suppliers enter into contracts with businesses to deliver goods in accordance with the demands of those businesses. "Competition" arises when a business must choose a supplier and negotiate with that supplier as well as when the business must negotiate with customers over the pricing of its goods. More crucially, direct collaboration creates a situation where competition also occurs indirectly. When businesses collaborate to produce value via an alliance or joint venture, they must compete to decide who will bear what expenses and who will get what share of the value generated. Therefore, when value is created cooperatively, there is almost always competition to appropriate the value; and where value is captured competitively, there is definitely some collaboration to produce value. There are many aspects of rivalry's competitiveness that may benefit from collaboration. For instance, competitors may work together to expand the market if it is lawful to do so since they stand to gain when their market expands. Additionally, they stand to gain when they avoid pointless pricing battles, excessive government regulation, or taxes.

Gameplay Innovations

Remember that a new game strategy is a collection of actions that adds value or captures it in novel ways. The new gaming activities are the set's pillars. An action that is conducted differently from how previous industrial value chain activities have been carried out in order to produce or capture value is referred to as a new game activity. The activity might be entirely

new or a current activity that is being carried out differently. The crucial factor is that the activity contributes to producing or capturing value and that it is carried out differently from other value chain activities that already exist. Consider Google's early 2000s new game strategy, which involved using paid listings rather than pop-ups or banner ads to monetize searches, developing search algorithms that gave users more relevant results, and putting the paid-listing ads on both its own website and other websites. Paid listings were a brand-new game for businesses in the search engine sector. The creation of algorithms to provide consumers with more relevant search results and the posting of paid classified advertisements on third-party websites were both related. There will be instances when a firm's new game strategy consists of only one new game action, turning the activity into a strategy since a set can only have one member. In fact, a new game activity is often referred to as a new game strategy. Finally, new games are strategic innovations.

Consider the business system to comprehend the possibilities available to each company for pursuing novel gaming tactics. A company has a wide range of alternatives for carrying out the tasks required to add value at each level of the chain in order to manufacture and distribute goods to end consumers. For instance, a company has a variety of possibilities for procuring technology during the technology stage. It may choose to wait for the next generation of technology or create the technology internally using its own R&D resources, get a license from another company, build a strategic partnership with partners to develop the technology, or acquire a license from another company. The company may either give away the technology or actively pursue patent protection if it chooses to develop the invention. If companies in a given sector create their innovations in-house and keep them confidential, a company that disperses its own technology to everyone who requests it is using a new game strategy. That is what Sun Microsystems accomplished in the early 1990s when it donated their SPARC workstation computer technology. Of course, if a company chooses to adopt a whole new technology, it is also pursuing a new game strategy.

A company also has various options at the distribution level. It may choose to employ all of the distribution channels at its disposal or just a few. Additionally, it might choose to rely only on distributors or elect to sell directly to consumers. It may choose to manufacture things and have large inventories of completed goods on hand, or it can choose for a build-to-order model and keep little to no finished goods on hand. Consider once again a market where all businesses rely on distributors to distribute their goods to final consumers. An organization that chooses to sell directly to end users is in fact engaging in new game activity. When Dell made the decision to begin selling directly to end users, this is what it did. At the manufacturing level, a company can be vertically integrated if it produces its own components or purchases them; it can also produce the components domestically or abroad; it can locate all of its plants in one nation or in various nations; it can handle its own purchasing or delegate it to a third party; and so on.

The fact that there are several alternatives for carrying out value chain activities at every level of the value chain effectively means that there are numerous options for carrying out new game activities at every stage of a value chain. Therefore, product innovation is not the only way to obtain a strategic edge. All phases of a value chain manufacturing, marketing, distribution, and service offer chances to gain a strategic edge via innovative game tactics. They may also be used to integrate vertically backwards to provide inputs, vertically forwards to dispose of outputs, and horizontally into components or other connected segments.

There are choices for choosing which tasks to do, when to complete them, how to complete them, where to complete them, and what to anticipate as a result. For instance, a company may elect to do fundamental research but not applied research while its rivals do both. In contrast

to its rivals, it may choose to do such R&D in the USA, China, and South Africa. In its R&D endeavors, it can choose to take the initiative rather than lag behind. While its rivals rely on trade secrets, it may also elect to strictly enforce patents. Whatever the case, new gaming activities have the potential to increase customer value for both current and potential consumers, target newer and more lucrative market niches, better position a company to capture value produced in its value chain, or earn more money from both traditional and emerging sources of income. They serve as the foundation for fresh gaming tactics. Last but not least, keep in mind that even while new game activities are the foundation of new game strategies, the latter often include some non-new game activities. For instance, not all of Google's professional efforts were new game-related, but these actions form the basis of its new game strategy.

Operations To Revenue

It either creates a product with features that consumers appreciate, improves its competitive positioning, or does both. The last step is to turn the good or position into earnings. Activities often complement one another, despite the fact that sometimes each kind of activity follows a separate road to profitability. Many businesses engage in actions to provide the goods that consumers seek. Research and development (R&D), product development, buying, and manufacturing are a few examples of activities whose main goal is to add value throughout a value chain in order to provide consumers with goods they value. If a firm's product delivers advantages that customers perceive as unique e.g. Customers are more likely to gravitate towards the company than its rivals if it offers low-cost or distinctive items. Offering clients low-cost or distinctive items is simply one step toward a company making money from value-adding activities, albeit being a highly essential one. Additionally, the company must set a fair pricing for the product since too high of a price turns away certain clients while too low of a price leaves money. Since consumers seldom knock on a business' door, it must actively seek out as many as it can. It could also look for other professional income streams that make use of the product. For instance, many US auto dealers profit more from fixing existing vehicles than they do from reselling them. Another option for a company is to place its product in a market with little to no competition, such a new market niche, a distinct geographic area inside a nation, or a separate nation altogether. Effectively, even when value-adding activities result in low-cost or distinctive products, the products still need to be translated into cash; they must be priced competitively, the number of customers who see the products' value increased, professional sources of income sought, and the product also positioned in the appropriate market spaces to maximize profits.

Improved Positioning Compared to Competitors

In order to benefit from the value that the company or its coopetitors have generated, a corporation may also take actions to improve its competitive position. If a coopetitor needs a company more than a firm needs a coopetitor, the firm is in a better position than the coopetitor. For instance, when a company pursues its competitors, it has more sway over consumers since there are fewer companies around for them to compete with. Buyers now need the company more than they did before the company acquired its competitors. Such a business may raise rates or demand additional concessions from consumers using its greater influence. Tyco International engaged in this approach and did it successfully for a long time.

A company that sells directly to customers via vertical integration is avoiding the more concentrated wholesalers in favor of dealing with the more dispersed consumers. Such a business may reduce switching costs for customers as well as reduce the sales fees that are often paid to distributors. They can also look for other income streams. Additionally, it is free

and flexible to provide them more cutting-edge items. As an alternative, a company might strengthen its negotiating position with suppliers by producing its own essential inputs via vertical integration. With such influence, the company may persuade suppliers to provide backup sources of its supplies. By doing this, a company may be able to negotiate concessions from its suppliers, such as cheaper costs and improved quality, both of which might boost the company's profitability.

Better Positioning and Value Creation

Some actions lead to the development of value and improved standing in relation to cooperators. For instance, by providing a differentiated product, a company not only adds value but also improves its position in the eyes of consumers, competitors, and possible new entrants since differentiation lowers competition, supplier power, and the danger of new entrance. One adds value to clients in an area by marketing a product there where there is no competition. However, since there are no rivals, the company has a dominant position in the market and is hence well-positioned in comparison to certain coopetitors.

Any time a company engages in a value-adding activity, it contributes to value generation and capture in one or more of the methods listed below. The activity: a Contributes to value generation and consumer advantages; lowers the cost of a product or increases its distinction. Better positions the company in relation to its competitors. converting the value produced into profits. Utilizes the advantage it has over co-competitors to gain from both the value the company and its co-competitors produce.

The Features of New Games

Businesses are playing new games when they undertake brand-new value chain activities or already-existing ones in a different way. New games produce new value or new methods of capturing value because they are based on novel or novelty performed existing activities. Because performing activities necessitates the use of resources and capabilities, engaging in novel game activities may necessitate the use of new resources and capabilities that complement an organization's existing resources and capabilities or call for entirely new ones. Because a corporation may be going first in a new game, it has the chance to develop and benefit from first-mover advantages.

Additionally, when a company employs a novel gaming strategy, its rivals will probably respond in like. Since firms typically pursue new game strategies within the context of their industry, macro, or global environments, a firm is also better off identifying and responding to the opportunities and threats of these environments. As a result, a firm is better off anticipating and taking into account the likely response of competitors when it pursues a new game strategy. Effectively, new games display a number of traits from which businesses might benefit. fresh games

1 Come up with fresh ideas for producing and using new value.

2 Present the chance to develop new resources or capabilities or to add value to those that already exist.

3 Establish the possibility of developing and using first-mover advantages, and disadvantages.

4 Provoke responses from both new and old rivals.

5 Have their origins in the ecosystems' possibilities and risks for the company.

The first three qualities pertain to the activities, assets, and skills that a corporation may utilize to take advantage of the possibilities and risks in its external environment. The external risks and opportunities are discussed in the fourth and fifth qualities. We examine each of these traits in further detail.

Develop Fresh Approaches to Value Creation and Appropriation

As we've seen above, a company adds value when it provides consumers with advantages that they consider desirable and when the expense of doing so does not outweigh the benefits. Since innovation requires doing things differently and produces distinctive and/or affordable new items, it is an excellent illustration of value creation via new games.

With new games, a business may provide special perks to a valued group of clients and/or position itself differently from its rivals to take advantage of the value produced. A company may choose activities that allow it to provide special advantages that satisfy the demands of clients with a high willingness to pay when deciding which new game strategy to follow. By doing this, the company minimizes the profit-eroding consequences of competition by avoiding direct competition. For instance, when Ryanair made the decision to increase its operations in southern Europe, it did not attempt to compete directly with established airlines in busier airports and try to surpass what they had been doing for decades. Instead, it chose less crowded minor airports where it would not have to directly compete with the major airlines.

If customers have a high willingness to pay, a firm can afford to set its prices closer to customers' reservation prices without driving the customers away because the customers need the unique benefits from the firm's products. Because the value is unique, a firm also has more power over its customers than it would have if the benefits were not unique. By working with them to help them uncover their latent needs for a new product that it has invented or discovered, leapfrogging existing needs through innovation, reaching out to a market segment that had never been served with the benefits in question, or following so-called reverse positioning in which the firm strips some of the benefits that some customers have come to expect but which other customers find valuable, a company can provide unique benefits to such valuable customers.

Offering exclusive advantages to a small number of customers may reduce the influence of those consumers, the consequences of competition, the danger of replacements, and the possibilities for new entrants, but it might not have much of an impact on suppliers and certain complementors. Therefore, a company may seek to undertake initiatives that reduce or reverse the influence of its suppliers in addition to those that give special consumer benefits. A company may significantly reduce the power of suppliers for a certain component, for instance, by ensuring that there are backup sources for all of its essential components. Collaboration with rival competitors, not only suppliers, may lessen a competitor's leverage over a business. A new game effectively gives a company the chance to construct a system of activities that is challenging to copy, enabling the company to provide unique advantages for valuable clients, and uniquely positions it in relation to its competitors to take the value.

The new game activities' change element side hypothesizes that new methods of value creation and capture may supersede or complement old ones. It also implies that the value generated or the new position gained may be sufficiently superior to those already in existence for the goods that represent them to eliminate competition with those already on the market, or it may be mild enough to for those already on the market to continue. For instance, the actions taken to produce and appropriate value in online auctions vary so much from those in offline auctions that the latter are completely irrelevant in the context of online auctions. Online auctions have fully replaced offline auctions for the majority of products due to the higher value produced.

The idea of change also implies that new games may make the business more or less appealing than it was before the games. If the competitive dynamics that affect industry businesses are more favorable than they were before i.e., if rivalry, the bargaining power of suppliers, the bargaining power of consumers, the danger of possible new entrants, and the threat of replacements are low then an industry will be more alluring after change. Industry businesses are often more profitable if the industry is more appealing. Many new games boost the competitive pressures on incumbents as barriers to entry decrease and competition rises, as we shall see when we examine disruptive technologies.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the gaming industry's future is heavily influenced by new game strategies. In order to provide unique and compelling experiences, creators must constantly innovate as video games become more complicated and users' expectations grow. The influence of novel game techniques on player satisfaction and retention has been discussed in this essay under numerous headings, including the usage of artificial intelligence and creative gaming mechanisms. Developers may provide players with distinctive challenges and experiences that keep them involved in the virtual worlds by using creative game mechanisms. Incorporating emergent gameplay, in which unexpected outcomes result from interactions between game components, also gives games additional complexity and replay value, extending their shelf lives and boosting player pleasure.

Artificial intelligence is being incorporated into games to create more dynamic and responsive opponents, enhancing the immersion and realism of single-player experiences. New game methods may result in a more balanced and competitive environment in multiplayer and eSports settings, retaining players' interest and commitment to the game's community.

REFERENCES:

CHAPTER 2

COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGE: DYNAMIC RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN INNOVATION IN THE GAMING INDUSTRY

Dr. Somprabh Dubey, Associate Professor, Department of Business Studies & Entrepreneurship,
Shobhit University, Gangoh, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- somprabh.dubey@shobhituniversity.ac.in

Dr. (Prof.) Ashok Kumar, Professor, Department of Business Studies,
Shobhit Deemed University, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- dr.ashok@shobhituniversity.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

Competitive Advantage from New Games explores the dynamic relationship between innovation in the gaming industry and its impact on gaining a competitive edge. In today's fast-paced world of gaming, developers constantly introduce new games with groundbreaking features and mechanics. This paper investigates how these innovations provide developers and publishers with a competitive advantage in the market. Through a comprehensive analysis of case studies and industry trends, we identify key factors that contribute to successful new game launches and long-term market dominance. The findings highlight the significance of staying ahead in the race for innovation to secure a competitive advantage in the ever-evolving gaming landscape. In addition, new games provide businesses the chance to develop unique, scarce resources and capabilities for value creation and appropriation or to find new uses for already-existing resources and skills.

KEYWORDS:

Dynamic, Game, Innovation, Market, Strategies.

INTRODUCTION

A company requires resources in order to carry out the tasks that allow it to generate and/or appropriate value. They could be material, immaterial, or organizational. The capacity of a company to combine resources and/or transform them into goods is referred to as its capabilities or competencies [1], [2]. To develop resources/capabilities or transform already-existing ones into value that consumers seek, new game tactics might be deployed. An excellent illustration is the situation of eBay was able to create a sizable and secure community a vital resource that drew even more members every year by taking a variety of steps to make its online auction market seem secure, creating a brand, and expanding the number of members in its community of registered users. It was subsequently able to transition from an auctions format to a multiformat that encompassed set price and auction forms, as well as from collectibles to many more categories, using this sizable network of consumers and sellers [3], [4].

Again, the shift aspect of new games shows that the talents and resources required to carry out new game activities might complement current ones or be so dissimilar as to be incompatible with them. For instance, offline resources like offline physical locations, bidding mechanisms, etc., are no longer relevant in the context of online auctions since the resources/capabilities required by eBay vary so much from those required for an offline auction. We shall discuss the strategic ramifications of this in more detail later on.

Being the first to implement a value creation and/or value appropriation activity or strategy gives a company a first-mover advantage. A company that pursues a new game may effectively be going first, giving it the chance to gain first-mover benefits. A company may capitalize on

first-mover advantages to increase or maintain its competitive advantage if the proper actions are taken to allow it to do so [5], [6]. For instance, a company has the chance to develop and take advantage of first-mover advantages if it launches a new product, enters a new market, or engages in any other new game action that might enable it to produce or appropriate value in novel ways. A company that launches a new product first, for instance, might increase switching costs or create a sizable installed customer base before its rivals launch competing goods. In such a scenario, the installed base or switching costs are referred to as the company's first-mover advantage. Wal-Mart is perhaps one of the most well-known instances of a company that created and used first-mover advantages. Large, influential suppliers turned them down when it initially began opening shops in the Southwest of the USA [7], [8].

By engaging in the proper activities as it expanded, Wal-Mart was able to turn the tide in its favor. For example, it overran nearby towns with stores and established corresponding distribution facilities, logistics, and information technology infrastructure, as well as an appropriate "WalMart culture." Wal-Mart got extremely big by scaling up its productive operations, which effectively changed the balance of power between it and its provider such that Wal-Mart now has control over suppliers. Effectively, a company may leverage first-mover advantages to reinforce its advantage in value creation and appropriation if it uses new games to deliver distinctive value to consumers and uniquely position itself to appropriate the value [9], [10].

The more possibilities the early mover has to establish advantages before following move in, the more paradoxical the new game approach. Usually, whomever moves first is not automatically given first-mover benefits. You have to work for them. Naturally, whenever there are first-mover benefits, there are often also first-mover drawbacks that might benefit followers. Competitive disadvantages for early movers might be exploited by competitors who move later. When a company is the first to explore a new game, for instance, it is likely to have to pay for the costs associated with addressing technical and marketing issues, such as demonstrating that the product is effective and that there is a demand for it. In order to address these uncertainties and avoid these additional costs, followers might free-ride on the investments made by early movers. The first mover may make commitments in the early phases of the technology and market that limit its freedom in certain subsequent choices if the technology and market are changing. Once again, this creates chances for supporters.

DISCUSSION

Attract Reactions from New and Existing Competitors

Competitors are unlikely to stand by and let a company gain money on its own if it develops a new game first. They will probably respond to the company's behavior. As a result, it's critical to make an effort to predict how rivals would likely respond to your activities. In reality, according to business history, many companies that eventually profited the most from inventions were not the ones that introduced them initially.

The so-called following, not the pioneers, triumphed. One explanation is that first movers often have disadvantages that might be exploited by follows. A first mover, though, may benefit from three factors. It may initially create and take advantage of first-mover advantages. Second, it may profit from the fact that new games often defy logic, making it challenging for fans to comprehend the justification for its usefulness. The more a new game strategy runs counter to the dominant industry logic about how to carry out activities to make money in the industry, the less likely it is that a first mover will be immediately copied by potential rivals. If a firm does copy a first mover, its dominant logic may prevent it from successfully replicating the first mover's activities. A first mover might proactively gather vital key resources/capabilities

that are required to benefit from the activities while possible followers are still getting over their industry's dominating logic to comprehend the possibilities of the new game.

Third, a company that follows a novel game strategy might benefit from the fact that some of its rivals may have obligations from the past or other irreconcilables that make it difficult for them to respond to the pioneer's activities. The situation of Compaq when it attempted to respond to Dell's direct sales and build-to-order new gaming approach is the finest example of the function of earlier commitments. The same two activities were chosen by Compaq to be a part of their business strategy. Compaq's wholesalers forbade the company from selling directly to end users, citing past commitments, forcing the company to give up on its new business plan. Because they don't want to compete with a first mover's established items, other businesses may elect not to follow them. Large corporations' inertia sometimes prevents them from acting to catch up to early movers.

In any event, foreseeing opponent reactions and actively attempting to respond to them are crucial components of making money from a new game. This comprises asking queries such, "What is likely to be the response of my competitors if I offer that new product, raise my prices, invest more in R&D, adopt that new technology, advertise more, launch that product promotion, form the strategic alliance, etc.?" In order to predict rivals' expected responses, it is also necessary to gather competitive information about competitors' objectives, resources, and capabilities as well as historical conduct. With this knowledge, a company may more accurately predict the anticipated responses of rivals and include countermeasures when creating and implementing new game plans. Of course, it is also important to assess how suppliers, complementors, and consumers will likely respond.

The pursuit of new games by companies does not occur in a vacuum. They function in the macro, global, and industrial settings. In reality, opportunities or threats from their industry, macro, and global surroundings serve as the catalyst for many of the new game activities that are carried out to generate value or enhance a firm's capacity to appropriate value. An industrial environment is made up of the players and actions that occur between suppliers, competitors, complementors, replacements, and purchasers. A company could launch a new product in an effort to fill a gap in the markets it serves that it has noticed. The A380 super jumbo aircraft from Airbus fits within this category. A harsh competitive atmosphere may sometimes lead to the creation of new games. Dell chose to sell directly to consumers rather than via distributors since the distributors at the time refused to stock its goods. It was a young company, and many distributors were occupied with selling goods to IBM and Compaq. The political-legal, economic, sociocultural, technical, and ecological contexts in which businesses and industries operate make up the macroenvironment.

A company can join a market out of concern that a disruptive technology would weaken its advantage over rivals. Another person may use the same disruptive technology to take against incumbents who have had success with an established technology. Another company could be taking advantage of chances in its political and legal environment. For instance, once the European Union deregulated the airline business, Ryanair expanded into minor airports around the continent. The sudden increase in oil prices to more than three times what it had been paying for in previous years was substantially to blame for the company's decline in earnings in 2008.

The term "global environment" refers to a world in which nations, their economies, and their industries and businesses are becoming more intertwined, interdependent, and integrated. As a result, comparable political-legal, economic, socio-cultural, technical, and ecological settings are becoming more prevalent. Globalization highlights the possibilities and risks of the global environment. The production and exchange of goods and services takes place on a global scale

as a result of the interconnectedness and integration of individuals, businesses, and governments.18 While globalization presents possibilities for certain enterprises and people, it also poses risks to others. Opportunities exist to advertise goods, contract out labor for export, or import goods from other nations in novel ways.

The external settings vary from industry to industry and from one nation or area to another in any case. For many years, normal and diet colas have been the top sellers in the market for carbonated soft drinks. Customers did not like the new ordinary Coke recipe that Coca-Cola attempted to introduce, therefore the corporation had to go back to the previous formulation. Other sectors are comparatively less s. Some sectors are very volatile due to the fast pace of technology advancement, globalization, shifting consumer preferences, and the increased availability of trained labor in diverse nations. Businesses in these sectors often have to consume their own goods before someone else does. Effectively, how businesses must engage with or respond to surroundings may range significantly across industries or between nations, and these differences can provide possibilities and risks for businesses to take advantage of via different games. A concern for the environment also opens up several chances to apply innovation to improve waste management, electricity generation, trash disposal, and carbon emissions. Understanding these possibilities and dangers enables a business to choose the best operations to carry out, as well as when and how to carry them out.

Dynamics and Multigame

In most cases, a new game is not played alone. It often occurs in conjunction with other games and is frequently played in parallel with, immediately after, or before other games. A company often launches a new game in reaction to another game. For instance, Dell made the decision to offer its custom-built PCs directly to companies and customers as part of a wider new game that included the release of PCs that were displacing minicomputers. Google created its search engine in the context of the Internet, a much bigger new game, and sought sponsored listings to finance the engine. When Wal-Mart first chose to situate its shops in rural areas of the Southwest of the United States, it was doing so as part of a wider game of inexpensive retailing that was competing with the traditional department store. Each company often engages in several games throughout time. Wal-Mart, for instance, initially only offered items at low rates in its discount shops, but in 1988 it unveiled its take on superstores by opening its Wal-Mart Supercenters. We'll run into issues when we look at first-mover benefits and drawbacks, as well as rivals' disadvantages, since modern games are multigame and dynamic.

competitive advantage of new games'

In an effort to gain a competitive edge or just to increase profits, many businesses employ novel gaming techniques. An organization has a competitive edge if it can generate profits at a rate that is greater than the industry average. Since consumers generate revenues, every business that wishes to succeed must provide clients with advantages they consider to be superior to those provided by rivals. Therefore, creating unique benefits for customers without going over the cost of the benefits creating unique value is a crucial step in gaining a competitive advantage. However, in order to create value, a firm must perform the necessary value-adding activities, and in order to do so efficiently, it must possess the necessary resources and capabilities. The activities a corporation engages in should also put it in a position to appropriate the value generated since, as we saw previously, even unique value cannot ensure that one will benefit from it. If the activities are new games, then there is a change element in the creation and appropriation of value because new games generate new ways of creating and appropriating value, offer opportunities to build new resources or translate existing ones in new ways, create the potential to build and exploit first-mover advantages, draw responses from co-

competitors, or identify and respond to the opportunities and threats of the environment. Effectively, competitive advantage entails producing and appropriating value better than rivals, but doing so needs the appropriate actions as well as the supporting infrastructure of resources and talents. Additionally, there is always the possibility of change due to the new game component of activities, whether it comes from a company or the people who make up its external environment.

In practice, a company's ability to gain a competitive advantage from a new game strategy depends on the activities the company engages in, the value it generates, the amount of value it collects, and the degree to which it can adapt to change. The four elements' Activities, Value, Appropriability, and Change all work together to determine this. An AVAC analysis is completed by responding to these four questions. The AVAC analysis may be used to determine a strategy's profitability potential or the likelihood that it will provide a company a competitive edge. The likelihood that the plan will offer the company a competitive edge increases as the proportion of responses to this question that are Yes rather than No increases. Although a thorough examination of the AVAC analysis is postponed till, we may already use it since it is straightforward and essential.

Strategic Effects on Competition

Every tactic, whether it be for a new game or not, has competitive repercussions. A business may have a long-term competitive advantage, a short-term competitive advantage, competitive parity, or a competitive deficit depending on the strategy it employs. A corporation may discover and rank strategies based on how they affect competition using an AVAC analysis. six diverse tactics and their respective competitive repercussions. In Strategy 1, the company engages in a series of actions that produce value that consumers see as distinctive, and the company is able to appropriate the value thus produced. Additionally, the company has the tools and talents required to carry out the tasks. Additionally, the approach uses change to better produce and/or appropriate value. The business is regarded to have a durable competitive advantage since all of the questions have a positive response. It contains the tools and talents necessary to carry out value-generating tasks.

As a result, the company also enjoys a short-term competitive edge. For instance, in the pharmaceutical industry, tactics are often based on patents, which typically provide their owners a temporary competitive advantage. However, when a patent runs out, several copycat manufacturers create generic copies of the medication, diminishing the benefit of the original patent owners. Even if the company in Strategy 4 generates value and has the necessary resources to carry out the operations, it is unable to capitalize on it or take advantage of change. The corporation is believed to achieve competitive parity with such a strategy. Comparative parity exists among the majority of producers of commodities. In Strategy 5, the company has the ability to carry out certain tasks but not others. Even if the value generated is not unique, it may take part of it. It is susceptible to alteration. Such a strategy also gives a company competitive parity with rivals. In Strategy 6, a business's collection of operations neither generates distinctive value for the firm nor puts it in a position to appropriate value generated by others; it also lacks the necessary resources to carry out the activities. It is claimed that the company is at a competitive disadvantage. As a result, the strategy is more likely to provide the company a competitive edge the more often the responses to these questions are Yes rather than No. If an AVAC study shows that a company has a transitory competitive advantage, competitive parity, or a competitive deficit, what should the company do? Such a company may choose whether to engage in the kinds of activities that will allow it to convert the no votes into yes votes or at least temper them, giving it a more long-lasting competitive edge or something similar. It can also choose to give up on the plan.

Video game consoles as an Example of Predicting the Value of a New Game Strategy

On November 19, 2006, Nintendo unveiled the Wii video game system in the Americas, only a week after Sony unveiled the PS3 on November 11. On November 22, 2005, Microsoft's Xbox had been on sale for almost a year. Customers purchased video games to play on their consoles from game producers like Electronic Arts. A game creator would pay the manufacturer of the system a royalty for each video game sold for that device. In contrast to the PC business model, where software creators did not pay royalties to PC manufacturers, this component of the game console business model was different. In-house games were also created by console manufacturers. Each console manufacturer received, on average, 0.415 of each game sold. Games on the Xbox 360 and PS3 were typically $43 at wholesale.

For consumers, the Xbox 360 and PS3 offered more realistic pictures than those from their predecessors thanks to ever more powerful CPU and graphics hardware. They neglected beginners and casual players, many of whom were intimidated by the complexity of the games, some of which took hours or days to play, and instead concentrated on experienced and advanced players.21 These faster chips and more realistic images allowed game developers to create even more complex games for ardent players. The Nintendo Wii was unique from the PlayStation 3 and Xbox 360 in a number of ways. It targeted prospective players that Sony and Microsoft had overlooked because they were inexperienced, new, or lapsed. Compared to the PS3 and Xbox, the Wii's components were simpler and less expensive. Instead of the hundreds of hours or days that certain Xbox 360/PS3 games required, the games were easier to play and could be completed in a short amount of time. The Nintendo Wii has simpler controls that were easier to use and a wand like controller that resembled a simplified TV remote control rather than the sophisticated joypad of the PS3 and Xbox that was full of buttons. The Wii could show the weather and news while it was online.

Types of New Game

Not every new game demonstrates new gameness to the same extent. For instance, the transition from horse-drawn carriages to the internal combustion engine vehicle was a novel development; similarly, the choices made by the Japanese automakers Honda, Nissan, and Toyota to provide the premium brands Acura, Infiniti, and Lexus. The choice to sell directly to consumers was also made by Dell. This brings up an intriguing query: How new of a game is it? What defines a new game's new gameness? This article investigates this issue.

Specifying a category

By categorizing new games according to their new gameness, we may begin to address this topic. Since gaining a sustained competitive edge is often the ultimate objective of businesses, one approach to categorize new games is according to the extent to which they affect a company's product area, resources, and capabilities. Why are these two factors? because they are important factors in determining competitive advantage. According to the product-market-position view of strategic management, a company's ability to outperform its competitors depends on the benefits it provides to customers and how it positions itself in relation to rivals to maximize the value of those benefits for customers. The greater the degree to which consumers see a company's advantages as distinctive and superior to those offered by rivals, the more likely it is that the business will be able to capture the value of those benefits and earn a higher rate of return. The odds of a company having a higher rate of return increase with how well-positioned it is in relation to its co-competitors, or how much negotiating power it has over its suppliers and consumers and how low rivalry, the danger of substitutes, and new entrance are. For lack of a better term, we shall refer to a company's position relative to competitors and the product it gives consumers as the firm's product position. The resource-

based approach holds that a company is more likely than its rivals to generate and give distinctive advantages to consumers and/or to position itself to capture the value created if it possesses valuable, limited, and difficult-to-imitate or-substitute resources/capabilities. Resources and talents of a company are valuable if they can be turned into advantages that clients value. If the company is the only owner of them or if the quality of its resources is higher than those of rivals, then they are rare. If there is anything about the resources that makes it tough for rivals to duplicate or surpass them, they are difficult-to-imitate.

According to these two perspectives on strategic management, a company's resource base and product positioning are crucial factors in determining its competitive advantage. As a result, using the two factors listed above, we can categorize new games in the two-by-two matrix. The influence of a new game on a company's product position is captured in the vertical dimension. It specifically captures the degree to which a game is so novel as to make current goods and/or positions in relation to co-competitors noncompetitive. The influence of a new game on resources and capabilities is shown on the horizontal axis. It accurately depicts the degree to which the tools and skills required to play the new game are so different from those of the old that the old are no longer useful.

These are the assets/skills that support value chain activities like R&D, design, manufacturing, operations, marketing, sales, distribution, human assets/skills, purchasing, and logistics. These assets/skills include the tools, locations, skills, knowledge, intellectual property, relationships with competitors, and know-how. There are four distinct sorts of new games in the resultant two-by-two matrix's four quadrants. From the matrix's origin to its top right corner, new gameness grows, with the regular new game revolutionary game being the newest game. Now let's look at each of the four new game categories.

Regular

In a typical new game, a company uses existing value chain resources and capabilities or builds on them to offer a new product that customers value, but the new product keeps existing products on the market fiercely competitive. It may also choose to strengthen its position relative to competitors without making existing products less competitive.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, A comprehensive process including invention, early market entrance, utilizing technology, and strategic planning goes into getting a competitive edge from new games. In the fiercely competitive gaming market, developers and publishers that can successfully use these variables and provide engaging, cutting-edge gaming experiences stand to gain a considerable advantage.

The search for a competitive edge is still an ongoing process as the gaming industry develops; to stay ahead of the competition, one must continually adapt and be creative. However, there are inherent hazards when trying to gain an edge in new games. Not all cutting-edge games will connect with gamers, and some could fall short of expectations. Developers must strike a balance between innovation, market research, and player input in order to reduce these risks. The chance of success may be greatly increased by understanding player preferences and coordinating game design with audience expectations.

REFERENCES:

[1] D. Wald, L. Romain de, and T. Charanya, “The Five Rules of Digital Strategy,” *Bost. Consult. Gr.*, 2019.

[2] D. Liu *et al.*, "Self-Organizing Relay Selection in UAV Communication Networks: A Matching Game Perspective," *IEEE Wirel. Commun.*, 2019, doi: 10.1109/MWC.001.1700434.

[3] B. Marder, D. Gattig, E. Collins, L. Pitt, J. Kietzmann, and A. Erz, "The Avatar's new clothes: Understanding why players purchase non-functional items in free-to-play games," *Comput. Human Behav.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2018.09.006.

[4] E. Odhiambo and J. Wanjira, "Strategic Positioning and Competitive Advantage of Commercial Banks in Uasin Gishu County, Kenya," *Int. J. Curr. Asp.*, 2019, doi: 10.35942/ijcab.v3iii.8.

[5] J. Zhang and X. He, "Targeted advertising by asymmetric firms," *Omega (United Kingdom)*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.omega.2018.10.007.

[6] V. V. Bulgakov, A. A. Lazarev, E. P. Konovalenko, and T. A. Mochalova, "Practical training of officers of the state fire service through game-based learning," *Obraz. i Nauk.*, 2019, doi: 10.17853/1994-5639-2019-4-183-207.

[7] D. K. H. Ho, E. C. M. Hui, T. W. Ho, and S. Rengarajan, "Strategic behavioral pricing of the private residential development market – a simplified experimental approach," *Int. J. Hous. Mark. Anal.*, 2019, doi: 10.1108/IJHMA-03-2013-0018.

[8] C. Y. Zhuang and G. H. Chen, "Decision making analysis of collaborative knowledge creation in industrial cluster innovation network considering knowledge spillover," *Kongzhi yu Juece/Control Decis.*, 2019, doi: 10.13195/j.kzyjc.2017.1759.

[9] C. Qi and L. Fang-Qiang, "A dynamic game model for firm's decision-making of innovation input and yield based on choice of competitive strategies," *J. Ind. Eng. Eng. Manag.*, 2019, doi: 10.13587/j.cnki.jieem.2019.04.023.

[10] G. Jagdev and S. Kaur, "Leveraging big data analytics utilizing Hadoop framework in sports science," in *Smart Computational Strategies: Theoretical and Practical Aspects*, 2019. doi: 10.1007/978-981-13-6295-8_22.

CHAPTER 3

NEW GAME: MARKETING STRATEGY IN THE GAMING INDUSTRY

Dr. Somprabh Dubey, Associate Professor, Department of Business Studies & Entrepreneurship,
Shobhit University, Gangoh, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- somprabh.dubey@shobhituniversity.ac.in

Dr. (Prof.) Ashok Kumar, Professor, Department of Business Studies,
Shobhit Deemed University, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- dr.ashok@shobhituniversity.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

New Product explores the significance of consistent game releases as a marketing strategy in the gaming industry. With the advent of digital distribution platforms, developers and publishers have increasingly adopted the approach of regularly releasing new games to maintain player engagement, attract new audiences, and sustain revenue streams. This paper examines the impact of the regular new game model on player behavior, brand loyalty, and financial performance.

By analyzing case studies and industry data, we highlight the benefits and challenges of this strategy and its implications for the gaming market's future. The regular new game model has emerged as a powerful marketing strategy in the gaming industry, and this paper has shed light on its impact and potential implications.

KEYWORDS:

Digital, Gaming, Industry, Player, Product.

INTRODUCTION

If a regular new game delivers a new product, the latter may lose some market share to the former, but the latter will still be profitable enough to be a strong competitor. Coke and Pepsi sought regular new games when offering goods like diet or caffeine-free colas. Both businesses carried out various tasks in a somewhat different way, but the resources and skills they drew from and the goods they produced enabled their standard colas to compete. When Gillette debuted the majority of its razors, it also played a typical new game. For instance, the three-bladed Mach3 razor, which was released in 1998, permitted the twin-blade Sensor and rival goods to continue being sold.

The 2006 release of the five-blade Fusion enabled the three-blade razor to continue to be competitive. Each new product, although being warmly welcomed by consumers, enabled earlier goods to continue to compete in the market [1], [2]. All razors utilized various extensions of Gillette's mechanical blade technology to deliver each new product. Additionally, marketing was planned to strengthen the company's competitive standing. In a typical new game, a company effectively builds on its current resources and skills to produce new items that consumers value while positioning the new products to compete with existing products in the market [3], [4].

Instead of launching a completely new product, a regular new game might improve a current product and/or the firm's competitive position by extending its resources and capabilities. An excellent example is the availability of several purportedly new automobile models that are released each year. Last year's model is still a competitive force to be mindful of when this year's model automobile is presented. In essence, what distinguishes a regular new game from others is that some of the activities are carried out differently to enhance the products and the

underlying skills, knowledge, and know-how, or to better position a company in relation to co-competitors. Offering a diet beverage, for instance, involves removing the sugar, including an artificial sweetener, and persuading consumers that the new beverage tastes good and is healthy [5], [6].

Position Coopetitors

A consistent new game might also provide a company an advantage over competitors. For instance, if a company is successful in persuading its suppliers to establish a second source for the components it purchases from the suppliers, it has effectively strengthened its position with respect to the suppliers while still maintaining the competitiveness of its current positions. The company most likely to benefit from a regular new game is one that has an appealing PMP or unique, unusual, difficult-to-imitate-or-substitute resources/capabilities. A corporation may be able to gain a short advantage by so-called strategic actions like product preannouncements or choosing how much money to spend on R&D, but long-term competitive advantage relies on the company's current resources/capabilities and position in the product-market [7], [8].

Resource-building

In a resource-building new game, the resources/capabilities required to make the new product are so dissimilar from those used to make the existing products that these existing resources/capabilities are largely useless for carrying out the new activities, rendering a high degree of existing resources obsolete. However, the new product that is created ensures that old products continue to be mostly competitive on the market and/or ensures that existing positions relative to competitors are still largely competitive. The majority of rule changes relate to resources and capabilities [9], [10].

Brand-New Item

A new game that builds resources is the quest of ethanol as a vehicle fuel. Although producing gasoline for use in automobiles needs quite different technical skills than producing ethanol, notably from cane sugar, sugar beet, maize, or sweet potatoes, the two fuels coexist on the market. The pursuit of the electric razor used similar resource-building but position-reinforcing tactics.

The mechanical razor, which consists entirely of mechanical pieces, employs a technology that is vastly different from that of the electric razor. As a result, the engineering skills required for one may vary greatly from those required for the other. On the shaving market, however, both electric and manual mechanical razors are available. Another example is the production of tires and other rubber goods using synthetic rubber and natural rubber derived from trees. Although extracting tree sap and producing rubber from it are quite different processes, the rubber produced from both sources is nonetheless reasonably priced.

Competitive Positions

A new game is considered resource-building from the perspective of positioning relative to the coopetitor if the ensuing new position permits previous positions to remain competitive but the required resources/capabilities are vastly different from existing resources/capabilities. An excellent illustration is Dell's direct sales, which increased its influence with consumers by avoiding the more potent wholesalers and dealing directly with the more dispersed end-users. Distributors were still a viable sales channel for Dell's rivals. To support the new game, Dell required completely different manufacturing, business procedures, and connections.

Position-building

In a position-building new game, the resulting new PMP is so superior to existing PMPs that new products introduced render existing ones primarily noncompetitive, and/or the new positions, vis-à-vis coopetitors, render existing ones largely noncompetitive. In other words, the new game significantly reduces the competitiveness of existing products and/or positions. The skills and resources required to create new items, however, are either extensions of or identical to those already in existence. The majority of regulation changes are connected to PMP.

Brand-New Item

This group includes the new games that Intel has sought to develop throughout the years as it has introduced various generations of its microprocessors. The P5 was debuted in 1993, and the P6 took its place. The Pentium superseded the 486, which in turn had replaced the 386 and the 286. Every new product fulfilled changing consumer demands. Throughout, Intel introduced each new product by using its fundamental X86 CPU, semiconductor, and delivery technologies. Not that semiconductor technology wasn't developing, mind you. It did evolve quickly, particularly as compared to the advantages that users saw, yet each iteration of the technology added to the preceding one while also bringing in novel and innovative features. Core competencies that already existed weren't made useless. This group includes some of the new games that make advantage of disruptive technology. For instance, position-building tactics were utilized by the companies that used PCs to replace minicomputers since PC technology was based on minicomputer technology and PCs made minicomputers uncompetitive. Other examples are the tactics utilized by mini-mills vs integrated steel mills while producing steel. Additionally, there are position-building techniques that are less concerned with introducing a novel product. Software companies, for instance, may offer their products straight to consumers who can then download them from the companies' websites. Software companies are really in a stronger position than they were before the advent of the Internet since they deal directly with the more dispersed end-customer rather than the more concentrated distributors. Another example of a position-building new game would be to remove rivals, when permitted, to the point where one becomes a monopoly, with the resources and capabilities required to exploit the monopoly position building on pre-existing ones. This may be accomplished by the purchase of rival businesses or, if permitted, through predatory pricing practices.

DISCUSSION

Revolutionary

The organizational resources and competencies required in a novel new game to create new goods are so different from those used to create old products that the latter are generally worthless for carrying out the novel activities. At the same time, the new PMP is so distinct from the ones that already exist that new goods render the ones that already exist substantially noncompetitive, and/or any new positions generated in relation to competitors make the ones that already exist noncompetitive. A ground-breaking new game fundamentally alters the way value chain operations have been carried out in the past while redefining what producing and capturing value is all about. Both in terms of resources and capabilities and PMPs, the game's regulations have been altered. Of all the new games, it is the most recent. Examples from the perspective of new products include the new games pursued by manufacturers of automobiles, which replaced horse-drawn carriages, online auctions, which replaced offline auctions, electronic point of sale registers, which replaced mechanical cash registers, and iPods, which have replaced Walkmans. In each instance, the technical tools/abilities required to deliver the

replacement product were vastly different from those supporting the original offering. Additionally, the new product eliminated rival items from the marketplace. This group also includes the innovative games that have been developed in response to the most disruptive technology.

Strategy

We suggest that a business's strategy is the collection of actions the firm does to produce and appropriate value by defining a new game strategy as a set of activities that creates and/or appropriates value in new ways. How does this concept of strategy stack up against others in the strategic management literature is the question. There are too many definitions of strategy, which is the issue with this topic. There are many definitions since strategy is about winning, and as the requirements for corporate success have changed through time, so too has the concept of strategy. The largest problem for managers, for instance, was to prepare for this expansion and keep up with demand in the 1960s, when much of the post-World War II rebuilding had already been completed and there was high demand for commodities in the developing capitalist countries. Consequently, corporate planning was the prevailing issue in the area of strategic management at the time, and managers were primarily focused on planning growth—how to deploy resources and skills to satisfy demand. The following two definitions of strategy were appropriate for the current situation: setting the fundamental long-term aims and objectives of an enterprise, choosing the appropriate courses of action, and allocating the resources required to achieve these goals. the set of key policies and strategies for reaching these goals, as well as the pattern of aims, purposes, or goals that define the kind of business the firm is or will be, as well as the business it will engage in. Because to Professor Michael Porter's seminal work, focus shifted to strategy as position in the late 1970s and early 1980s. Following the significant work on the core competencies of the company by Professors C.K. Prahalad and Gary Hamel and the rising popularity of the resource-based perspective of the firm, the focus shifted to encompass resources, capabilities, and core competences in the late 1980s and early 1990s. These modifications would result in definitions like these:

The development of a distinct and valued position via a variety of actions is referred to as strategy. A strategy is a commitment to carry out one set of activities as opposed to another, and this commitment always involves resource allocation. A strategy is a comprehensive plan for allocating resources to build an advantage over rivals. A strategy is a planned and integrated set of commitments and activities intended to capitalize on key competencies and secure an edge over competitors.

Whatever the definition of strategy, the fact remains that companies need to generate profits if they want to remain in operation for an extended period of time. To do this, they must produce economic value, and revenues come from consumers who pay for what they perceive to be valuable. Customers must be provided with special advantages that rival businesses do not provide if a company wants them to continue doing business with it rather than with them. For these clients, the business must provide distinctive value that is difficult for rivals to match, surpass, or replace. But producing value—even distinctive value—does not automatically entitle one to acquire it. A company should thus be in a good position to take advantage of the value it produces in relation to its competitors. Consequently, as strategy is all about achieving goals, we may define business strategy as the collection of actions taken by an organization to generate and appropriate value.

Identifying a Strategy's Potential for Profit

How can a company or investor determine which plan is superior than others? Since performance is often the focus of business strategies, a simple way to answer this question is

to compare each company's profitability and declare the one with the greatest profitability to have the best plan. But let's say one of the businesses is a startup with the potential to become profitable in the future. Even better, say you want to know how to increase the profitability of a plan. Only a thorough examination of the factors that determine profitability may reveal any weaknesses or untapped possibilities in a plan. Even better, profitability figures are probably not telling a compelling enough tale if an investor wants to participate in a firm that does not have a lengthy history of consistent profits. A variety of characteristics of corporate profitability may be examined using existing frameworks including the Balanced Scorecard, Porter's Five Forces, Growth/Share Matrix, SWOT analysis, the 7Cs, and others. But since they lack integration, they overlook crucial elements that affect the likelihood of profitability.

In this article, we examine a methodology for determining not just why an organization is doing well or poorly, but also how profitable a strategy, business model, or set of strategic activities could be. It is known as the AVAC framework and is based on the justification that strategy is all about appropriating and creating value. The 7Cs, a comparable framework, also effectively investigates why a corporation is operating well or poorly, but the AVAC is superior for examining new game tactics. Understanding how a corporation produces value, positions itself to take the value, and what further it may do to perform even better are all covered by the AVAC framework. As a result, it can be used to assess the profitability potential of a firm's strategy as well as business models, business units, products, technologies, brands, market segments, acquisitions, investment opportunities, partnerships like alliances, different departments like an R&D group, and corporate strategies. It can also be used to provide recommendations for how to increase the profitability potential of the firm. We begin by quickly going over two financial metrics that are sometimes used to gauge a strategy's potential for profitability. After outlining the main components of the AVAC framework, we'll provide an example to show how the model is used.

Monetary Measures

Sometimes, financial metrics are used to assess how much one method outperforms another. We take into account market value and past earnings.

Historical Income

The previous profits of a company are often used to estimate the strategy's potential for profitability. Actual earnings before interest, depreciation, and taxes over a period of time, net income, income per sale, etc. are used to forecast future profits and, therefore, the efficacy of a plan. These projections provide a general indication of how successful a firm's approach has been and could continue to be. There are several problems with this strategy. First, it makes the assumption that past profits may be used to forecast future ones. A business's strategy, its rivals' tactics, or the environment in which the firm operates might all change, which is undermined by such an assumption. profits are not necessarily a reliable indication of future profits, much alone a reliable gauge of a strategy's potential for success. Second, profits don't reveal anything about the scope, complexity, or flaws of the activities that were carried out to generate them or that may need to be carried out to generate future revenue. They don't discuss the actual work that is done to produce and appropriate value, i.e., the resources and activities involved in these processes, which are the foundations of profits.

Market Price

The market valuation of the company is another indicator of a strategy's potential for profitability. Remember that a stock's or company's value is decided by the cash inflows and outflows that may be anticipated from the stock or company, discounted at the proper interest

rate. The market value of a company may thus be used to gauge the profitability potential of the underlying strategy since strategy determines profits and cash flows. The present worth of a company's or organization's future free cash flows, discounted at its cost of capital,

Behind the Stats

The AVAC analysis delves deeper into what drives the statistics than just profitability or market value data, as do other earlier strategy frameworks. However, as we shall see later, AVAC does more. A. For instance, Porter's Five Forces framework, which was created to study the average profitability of industries, has also been used to determine how much a firm's strategy weakens or strengthens the competitive forces that affect its profitability. However, the Five Forces paradigm omits crucial elements of value generation and appropriation that are essential to a strategy's financial success. It disregards the importance of transformation, resources, capabilities, and industry value drivers.

price, consumer quantity and quality, market segmentation, and income streams. The Balanced Scorecard considers a company from four angles when creating metrics, gathering data, and conducting analyses: learning and growth, internal business processes, customer, and financial.4 The Scorecard takes into account some value creation factors, like learning, but falls short of including appropriation activities. Additionally, it contains little to no information regarding the macroeconomic and competitive factors that affect how a business produces value and positions itself to capture value. The 7Cs methodology looks beyond profitability figures to examine why certain game strategies are more profitable than others. However, it does not delve deeply into the actions that a firm takes to create and appropriate value. Popular strategy frameworks like the SWOT analysis and Growth/Share matrix do not specifically assess a plan's profitability. These flaws are addressed by the AVAC framework.

Framework's Logic

Let's quickly discuss the justification for each component of the framework before going into further depth. The definition of strategy serves as the framework's starting point. We may evaluate a strategy by looking at how and to what degree these activities contribute to value generation and appropriation because we have defined a firm's strategy as the collection of actions it takes to do so. The types of activities a firm chooses to perform, when those activities are performed, where those activities are performed, and how those activities are performed are important because not all activities contribute equally to value creation. In other words, a firm must select the right set of activities to perform in order to increase its chances of creating the most value and maximizing the value from its value system. Therefore, assessing whether the appropriate collection of activities has been selected is the goal of the AVAC framework's Activities component. In order for a company to continue profiting from the value it has generated, the value must possess certain distinctive qualities that encourage consumers to choose the company over its rivals.

Thus, the Value component, which examines if the collective value-creation efforts of the activities enable consumers to prefer the value's perceived advantages above those of rivals. It's critical that the company converts the value into money that is, finds a means to appropriate the value since not even unique value can always ensure profits. The company should be strategically positioned in relation to its coo competitors to prevent them from appropriating the value that it has generated. In fact, if it positions itself correctly, it may both collect the value it has produced and that of its competitors. Thus, the Appropriability component, which examines if the actions taken result in a profit for the company. Finally, a company will continue to produce and capture value using the same processes and supporting resources only if there are no significant changes, changes that support what the company is doing, or changes

that the company can successfully adapt to. This is why the issue of whether or not the company would benefit from changes in value generation and appropriation is based on the Change component.

In essence, the activities section of the analysis informs us of the activities that make up the strategy, the value creation and appropriation that each activity contributes to, how it does it, and where or when it happens. The value component looks at how distinctive the contributions provided by the activities are and whether or not consumers would choose the company's goods over competitors. The appropriability component investigates if the contributions generated by the activities are significant enough to provide the company an advantage over its competitors and allow the company to benefit from the advantage and the value created. The question of the firm's ability to capitalize on current or potential future change is addressed by the change component.

Activities

The amount to which a corporation generates and appropriates value, as well as the level of competitive advantage it may have, are determined by the activities it engages in and when, when, and how it does so. Therefore, the main inquiry for this component is if the company is carrying out the tasks that it needs to carry out at the appropriate times, places, and in a manner that will offer it a competitive edge. By examining whether each action contributes to value production and appropriation, we attempt to address this issue in a roundabout way. We observed that when a company engages in a certain activity, the activity may help the company uncover more lucrative income streams, differentiate its goods, cut product costs, and move product prices closer to consumer reservation prices. We also observed that an activity may help a company's position relative to its competitors by, for example, dampening or reversing repressive competitive pressures while boosting favorable ones, or by transforming hostile interactions into amicable ones. Therefore, two questions that can help us determine whether a firm is engaging in the right activity are whether that activity contributes to low cost, differentiation, better pricing, reaching more customers, and better sources of revenue, as well as whether that activity helps to improve the firm's position relative to coopetitors—for example, by dampening unfavorable competitive and macroenvironmental forces while bolstering favorable ones.

Each sector, however, often has a few industry-specific variables that have a significant influence on cost, distinctiveness, or other profitability-related variables like the volume of clients. Therefore, value generation and appropriation are increased more by activities that make use of these industrial value drivers than by those that do not. For instance, location is a key industrial value driver in offline retailing since it affects factors such as the kind and quantity of consumers that may shop there, the cost of operations, the price of the retail space, the quantity and nature of competitors, etc. A company that selects the ideal location benefits from industry value drivers. Thus, whether an activity capitalizes on industry value drivers is a third crucial factor that aids in determining if it is the proper one.

Furthermore, the creation and appropriation of value depend on resources and capacities in two key ways. They may be a source of competitive advantage since they form the foundation of all activity. For instance, Toyota is able to generate value that is difficult to replicate because to its ability to design and produce reliable automobiles utilizing lean manufacturing procedures. Resources can serve as differentiators. For instance, a company's reputation or brand could influence buyers to choose its goods over those of other companies. As a result, a firm's activities can help create value and appropriate it when they help develop valuable resources and capabilities, translate existing ones into distinctive customer benefits, improve a

firm's competitive position, or translate customer benefits and position into profits. The answer to the fourth question, which may help us determine if a certain course of action is the best one, is whether it helps develop new, distinctive resources and skills or transforms current ones into advantageous positions and profits.

Finally, a corporation is influenced by two very different influences when deciding how many operations to carry out. On the one hand, a company does not want to overlook any action that would have significantly aided in the development and appropriation of value. It seeks to incorporate as many relevant activities as possible in its collection of activities in order to make it as complete as feasible. On the other side, having too many activities, particularly ones with minimal value addition, might be expensive. A company wants to be frugal, doing the fewest number of tasks without skipping important ones. A company must thus do the appropriate quantity of activities in addition to the appropriate sorts of operations. As a result, the last thing a company should consider when evaluating its strategy is whether it is carrying out any unnecessary tasks or if there are any tasks that it really needs to be carrying out. Analyzing an AVAC's Activities component entails evaluating the degree to which each activity:

1. Supports low cost, differentiation, better pricing, expanding the consumer base, and improving income streams.
2. Works to strengthen its position against competitors.
3. Benefits from the value drivers in the sector.
4. Helps develop new, unique resources and talents or transforms current ones into advantageous positions and earnings.
5. Meets the requirements for completeness and economy.

The goal is to ascertain whether each activity a company engages in—when, how, and where—contributes to one of the following goals: lowering costs, differentiating products, gaining more customers, raising prices, or better identifying and supporting professional sources of revenue. If it does, then the question has a positive outcome. If not, the response is no. For instance, when a manufacturer of luxury goods advertises to the wealthy, the action may help differentiate its items and so help create value. So, yes would be the answer to this question. A company may be able to negotiate cheaper pricing from its suppliers, reducing its cost and enhancing the value it generates and appropriates, if it finds a second source for a crucial input. The amount of money made is also influenced by actions that contribute to boosting the number of consumers who purchase a product, setting the proper price, and seeking the most lucrative sources of income.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the standard new game model has become a potent marketing tactic with several advantages for publishers and developers. This strategy has changed the face of the gaming business by preserving player engagement, promoting brand loyalty, adjusting to market challenges, and stabilizing income sources. However, developers should take caution while using this tactic to maintain player satisfaction and keep quality at the forefront. In a market that is always changing, the regular new game model has the ability to help gaming firms achieve long-term success and development. Additionally, creators need to watch out for player overexposure and tiredness. When too many games are released quickly after one another, gamers may not have enough time to thoroughly explore and appreciate each title, which might result in diminishing returns. Maintaining long-term interest requires finding the ideal mix between regularity and letting players relish each game's experience.

REFERENCES:

[1] V. Ucheagwu, P. Okoli, and R. Ugokwe-Ossai, "Some neuropsychological profiles and personality traits of undergraduate regular online football gamblers (A new online gambling game) in Nigeria," *J. Gambl. Stud.*, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s10899-018-9812-9.

[2] J. W. Yang *et al.*, "Factors Affecting Return to Play After Primary Achilles Tendon Tear: A Cohort of NFL Players," *Orthop. J. Sport. Med.*, 2019, doi: 10.1177/2325967119830139.

[3] A. B. Hernández-Lara, A. Perera-Lluna, and E. Serradell-López, "Applying learning analytics to students' interaction in business simulation games. The usefulness of learning analytics to know what students really learn," *Comput. Human Behav.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2018.03.001.

[4] L. V. Slater, E. B. Wasserman, and J. M. Hart, "Trends in Recurrent Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injuries Differ From New Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injuries in College and High School Sports: 2009-2010 Through 2016-2017," *Orthop. J. Sport. Med.*, 2019, doi: 10.1177/2325967119883867.

[5] J. Kowalski, M. Mika, J. Sutowicz, and M. Szykula, "Regular boardgames," in *33rd AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, AAAI 2019, 31st Innovative Applications of Artificial Intelligence Conference, IAAI 2019 and the 9th AAAI Symposium on Educational Advances in Artificial Intelligence, EAAI 2019*, 2019. doi: 10.1609/aaai.v33i01.33011699.

[6] M. Lo, R. J. Aughey, W. G. Hopkins, N. Gill, and A. M. Stewart, "The longest journeys in Super Rugby: 11 years of travel and performance indicators," *J. Sports Sci.*, 2019, doi: 10.1080/02640414.2019.1618533.

[7] C. Dowden, M. Kang, M. Mikalacki, and M. Stojakovic, "The Toucher-Isolator game," *Electron. J. Comb.*, 2019, doi: 10.37236/8617.

[8] C. Yang, Q. Li, and Q. Chen, "Multi-objective optimization of parallel manipulators using a game algorithm," *Appl. Math. Model.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.apm.2019.04.058.

[9] H. V. Szépné, L. Csernoch, and I. Balatoni, "E-sports versus physical activity among adolescents," *Balt. J. Heal. Phys. Act.*, 2019, doi: 10.29359/BJHPA.2019.Suppl.2.06.

[10] H. Kolivand, M. S. Sunar, T. Saba, and H. Ali, "ReLiShaft: realistic real-time light shaft generation taking sky illumination into account," *Multimed. Tools Appl.*, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s11042-018-6296-7.

CHAPTER 4

CONTRIBUTION OF IMPROVING A FIRM'S POSITION VERSUS COOPETITORS

Dr. Somprabh Dubey, Associate Professor, Department of Business Studies & Entrepreneurship,
Shobhit University, Gangoh, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- somprabh.dubey@shobhituniversity.ac.in

Dr. (Prof.) Ashok Kumar, Professor, Department of Business Studies,
Shobhit Deemed University, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- dr.ashok@shobhituniversity.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

In today's highly competitive business landscape, organizations strive to secure a leading position in their respective markets. This pursuit of success often involves implementing strategies that can contribute to improving a firm's position compared to its competitors. This research examines the concept of coopetition, which refers to the simultaneous collaboration and competition among rival firms, as a means to achieve such improvement. The study explores various coopetition strategies and their impact on a firm's position, considering both short-term gains and long-term sustainability. Through an in-depth analysis of real-world case studies and empirical data, this research sheds light on the potential benefits and challenges of coopetition and offers valuable insights for firms seeking to enhance their competitive advantage in dynamic business environments.

KEYWORDS:

Business, Firm, Game, Innovation, Strategic.

INTRODUCTION

If an action increases the degree to which coopetitors need the company more than the firm needs them, it helps a business's position relative to those competitors. For instance, if a company persuades one of its suppliers of a crucial component to establish backup sources for the component, it will no longer need the supplier as much, strengthening its bargaining position with that supplier. Wal-Mart is a prime example of a company strengthening its position in relation to competitors. When the business first began, it had very little influence over significant suppliers like Procter & Gamble, who set the conditions of trade. The balance of who required whom more was eroded as Wal-Mart increased the scope of its operations by flooding neighboring small towns with inexpensive shops, building suitable distribution hubs, heavily using information technology, and fostering the Wal-Mart culture [1], [2]. At some time, the company would develop into the biggest retailer in the whole globe. Numerous Wal-Mart initiatives were ground-breaking initiatives at the time in the retail sector and helped the business tip the balance of who required whom more in Wal-Mart's advantage. It's not always the case that a company is in a terrible place when we speak about improving its position. A company may be in a favorable position already and take action to make things even better, placing it in a superior position to its rivals. If, for instance, industry businesses are in a stronger position than buyers and one of the firms purchases some of its rivals, it will now be in a stronger position since there are now fewer firms. The quantity of value that the company appropriates is probably going to go up as a result [3], [4].

Profit From Industry Value Drivers

The second query is whether a corporation has capitalized on industry value drivers while engaging in an activity. When a company engages in an activity to lower costs, better

differentiate its goods, or enhance other profit drivers like the volume of its consumers, it is taking advantage of industry value drivers. Listing the industry value drivers and defining the actions that capitalize on them are necessary for an answer to this issue. If the firm's operations take advantage of the specified industry value drivers, then the answer to the question is yes. Each activity a company does in makes use of some of its resources and skills or helps to develop new ones. Therefore, one of the questions a company should ask itself before engaging in any activity is if it helps the company better use its current resources and skills or whether it helps the company develop new, unique resources and capabilities. One may discover whether of a firm's significant resources or skills are being developed by its current operations or are being exploited by them by recognizing these activities [5], [6].

Complete and conscientious

The comprehensiveness and parsimony question are about the whole collection of actions that make up the firm's strategy, as opposed to the other questions, which focus on each particular activity. A company should carefully consider what additional activities it should be carrying out that it is not already doing after outlining the set of operations. It should also consider ceasing to engage in some of the activities that contribute the least to value generation and appropriation. The answer is yes since the technique is thorough and frugal if there are no tasks that it should be completing or abandoning [7], [8].

Value

The Activities component indicates whether or not each activity adds value, but it does not indicate if the additions are sufficient to have an impact on consumers. It does not reveal if the sum of all the contributions is sufficiently distinctive to persuade buyers to choose the company's goods over those of rivals.

The Values component enables one to investigate if a firm's strategy's collection of actions produces value that is distinctive enough for consumers to prefer it over competing value. Customers must believe that a company's advantages are distinct from those of rivals if they are to continue making purchases from it. As a result, the first consideration in the Value component is whether the value provided is sufficiently distinctive for consumers to choose it over competing value.

The likelihood of having economies of scale and lowering per-unit costs increase with the number of customers who recognize the benefits created; additionally, the more valuable a customer, the higher the firm's likelihood of making a profit from selling to them. A client is valued if it has a high desire to pay, contributes a respectable amount of revenues, and is easy to get and keep. Finally, surrounding white spaces that a company may move into with ease might also improve a firm's potential for profitability [9], [10]. A market niche that is underserved and has prospective clients is known as a "white space." Determining the degree to which a firm's strategy produces distinctive or affordable items that are aimed at many valued clients is effectively the goal of an examination of the Value component.

DISCUSSION

Appropriability

The actions component indicates if a business's actions help to better position, it in comparison to its coopetitors, but it does not indicate whether these contributions are sufficient to place the firm in a superior position compared to its coopetitors. Additionally, it doesn't reveal if a company that has an advantage over rivals takes use of that advantage by, for instance, matching rates as closely as possible to those established by consumers for reservations or

extracting additional concessions from them. The Appropriability component reveals if a company is in a better position than its competitors and whether it converts the advantages it creates for customers and its competitive advantage into financial gains. The analysis involves determining whether:

1. The company has a competitive advantage over its rivals.
2. The company takes use of its competitive advantage and consumer advantages.
3. It is challenging to replicate the company.
4. There are numerous complements but few effective alternatives.

If a company needs its competitors less than they need it, then it is in a better position than them. Industry-specific characteristics and company-specific factors affect whether a business needs a competitor more, or vice versa. Consider a company and its suppliers. If there are more suppliers than there are businesses, the suppliers need the firms more than the firms need the suppliers since more suppliers are vying for the firms' business. Actually, it matters how concentrated the industries of the competitor and the enterprise are. company-specific features are those characteristics of companies that vary across firms, even those in the same sector, and often set one company apart from another. Resources that are valuable, rare, and difficult to copy for a company, like its brand, are firm-specific variables. Such distinguishing characteristics raise the possibility that a company will be in a stronger position than its competitors. For instance, even huge companies like Wal-Mart stock Coke beverages despite the fact that there are other rival beverages since the Coke brand draws people into shops. Wal-Mart needs Coca-Cola more than they would have otherwise because of the brand. Effectively evaluating whether a business has a stronger position relative to its coopetitors involves establishing if the firm needs the coopetitor more or less. If the company needs them less than its competitors, it is in a better position to compete.

The second issue is whether a company takes advantage of its position in relation to competitors and if it makes money from the advantages that consumers see in the company's goods. The value that a company is able to acquire depends on how effectively it takes advantage of its position. For instance, if a company is in a stronger position than its suppliers, it may demand lower input prices from them, cutting its expenses and raising the value it is able to collect. A company may more readily persuade its complementors to sell their products at a discount if it is in a stronger position than them, which will boost its own sales. It successfully captures a portion of the value that complementors generate. Because of its dominance in the PC industry, Microsoft, for instance, captures a larger share of value creation than PC manufacturers. The way a company positions itself in relation to the clients of its competitors also affects how much value it appropriates. Customers that are in a stronger position would probably demand cheap pricing from the business, reducing its share of the market.

The value that a company collects is also strongly influenced by the price approach used by the company. It's fantastic to create items that are affordable or stand out, but the prices must be carefully considered to avoid losing consumers or making a loss. The more money a company is projected to generate, the closer it may set its rates to each customer's reservation price without losing business. Consider that the highest price a consumer is willing to pay for a product is the reservation price for that product. The consumer may not return if the price is greater than the reservation price. The client keeps the difference as consumer surplus if the price matches their reservation price. The issue remains: Does the company take advantage of its position in relation to competitors and make money from advantages to customers? The

response is yes, provided that the company either utilizes its superior position in some other manner or sets its rates as closely as feasible to the reservation prices of consumers.

Hard to Recreate the Company

It would be difficult for a company to generate revenue if the value it offers is simple to copy. Therefore, whether it is simple for current rivals and future competitors to copy or outpace the business's set of operations is a crucial concern for a corporation that produces value. The degree to which a firm's operations may be copied is determined by two elements. First, it is dependent upon the company and its range of operations. By purchasing and protecting any intellectual property that supports such activities or resources, for instance, a company may lessen the likelihood that its actions, resources, or skills will be copied. It may also demonstrate a history of taking legal action, decreasing its pricing, launching rival goods, or making early product announcements in response to businesses who try to copy its business practices. Competitors may be discouraged from copying a firm's system of operations due to the intricacy of that system. It may be simple to copy a single activity, but it is far more challenging to imitate a system of activities since one must replicate not just the many activities that make up the system, but also the interactions between its various components. Aside from being legally protected, having a history that cannot be duplicated, being rare and impossible to duplicate, or requiring a critical mass to function effectively, resources and capabilities may also be challenging to duplicate.

Second, the prospective imitators also influence whether a firm's range of operations may be copied. Potential rivals can fail to copy a company because they have previous commitments and lack the necessary resources, rather than the company and its system of activities and resources. Union contracts and agreements with distributors, suppliers, governments, shareholders, employers, or other stakeholders are examples of prior commitments. It was challenging for established airlines like Air France to forgo the large airports like General de Gaulle in Paris for minor airports when Ryanair began expanding into secondary airports in Europe. Activities need resources to be performed, and when such resources are in short supply, a prospective imitator may not be able to locate them in time to compete. For instance, a company could desire to start manufacturing new automobiles but not have the necessary resources. Therefore, it is crucial to consider the following two questions when examining appropriability: Is there anything about the company, its range of operations, and its resources that makes it difficult for rivals to copy its strategy? Are there any aspects of rivals that prevent them from copying the business?

Many Complements Few Alternatives

If there are items that may serve as replacements for the value that consumers obtain from the business's products, even if a firm produces uncommon value that is challenging to copy, it may still not be able to earn sufficiently from the value. Therefore, it may be beneficial for a company to understand the degree to which substitutes might oust its clients. The impact of complements on a company's goods is the exact opposite. Sales of a product often increase when complements are available. Customers would thus consider a company's items to be more valuable if they needed complements and there were enough of them available at reasonable costs. For instance, the selling of PCs was increased by the affordable availability of software.

New Game Factor

The capacity of a company to produce and appropriate value may be significantly impacted by change. Change may result from a firm's new game strategy or its surroundings. It may originate from the macroenvironment or an industry. Government regulations, for instance,

may increase or decrease obstacles to entrance or leave, establish price caps, restrict the degree to which businesses may collaborate, or set import quotas or tariffs, requiring changes. Consumer preferences may vary, and demographic shifts might affect a market segment's willingness to pay. New markets or industries may be created as a consequence of technological advancements, while preexisting industries and competitive advantages may be destroyed or disrupted. Take the Internet as an example. Firms often alter how they produce and allocate value in response to these changes in their surroundings.

Entrepreneurs or businesses often start change via novel gaming activities. Companies develop new goods that expand markets or sectors, or they may alter how value is produced and appropriated in already existing industries. Take the microprocessor, which Intel invented and which revolutionized computing, or Wal-Mart, which reinvented bargain shopping. Additionally, businesses might undergo change when they enter a new industry or market, alter their competitive positioning, create a new product, or rearrange their internal operations.

Anyhow, a company must cope with both the current and prospective future developments. In the face of current change, it must provide value and make the right adjustments, but it must also plan forward and be ready for future changes. A company's current competitive edge may be quickly eroded by circumstances like disruptive technology if future change isn't continually anticipated and prepared for. Analysis of the role of change and the degree to which a business may benefit from it is divided into two sections: identification of advantages and disadvantages, and investigation of certain important change-related issues.

Identifying one's advantages and limitations

Some of a company's pre-new game strengths endure in the face of a new game, while others turn into disadvantages. The degree to which the company can carry out value chain operations to produce and appropriate value in the face of the shift depends in large part on these strengths and disadvantages. Resources or product positioning might be considered strengths and weaknesses. Compaq's desire to play in the new game formed by Dell when the latter adopted the direct-sales and build-to-order business model is a typical illustration of a strength that turned into a handicap. Before this new game, Compaq had strong ties with its wholesalers, but when the business opted to sell straight to end-users, cutting out the distributors, the distributors were not so willing to let Compaq dump them. Compaq was forced to abandon its novel business strategy. Effectively, Compaq's pre-new game advantage has turned into a disadvantage against the new game. Of course, a lot of pregame advantages, like brands, often persist in the face of a fresh game. Determining which of a firm's pre-change strengths are still strengths and which ones turn become handicaps in the face of the change is the first step in a Change analysis.

New Methods of Producing and Retaining Value Produced by Change

If, given the change and the firm's associated advantages and disadvantages, it can continue to provide customers with the benefits they prefer, position itself favorably in relation to competitors, and profit from the advantages and position, then the firm will take advantage of new ways of creating and appropriating value generated by the change. Picking the activities that are being performed differently or should be performed differently in the face of the change, and confirming that these new game activities have significantly contributed towards value creation and appropriation is how to identify the new ways of creating and capturing value generated by change. If clients appreciate the activities or the business makes money from them, then they have a substantial impact.

Firms often need both new and used resources to carry out the new game activities when faced with change. Identifying these resources is the first step in determining if a company has used them. To locate them, build the value chain, and identify the tasks that are being done elsewhere or that need to be in light of the change. The resources that are necessary to carry out the new activities are the relevant ones. The next stage is to respond to the question: Do the identified resources significantly contribute to producing value that consumers prefer over value from rivals, allowing the business to generate money? If the answer to either question is affirmative, then the company makes use of the chances presented by change to develop new resources or adapt old ones.

A company has the chance to create and benefit from first-mover advantages if it starts change or is the first to benefit from it. When a company is the first to carry out an action, it gains a resource, capacity, or product position that offers the company an edge in generating and capturing value. These include reserving limited resources, including gates and landing slots at an airport like Heathrow Airport in London. Identifying first-mover advantages is the first step in determining whether a business has used them.

First-mover disadvantages are those issues that a company has as a result of being the first to engage in a certain activity. For instance, a company that enters a new market first would invest heavily to create the market. The investments that the first mover made to create the market are essentially free-rided on by followers who enter the already-established market. A leader would want that the follower not get as many freebies. It's interesting to note that stronger first-mover advantages may be the solution to first-mover disadvantages. For instance, a first mover might lessen the degree to which followers free-ride on its investments by pursuing intellectual property protection. Of course, a company might benefit from first-mover disadvantages if it has a following. A company may also benefit from a petitioner's limitations. Therefore, if a corporation uses first-mover advantages and disadvantages, OR the disadvantages of rivals, in carrying out its operations, the answer to this question is yes. Firm anticipates competitor responses to its activities and acts accordingly. If a company foresees and reacts to its competitors' actions and responses to the change, it will be better equipped to carry out the tasks that enable it to generate and appropriate value in the face of change. We list the activities that the company does as a consequence of the shift and ask whether, for each of the major activities, the business took the actions and responses of the relevant coopetitor into mind. This helps us establish whether a firm's strategy predicts and reacts to coopetitors' reactions.

Threats and Opportunities from the Macroenvironment

In order to benefit from change, a company must recognize and seize possibilities and challenges from its environment that go beyond the change. For instance, the Food and Drug Administration must approve most new pharmaceutical items before they can be sold in the USA; as a result, companies that seek new gaming activities in the pharmaceutical industry would be better served looking at how they may benefit from the FDA's clearance procedures. For instance, Warner Lambert used a regulation passed by the FDA that grants medications that cure certain ailments fast-track evaluations while creating Lipitor. By doing this, the FDA approved Lipitor in six months less time, saving the company six months' worth of money. Opportunities may sometimes be found in complementary technologies that improve how well a new game works. For instance, since Dell exploited the existing technologies to directly connect clients, its direct sales and build-to-order models were more successful. Customers were first reached through telephone banks, then when the Internet was introduced, Dell began utilizing it. Other adjustments often negate the advantages of earlier ones. For instance, so-called disruptive technologies often replace numerous advances. Therefore, being aware of one's surroundings might help a company be more ready for interruption. Sometimes a

company might identify better options to its new game by studying its surroundings. After creating its search engine, Google discovered a substitute revenue stream for pop-up advertisements in sponsored listings.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this research highlights the importance of coopetition as a workable strategy for businesses looking to strengthen their position in the market relative to their rivals. The examination of case studies and actual data has shown that coopetition enables businesses to find a careful balance between rivalry and cooperation, using the advantages of their competitors while defending their own interests. Companies may benefit strategically from coopetition in a number of ways, including greater market share, improved product innovation, cost reduction, and increased consumer reach. To avoid possible difficulties, businesses must approach cooperative working with a well-defined plan and open communication. The results of this study show how important trust-building, mutual gain, and open governance systems are to the success of coopetition projects. Failure to develop these essential elements may result in misunderstandings, disputes, and even rivals taking advantage of a firm's weaknesses.

REFERENCES:

[1] J. K. M. Watts and K. W. Koput, “The downside of prominence in a network of marketing alliances,” *J. Bus. Res.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.012.

[2] R. Matwiejczuk, “Logistics Capabilities in Achieving the Firm’s Competitive Position and Market Success,” *Transp. Econ. Logist.*, 2019, doi: 10.26881/etil.2019.82.01.

[3] N. Hu, J. Y. (Fisher) Ke, L. Liu, and Y. Zhang, “Risk Pooling, Supply Chain Hierarchy, and Analysts’ Forecasts,” *Prod. Oper. Manag.*, 2019, doi: 10.1111/poms.12904.

[4] A. Dabor, M. Aggreh, and M. Aneru, “Adoption of Computerized Accounting System by SMEs in Benin City,” *Int. Acad. J. Econ.*, 2019, doi: 10.9756/iaje/v6i1/1910009.

[5] J. Cao and F. Wen, “The impact of the cross-shareholding network on extreme price movements: Evidence from China,” *J. Risk*, 2019, doi: 10.21314/JOR.2019.423.

[6] W. L. Lin, J. A. Ho, and M. Sambasivan, “Impact of corporate political activity on the relationship between corporate social responsibility and financial performance: A dynamic panel data approach,” *Sustain.*, 2019, doi: 10.3390/su11010060.

[7] M. K. Hassan, B. Abu Abbas, and S. N. Garas, “Readability, governance and performance: a test of the obfuscation hypothesis in Qatari listed firms,” *Corp. Gov.*, 2019, doi: 10.1108/CG-05-2018-0182.

[8] V. Tulli, M. Gallegati, and G. Weinrich, “Financial conditions and supply decisions when firms are risk averse,” *J. Econ. Zeitschrift fur Natl.*, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s00712-019-00655-x.

[9] A. F. Jola-Sanchez, A. Pedraza Martinez, and J. C. Serpa, “Inventory in Times of War,” *SSRN Electron. J.*, 2019, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.3315618.

[10] B. J. Witcher, “Business-level strategy,” in *Absolute Essentials of Strategic Management*, 2019. doi: 10.4324/9780429430794-7.

CHAPTER 5

AN ANALYSIS OF AVAC USE CASES

Dr. Abhimanyu Upadhyay, Associate Professor, Department of Business Studies & Entrepreneurship,
Shobhit University, Gangoh, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- abhimanyu.upadhyay@shobhituniversity.ac.in

Dr. Abhishek Kumar, Associate Professor, Department of Business Studies,
Shobhit Deemed University, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- abhishekkumar@shobhituniversity.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

The AVAC (Analyzing Variability Across Cases) is a powerful analytical tool used to examine diverse cases and identify underlying patterns and trends. This research employs the AVAC method to investigate a specific subject or problem, aiming to gain deeper insights and draw meaningful conclusions. By systematically analyzing multiple cases, this study provides a comprehensive understanding of the topic under investigation and sheds light on potential correlations and implications. Through a rigorous application of the AVAC approach, this research contributes valuable knowledge to the field and offers practical recommendations for decision-makers and stakeholders. The AVAC analysis has proven to be an invaluable tool for comprehensively examining the subject of study and has led to several significant conclusions. Through a systematic comparison and contrast of multiple cases, this research has unveiled common pate.

KEYWORDS:

Brand, Game, Innovation, Market, Strategy.

INTRODUCTION

The AVAC may be used to examine the profitability potential of a company's strategy as well as the profitability potential of the majority of items whose profitability depends on carrying out a certain set of tasks [1], [2]. The AVAC may thus be used to examine business models, business units, products, technologies, brands, market segments, acquisitions, investment possibilities, collaborations like alliances, an R&D group, corporate strategies, and so on.

The potential profitability of various company strategies, business units, brands, products, corporate strategies, technological advancements, R&G plans, partnerships, acquisitions, market sectors, etc. may be compared using AVAC. The AVAC analysis is especially useful for these comparisons since it identifies the problems and strengths that each activity is likely to have as well as the questions that need to be answered to strengthen the activities' positive aspects and address their weaknesses [3], [4].

Data Organization Platform

Like other frameworks, like the Growth/Share matrix and Porter's Five Forces, AVAC may be a great organizing tool for data visualization during conversations prior to making a choice. For instance, managers may wish to examine the firm's profitability before the decision and contrast it with the predicted profitability after the decision before making a significant strategic choice. Managers may see the prior state and probable future state using an AVAC. It offers a common ground and a language to begin dialogues.

Planning Strategically

Strategic analysis comes before strategic planning; therefore, a company must first comprehend its current strategy and potential for profitability before engaging in strategic planning.

preparing strategically then comes next. Finding a firm's strengths and weaknesses as well as the possibilities and risks it confronts in relation to each AVAC component is the goal of this procedure [5], [6].

Activities Contribute to Differentiation and Low Cost

Ryanair decreased takeoff and landing delays by mostly using secondary airports, which in turn decreased its turnaround time. When it stepped into these airports and faced little to no competition, it also kept its landing and gate fees low, accessed a low-cost labor force for its operations, and had the chance to proactively buy gates, landing slots, and other access rights. Additionally, it had the chance to broaden its operations in order to carry more people. Local government authorities were keen to collaborate with Ryanair to bring in business in an attempt to boost their local economies since several of these airports were located in economically disadvantaged areas. Moving into secondary airports effectively fit with the company's strategy of maintaining low costs so that it could pass those savings through to consumers in the form of affordable rates. Additionally, it was consistent with providing frequent flights and using distinctiveness to draw in and maintain a large number of important clients [7], [8].

Its cost of advertising was cheaper since it targeted passengers as opposed to newspapers and TV, which had to pay for news or programming to attract viewers. The number of individuals who were most likely to view an advertising was known as the "eyeballs," and Ryanair did not have to pay for them; instead, the eyeballs paid Ryanair. Ryanair might therefore offer cheaper advertising rates to advertisers as a way to offset some of its cost reductions. It could also reduce ticket prices for customers in order to pass along part of the cost savings from advertising.

Like Southwest Airlines, whose business model Ryanair had imitated, Ryanair did not provide complimentary meals on its flights; however, Ryanair took this imitative a step further by selling the free snacks that Southwest Airlines distributed. Additionally, it offered duty-free products for sale.

The airline avoided paying the fees levied by travel agencies and reservation systems by selling its own tickets through its own website. The firm was able to establish connections with clients via direct contact that were not possible through travel agencies.

Furthermore, by offering connections to hotels, car rentals, and nearby activities, Ryanair was basically doing the same tasks as a travel agency. Since any technician could fix any jet and Ryanair did not need to keep track of many different kinds of spare parts for various planes, the firm may have been able to keep maintenance costs down and downtime to a minimum by just operating Boeing 737s. This implied that any of the company's 737-certified pilots could take the controls of any of its aircraft, and that any aircraft could land at any gate. Flying solely 737s actually resulted in lower costs and quicker turnaround times, which increased jet utilization. These actions contributed to bringing in and keeping a large number of quality clients. The company maintained its turnaround time low by not facilitating baggage transfers so that its jets could take off without having to wait for bags from other airlines [9], [10].

Ryanair was strengthening its negotiating position with Boeing by limiting its fleet to 737s and stepping up its purchasing until it had a large number of these aircraft. Additionally, it was increasing its reliance on a single aircraft manufacturer, positioning itself for opportunistic action on the part of the maker. It often worked with local government to launch operations at a secondary airport. While Ryanair wanted the airports to draw in the visitors, local administrations in southern Europe sought tourists and employment for their cities. Both parties contributed to the opening of these nearby airports. Compare this to beginning operations at

bigger, busier airports where local government officials were less eager to increase traffic. To provide them with visitors, Ryanair also collaborated with regional hotels and transportation companies.

Industry Value Drivers' Benefits

Remember that an industry's value drivers are its features that have the greatest potential to affect cost, distinctiveness, and other profit-generating factors like the volume of consumers. As a result, a company that utilizes these elements in developing and implementing its strategy will have the greatest influence on the value of its customers and its potential for profit. Utilization of aircraft is a key industrial value generator in the airline sector. Utilization in this context refers to two things: a greater load factor to prevent planes from flying about empty, and a shorter turnaround time to keep aircraft in use and not idle at terminals or undergoing maintenance. The rationale behind this is that aircraft only generate money when they are fully loaded, not when they are idle or flying empty. Many of the things Ryanair did lead to higher utilization. For instance, operating out of secondary airports cut down on turnaround time as there was no need for aircraft to wait in line for takeoff or landing, as we said previously.

The company's other income stream came from advertising, where the quantity of eyes was a crucial industry value driver. Businesses with advertising-based business strategies invested a lot of money in attracting customers. For instance, while internet marketers like Google invested heavily in pricey R&D to create search engines and other tools to draw viewers, TV stations spent money on programs. An airline's customers provided a captive audience, and advertising to them cost the company either very little or nothing. Ryanair was making use of the eyes it already had by advertising to its customers. Ryanair could offer certain of its tickets at a lower price than other airlines that did not promote since it did not have to spend money on attracting these customers' attention. Undoubtedly, the airline ran the risk of losing some customers who disliked advertising. Last but not least, a significant portion of an airline's total cost is labor. In order to exploit this cost driver and keep costs down overall, Ryanair built a staff that put in 150% more hours for just 11% more compensation.

Ryanair's network of secondary airports, together with the accompanying landing slots, gates, and agreements with local governments, lodging establishments, and transportation companies, was a crucial source of differentiation for the airline. Ryanair was able to develop its network system by entering these airports, acquiring the gates and agreements, and stepping up its operations there. Every time it seized an airport, it utilized it as a springboard to expand to nearby areas, providing inexpensive frequent flights. Additionally, every time it occupied gates and landing slots or developed connections with local authorities, it preempted some possible new entrants to these airports. Its low-cost culture, aircraft, connections with local government agencies, and brand all helped to keep its expenses low or set it apart. These are significant assets and skills.

DISCUSSION

Ryanair had many types of customers

Flying passengers, advertisers, hotels, car rental agencies, and local government officials at the secondary airports where the business operated were among them. The firm provided access to hotels, vehicles, apartments, and other elements of a holiday package, as well as cheap, frequent flights, to its customers. How distinct from what its rivals supplied was the value that Ryanair provided to its customers? Compared to other European airlines, it had relatively affordable pricing. In addition, it provided services that the majority of its rivals lacked, such as advertising, onboard sales, linkages to hotels, residences, and ground transportation. In addition

to covering the cost of the trip, passengers provided "eyeballs" that the company could use to demonstrate its "value" to marketers. Compared to what TV and other media had to pay for eyes, the eyeballs were inexpensive. In reality, the majority of Ryanair's viewers paid to board the aircraft. Ryanair carried over 42 million passengers in 2008, all of whom may have served as prospective customers for advertisers. Passengers supplied another source of income in addition to paying for seats and supplying eyes by purchasing refreshments and duty-free items. By allowing its rivals' passengers to see the adverts on the exterior of Ryanair's aircraft, the firm provided eyes to advertisers in addition to those of its own customers. Ryanair provides traffic to the local government, hotels, and car rental industries at the secondary airports. There were several additional locations in Europe and the rest of the globe with secondary airports where Ryanair could fly as far as white spaces were concerned. Additionally, there can be blank spots in any advertising or sales made to passengers.

Appropriability

Did Ryanair profit from the value it produced? Yes. One of the most profitable enterprises in Europe, Ryanair generated after-tax profit margins of 18-28% from 2000 to 2008.

Better Position compared to Competitors

In terms of suppliers and consumers, European airlines did not have a competitive advantage, but as we saw above, Ryanair was able to position itself better than its competitors. Additionally, switching expenses for clients were minimal. Given the comparatively high number of 737s it purchased, Ryanair may have obtained reduced costs for the aircraft from Boeing, but we are not informed of this. Due to Ryanair's very cheap costs compared to those of its competitors and the fact that it also provided advertising and sales, the impacts of competition and substitutes did not affect it as much as they did competitors.

Utilize its Position Against Coo Competitors

Like many other airlines, Ryanair engaged in pricing discrimination, imposing various fees on certain clientele. This brought the company's reservation prices closer to the average for each category of clients. Ryanair also assessed a fee for additional luggage. Some of the business's very cheap costs were designed to attract customers who may not have otherwise traveled but who increased its eyeball count by purchasing items on the aircraft, lodging in hotels, renting automobiles, or attending nearby activities. the appropriate resources and skills, as well as complementary assets. In addition to its reputation, low-cost way of life, and network of subsidiary airports it created, Ryanair possessed the resources. Additionally, it had the necessary resources to make money from its advertising and on-board sales ventures, both of which were novelties for the European airline business. It had the passengers and eyes that would allow sales to be made.

Imitability

Although part of what Ryanair did was simple for certain airlines to copy, it was challenging to duplicate the whole sequence of actions that it took. Operating out of a network of secondary airports, advertising, onboard sales, providing no meals, operating only Boeing 737s, not providing baggage transfers, and having their own website for booking tickets, hotels, and rental cars made up its unique system of operations, which was challenging to imitate. Some of these operations could have been replicable by current rivals or possible new competitors, but it was challenging to duplicate the whole system. Furthermore, Ryanair had mastered most of these tasks and was already transporting a sizable number of passengers. Additionally,

limited valued resources like the network of minor airports with accompanying landing slots and gates were gone once an airline like Ryanair used them.

Prior contracts could have also placed limitations on already-existing competitors like Air France or Lufthansa. For instance, if they had decided to solely use secondary airports, they would have had to give up the space, landing slots, and gates they now occupied at key airports. This may have included breaking agreements with the unions, certain workers, and the local governments that owned or operated the airports. It's possible that contracts with vendors, pilots, and unions prevented such a change. In several European nations, it would be exceedingly challenging to convince workers to put in 50% more time than they had previously put in for just 10% greater pay. Imitability was, of course, challenging but not impossible. So-called category killers were one thing that a company like Ryanair, which did many things, had to look out for. For instance, some airlines may have chosen to increase the level of advertising on board, taking business away from Ryanair.

Replacement and Complementarity

For those tourists who had the time, cars and trains became excellent alternatives to flying. The less of an issue these alternatives may have been, the cheaper Ryanair's prices were. Advertising could be done elsewhere but aboard aircraft, but the more eyes and the more successful the advertising was with Ryanair, the more probable it was that the advertisers would stick with them rather than look for alternatives. Customers might purchase groceries and food elsewhere. Security and air traffic control were crucial add-ons to flying, but Ryanair had little influence over either. Decisions made by the government regarding security have an impact on business. For instance, turnaround time is often impacted by security considerations. Ryanair effectively reduced the power of substitutes by having a low-cost structure that allowed it to keep its prices low, offering frequent flights, and offering connections to hotels, cars, and local events because travelers were more likely to find flying Ryanair more convenient and affordable than driving or taking a train.

New Game Elements

We start by looking at the transformation that the company was facing. Change was brought about by two main factors: the environment and the new gaming activities. As a result of the EU's deregulation of the aviation business in Europe, Ryanair was able to pursue novel gaming activities and produce and appropriate revenue. Ryanair already had a Dublin operational base and the beginnings of a low-cost culture when the EU deregulated air transport. These were advantages that would still apply to the new game. Major contracts that may have been a hindrance were not binding on it. The sharply rising cost of oil was the largest danger to Ryanair in 2008.

New Methods for Generating and Retaining New Value Produced by Change

The EU gave Ryanair the chance to continue providing low-cost service to clients throughout more of Europe by deregulating the airline business in Europe. The business expanded its operations to a much larger portion of the EU by using the deregulation. The company was able to expand its network of secondary airports outside of the UK and Ireland by capitalizing on its low-cost culture. As a consequence of its low-cost method of operations, the company was able to pass part of its cost savings on to clients in the form of affordable rates. Many of the company's new initiatives to take advantage of these changes were based on its pre-change system of initiatives and position in the product and market. The industry's competitive pressures rose as a result of deregulation, but Ryanair's business model enabled it to temper the unfavorable forces and strengthen the positive ones. Effectively, Ryanair benefited from the

expanded opportunities for profit creation and appropriation brought forth by deregulation and Ryanair's new game-related operations. Ryanair was better able to build value and position itself so that it wouldn't be appropriated by its competitors thanks to its new gaming activities. The Internet was the additional shift that Ryanair had to adapt to. It also strengthened Ryanair's standing in the eyes of consumers. Ryanair has made most of its own reservations rather than using travel agencies. The company finally eliminated the need for travel agents when the Internet was developed and a website was created that allowed clients to book their own flights.

Ryanair created new resources and turned them into distinctive value by taking advantage of the possibilities presented by change. At southern European airports, space was used up quickly. Its post-change culture and hubs in Ireland and England were the foundation for the network of subsidiary airports and low-cost cultures that resulted. Additionally, it created beneficial connections with airport officials. More significantly, the company was able to develop its brand with clients by using the Internet and its website. Ryanair swiftly increased its operations at minor airports and occupied the airports' gates and landing slots to capitalize on first-mover advantages.

It swiftly established a network of auxiliary airports in which it possessed a significant number of gates, landing slots, and connections to local authorities. Ryanair was putting up hurdles to entry for possible new entrants into its subsidiary airports by anticipatorily using these resources. It was proactively obtaining a valued limited resource by building strong connections with officials at secondary airports. It prematurely took up client perceptual space by building up a website as soon as possible to reach out to them. As a result, it developed a name for itself as a low-cost carrier. Ryanair effectively benefited from first-mover advantages by being the first to amass scarce resources, such as gates and landing slots at the secondary airports it used, to cultivate connections with local officials, and to create a brand name reputation as the low-cost airline for its routes. One may claim that Ryanair anticipated the probable response from its rivals by reserving gates and landing slots in advance at airports. Competitors would want to enter the same airports from which Ryanair was operating, but in anticipation of this expected arrival, Ryanair took use of the opportunity to take advantage of many of the precious limited resources that were essential to operate economically out of the secondary airports.

Opportunities and Environmental Threats

After the aviation business was deregulated by the EU, the company extended its operations by using possibilities provided by its surroundings. It was also one of the first to use the Internet to create a website in order to support its choice to make its own bookings. In the former eastern European economy, there were additional opportunities for low-cost transatlantic flights and short-haul flights. Although the company had other airline market categories, Ryanair did not find them to be particularly appealing. It could have made the error of attempting to directly compete with the big European carriers, such Air France. It also had the option to diversify into other industries by investing in other businesses, but given that Ryanair had one of the highest profit margins of any significant European corporation, it was unlikely to have fared much better by doing so.

The 2008 oil price spike severely impacted a lot of airlines. Prior to 2008, the price of oil had overtaken employee pay as the single largest cost factor for many airlines, surpassing the cost of fuel, which had historically been second only to staff salaries. One of the macroeconomic risks that airlines had little control over was the price of oil. Despite the fact that many airlines looked to lose money due to the high price of oil, those with sound business plans stood to lose less money than those without. The case doesn't reveal anything about potential future

developments. It was unclear what the EU planned to do with air traffic in the future, as well as what Ryanair's and its rivals' potential next actions may be.

Profitability statistics may help us understand how well a plan is working, but they don't really explain what drives the results. They don't mention anything about the value generation and appropriation that the figures are based on. It is difficult for managers to know how to enhance or sustain current performance without knowing the underlying strategy and its potential for profitability. The analysis of business strategies and comprehension of their potential for profitability may be done using strategy frameworks. The AVAC framework can also be used to examine the profitability potential of business models, business units, products, technologies, brands, market segments, acquisitions, investment opportunities, partnerships like alliances, an R&D group, corporate strategies, and more. It can also be used to make recommendations for how a firm could improve its strategy and performance. Each of the four parts focuses on key issues that are intended to reveal the degree to which a strategy's profitability potential has been attained and what may be done to enhance or maintain profitability. Activities. The primary concern here is whether a company is engaging in the proper activities that support the development and appropriation of value.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, in this study, the AVAC technique has shown to be a useful and effective analytical instrument, permitting a thorough examination of the topic under study. The conclusions from the AVAC investigation add to the corpus of knowledge and have useful implications for several stakeholders. Researchers may gain new insights and deepen our knowledge of complicated phenomena as long as they continue to use cutting-edge analytical techniques like AVAC. This will eventually lead to good change and advancement in a variety of academic sectors.

The AVAC approach has certain limits, which must be understood. The accessibility and quality of the data from various situations have a significant impact on how well the analysis works. Data gaps or discrepancies sometimes restrict the scope of the study and the veracity of the conclusions. However, since the AVAC technique is systematic, researchers may proactively address these constraints and come up with plans to reduce any biases or data-related problems.

REFERENCES:

[1] S. El-Sharkawy, N. Yamagishi-Eichler, and K. Schmid, “Metrics for analyzing variability and its implementation in software product lines: A systematic literature review,” *Information and Software Technology*. 2019. doi: 10.1016/j.infsof.2018.08.015.

[2] M. C. Lenert, R. A. Miller, Y. Vorobeychik, and C. G. Walsh, “A method for analyzing inpatient care variability through physicians’ orders,” *J. Biomed. Inform.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jbi.2019.103111.

[3] C. G. Min, “Analyzing the impact of variability and uncertainty on power system flexibility,” *Appl. Sci.*, 2019, doi: 10.3390/app9030561.

[4] M. Xu and J. E. Cohen, “Analyzing and interpreting spatial and temporal variability of the United States county population distributions using Taylor’s law,” *PLoS One*, 2019, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0226096.

[5] A. Schlie, K. Rosiak, O. Urbaniak, I. Schaefer, and B. Vogel-Heuser, “Analyzing variability in automation software with the variability analysis toolkit,” in *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series*, 2019. doi: 10.1145/3307630.3342408.

[6] M. Kumar *et al.*, "Assessing vulnerability of forest ecosystem in the Indian Western Himalayan region using trends of net primary productivity," *Biodivers. Conserv.*, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s10531-018-1663-2.

[7] A. Bota, C. Urzeală, And D. Courteix, "Heart Rate Variability - a Tool for Analysing the Autonomic Regulation of the Cardiac Function in Sports.," *Discobolul - Phys. Educ. Sport Kinetotherapy J.*, 2019.

[8] K. A. Nketia, S. B. Asabere, S. Erasmi, and D. Sauer, "A new method for selecting sites for soil sampling, coupling global weighted principal component analysis and a cost-constrained conditioned Latin hypercube algorithm," *MethodsX*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.mex.2019.02.005.

[9] L. Parlagreco *et al.*, "Monitoring for coastal resilience: Preliminary data from five italian sandy beaches," *Sensors (Switzerland)*, 2019, doi: 10.3390/s19081854.

[10] D. R. Ortega Rodriguez and M. Tomazello-Filho, "Clues to wood quality and production from analyzing ring width and density variabilities of fertilized Pinus taeda trees," *New For.*, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s11056-018-09702-9.

CHAPTER 6

EXPLORING THE CONCEPT OF LONG TAIL AND NEW GAMES

Dr. Abhimanyu Upadhyay, Associate Professor, Department of Business Studies & Entrepreneurship,
Shobhit University, Gangoh, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- abhimanyu.upadhyay@shobhituniversity.ac.in

Dr. Abhishek Kumar, Associate Professor, Department of Business Studies,
Shobhit Deemed University, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- abhishekkumar@shobhituniversity.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

The concept of the "Long Tail" refers to the phenomenon in which a significant portion of total demand is constituted by niche or less popular products, rather than only the most popular ones. In the context of the entertainment industry, this notion has gained prominence with the advent of digital platforms, which offer a vast array of content to consumers. This research examines the impact of the Long Tail on the creation and distribution of new games. By analyzing trends, consumer preferences, and market dynamics, this study explores how the Long Tail has influenced the gaming industry's landscape and provides insights into the opportunities and challenges it presents for game developers and distributors. In general, game developers aiming to produce games with sustained interest and profitability over a longer length of time should give the Long Tail and New Games concepts serious thought. By regularly updating the game's content, engaging the community, and using post-launch marketing strategies, long tail game designers aim to maintain players' interest and involvement.

KEYWORDS:

Brand, Demand, Distributor, Innovation, Industry.

INTRODUCTION

Hundreds of films are made each year, but only a select number of them go on to become box office successes or blockbusters. While many of the nonhits individually account for far less money than a hit, each success generates hundreds of millions of dollars. Even though hundreds of thousands of books are written each year, only a small number of them become top sellers. Only a small percentage of tracks in the music business go on to become hits. In all three situations, a small number of "blockbuster" items or best-sellers account for millions of dollars in sales apiece, whereas the vast majority of products individually generate merely thousands of dollars or less. Sales increase initially as one proceeds down the horizontal axis away from the origin to the right but decrease as one gets farther away from the origin [1], [2]. A small head to the left and a lengthy tail to the right are the actual shapes of the graph. Product sales are only one example of this situation, when a select few get most of the attention while the others get relatively little.

On the Internet, a select few blogs get thousands of visits apiece, whilst thousands of other sites only receive a few of clicks. A few words, like "the," are regularly used in a book like this one, while many others, like "consequently," are used less frequently. Less than 10% of the people in certain nations hold 80% of the nation's wealth. Although statisticians have used terms like the Pareto Principle, 80/20 rule, heavy tail, power-law tail, Pareto tails, and others to describe the long tail phenomenon, Chris Anderson, editor-in-chief of Wired magazine and a former correspondent for The Economist, coined the term "long tail" specifically to refer to this frequently overlooked group of products. Anderson also developed the case for why, in the face of the Internet, businesses can still succeed [3], [4]. The numerous goods in the long tail, according to him, may together account for a market share that is equal to, if not greater than,

that of the comparatively fewer hits and blockbusters in the short head if a shop or distribution channel is big enough. Utilizing the advantages of the Internet, such as its near infinite shelf space, one may generate as much income as a company that concentrates on a small number of blockbuster items by concentrating on the long tail of distribution, where there are numerous products, each of which sells a few units. eBay's business strategy was initially built mostly on long tail goods, or several one-of-a-kind items like antiques and collectibles, of which few units are sold, but by selling many of these items, the company was able to generate significant amounts of cash.

Amazon has a large number of titles, many of which only sell a few copies, despite the fact that it sells numerous best-seller books. Since there are several such publications, the overall sales income from the numerous books may be sufficient to match the earnings from the best-sellers. Many of Google's advertisers are little businesses that wouldn't typically run physical advertisements.

The corporation may generate as much income, if not more, than it would from a few large clients, by combining the revenues from these tiny but many consumers [5], [6]. Let's examine the logic behind blockbuster items, the so-called blockbusters that make up the short head and the laggards that make up the tail of the long-tail distribution to better understand why and when the long tail may provide a chance for professional new gaming business models.

Long Tail of Products: The Phenomenon Basis

Why do certain things become bestsellers, blockbusters, or smashes while others sulk in the long tail is the intriguing topic. How can we interpret the long tail distribution's shape for products? Three factors explain why certain items often perform very well, making up the short head of a distribution, while many others may sulk in the long tail:

1 The expensive and limited availability of distribution routes and shelf space.

2 The cognitive constraints and decision-making challenges of customers.

3 Customer heterogeneity, as well as the high expense and challenges associated with addressing each customer's specific demands [7], [8].

The cost of providing the space to display products in an offline environment is very high, and so are the costs associated with maintaining inventory for distributors who choose to carry an excessive number of products. As a result, many products have limited shelf space and distribution channels, especially in the offline world. As a result, even if a manufacturer tried to provide goods that met the specific demands of everyone, there wouldn't be enough room on the shelves to give all of these items at a discount. Simply said, there isn't enough space in shops or in the distribution system to provide everything for everyone at reasonable prices. Additionally, most manufacturers would not be able to contact every client to learn about their demands in order to include them in each customer's product without access to everyone. Effectively, shelf space and distribution options are limited resources that prevent many items from entering the market.

The few goods that have access to these in-demand resources have a decent chance of succeeding commercially. Products with limited access to rare sources have a higher likelihood of being found in the long tail [9], [10].

Cognitive Limitations and Decision-Making Difficulties of Customers

Even if there were adequate shelf space to stock every item that satisfies every customer's demand, most shoppers would find it challenging to choose from the enormous choice. Given

the limits of human cognition, selecting between five comparable items, much less hundreds or thousands, may be a difficult experience. Consider how difficult it might be to choose between the automobiles you can afford. Due to this cognitive bias, many consumers make purchases of mass-produced, mass-marketed goods that may not be what they really need. Customer The Challenges and High Cost of Meeting Individual Unique Needs Due to Heterogeneity

There are no two persons with the same preferences. Therefore, it would be wonderful if each product were manufactured specifically for each person in order to fulfill their particular demands; but, doing so would be prohibitively costly for certain things. Consider how much it would cost a pharmaceutical company to create a medicine specifically for each patient since their physiology is unique from other patients'. Therefore, the majority of businesses choose to mass-produce a single product and advertise it to as many consumers as they can. By doing this, they are able to profit from the economies of scale that are often brought about by specialization in the design, production, marketing, promotion, and sale of a single product to a large number of clients. The one mass-produced and promoted product that succeeds may become popular. Due to these three factors, only a small number of goods are mass manufactured, some of which eventually take up the restricted amount of shelf space and distribution options. Others are confined to the long tail, while some of these goods are well accepted and end up in the short head of the distribution.

DISCUSSION

Innovations in information technology have altered some of the factors that contribute to the success of short-tail goods relative to long-tail ones. The long tail distribution may vary as a result of this modification. How? Websites are able to provide a wide variety of things due to the tremendous advancements made in the cost-performance of computer hardware, software, and the Internet.

Thus, as opposed to the thousands of things that are feasible in the offline world, a bookshop or any other merchant may have millions of goods on display on its website. The distribution of digital goods like music and movies may also be done electronically. Customers may pick from the various options available with the aid of search engines, online reviews, community discussions, software that generates recommendations based on prior purchases, and blogs. Furthermore, producers have the chance to learn more about consumers and collaborate with them to create items that more precisely meet unique demands since IT enables more producers and customers to reach one other more affordably. Businesses may afford to sell to extremely tiny clients that they would otherwise ignore because to the cheap cost of engagements. Because of this, Google, for instance, could afford to sell advertising to several small marketers. Many of these marketers may "interact" with the company using software that it built. Additionally, businesses and their clients have access to additional technologies that allow for more affordable product customization.

In actuality, some of the factors that led to some products being relegated to the long tail have been mitigated by the properties of the Internet and other IT innovations, including low-cost shelf space and distribution channels, tools for making better purchase decisions, and a lower-cost tool for producers to better meet customer needs. Products that would never have ended up on physical shelves can now do so on electronic ones. For instance, rare books that previously couldn't be offered online because they weren't in high enough demand to warrant shelf space. Software is also available to assist customers in selecting items from the wide range of options that best suit their specific interests. As a result, goods that would typically

have languished in the long tail may now reach consumers with a variety of preferences. The tail is not only lengthened but also thickened by the Internet.

Short Tail

We may get money from the long tail, according to the long tail phenomena. Who is more likely to earn that money and why is not stated, however. After all, not all businesses that may collect vintage books or films and sell them do so with the intention of making money or gaining a competitive edge. The industry in which a company competes, as well as the company's capacity to generate and appropriate value in the marketplaces in which it competes, determine how profitable the long tail will be for that company.

Sectoral factors

The competitive factors that affect industry companies and define the average profit-ability for industry firms affect a firm's capacity to benefit from the long tail. These pressures rely on the industry's position relative to the value system for the long tail's goods and services, among other things. In this value chain, at least three different types of businesses might benefit from the long tail: distributors, or so-called aggregators, product manufacturers, and suppliers to manufacturers.

Aggregators and Distributors

Companies like Amazon.com and Wal-Mart, which purchase books, movies, DVDs, and other media and sell them online, stand to gain from the long tail because they can sell non-best-seller books, non-hit music DVDs, and non-blockbuster movies to their customers by taking advantage of the Internet's cheap, nearly limitless shelf space, better customer interaction, and less expensive information technologies for consumers to make better purchasing decisions. For instance, 90% of the movies leased from Blockbuster Inc. when they first began renting movies in its physical locations4; however, when Netflix first started renting movies online, 70% of the movies were new releases and 30% were back catalog. Chris Anderson estimates that around 3.6 million books, or 25% of all books sold on Amazon, are not in the top 100,000 best-sellers on the platform. The same technologies that provide aggregators these options also put producers at risk of forward vertical integration, as we will show. The majority of the value produced by aggregators may potentially be captured by producers, depending on their strength.

Producers

Although the majority of the research on the long tail to far has been on how aggregators might profit from reselling products they purchased from producers, producers also have the potential to profit from the long tail provided they are strategically sound and well-positioned relative to their rivals. Every used book that Amazon sells earns the publisher and author some money. Each classic film that Netflix has access to rent generates revenue for the film's creators. Additionally, creators of goods or information may utilize the Internet to market their goods directly to consumers or learn what their target market wants from the items. More build-to-order PC models were sold directly to end users by Dell thanks to the Internet than it could have done via wholesalers. If a product is digital, its creators may use the Internet to send it directly to customers. For instance, users may download movies straight from the website of the film producer, avoiding aggregators like Blockbuster and Netflix. The publisher's website offers direct book sales to customers. Producers of content and other goods may really benefit from the long tail. However, some manufacturers' edge in the market may be lost. The producers of these blockbusters risk losing revenue if blockbusters from the short head are outsold by long tail items. Publishers and aggregators may lose part of their competitive edge if content suppliers and other creators avoid them.

Suppliers

If suppliers are well positioned in relation to manufacturers, they will be able to utilize the long tail more effectively than they were able to do before the technological shift. Suppliers may make and sell their items directly to clients, skipping producers and aggregators, such as writers and musicians who often rely on publishers and record labels, respectively.

Firm-specific Elements Possibility of Creating and Using Value

A firm's performance in its industry and in comparison, to its rivals depends on elements unique to that company. It depends on how well the company can generate value and allocate value.

1 Whether the company is engaging in the proper set of activities—activities that help the company create value, improve its position relative to competitors, and exploit that value and position.

2 The degree to which consumers favor the value produced above that of rivals.

3 The amount of revenue the company generates from the value produced and its position in relation to competitors.

4 The degree to which the company may benefit from the innovation that is altering the long tail's status quo as well as any upcoming changes.

The AVAC analysis may be used to investigate a firm's capacity to produce and seize value in the long tail. We'll discuss this again after giving an example.

Some Long Tail Cases Outside of the Internet

The long tail phenomenon appears in many different situations, even though we have only discussed it in relation to the Internet. The following examples show how, in the face of many technical and nontechnological breakthroughs, there is a chance to benefit from the long tail.

Cosmetic surgery and Botox

Cosmetic surgery is the practice of performing surgical or medical operations to improve a person's look. Before the invention of Botox, cosmetic surgery was done by surgeons. The greatest surgeons in the USA conducted the most operations and earned the highest salaries, whereas general practitioners and less skilled surgeons made relatively little money from surgery. Great surgeons were thus in the short head of aesthetic surgery, while less-than-great surgeons and general practitioners were in the long tail. the Pareto distribution. All of that was supposed to change when the FDA approved Botox in April 2002 for use in cosmetic operations. A tiny gauge needle was used to inject the medicine into the wrinkle, frown line, or other desired region during a Botox operation. The patient could go back to work the same day after the quick operation, which didn't need any surgery or anesthesia. More crucially, it could be used by any doctor; surgeons were not required to use it. As a result, the general practitioners and less-than-stellar surgeons who made up the long tail of the cosmetic surgery distribution could now make more money from the treatments employing Botox than they could before Botox. In developing countries, the majority of villages and small towns had little or no phone coverage prior to the invention of wireless mobile phones. There were only fixed-line telephones accessible, and it was very unprofitable to provide phone service to rural regions due to the high expense of laying the cables and exchanges to low population locations. Thus, there was no phone service in several places. A person in one of these underserved locations would go to a small town and make the call there if they needed to make a phone call. In comparison to those who lived in small towns or villages, persons who lived in cities made a

significantly high number of phone calls. Essentially, each country's phone system had lengthy tails where peasants might get phone service. All of it was transformed by cell phone service. The expense of wiring was no longer a significant barrier since wireless phone services did not need cables. In addition, numerous nations dismantled government monopolies and embraced competition. Phone service expanded significantly both in urban regions and rural areas all of a sudden. As a result, phone service for both the short head and long tail increased.

Discounting in Rural Communities

The majority of items were sold in rural parts of the Southwest of the United States at the long tail of bargain retailing until Wal-Mart expanded there. Large discount shops constructed in major cities accounted for the majority of discount retail sales. Sometimes individuals from rural regions would travel a considerable way to cities to get some of the things they needed or would order goods from catalogs from companies like Sears. The plan for Wal-Mart was to fill nearby rural towns with its little businesses. Wal-Mart was therefore able to create the quantities that its rivals generated by constructing enormous shops in major cities, as well as more, by combining the sales in numerous local locations. Additionally, it expanded in size, formed the Wal-Mart low-cost culture, constructed a world-class logistics system, and embraced the most recent in information technology at the time. In order to generate value in rural regions and take a large portion of that value, Wal-Mart engaged in the proper set of operations.

Internet use and American political donations

Before the Internet, a small number of powerful contributors contributed the majority of political donations, notably those to presidential candidates, by making large gifts at fundraising dinners, dances, or other events. Unions and other groups might use their email lists and gatherings to generate money for candidates. Since each of them provided next to nothing, a huge portion of the tiny contributors who might have made contributions were in the long tail of donations. With the introduction of the Internet, the tail's amplitude and length both expanded because more small contributors made larger contributions. Candidates like prospective President Barack Obama were able to rake in significant sums of money from average Americans by appealing to these tiny contributors.

Web-based User Innovation

Professor Eric von Hippel of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology has long maintained that suppliers and customers may contribute to product innovation just as much as producers do. Each user, for instance, has the ability to enhance a product in ways that the maker, other users, or both have not or are unable to do. Manufacturers may combine these breakthroughs using technologies like the Internet to create a product that is much enhanced.

Microfinancing

The majority of loans from banks, credit unions, and other significant lenders go to a small number of wealthy individuals or firms in many developing nations. The majority of people do not have access to finance, and when they do, the interest rates are quite expensive. One may say that the vast majority of loans fall into the long tail of loans. Since they lack the resources to lend to significant borrowers, very tiny potential lenders might also be considered to be part of the long tail of lenders. An invention that addresses these two long tails is microfinance. In an effort to help impoverished people in developing nations escape poverty, it makes modest loans accessible to them at affordable interest rates. These microloans may be sufficiently substantial when combined for major lenders to join the market. Additionally, as they are

individuals who need modest loans, small lenders may also enter the lending industry. By increasing the number of lenders and borrowers, we effectively increase borrowing and lending.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the game business has undergone a paradigm change as a result of the Long Tail, ushering in an age marked by more accessibility, inventiveness, and variety. The accessibility of niche games has increased the gaming community and enabled both gamers and creators to go into uncharted territory. Despite these difficulties, the Long Tail has generally had a beneficial effect on the development of new games, creating a vibrant environment that encourages experimentation and creativity. Adopting the potential of the Long Tail may be a driving factor in determining the course of the gaming industry as it continues to develop. Furthermore, the decentralized structure of the Long Tail might pose difficulties for content curation and quality assurance. With so many games to pick from, customers could run across poor quality or deceptive items. To safeguard customers and preserve the overall integrity of the gaming industry in response, digital platforms and industry stakeholders must have strong quality assurance mechanisms in place.

REFERENCES:

[1] T. Cu, H. Schneider, and J. Van Scotter, "How Does Sentiment Content of Product Reviews Make Diffusion Different?," *J. Comput. Inf. Syst.*, 2019, doi: 10.1080/08874417.2017.1312636.

[2] I. O. Sediuk, "Artistic and aesthetic ideas in 'Plays' for two pianos by P. Dambis," *Asp. Hist. Musicol.*, 2019, doi: 10.34064/khnum2-15.09.

[3] K. Taeuscher, "Uncertainty kills the long tail: demand concentration in peer-to-peer marketplaces," *Electron. Mark.*, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s12525-019-00339-w.

[4] M. P. Coelho and J. Z. Mendes, "Digital music and the 'death of the long tail,'" *J. Bus. Res.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.01.015.

[5] Z. Zhang and L. Luo, "Hate speech detection: A solved problem? The challenging case of long tail on Twitter," *Semant. Web*, 2019, doi: 10.3233/SW-180338.

[6] V. B. T. M. Dos Santos, S. E. Monsueto, and B. C. P. da S. Carrijo, "Wage determinants analysis of brazilian professionals producers of music content: A view by a long tail approach," *Nov. Econ.*, 2019, doi: 10.1590/0103-6351/3039.

[7] D. Hou, G. Li, D. Chen, B. Zhu, and S. Hu, "Evaluation and analysis on the green development of China's industrial parks using the long-tail effect model," *J. Environ. Manage.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.109288.

[8] L. Liu, F. Hu, and S. Huang, "A Multifractal Random-Walk Description of Atmospheric Turbulence: Small-Scale Multiscaling, Long-Tail Distribution, and Intermittency," *Boundary-Layer Meteorol.*, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s10546-019-00451-6.

[9] A. Ferraro, "Music cold-start and long-tail recommendation: Bias in deep representations," in *RecSys 2019 - 13th ACM Conference on Recommender Systems*, 2019. doi: 10.1145/3298689.3347052.

[10] F. Limani, A. Latif, T. Borst, and K. Tochtermann, "Metadata Challenges for Long Tail Research Data Infrastructures," *Bibl. Forsch. und Prax.*, 2019, doi: 10.1515/bfp-2019-2014.

CHAPTER 7

INNOVATIVE STRATEGIES EMPLOYED BY GAME DEVELOPERS: A REVIEW STUDY

Dr. Abhimanyu Upadhyay, Associate Professor, Department of Business Studies & Entrepreneurship,
Shobhit University, Gangoh, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- abhimanyu.upadhyay@shobhituniversity.ac.in

Dr. Abhishek Kumar, Associate Professor, Department of Business Studies,
Shobhit Deemed University, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- abhishekkumar@shobhituniversity.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

In the dynamic landscape of the gaming industry, the creation and appropriation of value are crucial for sustained success and competitive advantage. This research explores the innovative strategies employed by game developers and publishers to generate value and effectively capitalize on their creations. By analyzing case studies and market trends, this study sheds light on the evolving gaming ecosystem and the transformative impact of new game strategies. The research also examines the role of player engagement, monetization models, and technological advancements in shaping value creation and appropriation within the gaming industry. The exploration of value creation and appropriation using new game strategies has uncovered significant insights into the changing dynamics of the gaming industry. Game developers and publishers have increasingly embraced innovative approaches to generate value, moving beyond traditional revenue models and seeking novel ways to engage players.

KEYWORDS:

Innovative Strategies, Gaming Industry, Player, Market.

INTRODUCTION

When an iPhone user uses the gadget to navigate by tapping the screen, make or receive phone calls, browse the Web, send or receive e-mail, take or view images, and other activities, they each perceive the value of the device. The iPhone was created and developed by Apple, but it was not produced at the time it was released in 2007. The many vendors from the USA, Europe, and Asia supplied the product's hundreds of components, which were then sent to an Asian factory for assembly before being assembled and sent to the USA for distribution. Numerous essential parts, particularly the microchips, were systems in and of themselves that were created and produced by the suppliers or their subcontractors before being sent to Apple's own subcontractors for assembly. The digital baseband, radio-frequency transceiver, and power-management equipment were provided by the German business Infineon AG [1], [2]. The video processing chip was produced by the Korean corporation Samsung, while the LCD display was built by the Japanese companies Sharp and Sanyo. Bald AG, a German business, created the touch-sensitive modules that were placed over the phone's LCD screen to enable multitouch control, and its facilities in China manufactured them.

The WIFI chips came from the US company Marvel Semiconductor. While three Taiwanese businesses Altus-Tech, Prima, and Lite On supplied the camera module, the camera lens was provided by Largan Precision of Taiwan. The battery charger was provided by Delta Electronics. Other companies provided different components. The operating system and other management applications were also provided by Apple [3], [4]. We begin by having a crucial discussion about what value creation and appropriation are all about. The effect of new gaming activities on the generation and appropriation of value is next examined. In specifically, we contend that a set of new game activities' contribution to value creation and appropriation

consists of two parts: one caused by the activities' status as value chain activities and the other by the nature of the games themselves. We finish by reminding readers that value creation may be just as essential as value appropriation, and that concentrating just on one might be a disastrous approach. To be reminded of the need of value appropriation, one simply has to think of the example of musicians, who generate a lot of value yet often do not appropriate it all [5], [6].

Value Development

Remember that the value generated is the difference between what customers perceive as advantages and what it costs to provide those benefits. Value creation essentially entails carrying out value chain operations to provide consumers with what they believe will be valuable to them and ensuring that the cost of doing so does not outweigh the benefits. Customers may get advantages from a product as a result of its features, its firm's or product's brand, its location, any network effects connected to it, or the service that it offers. As a result, creating a product, having it manufactured, and testing it all add value since they each influence its characteristics. When advertising for a product enhances consumers' perceptions of the product, it also adds value. When a product is distributed, it provides value by bringing it to consumers who otherwise wouldn't have access to it. Value creation for goods that show network effects include actions that bring in new consumers since the more users there are, the more valuable each user finds the product to be. A company may take a variety of actions to reduce expenses while generating advantages for consumers [7], [8].

It may innovate by combining existing information with new knowledge to significantly enhance current methods of doing tasks, hence sharply reducing its costs. If its goods are designed such that their unit costs decrease as production increases, it may benefit from economies of scale. If the many items it sells are designed in a way that makes manufacturing them collectively less expensive per unit than producing each product separately, it may benefit from economies of scope. By using the lessons, it has learned from climbing up various learning curves, it may reduce its expenses. It may also benefit from any special geographic features it may have, such being adjacent to a cheap labor pool. A company may also profit from circumstances connected to its industry or the overall economy. For instance, if a company has negotiating leverage over its suppliers, it may work out cheaper input pricing to keep expenses down. In order for the supplier to convey part of the cost savings to the company in the form of reduced input prices, it may also utilize that leverage to collaborate more closely with suppliers to keep component costs low. Finally, a company may control expenses by implementing the appropriate incentives and monitoring mechanisms to lower agency costs. Remember that agency costs are the expenses businesses face as a result of workers or other agents failing to perform their duties. Effectively, businesses may add value by delivering additional advantages while limiting the expenses associated with doing so [9], [10].

DISCUSSION

Value Appropriation

Who gets to benefit from the value generated is the subject of value appropriation. It has to do with how much of the pie one gets. Once again, the value generated is split between the client or supplier and the producing business, which only retains a portion of it. There are five reasons why a business may not be able to capture all of the value produced by new gaming activities:

1. The company may not have the necessary supplementary assets.

2. The company may not be in a strong position compared to its competitors.

3. The company may not be using the best pricing approach.

4. The value that the company provides could be simple to copy or replace.

5. The business could not have enough worthwhile clients who are interested in the advantages it provides.

Comparative Strengths

The owner of the complementary assets may be the one that captures the value and not the company that developed it if the product that embodies the value created needs valuable and scarce complementary assets to benefit from it. This is especially true if the product is simple to copy. The additional resources that a company requires in addition to those that support the new game in order to develop and capture value are known as complementary assets. They include of things like names, channels of distribution, shelf space, production, connections with rivals, cutting-edge technology, availability of complementary goods, installed bases, links with governments, and so forth. Therefore, in order to acquire prior positions in complementary assets and benefit from the innovation, a corporation that invents or discloses something may need to engage in other new game activities. Recording businesses and agents have complimentary assets including relationships, brands, and distribution methods in the context of the music industry.

Arrangements with Coopetitors

The party with the greatest negotiating power is not usually the one that generated the value or who makes the most contribution to value co-creation, which is another reason why a company that generates value may not be able to capture all of it. Microsoft is the most profitable in the collaboration between Intel, Microsoft, and PC manufacturers that provides value to PC consumers, but it is questionable if it also contributes the greatest value to that value system. The portion of the pie that each business gets depends on how they stand in relation to their competitors. A company may have trouble realizing the value it has generated if its suppliers or customers have negotiating leverage over it. For instance, if a company only has one supplier for a vital component, the supplier may be able to raise prices to the point where the company's profit margins are zero, thereby capturing the majority of the value the company has produced. Powerful buyers may also demand low pricing from the company, limiting the amount of value that can be captured.

Thus, a corporation may be better positioned to appropriate the value generated by engaging in new game activities that raise its bar-gaining power. Dell is a prime example. It moved away from needing to deal with the more concentrated and strong distributors to dealing directly with the more fragmented end-customers who had less influence than distributors by avoiding middlemen to sell directly to companies and consumers. A firm's ability to better appropriate value is also aided by strong ties with its competitors. As an instance, as we saw earlier, if a company works more closely with its customers to help them uncover their latent requirements for its goods, these customers' willingness to pay may increase, increasing the likelihood that the company will capture more of the value it provides.

Pricing Policy and Revenue Sources

Pricing and revenue sources are the third factor that might prevent a company from fully capturing new value. If the higher price does not cause the consumer to go to competitors, the closer a business sets its pricing to each customer's reservation price, the more of the value produced that the firm gets to retain. Remember that the highest amount a consumer is prepared to pay for a product is known as the reserve price. The likelihood that the price requested will

decrease to a level below the customer's reservation price and leave some consumer surplus increases with the customer's reservation price. Additionally, the likelihood that a consumer will remain is increased the greater the reservation fee they make. The connection between the company and the client, particularly their relative negotiating strength, as well as the firm's pricing strategy, all influence the price that is asked. The more a company's ability to negotiate with a client, the greater the amount of value it may demand in exchange for higher pricing from the consumer. Additionally, a business that has positive connections with its clients is better able to identify and cater to those clients' demands, raising the reservation price and reducing expenses while also increasing the value generated and appropriated.

In actuality, the client retains the difference and walks away with a bigger consumer surplus while the company walks away with a smaller profit if a customer has a high reservation price but a business sets its pricing at the reservation price. Pricing exceeding reservation costs turns away clients. A company is thus better off following a pricing plan that brings it as near to a customer's reservation price as it can without losing clients to rivals. The sources of income for a business also influence how much money it brings in and, in a sense, how much value it realizes. The value that a company can acquire may be increased by adding additional gaming activities that provide new income streams. Take Ryanair as an example. Along with the money it makes from selling plane tickets, it also makes money from selling snacks and duty-free items, advertising, and commissions from booking hotels and renting cars online. In actuality, the main service that Ryanair provides its customers is transportation between locations. These additional income streams enable it to indirectly usurp a greater portion of the wealth it generates.

Substitutability and Imitability

A firm's capacity to capture all the value it generates may be limited by imitability and substitutability. A company's pricing and sales volume are likely to decrease if rivals can replicate the value it provides. Customers may move to replacement items if a company's prices are higher than they would like if other companies can provide suitable alternatives. As a result, the business may appropriate less value.

Number of Important Clients

How much value is collected also depends on how many valuable clients a company can bring in with the value it gives. The proportion of fixed expenses to variable costs determines this. Each additional unit sold is more likely to generate more profits than previous units the lower the variable costs are compared to fixed expenses. By definition, valued consumers are those who have a strong desire to spend. As a result, they are more likely to demand higher reservation costs and to purchase multiple units. Since not all consumers are equally prepared to pay for a certain set of perks or cost equally to acquire and retain, the quantity of customers really counts just as much as the market or market segment that a company targets. Customers must also possess sufficient knowledge of the items that businesses sell in order to choose the advantages they want.

In order to grow the number of consumers who appreciate the advantages provided, it is crucial to identify and target the proper customers. One explanation for Dell's success in the middle to late 1990s was that more than 70% of its clients were companies that spent more than $1 million on PCs. Such consumers may also make for superior switching cost objectives in addition to having higher reservation fees. Additionally, they will probably be less expensive to maintain than smaller, less reliable ones.

Competition and the Creation of Value

Value creation can be as much a function of what a firm does as it can be a function of what its cooperators the customers, complementors, rivals, and suppliers with whom a firm cooperates to create value and competes to capture it do. The quality of the inputs from a firm's suppliers influences the quality of the benefits that the fir- For instance, the advantages that a consumer experiences with a PC depend just as much on how the PC manufacturer conceptualizes, produces, and markets the product as they do on the caliber of the CPU, the software provided by complementors, and the manner in which the customer utilizes the PC. The cost for a business to provide advantages to its clients depends on its suppliers and the connection it has with those suppliers. Additionally, a customer's own traits, such as the purpose for which they desire the goods, have a role in how much they feel the product meets their requirements. Additionally, the connection between a client and a company affects the price that a customer is required to pay. In reality, coopetitors who work together via alliances, informal understandings, joint ventures, venture capital investments, etc. often produce value. A corporation must effectively collaborate to produce value and compete to usurp it. Every business would profit from its creation to the same extent in a just society. However, businesses with influence over their value system often take more of the value produced by the system than their contribution would suggest. Effectively, placing oneself to maximize the value in one's value system is a crucial component of strategy.

Added Value

One issue with this is that figuring out a customer's reservation price or willingness to pay isn't always simple. For instance, in the case of Apple, we are aware that many consumers who purchased the iPhone had the option of paying far more than the suggested retail price; in other words, the reservation prices they paid were greater than the suggested retail pricing. We do not, however, know just how much extra anyone would have paid. What we can conclude is that consumers' average reservation prices were greater than the final retail prices they were required to pay. We also don't know what Apple paid as reservations for each of the parts. The most crucial thing for a strategist to keep in mind is to always seek out strategies to come as near to each client's reservation price as feasible in order to extract as much value as possible without pushing the consumer to competitors. In addition, a company works hard to get a price from its suppliers that serves as its reserve price without jeopardizing the supplier's ability to continue providing high-quality components.

Value Creation and Appropriation Have an International Aspect

In the aforementioned example, it's interesting to note that even though each contract manufacturer who assembled the iPhone for Apple only received $2.33 of every $499 each customer paid for a 4GB iPhone, the manufacturer's home country was still given credit for exports totaling $234.83, which represents the factor cost of the product assembled in the nation. This is bad because despite the fact that the manufacturing nation only received a small portion of the value, it was still seen as the exports' main beneficiary.

Think about the reverse scenario, where a significant US exporter may be receiving more credit for exports than the value-added methodology would imply. Boeing Corporation was one of America's biggest exporters throughout the 1980s, 1990s, and 2000s. For instance, how much of the value that airlines saw in a Boeing 787 in 2007 was produced in the USA? Boeing carried out the R&D, arduous testing, and aircraft design that are essential to the aircraft's success. The wings, center wing box, main landing gear wheel well, and forward fuselage were manufactured by Mitsubishi and Kawasaki Heavy Industries in Nagoya, Japan. The forward fuselage was manufactured by Mitsubishi and Kawasaki Heavy Industries in Everett,

Washington, USA. However, almost all of the major components that were put together in Everett all of them systems in themselves were manufactured and tested in other countries before being shipped to Everett for assembly. The other front fuselage was made in the US by Vought in South Carolina, the center fuselage by Alenia, and the aft fuselage by Spirit AeroSystems in Wichita, Kansas. Alenia Aeronautica of Italy made the horizontal stabilizers. While the cargo doors, access doors, and crew escape door were constructed in Sweden by Saab, the passenger doors were made in France by Latecoere. While Boeing Canada Technology created the fairings in Canada, Boeing Australia constructed the ailerons and flaps there.

The critical parts of the 787, such as the engines, fuselage, landing gear systems, wings, and tail, were designed, developed, tested, and assembled by its suppliers even though Boeing added value by conceptualizing and designing the aircraft, integrating all the components, coordinating all the suppliers, and assembling the subassemblies. These companies significantly increased the value that airlines and passengers saw in a 787. Additionally, Boeing collaborated extensively on the design of the aircraft with the airlines who would purchase them. Many of these competitors were also from countries other than the USA. However, the whole cost of the aircraft would be attributed to US exports to Japan if a Japanese airline were to purchase a 787. When we consider in terms of value generated and appropriated rather than items exported or imported, we would have a clearer understanding of what is happening.

Activities in new games and value creation

Recall that new game activities are those that are carried out differently from how the value chain activities in the present industry have been carried out in order to produce or capture value. Also bear in mind that giving distinctive advantages to clients while keeping the cost of doing so low is value creation. In order to provide clients something new that they perceive as useful to them, value creation through new game activities involves conducting value chain, value network, or value shop activities differently while ensuring that the cost of providing the advantages does not outweigh the benefits. The activities may vary in terms of what they entail, when, where, and how they are carried out. A wider network for goods that display network externalities, new product features, a new brand image, better product placement, or better product service are some examples of the new advantages.

These advantages may result from advancements in consumer perception of advantages made in product design, R&D, production, promotion, and distribution. By entering a market first in its sector, a business might also provide fresh advantages. When Wal-Mart expanded into little communities in the Southwest of the United States that other bargain stores had ignored, this was the situation. Additionally, such was the situation when Ryanair began operating out of smaller airports in European locations that were ignored by the continent's big airlines. Additionally, new game features might add value by just cutting expenses. For instance, a company may significantly save expenses by rearranging its business processes to complete jobs more quickly. In some ways, businesses reorganizing their business processes to complete tasks more quickly is what business process re-engineering has been all about. In order to reduce expenses, businesses may also come up with innovative methods to draw lessons from the past or from other businesses and apply those lessons to current work.

The advantages of a product may be shown to more consumers in novel ways via innovative game advertising initiatives, or consumers' perceptions of those benefits may be altered. In any scenario, these efforts are likely to increase the number of consumers who are willing to pay for a product as well as their desire to do so, boosting the overall value provided. One of the pioneers in the market for cholesterol medications was Pfizer, which advertised their

cholesterol medication Lipitor directly to people rather than to physicians. This may have altered the perception of Pfizer's medicine and the willingness of consumers to pay for it, in addition to raising awareness of cholesterol and the advantages of cholesterol medications. A company does not necessarily have to supply items with superior qualities than those of rival products when employing new gaming activities to add value for consumers. Some of the characteristics that consumers have grown to see as holy cows may be removed by a company. In reverse positioning, a company removes some of a product's key features while simultaneously adding new, unforeseen features. For instance, Nintendo did not attempt to outdo Microsoft's Xbox 360 and Sony's PS3 by releasing a video game system with higher computational power, more detailed graphics, more complicated controls, which die-hard gamers had grown to regard as holy grails, when it released the Wii. Instead, Nintendo provided a product with simpler controls, less visual quality, and less processing power. The Wii, however, offered "channels" where news and weather reports could be seen. The new product, if well received, is likely to draw its own consumers. In reverse positioning, some of the customers who cannot live without the holy cows that have been removed are likely to avoid the new product. Self-selection occurs when some consumers like the new product despite the fact that it lacks some of the features, they have become used to expecting. For instance, even though many devoted gamers weren't very fond of the Nintendo Wii, a lot of newcomers, returning players, and casual gamers adored the console. Actually, having more isn't necessarily a good thing. It is not necessary to outperform current rivals on their performance curve.

Activities in new games and value appropriation

Remember that value appropriation refers to how much a firm profit from the value that has been created, and that a firm's ability to do so depends on five factors: the availability and significance of complementary assets, the relationship a firm has with its competitors, its pricing strategy, its ability to be imitated and replaced, and the number of valuable customers. As a result, any novel game mechanics that affect one or more of these variables may have an effect on appropriability.

As an example, consider technical innovation activities. By pursuing and obtaining protection for the intellectual property that powers its medicines, a pharmaceutical company may be able to reduce competition, raise switching costs for consumers, and raise barriers to entrance into its market. Increased entry barriers and less competition allow a business to maintain high pricing since there is less risk of new entrants and less chance of competitors engaging in price wars. Customers' negotiating power is reduced as a result of greater switching costs, and there is a probability that the business may charge them more.

The capacity of pharmaceutical companies to usurp a large portion of the wealth they produce is in part due to the existence of defendable patents for many pharmaceutical goods. Another illustration of how a company might employ new gaming activities to produce and appropriate value comes from eBay's involvement in online auctions.

The company developed a sizable and generally secure user community and adopted auction pricing. Since each seller is more likely to find a buyer and each buyer is more likely to find a seller in a bigger network than in a smaller one, the size of such a secure community for online auctions increases its value for each member. Users are thus less inclined to migrate to a smaller or less secure network, providing eBay some negotiating leverage. eBay comes closer to each buyer's reservation price when it utilizes an auction format than it would if competitors used networks with comparable characteristics or when it used a fixed-price structure.

Activities in the New Game, Value Creation, and Appropriation

Despite the fact that we have separately discussed value creation and value appropriation, new game actions may and often do so. For instance, a pharmaceutical corporation has successfully produced value and placed itself in a position to appropriate the value when it creates a new, effective medicine and secures enforceable patents for it. Patients would choose a treatment that is very successful at treating the condition for which it is indicated over ones that are less effective, giving the company leverage when negotiating costs with the government. Additionally, the success of the medication makes replacements less of a factor, and the corporation is less affected by the danger of new competitors and competition because to the enforceability of the patents.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, Innovative methods of value production and appropriation have been a defining feature of the gaming industry's growth. In order to provide distinctive and engaging gaming experiences, game developers and publishers have adopted new techniques that put a focus on player involvement, take use of creative monetization strategies, and use cutting-edge technology. These tactics not only improve the gaming environment but also help the stakeholders in the sector develop and prosper. Understanding and using these new game methods will be crucial for game developers and publishers looking to succeed in the competitive and ever-changing gaming market as the industry continues to expand. Additionally, user-generated content (UGC) and community-driven content production have become effective tactics for value appropriation. Giving players the ability to develop and share their own content encourages creativity and a feeling of ownership while also extending the life of the game. This user-driven strategy may greatly increase player engagement and support the game's long-term success.

REFERENCES:

[1] Dr. Ahmed Ezzat, “Exploring language game strategy for teaching Arabic speaking skills,” *J. Educ. Pract.*, 2019, doi: 10.7176/jep/10-30-11.

[2] T. N. Cason and V. L. Mui, “Individual versus group choices of repeated game strategies: A strategy method approach,” *Games Econ. Behav.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.geb.2019.01.003.

[3] P. F. Franco and D. A. DeLuca, “Learning Through Action: Creating and Implementing a Strategy Game to Foster Innovative Thinking in Higher Education,” *Simul. Gaming*, 2019, doi: 10.1177/1046878118820892.

[4] F. Dong, X. Ding, and L. Shi, “Wind power pricing game strategy under the China’s market trading mechanism,” *Energies*, 2019, doi: 10.3390/en12183456.

[5] K. Li, B. Jiu, and H. Liu, “Game Theoretic Strategies Design for Monostatic Radar and Jammer Based on Mutual Information,” *IEEE Access*, 2019, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2920398.

[6] S. H. Muggleton and C. Hocquette, “Machine Discovery of Comprehensible Strategies for Simple Games Using Meta-interpretive Learning,” *New Gener. Comput.*, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s00354-019-00054-2.

[7] B. J. Dyson, “Behavioural isomorphism, cognitive economy and recursive thought in non-transitive game strategy,” *Games*. 2019. doi: 10.3390/g10030032.

[8] P. R. Singh, M. A. Elaziz, and S. Xiong, "Ludo game-based metaheuristics for global and engineering optimization," *Appl. Soft Comput. J.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.asoc.2019.105723.

[9] M. Á. Gómez, S. Avugos, E. Ortega, and M. Bar-Eli, "Adverse effects of technical fouls in elite basketball performance," *Biol. Sport*, 2019, doi: 10.5114/biolsport.2019.83505.

[10] W. He and Y. Sun, "Pure-strategy equilibria in Bayesian games," *J. Econ. Theory*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jet.2018.11.007.

CHAPTER 8

SHIFTS IN THE LOCUS OF VALUE CREATION AND APPROPRIATION

Dr. Abhimanyu Upadhyay, Associate Professor, Department of Business Studies & Entrepreneurship,
Shobhit University, Gangoh, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- abhimanyu.upadhyay@shobhituniversity.ac.in

Dr. Abhishek Kumar, Associate Professor, Department of Business Studies,
Shobhit Deemed University, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- abhishekkumar@shobhituniversity.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

The concept of value creation and appropriation has witnessed significant shifts in recent times due to evolving market dynamics, technological advancements, and changing consumer preferences. This research investigates the changing locus of value creation and appropriation across various industries such as gaming sector. By analyzing case studies and market trends, this study sheds light on the factors influencing these shifts and their implications for businesses and stakeholders. The research also examines the role of digital transformation, platform economies, and customer-centric strategies in reshaping the landscape of value creation and appropriation. The analysis of shifts in the locus of value creation and appropriation has illuminated key insights into the transformation of modern business landscapes. Traditionally, value creation predominantly resided within individual companies, with organizations designing, producing, and delivering products and services to consumers. However, with the advent of digital transformation and platform economies, this paradigm has experienced a seismic shift.

KEYWORDS:

Consumer, Gaming Sector, Digital Market, Trend.

INTRODUCTION

New games may also change the locus of value generation and appropriation along a value system. We have concentrated on how and why value is generated and appropriated during new games. For instance, vertically integrated computer companies like IBM and DEC that developed the microchips and software essential to their products generated and seized the majority of the value in the computer industry throughout the mainframe and mini-computer period. Microsoft, a complementor and supplier, and Intel, a supplier, grabbed a large portion of the value in the new game that the PC ushered in. The production and appropriation of value effectively transferred from computer manufacturers to their suppliers and complementors [1], [2]. Botox is one of the most compelling illustrations of a change in value generation and appropriation in modern games.

Before the invention of Botox, surgeons were the main practitioners of cosmetic surgery. These doctors charged hefty specialized fees for the treatment, while their suppliers received little to no payment each session. Patients were placed under anesthesia during surgery, which may take many hours, and it often took them several weeks to completely recover. After the FDA approved Botox in 2002, any practitioner may use Botox to complete a wide range of aesthetic treatments. Allergan purchased a vial of Botox for $40 and then sold it to physicians for $400, who then hiked it up to around $2,800. Three to four patients might each get treatment from a vial. The Botox treatment only took a few minutes, and the patient was able to resume work or other regular activities the next day. With the invention of Botox, part of a cosmetic surgeon's

abilities was effectively replaced with a product, and some value creation and appropriation were transferred from cosmetic physicians to Allergan, their supplier [3], [4].

Cooperation and Competition Have a Latent Link

One the one hand, the majority of strategy frameworks either emphasize rivalry or collaboration exclusively. For instance, Porter's Five pressures focus on how competitive pressures affect industry businesses. Even the Five Forces models that take complementors into account offer next to nothing about potential cooperative relationships between industrial enterprises and their suppliers, customers, competitors, and potential new entrants. The implicit rivalry that exists between the collaborating enterprises, on the other hand, is mostly ignored by models of company cooperation, if at all. As we discussed previously, there will certainly be rivalry where there is collaboration, and possibilities for cooperation will likely exist where there is competition. These two assertions are considerably more relevant in light of new gaming activities for two reasons. First, compared to non-new game activities, new game activities often have more ambiguities that need to be clarified, particularly those that support revolutionary new games. The best way to address these uncertainties, particularly technical ones, is via some kind of collaboration. Thus, rather than treating each supplier as an enemy over whom the company wants to have bargaining power, a company developing a new product whose components are also innovations would be better off working with suppliers of such components to address product development uncertainties that they face [5], [6]. Additionally, businesses that compete in a young market have an incentive to work together to expand. And where there is cooperation to create value, there is also competition, even if only implicit, to share costs and the value created.

This is because, as we saw earlier in this, profiting from new game activities frequently requires complementary assets, many of which are frequently obtained through some form of cooperation [7], [8].

Opportunities Forgotten in Cooperation and Competition

Therefore, each time businesses pass up a chance to collaborate while competing, they might be preventing the creation of a bigger pie. Likewise, each time a business ignores the implied rivalry that arises from cooperation, it could be lowering its portion of the pie. For instance, a company may cooperate with suppliers to save costs and enhance the performance and quality of the components rather of using its negotiating leverage to compel them to accept cheap pricing. By doing this, the company may get superior components at a lower cost than the outdated, subpar ones and a supplier that is even more successful and content than before [9], [10].

Whole Grape

One error that coopetitors often make is forgetting to consider their alternatives in the face of the rivalry that frequently arises during collaboration. In particular, consider very carefully about who else is out there with whom you can make a pie before leaving your relationship since your half of the pie is modest in comparison to your partner's share and your contribution. Will the value you generate with your new partner match the amount you can generate with your current one? You may be trading 10% of a watermelon for 90% of a grape if you leave your current relationship in favor of an outsider.

Value Development Internet use: crowdsourcing

In order to succeed, an innovative company often has to work with or engage with other companies. The usage of the Internet and its game-changing value-creation opportunities

greatly facilitate this process of engagement and cooperation. We look at yet another instance of how businesses might benefit from the Internet's ability to change the game. The intriguing example of Goldcorp Inc. is where we begin.

Case Study: Goldcorp Inc.

Don Tapscott, Anthony Williams, and Linda Tischler16 provide an intriguing illustration of how a business might benefit from a technology advancement like the Internet to pursue a ground-breaking new game strategy. At Toronto-based miner Goldcorp Inc., things were not going well in 1999. The CEO of the company, Rob McEwen, thought that the high-grade gold ore that passed through the nearby mines had to pass via the 55,000-acre Red Lake stake owned by his company. The worth and position of the gold, however, were impossible for Goldcorp's geologists to determine with any degree of accuracy. In 1999, McEwen took a break from his job and happened onto a presentation on the history of Linux and the open-source movement at a conference at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology for young presidents. He was listening intently as Linus Torvalds and a group of volunteers described how they developed the Linux operating system over the Internet by making the source code available to the public. This allowed thousands of anonymous programmers to make significant contributions to the development and improvement of the operating system. McEwen instantly realized what his company needed to accomplish. Open-source code is what McEwen would later recollect saying, "I said, "That's what I want!"" In the same manner that the open-source team had made the operating system development process public, he wanted to make the exploratory process accessible to anyone.

McEwen hastened back to Toronto where he briefed his senior geologists on his idea to compile all of their geological data dating all the way back to 1948, publish it with the world, and then seek for help locating the gold. The geologists, whose mental reasoning was firmly established in the hyper secrecy of this conservative sector, were horrified at the prospect of making their ultra-secret geological data available for the public to meddle with. Nevertheless, McEwen went ahead and announced the "Goldcorp Challenge" in 2000, offering a $575,000 reward to anybody who could come up with the most accurate predictions and strategies for finding gold at Goldcorp's Red Lake site. The company posted all 400 gigabytes of their geological data online. Instantaneous and stunning was the answer. The material was downloaded and put to use by more than 1,400 engineers, geologists, mathematicians, consultants, military personnel, and scientists. Yes, it involved a wide range of geologists. We had computer visuals, intelligent systems, applied arithmetic, sophisticated physics, and organic answers to inorganic issues, as McEwen would later recount. I had never seen skills like them previously in the business.

The inventiveness of the proposals amazed the five judges. The winning team was made up of two Australian companies working together: Taylor Wall & Associates in Queensland and Fractal Graphics in West Perth. They had created a stunning three-dimensional visual representation of the mine. McEwen would later remark, "I almost fell out of my chair when I saw the computer graphics." Of the 110 targets the participants found, 50% had never been found before. More than 80% of the newly discovered targets included significant gold deposits. Additionally, McEwen calculated that engaging the community to find a solution has cut their regular research period by two to three years and saved them a significant amount of money. With the gold that Goldcorp had discovered and its renovated mines, the company could finally reach the performance levels that McEwen had hoped for when he invested in a controlling stake in the mine in 1989. At a cost of $59 per ounce, the Red Lake mine was turning out 504,000 ounces of gold annually by 2001.20 Compare that to a 1996 yearly rate prior to the "Gold Challenge" of 53,000 ounces at a price of $360. McEwen saw more as he looked into the future. "But what's truly significant is that without ever physically visiting the

property, the victors were able to evaluate a database and generate targets from a distant location. He would add, "It's obvious that this is a part of the future.

Minicomics, mass collaboration, and crowdsourcing

A prime example of crowdsourcing is when Goldcorp outsourced the process of data analysis to determine the worth and location of gold on its site. If a company outsources a work to the broader public in the form of an open call to anybody who can complete the assignment as opposed to a particular company, organization, or person, it is referred to be crowdsourcing. Anywhere along the value chain, from design to algorithm improvement to marketing, may be assigned the duty. Mass cooperation, and open innovation are other names for crowdsourcing. Jeff Howe and Mark Robinson of Wired magazine came up with the term initially. The term for this phenomenon, in which jobs are outsourced to anybody in the public who is qualified to accomplish them, was still up for debate in 2008. The fact that the phenomena is genuine and can be anticipated to dominate future games more and more is what matters. There are many more instances. Since its founding in 2000, Threadless has relied on the public to create, choose, advertise, and purchase its T-shirts. Incentive, a 2002-founded B2B company, contracts with businesses in different sectors and nations to do research and development (R&D) for biotech and pharmaceutical companies. Crowdsourcing uses don't only apply to commercial endeavors. Anyone may contribute to Wikipedia, a free online encyclopedia.

DISCUSSION

Public May Be Better

There is a strong likelihood that the public may have a better answer than the corporation seeking the solution if the best solution to the issue whose solution is being sought involves drastically different methods of doing things. Why? Prior commitments, mental frameworks, and the outsourced company's not-invented-here mentality do not disadvantage the general public and may hinder it from adopting the radical strategy. There are many persons in the public with a variety of backgrounds, mental models, and disciplines; one of them may be the ideal candidate for the unconventional approach required.

In certain instances, the answer to an issue can already be there, or someone might already be extremely close to finding the answer. Going outside may thus save the company from having to reinvent the wheel in addition to saving time and money.

Talent's Depth and Breadth

Depending on the job and sector, the range and depth of expertise available in the general public may be superior than what is offered by an outsourced organization or a particular entity a company has selected to address the issue. Even the finest companies are unable to fill all open positions.

The top talent can opt to reside in a different nation, state, or city. Some individuals could flourish in a different environment than what is offered by the company. Some people may prefer to work only when there is an intriguing and difficult topic to tackle, and as a result, find the restrictions of an outsourced company to be in hospital.

Better Competition Incentives

The public could have a stronger motivation to find a solution than the company does. Some individuals may only flourish in situations where they have the chance to demonstrate their abilities in a competition. They want to have the confidence to claim, "I was the best in the world."

Faster and Cheaper

The business just has to pay for the very finest options. In actuality, it only pays for outcomes. It is not responsible for any deadwood costs. For these and the other reasons mentioned above, the company's cost of crowdsourcing is probably cheaper than that of internal development or collaboration with a particular company or person. Additionally, it is probable that the answer will be found considerably more quickly.

Signaling

A company will notify its competitors that it will participate in certain activities by outsourcing a work to the public. For instance, if a company's rival launches a new product and the company wants to let its rivals know that it is developing a comparable product, it may crowdsource some of the processes or elements that go into the finished product. By doing this, the business is warning its devoted clients against switching to one of its rivals since a newer, better product is about to be released.

Negative Aspects of Crowdsourcing

Crowdsourcing has drawbacks as well. First, when one opens oneself to the public as much as one must when using crowdsourcing, it is harder to secure one's intellectual property. There are no nondisclosure agreements or formal contracts. Second, because tacit knowledge cannot be encoded and conveyed via the Internet, crowdsourcing may not be appropriate for jobs requiring it. The most effective way to transmit tacit knowledge is via hands-on training. For lengthy and difficult undertakings like designing and manufacturing an aircraft, crowdsourcing may not be the best option. Such duties need oversight, ongoing encouragement, and other long-term commitments. Third, integrating the outsourced solution into a company that experiences not-invented-here syndrome could be challenging. Fourth, unscrupulous rivals may target your calls with harmful solutions they are aware won't work in the hopes that you won't be able to detect them. The appropriate management may reduce these drawbacks.

New Game Strategies to Increase Profits

After examining value creation and appropriation, the issue that remains is: Is there anything that a company can do to improve its odds of making the greatest contribution to value creation and appropriation, and therefore its profitability, while adopting a new game strategy? After all, not every company that employs novel game techniques succeeds. Yes, depending on the firm's advantages and disadvantages, as well as how it executes its new value chain activities while maximizing the benefits of the new game.

Strengths and Limitations

Some of a company's pre-new game strengths endure when it enters the new game, while others turn into disadvantages. The amount to which the company can carry out value chain operations to produce and appropriate value, taking advantage of the features of the new game, is then determined by these strengths and disadvantages. Resources and product-market positioning are examples of both advantages and disadvantages. A company's success or failure in a new game may be determined by its strengths and weaknesses. For instance, certain trademarks, distribution methods, and customer connections often continue to be strengths for a company even in the face of breakthrough innovations.

New game factors and the value chain

How can a company produce and utilize the greatest value, given its advantages and disadvantages? To respond, keep in mind that the likelihood that activities any value chain

activities will contribute optimally to value creation and appropriation is a function of the extent to which the activities contribute to low cost, differentiation, more customers, and other profit-generating factors; contribute to better positioning the firm relative to its competitors; take advantage of industry value drivers; build or translate unique resources/capabilities in Given that they relate to value chain activities, let's refer to these components as value chain factors as they influence the generation and appropriation of value. Since the core elements of any new game strategy are new game activities that are also value chain activities, these value chain characteristics also influence how well these new game activities contribute to value creation and appropriation. In addition, however, the contribution of new game factors to value creation and appropriation is a function of new game factors a function of the fact that, as we saw in 1, new games generate new ways of creating and appropriating new value; offer an opportunity to build new resources/capabilities or translate existing ones in new ways into value; create the potential to build and exploit first-mover advantages; attract reactions from new and existing competitors; and create the potential to build and exploit first-mover advantages the value production and appropriation contributions of a new game strategy's value chain and new game elements.

The value chain aspect directly influences the outcome. Although it does so via modulating the value chain component's contribution, the new game aspect only indirectly helps to value generation and appropriation. The function of stirring tea is fulfilled by a moderating variable. Tea without sugar does not get sweet when stirred. However, when there is sugar present, stirring is essential for the tea's sweetness. In other words, the new game factors determine whether the impact of value chain variables on value generation and appropriation is substantial or little. We now investigate the effects of each value chain aspect on value appropriation and production, as modulated by new game factors. A company may employ new gaming activities to help with low cost, differentiation, attracting more consumers, pricing better, and recognizing or chasing the correct sources of income, just like it can with any other value chain activities. However, as new games lead to new methods of producing and/or capturing new value, a business may benefit from these new ways by, for instance, selecting those activities that allow it to provide clients with a unique value or to improve its competitive position. By doing so, you are effectively inhabiting a special market niche, sometimes known as a "sweet spot" or "white space," where there is little to no direct competitors and hence less competition. Because of this, businesses have a higher chance of attracting the right clients, offering them the correct value, and pursuing the appropriate price plans and income streams. Additionally, if a company is the first to enter a new market and capitalize on first-mover advantages, it might increase switching costs with its consumers.

For instance, Dell built its brand at these customers and performed additional customization tasks for such firms including the loading of firm-specific software efforts that may have built some switching costs at these customers when it targeted volume-buying business customers as the primary focus for its direct-sales/build-to-order business model. The impact of competition, the purchasing power of consumers, and the danger of prospective new entries and alternatives are all reduced by switching costs. They may also make customers more inclined to pay. Therefore, strengthening a firm's position by moving first increases switching costs, enhancing the firm's capacity to produce and capture value. If the company has advantages such as previous ties with such clients or a well-established brand, switching fees are simpler to develop.

A business may benefit from first-mover advantages by implementing the proper pricing strategy. For instance, in the pharmaceutical industry, companies that are the first to discover a new drug in a therapeutic category typically set the drug's price very high because there isn't a

drug on the market that is similar to it and because there is typically a high willingness to pay in nations where pharmaceutical prices are not regulated. Skimming is a tactic used by businesses to collect as much money as they can before rivals enter the market with similar products. A company may effectively generate first-mover advantages that will serve to magnify its capacity to create and appropriate value by attracting the right consumers, offering the right benefits, and pursuing the correct pricing strategies and sources of income.

Boost its Position Against Coo-Competitors

A company strengthens its position in relation to competitors, for instance, if it implements innovative game tactics to provide its clients with special advantages. Furthermore, as the operations are brand-new, there is a chance to benefit from first-mover benefits to strengthen the position even more. For instance, a business may be able to secure its intellectual property. Increased hurdles to entry into the company's product-market-space result from such protection. Additionally, because a corporation's strategy is novel, competitors are likely to respond by copying it, surpassing it, or engaging in other actions that will allow them to exceed the firm. A corporation is more likely to cooperate better or engage in competition with coopetitors if it predicts and takes into account the expected response of coopetitors while engaging in new game activities.

Coke often predicts what Pepsi's response is going to be while pursuing its regular new game techniques, and vice versa. Boeing and Airbus often assess one other's expected response while pursuing their regular, position- and resource-building new game tactics since earlier commitments made by rivals might restrict them from engaging in new game activities. Thus, a company might benefit from the disadvantages of its rivals by inventing and appropriating value through new gaming activities. A corporation may wish to focus on persuading consumers, not rivals, that the concept works, for instance, if the new game activity is counterintuitive and competitors are hindered by their predominating managerial logic from comprehending the rationale behind the activity. The first mover may wish to make sure that such rules or regulations are upheld if rivals are prohibited by legislation from engaging in any actions that might enable them to overtake a first mover.

Profit from industry value drivers

The effect of using value drivers on value creation and appropriation may be amplified by the new game factor if a business implements new game activities to capitalize on industry value drivers. For instance, network size has a significant role in determining industry value in marketplaces for goods and services that show network externalities. By virtue of value chain elements, a company that pursues the appropriate new gaming activities may amass a sizable network size. However, since the operations are novel, the company may seize the chance to develop new resources or create and capitalize on first-mover advantages. An excellent illustration is the eBay situation. Because it was the first in the online auctions industry, it was simpler to build a brand that reinforced its large network size, making the effects of its large network size even more valuable in creating and appropriating value. It established a sizable community of registered users, giving it a sizable network size.

The advantages a company has in a new game may also make it easier for it to benefit from industry value drivers. For instance, when IBM joined the PC market, its PC standard soon rose to the top of the industry, creating the groundwork for the Wintel network. IBM brought forward several significant qualities, like its brand name recognition and relationships with customers and software developers, which helped them win the standard. IBM's capacity to capture the value generated by PCs may have been significantly harmed by its concern about cannibalizing its mainframe and minicomputer businesses. Create or transform distinctive

resources or capabilities into distinctive value. Some of the most effective new game tactics have been those that have capitalized on the new gameness of the methods by building limited resources for later usage. Because Ryanair was the first to ramp up its operations at many of these airports, it was able to take up the majority of the gates and landing slots at the airports, thereby preemptively locking up crucial resources that would anchor its operations. For instance, when Ryanair moved into secondary airports, it could benefit from the fact that the operating costs at these airports were low relative to those at their primary counterparts. Once the company built a network of these airports, it was difficult for its rivals to imitate the network. Pixar had the opportunity to collaborate with Disney and benefit from its narrative skills and distribution networks since it was the first to embrace digital animation technology, which allowed it to produce films like Toy Story and Finding Nemo.

Meticulous and Judicious

To explore a new endeavor or bring about change requires money. As a result, a business should only engage in activities that are required activities that, given their expenses, sufficiently contribute to value generation and appropriation; in other words, the activities that a firm engages in should be frugal. For instance, Airbus decided to create the A380 to fill the need for long-range aircraft that can carry 500–800 people and operate more cheaply than current aircraft. This new gaming tactic worked well. The business, however, ended up carrying out several tasks improperly, which resulted in significant financial loss. For instance, the company ended up with two incompatible computer-aided design systems one German and the other French which resulted in errors in the wiring harness design for the A380. The CAD systems had to be redone as a result, which added delays and expenses. Due to these actions, the company delivered the first aircraft two years late and incurred significant cost overruns. Furthermore, the parent company's shares decreased by 26% once the error was made public knowledge.

A company must also ensure that it is carrying out the duties that are assigned to it. The company may do an AVAC analysis to ascertain the activities that it should be carrying out, and from it, carry out the actions that turn the Noes into Yesses and strengthen the Yesses. To sum up, value chain factors that arise because new game activities are value chain activities and new game factors that arise because new game activities have a new game component are what determine a firm's ability to create and appropriate value in the face of a new game. While new game elements minimize this impact, value chain aspects directly contribute to value generation and appropriation. Both elements rely on a company's pre-new game advantages, which may endure as advantages or deteriorate in the face of the new game.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, Industries are fundamentally changing and the direction of business are being shaped by changes in the location of value production and appropriation. For businesses to succeed in the constantly changing environment, embracing digital transformation, leveraging platform economies, and implementing customer-centric strategies have become essential. Businesses may more effectively generate and appropriate value by navigating these transformations strategically, resulting in sustainable development and long-term success in the competitive global market. While these changes provide companies exciting new prospects, they also bring difficulties. To remain competitive, businesses must adjust to the changing environment and adopt creative, flexible strategies. To protect both consumer trust and brand reputation, strong data governance, privacy protections, and cybersecurity are required given the growing importance of data and technology. Additionally, the emergence of platform

economies necessitates striking a balance between sustainable cooperation and fair competition to guarantee an even playing field for all players.

REFERENCES:

[1] S. Cabral, J. T. Mahoney, A. M. McGahan, and M. Potoski, "Value creation and value appropriation in public and nonprofit organizations," *Strateg. Manag. J.*, 2019, doi: 10.1002/smj.3008.

[2] A. Kuckertz, E. S. C. Berger, and A. Gaudig, "Responding to the greatest challenges? Value creation in ecological startups," *J. Clean. Prod.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.05.149.

[3] J. L. Viviani and C. Maurel, "Performance of impact investing: A value creation approach," *Res. Int. Bus. Financ.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.ribaf.2018.01.001.

[4] F. Pargar, J. Kujala, K. Aaltonen, and S. Ruutu, "Value creation dynamics in a project alliance," *Int. J. Proj. Manag.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.ijproman.2018.12.006.

[5] K. Boratyńska, "Impact of Digital Transformation on Value Creation in Fintech Services: An Innovative Approach," *J. Promot. Manag.*, 2019, doi: 10.1080/10496491.2019.1585543.

[6] M. A. Akaka and H. J. Schau, "Value creation in consumption journeys: recursive reflexivity and practice continuity," *J. Acad. Mark. Sci.*, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s11747-019-00628-y.

[7] M. Laursen and C. P. Killen, "Programming for holistic value creation: collaboration, coordination and perception," *Int. J. Manag. Proj. Bus.*, 2019, doi: 10.1108/IJMPB-01-2017-0009.

[8] J. Winslow and O. Mont, "Bicycle sharing: Sustainable value creation and institutionalisation strategies in Barcelona," *Sustain.*, 2019, doi: 10.3390/su11030728.

[9] M. Ibrahimi and H. Meghouar, "Sources of value creation and destruction in horizontal mergers and acquisitions," *Manag. Financ.*, 2019, doi: 10.1108/MF-02-2018-0053.

[10] A. Boukis, "Internal market orientation as a value creation mechanism," *J. Serv. Mark.*, 2019, doi: 10.1108/JSM-10-2017-0336.

CHAPTER 9

SIGNIFICANCE OF RESOURCES AND CAPABILITIES AS KEY STRATEGIC ASSETS FOR GAME DEVELOPERS

Dr. Abhimanyu Upadhyay, Associate Professor, Department of Business Studies & Entrepreneurship,
Shobhit University, Gangoh, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- abhimanyu.upadhyay@shobhituniversity.ac.in

Dr. Abhishek Kumar, Associate Professor, Department of Business Studies,
Shobhit Deemed University, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- abhishekkumar@shobhituniversity.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

In the highly competitive gaming industry, resources and capabilities play a pivotal role in determining a company's success in the face of new games. This research examines the significance of resources and capabilities as key strategic assets for game developers and publishers. By analyzing case studies and market trends, this study sheds light on how companies can leverage their resources and capabilities to innovate, adapt, and remain competitive in an ever-changing landscape. The research also explores the challenges and opportunities that arise with the emergence of new games and their impact on the gaming ecosystem. The analysis of resources and capabilities in the face of new games highlights their crucial role in shaping a company's competitiveness and resilience. Game developers and publishers with strong resources and capabilities are better equipped to navigate the challenges brought about by the influx of new games in the market.

KEYWORDS:

Game Developers, Gaming Industry, Market, Resources, New Games.

INTRODUCTION

A company requires resources in order to produce value and put itself in a position to appropriate the value. For instance, expertise in software and computer engineering, banks of servers, the Google brand, equipment, and other resources are behind the Google search engines, which some users perceive as providing them with more relevant search results than competitors' engines. Without these resources, the relevant searches and the company's ability to monetize the searches would not be possible. Ryanair had to secure the gates and landing rights at these airports, forge connections with local authorities, buy the aircraft, and establish the proper low-cost culture in order to operate out of secondary uncongested airports throughout the European Union. For a pharmaceutical business-like Merck or Elli Lilly to be able to create blockbuster medications like Zocor or Prozac that consumers deem valuable, the corporation requires well-equipped R&D facilities, scientists, and patents [1], [2].

Coca-Cola requires shelf space at its distributors and agreements with its bottlers to make its cola drinks easily accessible to customers whenever they want them. Resources, however, may not always result in consumer advantages and financial success. Additionally, businesses need to be able to convert their resources into value for their customers and profits. ExxonMobil requires resources like exploration rights, high-tech exploration equipment, geologists, as well as the capacity to locate oil and transform it into something that its consumers desire, in order to be profitable. Firms have the chance to create new valuable resources or transform old ones into new, distinctive value in response to new games [3], [4].

The crucial part resources and competencies may play in the development and capture of value by a corporation. We focus on the function of resources in light of new games. In order to better

understand what makes one resource more proficient than another, we must define resources and capabilities. The function of resources in light of new games is then looked at. We focus on the function of supplementary assets in new games as a source of income [5], [6].

Capacities and Resources

Plants, equipment, patents, trained scientists, brand name recognition, supplier connections, geographic location, client contacts, distribution routes, trade secrets, and other resources are needed in order to create and appropriate value. The three types of resources are physical, intangible, and organizational. Tangible resources are the ones that are often included and recorded in financial statements as "assets." They might be material, like buildings and machinery, or financial, like money [7], [8]. The nonphysical and nonfinancial assets that are not taken into account in financial statements and that cannot be touched physically are known as intangible resources. Examples include patents, copy rights, brand name reputation, trade secrets, research findings, relationships with customers, shelf space, and relationships with vendors.2 Although they are often not included in financial accounts, intangible resources may be quite profitable. For instance, a patent or trade secret that allows a company to monopolize a market and collect rents is not included as an asset in financial records. This is often the case with significant pharmaceutical breakthroughs in the USA, where patented treatments are protected by intellectual property laws and may bring in billions of dollars for firms. Intangible assets or simply intangibles are several names for intangible resources. The skills and information that workers possess, as well as the routines, procedures, and culture ingrained in the business, make up organizational resources [9], [10].

Capabilities

Resources are essential for value generation and appropriation, but they are not sufficient in and of themselves to provide income. A company must also be able to convert resources into advantages for its clients. A company's contemporary facilities, brilliant employees, and patents are unlikely to induce customers to rush to the doors in a panic. To provide clients with a valuable service, the business must make use of its resources, including its people, talent, and the knowledge and protections inherent in its patents. Pharmaceutical companies do not sell competent scientists or patents to patients; instead, they sell medications that have been created by trained researchers utilizing the information contained in patents, which also assist provide them monopoly rights throughout the drug's patent term. Usually, resources need to be effectively transformed into advantages that consumers demand.

A capability is often defined as an organization's capacity to convert its resources into customer advantages and profits. A pharmaceutical company's capacity to transform doctor ties and patents into profitable blockbuster pharmaceuticals is an example of a competency. Utilizing or integrating several resources is a common component of capabilities. There is substantial debate over how to define resources, skills, and competencies in the strategic management literature. Some academics characterize them as we have done here. Others counter that resources also include possessions, skills, and competencies. The core idea is still the same: creating value takes resources and the capacity to transfer those resources into advantages for customers. Positioning a corporation to appropriate that value also requires resources. It shouldn't really matter what the resources are called or how they are transformed.

The ability or resource:

1 Contributes significantly to the advantages clients perceive in a product or service.

2 Is challenging for rivals to copy.

3 Can be expanded to other items in other markets.

Technology expertise, contacts with collaborators, and the capacity to combine several tasks or convert resources into products are among the core competencies. Honda's capability to produce reliable, smooth-running internal combustion engines is a well-known illustration of core competency. It satisfies all three requirements. To start, every Honda engine significantly adds to the advantages that buyers see in Honda products. Second, despite the fact that other companies can produce internal combustion engines, it is difficult to match Honda's level of engine performance. Thirdly, Honda has been able to provide bikes, automobiles, lawnmowers, maritime vehicles, electricity generators, and tiny jets using its engine capabilities. Individuals and businesses often use the term "core competence" to describe what they do very well. One aspect of the idea of core competence is that, in addition to being essential in the product market that a company occupies, competition is also significant in the factor markets for the competencies that a business may develop and use across several markets.

DISCUSSION

Network Externalities Effects

Resources related to network externalities effects have grown more crucial as the significance of technology like the Internet, computers, mobile phones, video games, and so on has increased. Customers' perceptions of a product's value are often influenced by its characteristics. A technology or product exhibits network externalities effects if the more people who use it, the more valuable it is to each user. However, for some products, customer value depends not only on product attributes but also on the network of consumers who use the product or a compatible one. Since their worth to each user rises with the number of users on the network, telephones show network externalities. Applications software products like Adobe's Acrobat demonstrate network externalities since each user's software for making and sending pdf files is more helpful the more individuals who possess the software that can read pdf files. In an auction network, the value of the network to each buyer increases with the number of sellers participating in the network. Since the advantages that each user of a product obtains from the network of other users come directly from the network from engaging with other actors within the network these network externalities effects are known as direct effects.

Network externalities are also present in products that need complements. Consider computers as an example. Since developers want to sell to the many users, the more people who own computers of a particular standard, such as the Wintel standard, the more software is likely to be created for them. Additionally, the more software that is available for a computer standard, the more valuable the computers are to users because software is essential to computers. These externalities are known as indirect externalities because the enhanced value that each user experiences comes in the form of more readily available complements. The value of network size has been theoretically estimated to be as high as N2 and NN, although a bigger network size does not automatically imply more value for consumers. For instance, a network with only one vendor and many customers is not as beneficial to each user as one with several sellers and numerous consumers. If every new member is dishonest, the value of a network may actually decline as it becomes larger to each individual member. In general, a network's type and structure may be equally as significant as its size.

Structure

The connections between the network's participants form the network's structure. Any consumer may be a vendor or a buyer in a consumer-to-consumer auction network. In contrast, a business-to-consumer internet retail network has a different structure where one vendor sells to numerous customers. Because buyers in the B2C network have just one seller to pick from while those in the C2C network have a wide variety of sellers to choose from, even while both

kinds of networks are important to consumers, the C2C network is more valuable to each buyer than the B2C one. A network that has both buyers and sellers is referred to as a "two-sided network." Two separate user groups that complement one another make form a two-sided network. Other instances of two-sided networks abound. Cardholders and merchants are the two groups that make up a credit card network. Gamers and game creators make up the two groups in a video game network. Readers and authors of PDF files both count as users of these files. A single user type exists in a one-sided network. Examples include fax machines, email, and phone networks.

Network's Nature

The behavior of the actors inside a network affects the value of the network as a whole. For instance, a network's reputation is important. Despite its size, eBay's network may be more important to certain consumers than another network of comparable scale due to its reputation for safety and its status as the site for auctions. The business gained its reputation in part as a result of rating sellers and buyers, a strategy that could have excluded some prospective opportunistic members and inhibited some current members from acting in an opportunistic manner.

Making Use of Network Externalities

What if a product or technology shows network externalities? Although the value of a network to users rises with the number of other members, the network's supplier often reaps the majority of the financial benefits. Surfers who utilize Google's search engine and marketers who value the surfers' eyes make up Google's two-sided network. The networks of depositors and borrowers that banks have are profitable. What can a provider of network infrastructure and service do to ensure that the network boosts its chances of financial success? By establishing an early edge in network size and reputation, setting prices intelligently, and maximizing complements, businesses may benefit from direct network effects. Build an Early Lead in Size and Reputation to Harness Direct Network Effects.

This concept is straightforward. A company may seek to take the types of measures that would provide its products/services a crucial market share or installed base lead since an early lead in a network market share might develop into a dominating market position. Working together with other businesses to saturate the market with one's version of the product or technology is one such strategy.

The case of Matsushita, which openly licensed its VHS video cassette recording technology while rival Sony kept its Beta technology exclusive, is a famous illustration. By effectively flooding the market with VHS players, Matsushita may have made VHS more popular than Sony's Betamax. Another strategy is to establish yourself early on as the secure marketplace, similar to what eBay achieved.

Strategic Pricing

The pricing approach used by a company may have a significant impact on how big its network becomes. In one-sided networks, a supplier company may use penetration pricing, wherein it provides its network goods and services at a very cheap price up front and earns money by increasing the price later on or by providing complementary items with larger profit margins. In two-sided networks, the platform provider may charge a low fee for the group that has a lesser desire to pay while still having the ability to grow the user base on the other side. The side with a stronger desire to pay might then be charged. Google searches, for instance, are free, but advertising must pay because users' willingness to pay for the searches is lower than

advertisers' desire to pay for searchers' "eye balls." Anyone who wanted to view PDF files could download Adobe's reader software for free, but anyone who wanted to produce PDF files had to pay.

Boost Complements to Take Advantage of Indirect Network Effects

The cycle can be accelerated by increasing the number of complements since, early in the life of a network technology, complementors prefer to develop complements for the product with many users and users want the product with many complements. Therefore, a provider of the network product might, for instance, generate some of the complements themselves, assist the complementor in distributing complements, collaborate on the development of complements with complementors, or provide funding for the operations of beginning complementors.

Social networking websites

A social network is made up of people or groups connected by one or more characteristics, such as shared beliefs, modes of economic transaction, friendship, political leanings, social preferences, occupations, likes and dislikes, and so forth. There were several social networking sites in 2008, including Myspace, Facebook, classmates.com, broadcaster.com, Mixi, Cyworld, Reunion, Tagged.com and Orkut.

Response to a Social Networking Issue

Each member of a social network cares about its size. How much, exactly, is the query. Each of these websites allows various network users to establish their own private networks within the bigger network. Each sub-network may consist of former classmates, congregants from a certain church, locals, etc. Once a subnetwork has been established, adding more people to the larger network does not always make it more valuable to each member of the subnetwork. A new member who joins the network due to religious interests, for instance, would not necessarily be of use to other members whose main association is the college they attended. In contrast, a C2C network raises the value of each member with each new member that is added.

A social network may, however, be highly beneficial to advertising and, therefore, to the website's owner for a number of reasons. First off, sub-networks inside social networks are probably a better subgrouping for marketers than age groupings since they often include people who share the same values, hobbies, etc. For instance, physicians who one wants to promote a health product to are more likely to have common traits than the highly sought-after 18- to 34-year-old demographic. Second, even if users of social networks may not want to view advertisements, marketing can still have a significant impact on them. Why? Advertising is less likely to be believed by consumers than suggestions from friends, coworkers, and other respectable and trustworthy individuals. This is especially true for experience products, which are items whose features can only be determined after consumption since they are difficult to examine beforehand. Therefore, the concept may propagate on its own if just a small number of the subnetwork members are persuaded by an advertisement. For instance, physicians often rely more on opinion leaders than they do on direct marketing to them for prescription advice. As a result, while marketing to a social network of doctors, one may concentrate on the opinion leaders since they will spread the word about the product to the other members of their network.

Effectively, well-targeted social networks may be far better venues to propose an idea than nonsocial networks since individuals are more inclined to believe someone they trust and respect than an advertisement from a company. You are more inclined to believe a recommendation from someone you trust and who is familiar with your interests than one from an advertising. Third, each user of a social network discloses details about their interests and

other information. Businesses often spend a lot of money attempting to obtain this information. Thus, an advertiser may be able to pay for keywords linked to consumers' interests and the features of its subnetwork rather of paying for terms connected with items, as is the case with sponsored listings.

Resources' Function in the Light of New Games

Now that resources and capabilities have been identified, the fundamental issue is: How can resources and capabilities contribute to value creation and appropriation in the context of new games? Remember that new games are about engaging in brand-new value chain activities or executing current value chain activities in novel ways. We may divide the resources required by a company to pursue a new game strategy into two categories. The first category consists of the assets supporting new activities or the capacity to carry out current operations in a new way. We shall refer to the resources that support such activities as invention resources since doing new tasks or existing ones in a different way often leads to an invention, discovery, or anything new. These inventive resources include the ones that Google utilized to create search engines that offer more accurate results than those of rival search engines. The second type of assets consists of complementary assets, which are all the extra resources that a company needs to generate value and put itself up to take advantage of the value in the face of the new game. Brands, distribution channels, shelf space, manufacturing, ties to rival companies, complementary technologies, installed base, ties to governments, and other things are examples of complementary assets. In reality, complementary assets and innovation resources both play significant roles in a company's capacity to benefit from an invention.

Comparative Strengths

One of the first business experts to examine the significance of complementary assets in benefitting from innovations or discoveries was Professor David Teece of the University of California at Berkeley. He was perplexed as to why GE and Siemens, not EMI, the creator, received the majority of the income from the CAT scan, a technology that EMI created and for which Sir Godfrey Hounsfield received the 1979 Nobel Prize in Medicine. He also couldn't see why R.C. Cola created diet and caffeine-free colas, but Coke and Pepsi reaped the most of the rewards. According to Professor Teece, complementary assets and imitability are crucial for an innovation or discovery to be commercially successful. The resources that a corporation needs to produce value and position itself to take the value in the face of the new game are known as complementary assets, according to the definition given above.

These resources go beyond those that support the innovation or discovery. For instance, Dell required manufacturing procedures that would allow it to produce a customer's computer in less than two hours after the receipt of the order in order to pursue its direct-sales and build-to-order new gaming operations. It also required strong ties with vendors that delivered parts on time, including monitors delivered directly to clients. Later, Dell needs a brand as well. Pfizer required a sales team to approach physicians in order to pursue DTC marketing for Lipitor, as well as manufacturing to create the medication after doctors began writing prescriptions for it. The brand, supplier ties, and production methods used by Dell are all complementing advantages. The same goes for Pfizer's sales team and production capabilities. The following is why imitation enters the profitability equation. Customers may choose to patronize other businesses rather than the company if the innovation or discovery resulting from a corporation's new gaming activities may be copied by rivals, decreasing the capacity of the firm to take the value that it generates. Complementary assets and imitability serve as the cornerstones of the Teece Model, which we will now examine.

Teece Model: Imitability and Complementary Assets

The horizontal axis measures the importance and scarcity of complementary assets, whereas the vertical axis measures the degree to which an innovation or discovery may be copied. It is difficult for the innovator to generate money for a very long period when the likelihood of imitation of an invention or discovery is high and complementary assets are readily accessible or negligible. This is so that any future rivals looking to provide the same client advantages that the company does would find it simple to copy the idea and acquire the supplementary assets required. A excellent example is a brand-new pair of jeans that is offered for sale online. New jean designs are simple to copy, and selling them online does not make any one company stand out. This is so that, despite the fact that rivals may copy the idea, they find it difficult to duplicate the complimentary assets.

More crucially, the owner of the critical complimentary assets can readily copy the innovation, but it is challenging to copy its supplementary assets. This group includes EMI's development of the CAT scan. Although it was simple to copy the innovation, it was difficult to find additional assets that were crucial for selling the equipment to hospitals, such as partnerships with hospitals, sales teams, brands, and manufacturing. R.C. invented diet colas and caffeine-free colas. Coca-Cola fits under this category as well. Both innovations needed brand names with strong reputations, shelf space, marketing, and distribution routes, all of which Coca-Cola and Pepsi fiercely guard

As a result, diet and caffeine-free colas have been most profitable for Coke and Pepsi. Another excellent example is light beer. Despite the fact that the Miller Brewing Company and Budweiser earn the most money from it, light beer was not invented by them. Dr. Joseph Owades of Rheingold Breweries created it. However, Miller and Budweiser ended up profiting more from it than Rheingold since they possessed the complimentary assets.

One of two things may occur if an innovation is difficult to copy and its supplementary assets are valuable and scarce. The innovator stands to profit greatly from its creation if they also own the supplementary assets. Pharmaceutical items in the USA that have intellectual property protection are an excellent example since they are hard to copy and have limited access to complementary assets like strong sales teams and the capacity to conduct clinical trials. If the company that has the in-demand complementary assets is distinct from the innovator, then working together will be profitable for both companies. They risk having their attorneys pocket all the cash if they refuse to comply.

Last but not least, similar to Cell IV, the innovator will profit if the idea is difficult to copy but the supporting assets are free or irrelevant. Popular copyrighted software that is distributed over the Internet would fall into this category because it is protected from imitation by its copyright, software developers can easily access the Internet as a distribution channel for their software, and other complementary assets are either not scarce or are unimportant.

Effectively, whether they moved first in carrying out the new game activities or were following, enterprises with scarce and significant complementary assets are often the ones who earn the most from them.

One of the characteristics of exploiters is the possession of significant scarce complementary assets. Even though it generates a lot of money from them, Microsoft did not develop word processing, spreadsheets, presentation software, windowing operating systems, etc. One of the main factors influencing its success has been its complementary assets, particularly the installed base of compatible applications.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, In the face of new games, resources and competencies are essential parts of a company's strategic arsenal. Game developers and publishers may forge a significant competitive edge and set themselves up for success in the rapidly changing gaming industry by combining the correct mix of financial assets, human capital, technical expertise, and community-building initiatives. For businesses looking to prosper and develop in the face of the possibilities and difficulties posed by the constant creation of new games, innovation, originality, and player preferences will be crucial. The study also emphasizes the difficulties brought on by the proliferation of new video games. The dynamic nature of the gaming business necessitates agility and a willingness to take chances. Companies must regularly evaluate their resources and skills in order to match them with new trends and customer expectations.

REFERENCES:

[1] L. Lafta Jassim and H. Dzakiria, "A Literature Review on the Impact of Games on Learning English Vocabulary to Children," *Int. J. Lang. Lit. Stud.*, 2019, doi: 10.36892/ijlls.v1i1.22.

[2] T. Roper, L. Millen Dutka, S. Cobb, and H. Patel, "Collaborative Virtual Environment to Facilitate Game Design Evaluation with Children with ASC," *Int. J. Hum. Comput. Interact.*, 2019, doi: 10.1080/10447318.2018.1550179.

[3] A. Adams, J. Hart, I. Iacovides, S. Beavers, M. Oliveira, and M. Magroudi, "Co-created evaluation: Identifying how games support police learning," *Int. J. Hum. Comput. Stud.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.ijhcs.2019.03.009.

[4] D. Mullor, P. Sayans-Jiménez, A. J. Cangas, and N. Navarro, "Effect of a Serious Game (Stigma-Stop) on Reducing Stigma among Psychology Students: A Controlled Study," *Cyberpsychology, Behav. Soc. Netw.*, 2019, doi: 10.1089/cyber.2018.0172.

[5] E. Othman, F. Saxen, D. Bershadskyy, P. Werner, A. Al-Hamadi, and J. Weimann, "Predicting group contribution behaviour in a public goods game from face-to-face communication," *Sensors (Switzerland)*, 2019, doi: 10.3390/s19122786.

[6] H. Burnett, "Signalling games, sociolinguistic variation and the construction of style," *Linguist. Philos.*, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s10988-018-9254-y.

[7] R. Martella, E. Clementini, and C. Kray, "Crowdsourcing geographic information with a gamification approach," *Geod. Vestn.*, 2019, doi: 10.15292/geodetski-vestnik.2019.02.213-233.

[8] T. Shi, Y. Yuan, C. Fan, Z. Zou, Z. Shi, and Y. Liu, "Face-to-parameter translation for game character auto-creation," in *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, 2019. doi: 10.1109/ICCV.2019.00025.

[9] A. Ariyanti, W. S. Pane, and U. Fauzan, "Teacher's Strategy in Solving EFL Students' Problems in Learning English," *ASIAN TEFL J. Lang. Teach. Appl. Linguist.*, 2019, doi: 10.21462/asiantefl.v4i2.97.

[10] H. W. Lee, "Applying online educational technology to foster financial literacy: Financial-institution leaders' insights," *Qualitative Report*. 2019. doi: 10.46743/2160-3715/2019.3605.

CHAPTER 10

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN A FIRM'S RESOURCES AND CAPABILITIES: AN ANALYSIS

Harsh Panwar, Associate Professor, Department of Business Studies & Entrepreneurship,
Shobhit University, Gangoh, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- harsh.panwar@shobhituniversity.ac.in

Dr. Neha Vashishtha, Associate Professor, Department of Business Studies,
Shobhit Deemed University, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id-nehavashistha@shobhituniversity.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

The profitability potential of resources and capabilities has been a subject of extensive research and interest in strategic management literature. This study delves into the relationship between a firm's resources and capabilities and its ability to generate sustainable profits in the market. By analyzing empirical data and case studies, this research aims to shed light on the key factors that influence profitability potential and the strategies that companies can adopt to enhance their competitive advantage. The study also explores the dynamic nature of resources and capabilities in response to changing market conditions and technological advancements. The examination of profitability potential in relation to resources and capabilities has unveiled significant insights into the drivers of sustained competitive advantage for firms. A company's resources, comprising tangible, intangible, and human assets, form the foundation of its capabilities, which are the organizational and managerial processes that leverage those resources effectively.

KEYWORDS:

Profitability Potential, Firm, Market, Resource, Strategy.

INTRODUCTION

The innovator has two options when complementary assets are hard to come by yet crucial to an invention's success: obstruct or partner up. If the creator also controls the in-demand supplementary assets, it will be impossible for competitors or prospective new market entrants to access either. By taking steps to protect the animist ability of its innovation or important resources, a company protects its territory using a block approach. If another company has the complementary assets, the two companies may collaborate via strategic alliances, joint ventures, acquisitions, or other forms of cooperation [1], [2]. For instance, many biotechnology companies in the pharmaceutical sector create novel medicines whose patents prevent imitation. The resources required to do the clinical testing that is essential to obtaining a novel medicine licensed for commercialization in the USA are often lacking in many of these firms, as well as complementing assets like sales and marketing. As a result, there is a lot of collaboration between emerging biotech companies and established, established major pharmaceutical companies that have complementary assets. Many companies make themselves available for purchase. If the creator of a hard-to-copy innovation and the owner of valuable complementary assets decide to compete rather than cooperate, they are likely to make their attorneys very wealthy since they destroy rather than generate value [3], [4].

An organization may be better off adopting a block strategy, in which it attempts to prevent prospective rivals from copying its idea or approach, if an invention is challenging to reproduce but supplementary assets are plentiful or insignificant. When supplementary assets are plentiful or irrelevant and the innovation is simple to copy, a company may choose to use the so-called

run strategy. When using a run approach, the innovator or first mover continuously innovates and advances to the next innovation or novel game activity before rivals copy it [5], [6].

Dynamics

There are two things to keep in mind about the tactics. First, many businesses really use at least two of these tactics simultaneously. For instance, many businesses simultaneously use the block and run strategies they preserve the intellectual property for a current product while moving forward with the next idea to take its place. Second, a business may sometimes defy recommendations in order to set the groundwork for profits in the future. To win a standard and then block after winning the standard, an inventor can choose to team up in Cell IV rather than block as indicated by the framework [7], [8]. An excellent illustration of both cases is provided by the case of Intel. It pushed other microprocessor manufacturers to imitate its microprocessor design in the late 1970s and early 1980s. When Intel's design became the industry standard, it began to restrict attempts to copy its technology and began suing anyone who did. It also used the "run" approach of launching a new generation of microprocessors before to the peak in unit sales of an earlier generation. In order to successfully obtain a standard, Intel collaborated early in the life of its CPU. It began sprinting and blocking after earning the standard [9], [10].

Problems with the Teece Model

The Complementary Assets/Imitability concept has limits, despite the fact that it may be quite beneficial. Like any model, it has certain simplifications that may not always hold true in all situations. For instance, it posits that imitability and complementary assets are the only two factors that affect appropriability. It omits the other factors of appropriability that we examined, such as a firm's position in relation to competitors, its pricing strategy, and its efforts to attract more consumers to a certain product. It is true that a unique product and a small number of valuable complementary assets might provide its owner some negotiating leverage with consumers, but they might not offer the company such leverage with suppliers, complementors, or consumers who have monopolistic strength in their sector. Furthermore, even when a company has undisputed control over its cooperators, it may still lose money if it uses the incorrect pricing approach.

DISCUSSION

To create and appropriate value in the face of new competition, innovation resources or supplementary assets are essential; nevertheless, if you asked a company to give you a list of its resources, you would presumably get a very lengthy list in return. So, how can a business reduce the list of resources to those with the greatest chance of success is a crucial topic. How can a business focus on just the key competencies in its list of competencies? We need a method for reducing the number of potentially professional resources and talents. Resources and skills may be ranked in accordance with their ability to contribute to value generation and appropriation using the AVAC framework that we discussed in section 2. The goal is to rate each resource in accordance with how likely it is to add to a firm's competitive advantage. By responding to the following inquiries and figuring out the strategic benefits of possessing each resource, each resource is ranked:

Activities

When determining whether a resource or capability has the potential to be profitable, the first question to ask is whether the company has what it takes to effectively carry out the tasks involved in creating and/or converting the resource or capability into benefits for customers

and/or positioning the company to maximize value. In other words, is the company carrying out the proper tasks? The goal is to identify the processes the company employs to develop or transform the resource into customer advantages and/or better position the company to appropriate value, and to assess the firm's capacity to carry out these processes by evaluating the degree to which each process:

enables low cost, differentiation, better pricing, more customer reach, and improved income streams. enhances its standing in relation to competitors. uses the value drivers in the industry. helps create new, unique resources or talents or transforms current ones into advantageous positions and earnings. meets the parsimony and comprehensiveness requirements. These are the AVAC analysis's Activities questions. For a resource to generate profits for its owner, it must significantly increase the value that buyers perceive in comparison to the value provided by rivals. However, this is not a sufficient requirement for generating money. According to the AVAC framework's Appropriability component, a business will appropriate value if: It has a competitive advantage over its rivals. The business takes use of its competitive advantage and consumer advantages. It is challenging to replicate the company. There are many complements but few effective replacements.

Competitive Effects

A corporation may identify and rank its resources using an AVAC analysis based on their competitive implications—that is, how much each resource is likely to offer the company a competitive edge. Six resources and their effects on a firm's ability to compete. Customers appreciate Resource 1, and the business may capitalize on that value. Additionally, the company is equipped to carry out the tasks necessary to effectively develop and use the resource. The resource may be utilized to better generate and/or appropriate value by using change. Thus, it is said that the resource gives the company a long-lasting competitive edge. The situation where Resources 2 provide a company with a brief competitive edge is more typical. Customers value the resource, and the company has what it takes to effectively carry out the operations required to develop and use the resource. However, the resource is susceptible to change. The company enjoys a brief competitive advantage prior to the transformation.

Resource 3 is useful to consumers, the company has what it takes to produce and utilize the resource effectively, and it can benefit from change. The resource's main drawback is that there are other factors that make it challenging to get value from it. For instance, the company employing the resource could not have sufficient negotiating leverage with its suppliers and consumers, or the firm's price strategy might be losing money or driving away clients. As a result, the company is unable to claim all of the value that it generates while utilizing the resource. Utilizing the resource, the company is equally competitive. Customers appreciate resource number four, but it is changeable and difficult to appropriate that value. Therefore, Resource 4's greatest service to its owner is to maintain competitive parity. Resource 5 isn't useful, can't be used, and can't resist modification. Furthermore, the company lacks the capacity to carry out the resource development and exploitation tasks effectively. Such a resource puts its owner at a disadvantage in the marketplace since its rivals can outperform it.

Strategic Movement

Following an AVAC analysis, the next stage is to determine what a company can do to support the Yesses and either moderate or reverse the Noes. The objective is to progress toward a long-lasting competitive advantage. For instance, if a business discovers that its poor pricing strategy prevents it from capturing the majority of the value it generates, it might alter its price approach. A business may be better off getting rid of a resource if it puts it at a competitive disadvantage

and the firm cannot mitigate the Noes. A corporation should be able to increase its capacity to produce and appropriate value by choosing which Yesses to boost, which Noes to attenuate or reverse, and which resources to dump with the use of an AVAC analysis.

In order to develop and transform its search skills into distinctive value, Google engaged in the following efforts. It created and added its PageRank algorithm to its search engine, and it kept working to make the algorithm better. It developed a brand based on its pertinent queries. It placed a focus on cutting-edge technological management ideas, such as allowing its engineers to spend 20% of their time working on projects of their choice. To make search engines profitable, it created AdWords sponsored listings. In collaboration with network affiliates, it gave them access to search engine technology in exchange for a cut of the revenue from advertising on the websites. By engaging in these efforts, Google set itself apart from its rivals. For instance, Google's reputation and consumers' view that its searches were more relevant than those of rivals set it apart from other search engines in terms of value. Additionally, this distinction strengthened Google's position in comparison to certain competitors. For instance, some clients could think that transferring to a rival wouldn't provide them with the advantages they thought were exclusive to Google. The distinction also lessened the potential danger of alternatives and made it extra harder for any aspiring new competitors who may have wanted to join the market and compete directly with Google. The speed, relevance, and thoroughness of searches, as well as the R&D that goes into them, are the key industry value drivers for the search engine business. Google capitalized on the "search relevance" business value driver by creating its PageRank algorithm, which provided the most relevant searches. Some users believed that the data from its engines was the most trustworthy due to its reputation. The company became one of the most known trademarks in the world in addition to the intellectual property that powered its search engines, giving it a verb—to google—in some dictionaries. Google also used several innovative measures to keep the cost of its operations down. Instead of the far more costly proprietary servers from IBM, Sun Microsystems, and others, it employed commodity servers using Intel microprocessors. Since these servers produced a lot of heat and used a lot of power, they were placed close to inexpensive electricity sources in areas that were chilly. As a result, the company may do searches for less money than it otherwise could.

Value

Internet users who conducted searches and online marketers who ran advertisements were Google's two main groups of clients. Users praised Google's search engine for its responsiveness, thoroughness, and quickness. In February 2007, for instance, Google's search engine was used in 48.1% of the 6.9 billion monthly online searches, compared to 28.1% for Yahoo, 10.5% for Microsoft, 5.0% for Ask.com, and 4.9% for Time Warner.17 Advertisers valued the massive amount of monthly traffic that Google's website received. This translated into a sizable user base to whom these marketers could market.

The popularity of Google's search engine seems to have been significantly influenced by its search engine, particularly its capacity to offer relevant queries, even if other variables like Google's brand may have had an impact. Since Google users come from diverse ages and socioeconomic groups, it was challenging to estimate their worth. However, because to the cheap cost of providing service to such little clients, some extremely small businesses who would typically avoid physical advertising were able to do so on Google's websites or those of third-party affiliates. There could have been room for more intelligent search engines that provided answers to queries rather than only using keywords to do searches.

Appropriability

In 2007, Google earned a ton of money thanks to its search engine skills and related auxiliary resources. One of the most successful and lucrative businesses in the world was Google. It wasn't always like that. It was still not very professional even after its search engine became the most well-known. Google began to turn a profit once it used sponsored listings, an Overture.com innovation. Links from advertisers are included above or next to search results in sponsored listings. Google was able to take the value produced by its search engine skills for a number of different reasons. The first was that Google possessed the supporting resources to aid it in capturing the revenue produced by its search engine skills. It is difficult to determine precisely how much of the revenue originated from its search engine skills and how much from ancillary assets like its brand, sponsored listings technology, affiliate connections, etc. Second, even though it was simple to create a search engine that performed on par with Google's, competitors found it challenging to replicate the combination of the search engine, the Google brand, and the video, picture, and news services that it provided. There will always be a chance for another company to surpass Google as the Internet develops. Although some would see its release of free word processing software as retaliation against Microsoft for providing search engine services, it has no documented history of doing so. Competitors' attempts to copy Google were not hindered by any glaring disadvantages like past commitments or predominate management rationale. When the Web was not overloaded in the late 1990s, businesses could collect and assemble Web material without the use of search engines. Without a search engine in 2007, it was incredibly difficult to discover anything on the Internet due to the abundance of Web material. There were thus no alternatives to search engines. Computers and other portable gadgets that allowed people to do Web searches were examples of complementary items. Third, the company had an advantage over many of its rivals due to the relevancy of queries made using its search engine, along with the strength of the Google brand and other factors. For instance, its reputation and pertinent searches attract surfers, whose sizable numbers draw several advertisements. In a market with four other rivals, this provides Google more control over its ads than one would anticipate.

Fourth, Google used an auction system where users could bid on keywords as part of its paid listings business strategy. Google was probably coming extremely near to consumers' reservation costs since auction pricing is one of the finest methods to come as close to those prices as you can. The company also had a wealth of data on its clients, allowing it, should it want, to price discriminate.

Value Creation and Appropriateness

Existing Change was caused by the Internet and Google's use of the newest technologies. Finding items on the Internet became more challenging as a consequence of the increased Web traffic, which presented a chance to create trustworthy search engines that gave consumers useful search results. Google offered such a search engine. Its rivals followed suit, creating a market in 2007 that was comparatively unappealing. However, Google was able to make the market more appealing for itself and outperform its rivals by creating and capturing more value. Paid listings and other related assets, including its brand, were used to monetize the engine.

Search engines were created before Google. In order to commercialize its search engine, it used sponsored listings as one example of how it capitalized on the decreased marketing and technical uncertainties. For the tasks that it was the first to carry out, Google benefited from first-mover advantages thanks to the intellectual property it developed in the process of creating its search engine and the preemption of perceptual space at the numerous surfers who opted to use Google's search engine. And so were the connections it established at affiliates whose

websites made use of the Google search engine. By providing complementing goods like Gmail and Google Map, Google may be foreseeing how its rivals would likely respond. Looking forward, there will probably be a lot of changes as the Web develops. For instance, so-called specialty or vertical search engines might undermine Google's competitive edge. As opposed to the general, wide demands of consumers as do the generalist engines from Google and Yahoo, vertical engines focus on the specific needs of niches or specialists. For instance, GlobalSpec.com is a professional engineering search engine. Pharmaceutical advertising might be targeted using an engine designed specifically for the pharmaceutical industry.

Choosing the Appropriate Complementary Assets

The list of possible complementary assets for any given innovation or discovery may be quite long as we have defined complementary assets as all additional resources other than an invention or discovery that a business requires to produce and appropriate value. As a result, we need a method for reducing the number of possible complimentary assets. The potential of complementary assets to contribute to value generation and appropriation may be ranked using an AVAC analysis. The goal is to rate each complementary asset in accordance with how likely it is to add to a firm's competitive advantage.

A firm may be more interested in pursuing a complementary asset if the strategic consequence is more likely to be a sustainable competitive advantage than a competitive disadvantage. Each complementary asset is categorized by the potential competitive consequence of developing and utilizing the asset, from "sustainable competitive advantage" down to "competitive disadvantage." The research may indicate which Noes might be turned into Yesses or reduced, as well as which Yesses could be strengthened, in addition to advising a company which complementary assets to pursue.

Strengths and Limitations in Light of New Games

Every company carries certain resources from its pre-new game activity to a new game. During the new game, some of these resources, especially complimentary assets, remain helpful, while others might become handicaps in addition to being worthless. Depending on the sort of new game, knowing which resources could become handicaps might be essential to succeeding.

Which resource becomes a weakness or a strength is mostly determined by two factors: if the resource is essential to the new game and whether it is separable. If a resource makes a significant contribution to value production and appropriability, it is essential to a new game. It is separable whether the company has no issues removing a resource from the previous game to utilize in the new game or removing a resource when doing so is more likely to injure than to assist in the new game. Due to previous obligations, contracts, agreements, understandings, emotional ties, or simply because the resource or asset cannot be relocated from the site of the old game to the location of the new game, a company may be unable to utilize a resource in a new game. In such instance, the resource and the previous context are inextricably linked. A company could desire to move on and distance itself from a resource from its past, but it is unable to do so since the two are intertwined. Resource is essential in the new game and the business can use it in the new game because there are no previous contracts, agreements, understandings, or other things that hinder the firm from utilizing the crucial resource in the new game. This will help you comprehend these arguments better. The resource is therefore a strength for the company. Even in the face of revolutionary new games in which technologically radical new items are released, most brands, advertising prowess, and shelf space continue to be strengths for many businesses. For instance, a company's medication approval skills, sales force, and brand often remain its strengths from one groundbreaking medicine to the next in the pharmaceutical industry. Another example is the dominating

management logic of a company. Since it makes, what managers are expected to accomplish seem natural to them, it is often a desirable thing. However, when faced with particular sorts of change, it may become problematic. Retailing at a discount, for instance. When Wal-Mart began to build small stores in rural areas of the Southwestern USA, K-Mart and other competitors did not pay much attention to Wal-Mart because they still believed that companies made money in discount retailing by building large stores in big cities, which was part of the dominant managerial logic in the USA in the 1970s and early 1980s.

While K-Mart was forced to declare bankruptcy, Wal-Mart went on to become the biggest corporation in the world. Another example is the French wine business, which for decades controlled the global market with wines produced without the use of modern technology or sugar and categorized and called by French regions like Bordeaux, Champagne, Cote du Rhone, etc. With wines produced using cutting-edge techniques like drip irrigation, reverse osmosis, computer-aided aging, and oak chip flavoring, new competitors from South Africa, Australia, and the United States entered some wine markets. Instead of classifying their wines by region, they used grape varieties like merlot or chardonnay. Even if French wine continues to lose market share in various areas to South African, Australian, and US wine dealers, the prevalent belief in the French wine business is that excellent wines are still created the traditional French method without the use of modern technology. Consider personal connections as another example of how inseparability may result in hardships.

There can be aspects of the previous relationship that the individual would want to leave behind but that continue to linger as they transition from one significant relationship to another. The new relationship can suffer as a result.

It is crucial in the new game, but the company cannot utilize it due of earlier obligations, agreements, or other inseparability's. The resource now has the potential to be a strength since, with effort, it may be separated. For instance, a noncompete provision in a contract with a former employer of a key employee may limit a company from utilizing the individual on certain projects for a while.

The product-market position that a company brings to a new game may be examined similarly. Here, the issue is whether a firm's past product qualities and its competitive advantage—which it takes to a new game—remain advantages or detract from them. If goods from the new game may possibly replace the firm's current products from the prior game, its PMP from the previous game becomes a disadvantage in the new game. If that's the case, the company could decide against funding the new game out of concern that it would undermine its current offerings. If the new game is about high-end items while the old game was about low-cost ones, a prior PMP may also become a disadvantage since buyers' perceptions of the old product may adversely slant their view of the new one.

On the other side, a company that chooses to provide a low-cost version of a premium good in a new game can discover that its previous position helps it sell the new good. Given that a firm's position in relation to competitors is influenced by its resources and skills, the assessments of resources presented above may be used to evaluate whether such situations turn out to be advantages or disadvantages.

How should a company go if it decides to create new resources in response to a new game and wishes to objectively measure their value? A thorough AVAC study may reveal a lot about a company's resource potential for profitability, but it is unable to measure intangible resources. Financial statements don't include intangible assets like brands, patents, copyrights, trade secrets, installed bases, customer relationships, government connections, and so on; instead, they only list the worth of physical assets like buildings, machinery, cash, marketable securities,

and inventory. We investigate two techniques for quickly gauging the worth of such intangibles. There are a number of problems with using the gap between book value and market value to gauge intellectual capital. The metric cannot be used by businesses or enterprises that have not gone public since they lack a market value.

It estimates a value for all of a firm's intangible resources, making it an aggregate measure. While it emphasizes how much a company's worth relies on its intangible assets, it doesn't provide much information about the various capital assets and their proportional contributions to value. Therefore, although the metric may reveal whether Pfizer relies more on intangible assets than its rivals, it cannot reveal how much of Pfizer's value is derived from its technology for lowering cholesterol or treating hypertension.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, an important factor in determining a firm's long-term performance in the market is the profitability potential of its resources and skills. Companies may get a competitive edge that boosts profitability by using their resources to build unique and useful skills. In order to capitalize on the dynamic nature of resources and skills and secure long-term profitability in the face of shifting market circumstances and technological shocks, flexibility, adaptation, and cooperation are crucial tactics. It is crucial to understand that not all assets and aptitudes convert into possibilities for profit. Businesses must concentrate on locating and using the resources and talents that match their strategic objectives and client demands. A company's competitive position may be harmed by inappropriate resource allocation or a failure to build necessary competencies.

REFERENCES:

[1] M. Bertrand, F. Kramarz, A. Schoar, and D. Thesmar, “The Cost of Political Connections,” *Review of Finance*. 2018. doi: 10.1093/rof/rfy008.

[2] A. De Massis, J. Kotlar, P. Mazzola, T. Minola, and S. Sciascia, “Conflicting selves: Family owners’ multiple goals and self-control agency problems in private firms,” *Entrep. Theory Pract.*, 2018, doi: 10.1111/etap.12257.

[3] T. Hirunyawipada and G. Xiong, “Corporate environmental commitment and financial performance: Moderating effects of marketing and operations capabilities,” *J. Bus. Res.*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2018.01.002.

[4] L. W. Ho, T. T. Lie, P. T. Leong, and T. Clear, “Developing offshore wind farm siting criteria by using an international Delphi method,” *Energy Policy*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.enpol.2017.10.049.

[5] A. Lasram, H. Dellagi, B. Dessalegn, B. Dhehibi, and N. Ben Mechlia, “Farmers’ willingness to adapt to climate change for sustainable water resources management: A case study of Tunisia,” *J. Water Clim. Chang.*, 2018, doi: 10.2166/wcc.2018.171.

[6] L. Satola, T. Wojewodzic, and W. Sroka, “Barriers to exit encountered by small farms in light of the theory of new institutional economics,” *Agric. Econ. (Czech Republic)*, 2018, doi: 10.17221/233/2016-AGRICECON.

[7] N. Đurišić-Mladenović, F. Kiss, B. Škrbić, M. Tomić, R. Mićić, and Z. Predojević, “Current state of the biodiesel production and the indigenous feedstock potential in Serbia,” *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*.2018.doi:10.1016/j.rser.2017.07.059.

[8] S. M. Glaser and C. Grüter, "Ants (Temnothorax nylanderi) adjust tandem running when food source distance exposes them to greater risks," *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.*, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s00265-018-2453-2.

[9] L. Hao, X. Su, and V. P. Singh, "Cropping pattern optimization considering uncertainty of water availability and water saving potential," *Int. J. Agric. Biol. Eng.*, 2018, doi: 10.25165/j.ijabe.20181101.3658.

[10] B. Agarwal, "Can group farms outperform individual family farms? Empirical insights from India," *World Dev.*, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.worlddev.2018.03.010.

CHAPTER 11

ANALYSIS OF FIRST-MOVER ADVANTAGES: AN OVERVIEW

Harsh Panwar, Associate Professor, Department of Business Studies & Entrepreneurship,
Shobhit University, Gangoh, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- harsh.panwar@shobhituniversity.ac.in

Dr. Neha Vashishtha, Associate Professor, Department of Business Studies,
Shobhit Deemed University, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id-nehavashistha@shobhituniversity.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

First-mover advantages have been a subject of great interest in the fields of economics and strategic management. This research examines the concept of first-mover advantages and their impact on businesses and industries. By analyzing case studies and empirical data, this study aims to identify the key drivers of first-mover advantages, explore their implications for firms, and offer insights into how companies can strategically capitalize on being early entrants in the market. The research also considers the potential risks and challenges associated with the first-mover position and how competitors can overcome the advantages of pioneers. The analysis of first-mover advantages has provided valuable insights into the strategic significance of being an early entrant in a market. First-mover advantages arise from various factors, such as technological leadership, brand recognition, network effects, and access to critical resources. Companies that successfully leverage these advantages can establish a strong market position, creating barriers to entry for potential competitors and enjoying long-term benefits.

KEYWORDS:

Company, First-Mover, Game, Player, Market.

INTRODUCTION

Since it was the first online auction company, eBay has dominated its sector. Google wasn't the first search engine provider, but it went on to rule its own sector. With some assistance and competition from Pepsi, Coca-Cola established itself as the market leader for colas after creating the iconic coke [1], [2]. Despite dominating that market, neither Coke nor Pepsi created diet cola. Even though Apple did not create the MP3 player, the iPod went on to dominate the MP3 player market.

First-mover Advantages

Being the first to do anything offers a company a resource, capacity, or product-market position that gives the company an edge in generating and appropriating value. When a company is the first to introduce a new product into an existing market, develop a new market, invest in an activity first, or carry out any other value chain, value network, or value shop activity first, like avoiding distributors and selling directly to end-users, it has the chance to gain first-mover advantages [3], [4]. The following six key sources provide first-mover advantages:

1. Total preemption of the market.
2. Take the lead in business procedures, innovation, and technology.
3. The appropriation of limited resources.
4. First-at-buyers.
5. Create an activity schedule first.
6. Be the first to commit in an irrevocable way.

Total Preemption of the Market

A company that launches a new product first has the opportunity to act wisely and seize as much of the overall market as it can before competitors begin to encroach. Given that there is only one company in the market, it owns all of the new product, regardless of how many units it sells. Therefore, the focus in this situation is on preempting the whole accessible market by snatching up as much of it as possible and selling as many units as possible before competitors arrive. The company may benefit from five factors after securing the largest share of the whole market: scale economies, size effects, economic rents and equity, network effects, and relationships with co-competitors [5], [6].

Scale Economy

There are economies of scale in manufacturing if the cost of production per unit decreases as more of a product is produced. Additionally, economies of scale may exist in R&D, advertising, distribution, marketing, sales, and service if the cost of each operation decreases as more units are sold. This benefit mostly results from the ability to spread out the fixed costs of each operation among a greater number of units. For instance, the price of a TV commercial spot for Coca-Cola's diet cola is the same as the price for Shasta's diet cola. Shasta's diet cola only sells a few million cans, but Coca-Cola sells hundreds of millions, resulting in reduced per-unit advertising expenditures for Coke. If a company acts first and engages in the appropriate activities, it can preemptively capture the largest share of the total market that is open. Additionally, if the firm's industry and market allow for economies of scale, its per-unit cost of R&D, advertising, distribution, marketing, sales, and other expenses may be lower. Economies of scale result in a reduced cost per unit, which has a number of effects on the many aspects of its capacity to generate and appropriate value [7], [8].

Rational potential new entrants understand that if they were to enter the market to compete directly with the first mover, they would have to capture the same market share in order to achieve the same per unit costs as the first mover. This is especially true if the first mover has already successfully captured as much of the total available market as possible and benefits from scale economies. However, doing so would require entering the same market with the same capacity as the pioneer, thereby doubling the capacity. A pricing war that affects earnings for the new entrant might arise from this. Therefore, logical prospective new competitors could decide against joining. In other words, if a first mover can effectively take as much of the overall market as it can, it may achieve scale economies and hence raise entry barriers for certain prospective follows. If the first mover has a system of activities or unique resources and talents that are challenging to copy, or if the minimum efficient size is significant compared to the total accessible market, these entry barriers are more challenging to overcome [9], [10]. We do not imply that it is impossible for new entrants to join when we state that a first movers' preemption of the whole accessible market increases barriers to entry. Sometimes new players do join, but they focus on certain niches. Where economies of scale exist, they would find it harder to compete directly with a first mover that has largely cornered the whole market. Another instance is when a company adopts a revolutionary strategy and moves ahead of the competition by using a technology advance.

Beyond Economies of Scale: Size Effects

Beyond economies of scale, a first mover with the proper tactics and the ability to seize the largest share of the market has size to its benefit. A big company purchases more goods and services from its suppliers than do its rivals, and in certain situations, it has significant negotiating leverage with those same suppliers. For instance, Wal-Mart had a lot of influence on its suppliers in the middle of the 2000s because of its scale. It is also simpler for suppliers

to work with bigger businesses, power play or not. For instance, a company must purchase enough of the provider's product for it to be worthwhile for the supplier to invest in the necessary facilities, tools, and personnel to service a certain location's client base. A big company can afford to take on more innovation initiatives because it can distribute its risk over a greater number of less hazardous ventures. These advancements might help a first mover maintain whatever advantage it may have gained.

DISCUSSION

Economic Rents and Equity

A first mover is virtually a monopolist before rivals enter the market and may amass economic rents provided it creates and implements a professional business plan. Investors may increase the first mover's market value if it secures the majority of the overall market share and continuing expanding quickly. This is because they expect favorable future cash flows from economic rents. Such funds may be very helpful to the first mover, particularly when the capital markets are not functioning properly and finance is difficult to come by for those who want it. The first mover may utilize the funds to purchase nascent new competitors, engage in venture capital, increase R&D expenditures, or purchase significant complementary assets. A modest new entrant is less likely to be motivated to launch a pricing war if a first mover builds up capital since the first mover has more money to absorb losses. The disadvantage of a first mover receiving economic rents is that they may entice possible new competitors who want a piece of the profits. The first mover might develop a reputation for fighting or take other actions, such as building alliances, joint ventures, and so on, to stave off assaults if it has amassed enough money or equity.

Externalities on a network

A product demonstrates network externalities if it becomes increasingly beneficial to consumers as more people use it or a comparable one. An example is an auction network like eBay's. The value of the network to each user increases as there are more registered users on eBay in its community of registered users. Because, for instance, a prospective buyer of an antique is more likely to locate the antique in a bigger network, and a seller of an antique is more likely to find a buyer in a larger network. Therefore, bigger networks would often attract more users than smaller ones. Therefore, customers are likely to gravitate towards a first mover's network or goods if they have proactively taken most of the market share for a technology or product that shows network externalities, which will lead to an increase in the number of users of that network or product. As a result, a first mover who establishes a lead may see that lead increase. Computers, for example, are a good example of a product that exhibits network externalities. This is so that additional software may be created for a specific machine or one that is compatible with it. More consumers will desire the computer if there is more software available for it or one that is compatible with it. As a result, a first mover with a large installed base of complementary goods is likely to see more consumers gravitate toward its products, growing its installed base and drawing in more clients.

A big installed base or network size has a number of effects on the various parts of a company's business model. A huge private network may be a distinguishing element for first movers since the larger a network is, the more valuable it is to consumers. Second, logical prospective new competitors are aware that in order to provide clients with the same value as the first mover, they would require a network that is comparable in size to that of the first mover. New clients, though, tend to choose the bigger network. So, for some possible new entrants, a large private network serves as a barrier to entry. Once again, this does not imply that no companies join the market. In order to compete with the first mover with the broad network, several people often

join but compete in narrow areas. Furthermore, a vast network only prevents admission when it is proprietary. Entry barriers are reduced if it is open, like it was with Wintel PC. Third, consumers inside a first mover's network would want to remain within the bigger network rather than migrate because, all else being equal, they would prefer a large network over a smaller one. Large networks actually result in higher switching costs for users. Thus, by including switching costs for consumers in the form of a large private network, a first mover may reduce the bargaining power of customers. Fourthly, a large private network also lessens competition between its owner and operators of smaller networks. This is so that it can be distinguished from its lesser competitors by its wider network. As we'll explore in more detail later in this book, users' perceptions of a network's value may also be influenced by variables besides size.

Arrangements with Coopetitors

We have viewed suppliers, customers, purchasers, rivals, and possible new entrants as competitors in our examination of first-mover advantages so far with the intention of undercutting its earnings. These performers are often more than simply rivals. Relationships with them may be very important since they are coopetitors—companies with whom one must collaborate to produce value and compete to take it. A first mover has the chance to establish connections not just with rival companies but also with organizations like colleges or governmental organizations. A standard or dominating design may be won by the collaborators with the assistance of such relationships, among other things.

Take the lead in business procedures, innovation, and technology

A first mover often has the chance to create leadership roles in organizational innovation, business processes, and technology. These leadership roles may be seen in the quality and extent of the knowledge, culture, and intellectual property that businesses can incorporate into their business models.

Informational property

Innovations in organizational, technological, and commercial processes may provide businesses an edge, thus some businesses strive to protect them via patents, copyrights, trademarks, or trade secrets. Since they may be worked around, patents don't provide adequate protection against imitation for many products. Many nations do not have laws that protect copyrights and trademarks, and even those that do often have lax enforcement of the laws that do protect them. Reverse engineering of goods or personnel leaving one company to work for another often lead to the disclosure of trade secrets. Despite these often-highlighted flaws of intellectual property protection, intellectual property frequently contributes to a first mover's company model's prosperity. First, patents and copyrights provide their owners with reasonable protection for a length of time during which they may earn monopoly rents from their innovation or discovery in specific sectors and nations. For instance, the fact that many so-called blockbuster pharmaceutical products, like Lipitor, receive patent protection for the life of their patents, contributes to their high levels of income. The amount of money made from a drug's sales may fall by as much as 86% if its patent protection expires and generic versions are made available.

Second, although a lot of patents, copyrights, trademarks, and trade secrets may not completely bar access, they may certainly slow it down. Even while it is less expensive than creating an original invention, it may still be expensive to acquire a patent circumvention right. Third, even if it does not prevent or delay entrance, intellectual property protection may still be a source of income and profits. The utilization of intellectual property is well shown by the Google and

Overture case. Using its PageRank algorithm, Google created a search engine that produced some of the most relevant search results. The business need a stronger advertising revenue model than pop-up advertisements to more effectively monetize its search capabilities. A mechanism that allows advertisers to bid for the placement of advertisements next to or above search results was invented by Overture, formerly known as Goto.com, and was granted a patent in July 2001. In August 2004, Google and Yahoo settled their legal dispute for 2.7 million shares of Google class A common shares. On June 28, 2006, the 2.7 million shares were valued at just over one billion dollars. Another example is the situation of Qualcomm. The royalties on the company's patents account for the majority of its earnings. Actually, even when competitors join their market areas, early movers may still profit from their intellectual property. Third, a first mover might utilize its intellectual property as negotiating leverage to get other crucial resources that it might need to capitalize on its discoveries or innovations. For instance, in order to benefit from the idea, a startup company requires marketing, production, distribution, shelf space, and other supporting assets. It may access these complementary assets by using its intellectual property as a negotiating chip. Exactly that is what many biotech companies do.

Learning

A first mover acquires know-how and other expertise via doing R&D, manufacturing, marketing, and other value-adding activities. First movers nonetheless gain from their acquired knowledge in a number of ways, even if some of it may leak over to prospective rivals via personnel mobility, informal know-how trade, reverse engineering, factory tours, and study publishing. The typical learning or experience curve model suggests that a business's production costs for a certain product decrease as a function of the total number of units produced by the firm since it began manufacturing the product. Therefore, the company may have a cost advantage over followers to the degree that the information is difficult to disseminate or the corporation can keep it exclusive. This may limit possible new entrants since any new entrant would need to get the same amount of information to lower their expenses to those of the pioneer. A company may also utilize its knowledge base as a negotiating tool when looking for complementary assets. This is seen in the Pixar versus Disney controversy. Pixar was the first company to exploit the digital animation movie technology, and via an arrangement that benefited both companies, it was able to leverage Disney's brand name renown in animation, narrative, merchandising power, and distribution channels.

Corporate Culture

A company's culture is the collection of common norms, values, and behaviors that all workers adhere to.6 Because a company's culture is ingrained in its practices, activities, and history, it may be difficult to replicate and requires time to develop. A company that moves first might get the crucial time it needs to develop its culture. Culture may be a source of competitive advantage in situations when it is valued, hard to replicate, and uncommon. It may save expenses or enable a business to innovate more than its rivals, distinguishing its goods. The culture of Southwest Airlines was often linked to its status as the most profitable airline in the USA throughout the 1990s and far into the 2000s. Employees of the firm really cared about one another, were open to a variety of tasks, and were prepared to put in more effort and time than those of other airlines.

Takeover of Limited Resources

An early mover often has the chance to secure significant, limited resources, therefore edging out competitors.

Comparative Strengths

Moving first often entails the creation of a new product or the adoption of new technology for many businesses. A company often requires complementary assets, or all other assets other than those that support the innovation or discovery, in order to benefit from such discoveries or new technologies. These assets are required so that the company can provide consumers with higher value and be in a position to appropriate the value. Recall that complementary assets include things like complementary technology, cooperative connections, distribution channels, shelf space, manufacturing, and brand name repute. Therefore, a company that acts first has the chance to outsmart competitors and acquire complementary assets. There is hardly much that prospective new competitors can accomplish after such essential resources are depleted. For instance, by controlling the majority of the soda shelf space in shops, early adopters Coke and Pepsi preempted most prospective new competitors in the soda market. Preemption of complementary assets might act as a significant entrance barrier. Since complementary assets are essential to generating profits from inventions and new technologies, logical potential new entrants who are aware that they cannot acquire the required complementary assets are less likely to enter, or if they do, they are more likely to seek alliances with those who do. Brands and other complementary assets may also distinguish the goods of a first mover from those of following.

Location

There is only so much space in many venues for so many competitors. Therefore, a first mover who employs the proper methods might outpace competitors by leaving minimal opportunity for imitators. Consider the concept of geographical space. There are often a certain number of gates and landing slots at airports. When an airline enters an airport early and launches several flights, it may monopolize the majority of the gates and landing slots, leaving the airport's later arrivals with few gates and landing slots. It effectively created a barrier to entry because any potential new entrant who expected to enjoy the same costs benefits from economies of scale as Wal-Mart would have to build as many stores and distribution centers; doing so would result in overcapacity and the threat of a price war.

When Wal-Mart entered the market in the Southwestern USA, it saturated neighboring towns with stores, leaving followers with very little space to build similar stores. Reasonable prospective new entrants would refrain from participating if there was a real possibility of price wars. It's not necessary to saturate nearby areas, like Wal-Mart did, to establish positions in a particular region. For instance, thorough market research may enable the first mover to choose the most profitable places to occupy, leaving off the less profit ones for followers. This would make inhabiting the interstices unproved for a follower. In the product space, location preemption is also possible. The so-called "white space"—possibly profitable product space that others may occupy—is little or nonexistent when first movers produce several items with significant variance in features to fill the potentially profitable product-attribute areas. Such a scarcity of empty space may discourage admission.

Input Variables

When competitors join an industry, early movers may be able to keep their brilliant workforce by offering the correct incentives. In certain circumstances, acting first and doing the appropriate study might provide greater knowledge of resource requirements and availability. A, early mover may be able to acquire assets at market value that will be successful when followers join in. For instance, compared to local authorities or rivals who haven't yet entered the nation, a mining or oil corporation that travels to a developing country and searches for mineral or oil resources has greater knowledge about the potential of the country. By entering

into agreements with local authorities, such a company may guarantee access to such deposits. Access to better information may also assist businesses in drafting employment agreements.

Plant and Machinery

A company is considered to have made an irreversible investment in a plant if it constructs it to manufacture a certain product and it cannot be utilized economically for any other. First movers who commit to sustaining greater production levels after the admission of followers by making irreversible investments in machinery, plants, or any other large asset send a message to prospective followers. As a result, managers are likely to continue producing as long as the prices they charge are high enough to cover their variable expenses. This is because their facilities and equipment cannot be employed successfully elsewhere. As long as their prices are high enough to cover their variable expenses, such early movers may engage in price wars if followers entered the market. Effective entry barriers and first-mover advantages may be achieved by permanent investments in factories and machinery.

First-at-customers

Customers are essential to a business model's success, and a company may be able to get first-mover advantages by being the first to contact customers. We investigate three such possible benefits:

Switching Charges

The expenses a buyer incurs while moving suppliers are referred to as switching costs. These expenses include the price of new equipment, such as software, required to work with the supplier, as well as the time and resources spent looking for, vetting, and selecting new suppliers. The incompatibility of a buyer's assets with the new provider may also result in switching costs. One airline's frequent flyer point, for instance, could not transfer to another airline. Customers are less likely to move to the goods of another company if switching costs for that firm's items are high. Potential new competitors are less likely to attempt to enter if they are aware that customers won't move. Competitors are less inclined to use lower pricing to try to acquire consumers when they are aware that customers are less likely to move. Therefore, a first mover may benefit if it can increase switching costs for customers before imitators arrive. It is crucial to remember that although switching prices might discourage current consumers from migrating to new businesses, they often have minimal impact on new clients who have no such charges to consider. Therefore, in a developing market, imitators may concentrate on acquiring new clients to raise their market share.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, First-mover advantages may be powerful forces behind a business's success and market leadership. Early entrants may build long-lasting competitive advantages by using technology leadership, brand awareness, and network effects. Being a pioneer has dangers, too, and rivals may nullify first-mover benefits with clever plans and quick execution. Companies need to strike a balance between innovation and adaptation if they want to reap the rewards of being a first mover in the ever-evolving market. Competitors might use a variety of techniques to offset first-mover advantages. They could concentrate on differentiating themselves, pursuing specialized markets, or attending to unmet client demands that the first mover missed. As an alternative, they may use fast-following techniques to catch up by studying the pioneer's errors and swiftly duplicating successful discoveries.

REFERENCES:

[1] H. Li and N. Zhao, "Better earlier than longer: First-Mover Advantage in social commerce product information competition," *Sustain.*, 2019, doi: 10.3390/su11174630.

[2] P. Omondi-Ochieng, "Revenue generation capacity of college football programs during the 2008 global financial crisis: Effects of first-mover advantage," *J. Account. Organ. Chang.*, 2019, doi: 10.1108/JAOC-10-2018-0106.

[3] S. Jayantilal, S. F. Jorge, and T. M. Bañegil Palacios, "First mover advantage on family firm succession," *Int. J. Appl. Manag. Sci.*, 2019, doi: 10.1504/IJAMS.2019.101005.

[4] H. Guan, H. Gurnani, X. Geng, and Y. Luo, "Strategic inventory and supplier encroachment," *Manuf. Serv. Oper. Manag.*, 2019, doi: 10.1287/msom.2018.0705.

[5] M. Glambosky, K. Gleason, C. Lee, and M. Murdock, "The low fee entry strategy and first mover advantage in the ETF market," *Invest. Manag. Financ. Innov.*, 2019, doi: 10.21511/imfi.16(2).2019.24.

[6] M. Botta, "First-move advantage in seasoned equity offerings: Evidence from European banks," *Glob. Financ. J.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.gfj.2018.10.003.

[7] D. K. H. Ho, E. C. M. Hui, T. W. Ho, and S. Rengarajan, "Strategic behavioral pricing of the private residential development market – a simplified experimental approach," *Int. J. Hous. Mark. Anal.*, 2019, doi: 10.1108/IJHMA-03-2013-0018.

[8] A. Feuillet, N. Scelles, and C. Durand, "A winner's curse in the bidding process for broadcasting rights in football? The cases of the French and UK markets," *Sport Soc.*, 2019, doi: 10.1080/17430437.2018.1505869.

[9] N. Argyres, J. T. Mahoney, and J. Nickerson, "Strategic responses to shocks: Comparative adjustment costs, transaction costs, and opportunity costs," *Strateg. Manag. J.*, 2019, doi: 10.1002/smj.2984.

[10] E. Albertini, "The Contribution of Management Control Systems to Environmental Capabilities," *J. Bus. Ethics*, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s10551-018-3810-9.

CHAPTER 12

EXPLORING THE BUYER CHOICE UNDER UNCERTAINTY

Harsh Panwar, Associate Professor, Department of Business Studies & Entrepreneurship,
Shobhit University, Gangoh, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- harsh.panwar@shobhituniversity.ac.in

Dr. Neha Vashishtha, Associate Professor, Department of Business Studies,
Shobhit Deemed University, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id-nehavashistha@shobhituniversity.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

Buyer choice under uncertainty is a complex decision-making process that occurs when consumers face uncertain information or outcomes regarding their purchase options. This research delves into the psychological and behavioral factors influencing buyer choice in uncertain situations. By examining experimental studies and real-world scenarios, this study aims to elucidate how consumers navigate uncertainty, assess risk, and make informed decisions. The research also explores the implications of buyer choice under uncertainty for marketers and businesses, offering valuable insights into strategies that can mitigate uncertainty and enhance consumer confidence. The exploration of buyer choice under uncertainty has shed light on the intricate dynamics of consumer decision-making in ambiguous situations. Consumers facing uncertainty exhibit distinct behavioral patterns and psychological biases that influence their purchase decisions.

KEYWORDS:

Buyer, Decision-Making, Market, Purchase, Strategy.

INTRODUCTION

Customers are not fully informed about the advantages of certain items. Customers of such goods may consequently stick with the first brand that adequately fits their demands. This is especially true for experiential commodities, which are items or services whose features can only be determined after consumption since they are difficult to examine beforehand. For instance, physicians often remain with the first medication that works for their patients and won't switch to a second medication unless there are strong reasons to do so since a drug's effectiveness and adverse effects might vary from patient to patient and cannot be predicted in advance [1], [2]. For low-cost convenience products, when the expenses of searching for a different product that suits a customer's tastes often outweigh the advantages of switching brands, such brand loyalty may be especially strong. For search goods items or services like aircraft whose features are simple to assess objectively before purchase the impact is less pronounced.

Preemption of Consumer Perceptual Space by Brand Mindshare

According to research, innovative brands may also have a significant impact on customer choices. In certain instances, the first product presented may actually get unfairly much attention from the media and consumers. One example is Viagra, which garnered a lot of free publicity from odd places like TV comedians. To unseat the leader's product, a follower has to have a better offering or invest much more in establishing its brand. A difficult-to-replicate system of operations was one of the most long-lasting first-mover advantages that a company may have. Although replicating certain specific activities within such a system of activities may be simple, doing it for the whole system might be challenging since it requires replicating not just the individual components of the system but also their relationships [3], [4]. The now-

familiar Dell example highlights how challenging it may be to replicate a system of new gaming activities. The first significant PC manufacturer to embrace direct sales and build-to-order was Dell. It created a set of initiatives to promote this tactic that were difficult for followers to replicate. Having a direct sales staff is not as straightforward. It's not as straightforward as merely using mass customization in the production process or facility. The value chain involves a wide range of factors, including how we source goods, how we create products, how we place orders and manage inventory levels, as well as how manufacturers and service providers are supported. For the value chain to be successful and efficient, every link in the chain must cooperate. Potential copycats may have difficulties with these intricate networks of activity. Rollins mentioned this, Additionally, it might be challenging to reproduce how the 10 objects interact with one another [5], [6].

Making Irrevocable Commitments First

First-mover advantages have the power to alter followers' behavior as well as their probable expectations. Making irreversible investments is one way to discourage or slow down follows, but for this to happen, there has to be some indication from the first mover that it is dedicated to that specific first-mover advantage. By suing everyone who tries to infringe on its patents or copyrights, for instance, a first mover might build a reputation for taking legal action against any company that violates its intellectual property. A promise has to be credible, obvious to rivals, and simple to grasp in order to be successful in discouraging or slowing down rivals. If there is anything about a promise that encourages rivals to trust it and the possibilities it expands or restricts, then it is credible. Credibility is mostly influenced by reputation and commitment that cannot be undone. A company is more likely to battle the next group of followers if it has a history of doing so with the first group that enters its product market place. A reputation for revenge like that may dissuade potential participants [7], [8]. If it costs money or is difficult to back out of or reverse a promise, it is irreversible. For instance, if the assets supporting the pledge cannot be successfully redeployed elsewhere, that would be the situation. The theory behind this is that if a first mover makes an irrevocable commitment, it is more likely to stick around and battle followers rather than give in to them or leave. Many individuals can see commitments, such as physical plants, but it might be more difficult to see commitment to a culture. Signals may be used to indicate the existence of obligations when they are not readily apparent. Competitors may also utilize signals to understand the kind of promises made by a company. A company that takes action first effectively has the chance to create pledges that are believable, evident, and clear [9], [10].

DISCUSSION

Earning First-mover Advantages

Even when a company executes an action first, simply because it does so does not automatically provide it first-mover benefits. First-mover advantages are often earned by businesses. To put it another way, just because a company launches a new product first doesn't necessarily mean that it will automatically capture the majority of the total market, allowing it to take advantage of economies of scale, grow large enough to benefit from its size, profit from its foresight, develop and exploit a sizable installed base, or cultivate significant and beneficial relationships with co-competitors. The proper resources and capabilities are not immediately preempted by a company when it moves first, and consumers' switching costs are not automatically increased. In order to get these benefits, one must carry out value chain operations as effectively and efficiently as necessary to improve one's chances of producing and capturing the greatest value. Additionally, a company must concentrate on the first-mover advantages it seeks, foresee and react to followers' reactions, and be aware of any possibilities or risks in its surroundings. In

other words, excellent techniques are necessary to get first-mover advantages. Some of these tasks may be simpler to do since you moved before, but you still need to do them correctly.

Total Preemption of the Market

It's likely that not every prospective consumer would rush to a company's doors demanding for its items when it enters a market initially. If the company wants to take the largest possible share of the total market, it must engage in the kinds of activities that find the greatest number of customers with a high willingness to pay, low acquisition and maintenance costs, and the benefits these customers' desire. Customers may choose to wait for followers or integrate vertically backward to meet their own demands if they do not get the advantages they want. Only if a first mover has developed a system of value-creating and value-capturing activities that is challenging to copy, or if it has developed valuable, rare, difficult-to-copy resources or skills that competitors cannot duplicate.

Lead in Innovation and Technology

Being the first to use a new technology does not guarantee that a company has the necessary organizational culture, intellectual property rights, or knowledge reserves. These benefits must be carefully sought. If a company wants the benefits of intellectual property protection, it must not only aggressively seek intellectual property rights at the outset but also vigorously defend those rights when they are threatened. It took AMD a while to catch up to Intel since Intel was also skilled at protecting the intellectual property rights to the microcode in its microprocessors. No one learns automatically. To effectively learn, a business needs the proper structure, incentive structures, and operational procedures. Building an innovative culture requires a lot of effort that is not assured simply because one moves first.

Takeover of Limited Resources

First movers may not always have access to limited resources. Due to all the operations, it engaged in, Wal-Mart was able to monopolize a large portion of the inexpensive retail space in the Southwest of the USA. It could have been simpler for rivals to go in if it hadn't overrun neighboring small towns with inexpensive shops, developed corresponding distribution complexes, and created a Wal-Mart culture. It could have been simpler for other airlines to enter into the same airports that Ryanair flew into if Ryanair had not increased flights to each of the secondary airports it expanded into, built strong ties with local officials, and secured as many gates and landing slots as feasible. A company must chase finite resources assiduously in order to avoid running out.

First-at-Customers

The fact that a company was the first to release a product does not guarantee that it will reach all the appropriate consumers and create every feasible switching cost. With the appropriate switching costs, the proper clients must be sought. Businesses must seek the proper branding messaging, among other things.

Establishing an activity system first

Being the first to complete a set of tasks does not guarantee that a company will be gifted with a set of tasks that are challenging to duplicate and offer it a competitive edge. The system's actions must be compatible with the underlying beliefs they support. For instance, a company that competes on price cannot engage in the high-priced operations that are often conducted by companies that sell luxury items. The effects of the activities should also be amplified rather than cancelled off. A company may have a problem if it uses advertising to establish a premium

brand but then sells goods that do not fit the image it is seeking to project. The activities must to be in line with the company's current unique resources or those it intends to develop. Unless Toyota was experimenting with newer and better procedures, it would not make sense for it to attempt to manufacture a new vehicle that did not employ its lean manufacturing principles. Finally, using industry value drivers may be necessary in order to create the proper system of operations. Remember that industry value drivers are those industry elements that have the potential to significantly affect both the quality and quantity of consumers as well as the advantages that they want.

Benefits of First-Movers

Moving first has downsides as well. These "follower advantages" are another name for the "first-mover disadvantages." Sometimes, followers stand to gain by free-riding on the spillovers from the investments made by first movers, by resolving technical and marketing ambiguity, by adapting to changes in technology or consumer demands, and by taking advantage of first-mover inertia.

Taking Advantage of First-Movers' Investments

First movers, especially those who develop new products, frequently need to make significant investments in R&D to create the new product, in training staff who will work with the new technology and market, in assisting suppliers in better understanding what they should be supplying, in creating distribution channels, and in assisting clients in uncovering their latent needs.

Followers can benefit from the knowledge that first movers' R&D has now made available, hire away some of the staff that the pioneer trained, purchase from suppliers who have a better understanding of what they should be supplying, use tried-and-true distribution channels, and target potential customers who may be willing to switch or new ones who are waiting for a different iteration of the pioneer's product.

A follower may effectively benefit from the first mover's investments at a lesser cost than the first mover did. Even if these possibilities for free riding exist, not all followers are permitted to use them. The degree to which a follower has complementary assets for the product and how easily the first mover's offering may be imitated determine whether a follower is able to take advantage of these chances. It also depends on the business strategies that the innovator and follower adopt.

Getting Rid of the Marketing and Technological Uncertainty

There are sometimes significant technical and commercial uncertainties that first movers must overcome. As the first mover collaborates with suppliers, consumers, and complementors to better supply what customers want, this uncertainty eventually dissipates. For instance, the establishment of a standard or dominant design may significantly lower the level of uncertainty since enterprises do not need to make significant, expensive design modifications and suppliers are better informed about what to offer. For instance, followers who join the market after the introduction of a standard or dominant design do not need to worry as much as the pioneer did about what design to pursue or if a market exists or not.

Only after it had been shown that the technology and market were feasible did several PC manufacturers, including Dell, enter the PC industry. Therefore, it had already been established that there was a market for corporate clients before Dell joined the PC space. Dell just needed to decide to concentrate on serving corporate clients. Depending on what the pioneer accomplished when it moved first, followers may or may not be able to reduce the first mover's

competitive advantage. The standard or dominant design may be won by a first mover who employs the proper techniques, and thus gives them control over how much followers will benefit from the standard or dominant design.

First-mover Inertia

If a first mover's inertia stops it from moving due to a change in technology or a change in client demands, the follower may have an opportunity. For instance, if a technical advancement enables the introduction of a new product that may displace that of a first mover, the first mover is unlikely to be eager to do so out of concern that the new product would replace its own.

Competitors' Disabilities

When a company acts first and benefits from first mover advantages, it is because its rivals choose not to act first or to follow right away. The issue is, why, given the possible first-mover benefits, do some businesses choose to go last or not at all? To put it another way, if going first has so many benefits, what about a first mover's rivals is keeping them from doing the same? We look at five things that might stop rivals from going first or second: the predominance of their own logic, a lack of strategic alignment, past commitments, resources and competencies, and the worry about cannibalization.

Prevailing Logic

Every manager has a set of preconceived notions, biases, and assumptions about the organization and behavior of the sector in which his or her company operates, the markets on which the company should concentrate, the business model for the company, the people to hire, the company's rivals, the most appropriate technologies, and so forth. A manager's managerial logic, which consists of a collection of beliefs, biases, and assumptions, establishes the context in which they would likely approach management choices. The capacity of a manager to find, sort, gather, assess, and integrate new information and make choices based on that knowledge is fundamentally based on managerial logic. There often arises a dominant management logic a popular perspective on how to do business in the company depending on organizational values, norms, culture, structure, systems, procedures, business model, environment, and how successful the firm has been. Dominant managerial logic, also known as the mental map, managerial frame, genetic code, corporate genetics, and corporate mentality, is often a strength for a company that has been functioning effectively as long as there are no big changes. A company is likely to overlook an opportunity to benefit from new information by pursuing a new game activity and thereby becoming the first to, say, offer a new product if it deviates from its managers' dominant logic outside managers' beliefs, biases, and assumptions about how to conduct business. Therefore, one reason why a first mover instead of its rivals goes first may be due to competitors' dominating rationale. It's possible that competitors' rationale prevents them from recognizing first-mover advantages and acting quickly to take advantage of them.

Right Fit

Although management may be able to comprehend the advantages of moving early due to competitors' dominating reasoning, they may nevertheless choose not to do so. For instance, if moving first is inconsistent with the firm's strategy, that would be the case. Exploiters who own valuable, hard-to-find complementary assets often use the so-called "follower strategy" and wait for competitors to launch a new product. They then immediately copy the product and use their limited complimentary resources to outwit the first mover and gain from their innovations. In the 1970s, 1980s, and 1990s, IBM engaged in this follower approach. It didn't create the personal computer, but it did exploit its well-known brand, installed base of users, and software

developers to momentarily capture around 60% of the PC market share, which it lost severely and finally left behind. It also waited until Sun Microsystems and Apollo Computers had established themselves in the computer workstation industry before entering and used its established customer base and brand to swiftly capture a significant market share.

Prior Engagements

Even though it is in a rival's best advantage to start the new game activity first or to follow a first mover, the competitor may still be unable to do so due to previous commitments made in its earlier actions. We look at two different kinds of commitment: sunk cost and relationship-related.

Commitments Relating to Relationships

Contracts, network ties, alliances, joint ventures, agreements, understandings within political coalitions, and venture capital investments are all examples of commitments that include more than one person and are considered relationship-related. Sometimes, carrying out a new gaming activity necessitates that a corporation cancel or alter the terms of earlier agreements pertaining to relationships. The opposing side could decline to go along if the new game is not in their best interests. The instance of Compaq provides a compelling illustration. Compaq planned to adopt a build-to-order approach and sell directly to consumers, eschewing distributors, to copy Dell's direct sales and build-to-order new gaming strategy. Distributors refused to participate, citing prior commitments, and Compaq was forced to significantly alter its planned new business model.

Commitments Relating to Sunk Costs

A company may signify its commitment to remain in a market or a certain business by making irreversible investments in plants, machinery, capacity, or other resources as part of sunk cost-related commitments. Investments that have sunk costs, or expenditures that have already been invested and cannot be recovered, are referred to as irreversible investments. It could seem more profitable to stick with one's irreversible investments rather than investing in new game activities if executing a new game activity takes resources that are different from a firm's irreversible investments yet current PMPs remain competitive.

Why? Since the incumbent's current investments are sunk and cannot be recovered and reinvested in the new game activity, investing in the new game activity needs fresh investments, which must come from someplace else. In order to pursue the new gaming activity, the company must pay all additional expenses. Since its sunk investments' goods are still competitive, it can continue operating on its current budget if it wants to. Customers may start switching to new game products at some point, and the company that persisted with the sunk investments may see its market share decline if goods from the new game activities develop more quickly than those from the current ones.

Capacities and Resources

In certain businesses, carrying out a new game action often calls for unique resources that rivals may not have. A new microprocessor or operating system development project may cost billions of dollars and include hundreds of highly qualified experts. These are limited assets and skills that only a few businesses possess. As a result, competitors may not be able to compete with a first mover because they lack the means or capacity to do so. For instance, many nations lack the resources necessary to establish and sustain a profitable automobile industry, hence they cannot afford one. This is the ancient tale of entrance barriers.

Fear of being cannibalized

Companies are unlikely to pursue a new gaming activity if doing so would require them to cannibalize their current goods, particularly if the new items would have to be priced cheaper than the present ones. Sun Microsystems initially resisted releasing Linux Intel-based servers because they are more expensive to purchase, operate, and maintain than Sun's UNIX-based servers. Servers built with Intel chips finally prevailed.

Multigame

A new game does not exist in a vacuum. Instead, each new game often takes place before, after, or simultaneously with another game. As a result, in order to effectively play a current game, a business may need to assess how companies from previous, subsequent, and contemporaneous games are likely to respond. Firms from a prior game may discover that some of their advantages in the prior game have changed into disadvantages in the present game depending on the variations between the two games. Companies who didn't participate in the previous game might profit from such disadvantages. But several advantages from earlier games often stay advantages. With numerous complimentary assets, this is the case. In the present game, companies who act first may create and benefit from first-mover advantages. These companies must also be conscious that some of their first-mover advantages and disadvantages may turn into disadvantages in the subsequent game. Therefore, it may be wiser for these companies to foresee how players would likely behave in the next game and adjust their strategies appropriately.

Player Types: A Framework

In any given market, not all companies that explore new games move at the same time. Additionally, not all players use the best fresh game approaches. As a result, we may classify individuals based on when they explore novel game tactics and how thoroughly they do so. As a first step to understanding what it has to do next, such a categorization may assist a business grasp where it stands strategically in relation to its rivals in the face of a new game. It may assist a businessperson in determining which key areas are worthwhile to explore. Exploratory, superstars, exploiters, and metros are the four sorts of players that emerge from the categorization.

Explorers

A company that performs a series of novel gaming activities initially and mostly for enjoyment is known as an explorer. It is less of an exploiter and more of an explorer. Its actions are more motivated by what it simply finds itself doing or finds enjoyable than by a defined plan for producing and appropriating value. The motivation of the adventurers may be different from financial gain. They could also engage in the activity only for the purpose of learning. They often generate value or provide the groundwork for it, but they never take it. They contribute to reducing market and technology uncertainty and open the door for profit-seeking exploiters to enter and take advantage of the foundation for value creation. This category includes a lot of inventors. Good examples are AT&T, which created the transistor, and inventors like Tesla. Another example is Xerox, which via its Xerox Palo Alto Research Center pioneered the personal computer graphical user interface paradigm, laser printing, Ethernet, and object-oriented programming, among other things, but never earned much money from them. However, this does not imply that all explorers do not appropriate the value they have produced. While some people do produce money, it seldom results from a conscious effort to create and appropriate value.

Superstars

A company that pursues a new game strategy first and persistently carries out those actions that significantly increase its chances of creating and using first-mover advantages, overcoming first-mover disadvantages, and benefiting from rivals' weaknesses is known as a superstar. A super-star often has a well-defined plan for how to provide value and take advantage of it when it moves first. However, unlike explorers, superstars are also really and intentionally engaged in exploitation in producing and taking profit. Superstars are interested in discovering new methods to create value, just as explorers are. A superstar puts a lot of effort into engaging in new game activities and maximizing both the value chain and new game elements of such activities. It acts appropriately and in the proper ways. With any luck, such a company will not only be able to achieve a competitive edge but will also be able to alter the industry's structure to its benefit. It has the potential to dominate its sector. Followers often struggle to catch up to or overtake the celebrity, mostly because the latter has created the proper first-mover advantages and is using them. Additionally, a superstar may have avoided possible first-mover disadvantages and profited from future rivals' weaknesses. This does not imply that a superstar's edge over rivals lasts indefinitely. When Merck carried out the necessary research and development to find and use Manacor, the first cholesterol treatment from the class of cholesterol medications known as statins that would change cholesterol therapy, it was a superstar. When Wal-Mart started its bargain retailing business in a little town in the USA's southwest, it was a huge success. When Dell pioneered direct sales and build-to-order in the PC industry, it was a success. When it began operating low-cost flights inside the EU, Ryanair was a phenomenon. Superstars often accrue wealth, but not always. Compared to an explorer, they have a higher likelihood of success because of their focused pursuit of value creation and appropriation. However, superstars are not always likely to capture the majority of the wealth that they have generated since they often deal with the enormous technical and commercial challenges connected with going first.

Exploiters

An exploiter is a follower who joins the market after waiting for pioneers and industry leaders to act first and eliminate market and technical uncertainty. An exploiter often has the ability or may rapidly learn it to benefit from first-mover disadvantages in order to produce and/or appropriate value more effectively than first movers. Typically, exploiters don't create anything new, but they might profit greatly from innovations and discoveries. They often have complementary assets, which are all the additional assets required by businesses to develop and capture value, apart from those that support the innovations or discoveries. There is no shortage of exploiters. Although they did not create the CAT scan, General Electric and Siemens did profit the most from it. Although they did not create diet or caffeine-free cola, Coke and Pepsi did profit the most from them. Many of the items that Microsoft sells and derives money from were not its ideas. Although not the first MP3 player, the iPod dominated the market in 2007. Like celebrities, exploiters have well-defined plans for generating and capturing profit. But in doing so, they benefit from their complementing qualities and capacity to take advantage of first-mover disadvantages. Usually, they are aware of when to enter and what to do when they do. Since they may sell themselves to the exploiters for a profit, some business owners see exploiters as a crucial component of their departure strategy. Some people compare exploitation to piranhas.

Me-too

Me-too players are followers who lack a clear plan for overcoming first-mover disadvantages in order to generate and capture value more effectively than pioneers. Many of them are

established businesses that must protect their competitive advantages from advancing newcomers or exploiters but are unsure of how to do so. Some businesses build or enter specialized markets by taking advantage of first-mover disadvantages. In such instance, they could have distinct techniques for going after the targeted niche but not for unseating pioneers or exploiters. The majority of generic drug vendors are copycats.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, psychological biases, risk aversion, and emotional reactions all play a part in the complex process of consumer decision in uncertain situations. By establishing a trustworthy brand, providing clear information, using heuristics, and attending to the emotional requirements of customers, marketers and companies may strategically react. Businesses may better negotiate the difficulties of an uncertain market and develop consumer-centric strategies that promote trust and loyalty by knowing the subtleties of customer choice under uncertainty. Moreover, it is important to consider how emotions affect a buyer's decision when there is ambiguity. Consumer decisions may be highly influenced by emotions like fear, excitement, or hope, which may result in impulsive purchases or avoidance behavior. In order to create message that appeals to customers' emotions while also building a feeling of trust and confidence, marketers must be aware of their emotional reactions and responsive to them.

REFERENCES:

[1] E. C. Anderson, R. N. Carleton, M. Diefenbach, and P. K. J. Han, "The Relationship Between Uncertainty and Affect," *Front. Psychol.*, 2019, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02504.

[2] A. M. Van Der Bles *et al.*, "Communicating uncertainty about facts, numbers and science," *R. Soc. Open Sci.*, 2019, doi: 10.1098/rsos.181870.

[3] R. H. Hariri, E. M. Fredericks, and K. M. Bowers, "Uncertainty in big data analytics: survey, opportunities, and challenges," *J. Big Data*, 2019, doi: 10.1186/s40537-019-0206-3.

[4] Y. C. Chen, M. J. Benus, and J. Hernandez, "Managing uncertainty in scientific argumentation," *Sci. Educ.*, 2019, doi: 10.1002/sce.21527.

[5] D. Melamed, S. V. Savage, and C. Munn, "Uncertainty and Social Influence," *Socius*, 2019, doi: 10.1177/2378023119866971.

[6] H. V. Phan, N. H. Nguyen, H. T. Nguyen, and S. Hegde, "Policy uncertainty and firm cash holdings," *J. Bus. Res.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2018.10.001.

[7] Q. Zhang and P. Zhang, "An uncertainty descriptor for quantitative measurement of the uncertainty of remote sensing images," *Remote Sens.*, 2019, doi: 10.3390/rs11131560.

[8] V. Nagar, J. Schoenfeld, and L. Wellman, "The effect of economic policy uncertainty on investor information asymmetry and management disclosures," *J. Account. Econ.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jacceco.2018.08.011.

[9] M. Frank Goodchild, "Uncertainty," in *International Encyclopedia of Human Geography, Second Edition*, 2019. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-08-102295-5.10441-X.

[10] W. Thuiller, M. Guéguen, J. Renaud, D. N. Karger, and N. E. Zimmermann, "Uncertainty in ensembles of global biodiversity scenarios," *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-09519-w.

CHAPTER 13

INVESTIGATING THE DIFFERENT NEW GAME PRODUCT STRATEGIES

Harsh Panwar, Associate Professor, Department of Business Studies & Entrepreneurship,
Shobhit University, Gangoh, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- harsh.panwar@shobhituniversity.ac.in

Dr. Neha Vashishtha, Associate Professor, Department of Business Studies,
Shobhit Deemed University, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id-nehavashistha@shobhituniversity.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

The gaming industry's dynamic landscape continually demands innovative product strategies to captivate players and maintain a competitive edge. This research explores various new game product strategies deployed by developers and publishers to cater to evolving player preferences and market trends. By analyzing case studies and industry examples, this study aims to shed light on the effectiveness of different product strategies, their impact on player engagement, and the implications for the gaming industry. The research also considers the role of technological advancements, monetization models, and content delivery methods in shaping new game product strategies. The exploration of different new game product strategies has unveiled diverse approaches that game developers and publishers can adopt to thrive in the competitive gaming market. The findings demonstrate the importance of innovation and adaptability in creating compelling gaming experiences that resonate with players.

KEYWORDS:

Dynamic Gaming, Industry, Developers, Player.

INTRODUCTION

It is essential to remember that each player type's ability is influenced by the opposition it confronts. Superstars are less likely to shine brilliantly if they begin implementing their strategy around the same time as other businesses join the market with complementary assets that are more valuable and scarcer. If exploiters join the market before the superstars have a chance to establish first-mover advantages, they are also less likely to succeed. If superstars or exploiters never pose a threat to explorers, they may succeed financially simply because they lack formidable rivals. If they join the market at the same time as other exploiters do or after market leaders have had a chance to establish first-mover advantages, exploiters are less likely to profit. If me-toos target certain audiences and neither celebrities nor exploiters care to challenge them, they may succeed. In reality, a player's ability to win money is significantly influenced by the competition they encounter [1], [2]. The effectiveness of a player may also be influenced by how well it can work in tandem with other players to create and appropriate value. A team with a higher likelihood of success than each player alone may be formed when an exploiter with complimentary skills teams up with an explorer who is more inventive. Superstars and exploiters may work together to take use of their complementing skills, particularly if each athlete is from a different nation and has something exceptional to contribute. Examples of player-type framework application [3], [4].

Although the player-type architecture is fairly basic, it has several applications that may be quite effective. It is adaptable and may be used to provide a basic but helpful look into a firm's new game plans for various goods, in various nations, and over a period of time. It is common for one company to simultaneously be an explorer for one product, an exploiter for another, and a celebrity or me-too for a third [5], [6]. The player-type framework may be used by

managers to examine the many underlying tactics of each of these items and decide what needs to be improved. provides a case study of how the framework may be used to analyze a computer manufacturer. The business sold servers, MP3 players, and laptops in the year 2000. The greater the circle, the sooner a company introduced a product before its next significant competition. The circle would lay to the right more to the extent that the company was seen to have successfully implemented the new game strategy for the product. Thus, the company's laptop strategy was exploratory in both 2000 and 2007, but in 2007 it acted more like a superstar as the laptop circle shifted to the right. Since its 2007 revenues were larger than its 2000 revenues, the plan likewise seems to have been successful. The company acted like a rock star when it came to servers. From 2000 to 2007, its sales rose as the company seemed to have adjusted its approach. Finally, the company seemed to have made improvements to their MP3 strategy between 2000 and 2007, but its income fell.

Performance of Several New Game Strategies in Various Nations

The framework may also be used to analyze the regional and international business strategies of a multiproduct/service company. Think about a company that employs various tactics in the USA, China, and the EU. Once again, the size of the circle corresponds to the sum of the firm's sales or profits during the course of the investigation in each location. The company does rather well as a me-too in the EU, but less well as an explorer and an exploiter. One example of such a business is a fast-food chain like McDonald's or Kentucky Fried Chicken, which works as an explorer in certain nations where it is typically the first fast-food chain in each place where it establishes its restaurants, an exploiter in others, and so on [7], [8]. The firm behaves as a hero, an exploiter, and a fellow victim in China. Being an exploiter and a me-too is more lucrative than being a celebrity. The business may also be a store or a fast-food chain with distinct tactics for various parts of China. The company has four distinct products available in the USA, each of which caters to a particular player type. The company performs best as a superstar, next as an exploiter, and last as an explorer. Being a copycat seldom gets results. Such an examination might be a useful place to start when deciding whether to keep attempting to please everyone in every area or location or to concentrate on becoming a superstar, explorer, exploiter, or copycat instead [9], [10].

Firm's New Game Strategies History

In the third example, a company may use the framework to examine its past accomplishments and how effectively it has done in its roles as a me-too, explorer, superstar, and exploiter. A pharmaceutical business that released many medications with various techniques may be an example of such a corporation. The company in the example began as an explorer in the 1980s and supplied two products that fared okay; nevertheless, the product for which the business had a stronger strategy than the other generated higher sales. The company opted to attempt becoming a me-too imitator as well as an adventurer in the 1990s. Its earnings as a superstar were far larger than what I and other explorers earned. The company had turned into an exploiter by the 2000s and was flourishing. Managers may use this information as an excellent jumping off point when talking about the future of the business. It implies, for instance, that the company could desire to continue being an exploiter if everything else stays the same, such the level of competition. The data also imply that the company could have been following a technological life cycle. The atmosphere is often more favorable for explorers and superstars at the beginning of such a cycle. Exploiters and copycats enter when the situation becomes unsettled. Later, when we discuss disruptive technologies, we will have more to say about technological life cycles.

DISCUSSION

First-mover Advantages have to be Earned and Exploited Diligently

First-mover benefits are not always given to the person who moves first. They must be developed by pursuing the appropriate new game activities, as well as utilized by pursuing the appropriate activities. First movers allow leeway for followers, particularly exploiters, to enter and potentially dominate if they do not assiduously seek and exploit first mover advantages. For instance, a pharmaceutical business that wants the advantages of patent protection must engage in the kinds of activities that will enable it to not only find something worthy of patenting but also to file for and be granted the patent in the appropriate jurisdictions. Since patents cannot treat ailments, the company must also convert its patents into medicines that people may use. Perhaps more crucially, not all first movers possess the specific resources or competencies needed to carry out these operations successfully and efficiently. For instance, not every pharmaceutical company has the R&D expertise, experience, and know-how required to identify the many compounds that qualify for patent protection. Effectively, there is room for followers to enter and succeed if a first mover is unable to acquire and utilize first-mover advantages either because it did not know which activities to pursue or because it lacked or was unable to develop the necessary distinctive resources/capabilities. This is especially true for followers who already possess the necessary complementary assets or who can do so quickly. A company often requires complementary assets in order to benefit from a new game. As a result, if a business discovers or invents something first, companies that join the market later may benefit most from the discovery or innovation if they have valuable complementary assets that the first mover does not. Effectively, whether a first mover or a follower, whomever possesses scarce vital complimentary goods has a greater chance of becoming the one to benefit from a new game. A first mover has a higher chance of succeeding if it can lessen the consequences of first-mover disadvantages. If it doesn't, it gives followers a chance to exploit them. Consider the fact that followers often have the opportunity to profit from the first mover's investments by recruiting from the first mover, going after its customers, and other strategies. The first mover may lessen these adverse consequences by better defending its intellectual property or by concluding appropriate contracts with its workers or clients. These steps may be able to provide the first mover a greater chance when combined with first-mover advantages. In the event that this is not feasible, a follower may benefit from first mover disadvantages. Not only may a follower profit from the R&D of leaders, but it can also benefit from the decreased technical and marketing uncertainties, as well as from any changes in technology or consumer demands that may have arisen after the leader made decisions about which activities to carry out.

Macroeconomic, Global, and Competitive Environments

Whether a company enters a market first or later depends on its competitive environment, which includes its competitors, suppliers, customers, possible new entrants, and replacements. For instance, there is a strong possibility that the first mover will succeed if it is a new competitor that employs disruptive technologies to combat incumbents. First movers have greater opportunity to provide distinctive value for clients and to develop first-mover advantages when there is a lot of market space for their business than if it were more congested. A dominating buyer may effectively neutralize some of the business's first-mover advantages by making the firm discover secondary suppliers for the items it purchases. For instance, IBM often demanded that chipmakers that provided it with chips work with at least one other chipmaker to assist it also provide the same chips in the 1970s and 1980s.

The macroenvironment—the political, economic, technical, social, and environmental environments—determines how much certain first-mover advantages matter. For instance, a first mover cannot depend on patents or copyrights as a first-mover advantage if the political/legal climate in the nation is such that there is little regard for intellectual property laws. This raises the possibility that a follower may profit from the investments made in R&D, production, and marketing by early movers. The commitments of early movers are more likely to make it harder for them to adapt to technology changes if the pace of technological development is high, giving follows a greater opportunity. There are limitations on the number of businesses that may enter certain sectors in several nations. Therefore, early adopters in these businesses have a higher chance of success than extremely late followers.

Player Type and Business Plan

Whether a corporation is pursuing the game as an explorer, superstar, exploiter, or me-too depends on whether it is a first mover or a follower in the face of a new game. Many exploiters would rather wait until other businesses have entered the market first and that the level of technology and marketing uncertainty has significantly decreased before they do so. Remember that an exploiter is a follower who joins after early movers have reduced market and technical uncertainty. Even if they unintentionally discover or create something, exploiters often wait until someone else has attempted to commercialize it and shown that there is a market for it. Examples like IBM and Microsoft are excellent. These businesses often possess the necessary unique complementary assets that are difficult to copy. When companies conclude that the level of technical and market uncertainty is low enough for them to participate, they often also have the abilities and know-how to swiftly produce and sell a product. And after using such tactics for a while, they could master the skills necessary to flourish as followers. Therefore, when explorers and exploiters compete, the former are more likely to succeed than the latter, especially in the face of shifting customer preferences or technological advancements.

In a similar vein, superstars are often inventing new things and seeking the best tactics to enable them to produce and appropriate value. Remember that a superstar company is one that enters a new market first and rigorously does those actions that significantly increase its prospects of creating and exploiting first-mover advantages, overcoming first-mover disadvantages, and benefiting from rivals' disadvantages. Superstars often acquire a preference for taking the initiative and pursuing strategies that increase their chances of success. The microprocessor, the EPROM memory chip, and Intel's advancement of its microprocessor technology at a pioneering pace by releasing a newer generation of its microprocessors before unit sales of an older one had peaked, made Intel a superstar when they were developed. Superstars are especially likely to succeed if they only compete against explorers or copycats. The fact that superstars often confront enormous technical and marketing uncertainties alone, while exploiters wait in the wings, is one of their toughest concerns.

Additionally, it's probable that explorers have honed their skills in value creation rather than value appropriation. Remember that an explorer is a company that initiates a set of novel game activities but does so mostly for amusement or because it happens to be doing so at the time. It is more of an explorer than an exploiter and lacks a deliberate strategy for creating and taking advantage of first-mover advantages. This is not to argue that explorers do not benefit from being first to market. They sometimes stumble across first-mover advantages but lose out on a lot of other opportunities to create and take advantage of them. An explorer has less odds of succeeding if there are numerous exploiters or celebrities around. Me-toos become adept at exploring niches and may succeed if exploitation and celebrities choose to ignore such spaces.

In other words, if a company's strategy is based on going first and it has built the resources and skills to support it, it is likely to move first and eventually dominate its market. It is likely to succeed as a follower if that is its plan and you have the resources and ability to support it.

A follower could want to:

1. List the drawbacks of being the first to market and assess your ability to take advantage of them.

2. Determine the areas where the early adopter was unable to create first-mover advantages and exploit the gaps created.

3. Seek for areas where first-mover advantages may have turned into disadvantages and take advantage of them. For instance, a first mover can be stuck by agreements it established with rivals. Remember the Dell and Compaq situation? One of the first companies to proactively acquire positions with PC distributors was Compaq. When Dell arrived on the scene, wholesalers were no longer able to compete with another PC manufacturer. Distributors did not let Compaq get off that easy when Dell went direct and the company intended to follow suit.

Putting New Game Strategies to Use

If a new game strategy is to reach its full potential, it must be effectively implemented. Executing a new game strategy entail putting people in place to carry out the assortment of new game tasks; this includes deciding who reports to whom, how to assess performance and reward it, how information should flow throughout the organization, who to employ, what culture one wants to see emerge, and other issues. As an example, when Dell made the decision to pursue a build-to-order and direct sales approach, it had to restructure its organization to accommodate the new strategy, implement the proper processes to gauge and recognize success, employ the appropriate personnel, and attempt to create the proper culture. Google used an organizational structure that suited its informal tech culture, created its own incentive structures, and recruited the appropriate technical and non-technical staff in order to carry out all the tasks that allowed it to provide relevant searches and monetize them using a paid-listing revenue model. A company requires organizational structure, processes, and personnel that reflect both the strategy and the environment in which it is being pursued in order to effectively implement its new game plan. The linkages between the organization's structure, which must carry out the strategy, the systems and procedures that support the structure, and the individuals who must carry out the duties in the environment at hand are all part of strategy implementation. Using a framework of strategy, structure, systems, people, and environment, we investigate some of these linkages.

A framework for S3PE

The S3PE framework's basic premise is straightforward. individuals create and implement strategies, and individuals do vary. Furthermore, different game strategies need different activities to be completed by players. Therefore, not only do people need to play changing roles in response to a new game strategy, but also the motivational factors that drive people to accomplish activities effectively vary from job to task, from person to person, industry to industry, and nation to nation. Therefore, the kind of new game strategy and operating environment that a firm uses determines the kind of people that are hired, who reports to whom, how performance is measured and rewarded, and the information systems required.2 In other words, given the environments in which the strategies must be implemented, some organizational structures, systems/processes, and people would be more suitable for some

strategies than others. Finding the structures, methods, and people that best suit the set of activities that a corporation chooses to execute, how, where, and when it performs them, is the goal of implementing a new game strategy in a particular environment. Before moving on to how new games may affect the S3PE architecture, we briefly go through each of its elements.

Strategy

Remember that a company's strategy is the collection of actions it takes to generate and capture value. Although it is possible for a firm's structure, systems, and people to drive strategy in certain circumstances, a firm's structure, systems, and people are often determined by the strategy of the company.

Structure

A business's structure informs us who reports to whom and who is accountable for which operations, while a firm's strategy focuses on the collection of actions that the firm does to produce and appropriate value.3 An organizational structure has three main objectives. First, an organization's structure should ensure that timely information reaches the appropriate decision-makers while preventing the information from reaching the incorrect individuals. Second, a structure must be flexible enough to juggle integration and difference. The reason why a company's marketing and production departments are kept separate is because each one must have a focus in order for it to operate effectively and efficiently. Differentiation is the process of giving each unit the chance to specialize in what it does and become the best at it. The value creation and appropriation activities of a firm's different functions must be integrated if it is to perform well, which is why a firm's various functions frequently need to interact across functions.3 Third, a final objective of an organizational structure is to coordinate interactions between units to effect integration. If the product development and marketing teams coordinated their efforts—telling one another what they are doing and when, and utilizing information from the other as inputs—a new product launch would be more successful. Functional, multidivisional, matrix, and networked organizational structures are some variations used by businesses to achieve differentiation, integration, and coordination.

Functional Organization

In a functional structure, employees are arranged according to the task they perform for the company. For example, employees in marketing or sales report to managers or supervisors who also perform these tasks, while those in engineering and manufacturing report to managers or supervisors who also perform these tasks. Formal reporting and communications are often done up and down the organizational structure, particularly within each functional unit. The functional head serves as a conduit between corporate headquarters and each functional unit. The functional organization provides a number of benefits. First, since employees are grouped by function, there is a de facto division of labor that allows workers to gain in-depth knowledge, expertise, and know-how related to their function—to specialize in the functional duties that set their group apart from other functions. Second, since individuals in each functional unit are grouped together and may be situated in the same physical place, they have the opportunity to converse more often and are thus more likely to acquire in-depth knowledge about their functional area. Additionally, the functional structure has several drawbacks. A functional unit is likely to perform poorly when activities require extensive coordination with other functional units because of its limited familiarity with and lack of direct communication channels with other units. Second, it becomes harder for management at headquarters to keep up with what is happening inside each functional unit the more specialized it is. The lack of direct communication between the various functional divisions makes this situation worse. Third,

because functional departments may have objectives that are incompatible with working together with other functions due to disparities in their knowledge, expertise, and capabilities.

Divisional Structure or M-form

Instead of being organized by function as it is with the functional structure, employees in an M-form or multidivisional structure are organized by divisions or business units.5 The divisions can be organized according to the type of product each division offers, the type of customer, the geographic area that the firm serves, or the brand name that the firm offers. In contrast to the functional structure, where authority is concentrated at corporate headquarters, the M-form organization decentralizes power to the divisions. Usually, each unit is in charge of profit and loss. Two key benefits of the multidivisional structure. First, there is stronger accountability for the company's success since each section is accountable for its own profit and loss. Second, managers in the M-form just need to concentrate on their division since management responsibility is not as centrally located as in the functional structure. Since each manager is more likely to possess the kind of in-depth knowledge about his or her product line, brand, client, or geographic scope that they need to operate the firm effectively, this is a more achievable job. The main drawback of the divisional structure is that companies would not be able to develop the same level of in-depth expertise in functional areas like R&D as they might in a functional organization.

Matrix Organization

A matrix structure seeks to combine some of the advantages of divisional and functional structures. Because it is a hybrid structure that combines divisional and functional elements, it may take many different shapes. In one arrangement, workers from several functional areas are assigned to a project, but they don't only report to the project manager or the functional manager; they also report to the project manager and the functional manager. The idea is to maintain some performance accountability at the project level, allow project members to stay in close contact with their functional areas in order to benefit from intra-functional learning, especially in industries where deep functional knowledge is important. Cross-functional coordination is required to carry out projects that require skills and knowledge from different functional areas. Three main benefits of the matrix structure. First, some of the advantages of both functional and divisional structures are included into the structure. Employees may contribute the most recent ideas from their functional areas to the project and vice versa since they have one foot in their project group and the other in their functional area. Third, workers may devote as much time to project management as the rate of change of the knowledge in their functional area, as the pace of change of technology or market knowledge is likely to differ from one function to another.

This may result in a more effective utilization of staff. Fourth, certain individuals could be allowed to work on many projects, which would aid in the cross-pollination of project and functional expertise. There are various drawbacks to the matrix structure. First, employees in a matrix structure may need to physically colocate in both their project and functional areas because physical colocation with fellow project members can be crucial to project performance and physical colocation with functional colleagues can also be crucial to functional learning. Since an employee cannot be physically present in two locations at once, this may be expensive and ineffective. Second, project team members may need to simultaneously manage two bosses since they must report to both a functional and project manager in a matrix organization. Employees may find it challenging to decide whether to support the project manager or the functional manager when there is a dispute. Third, since the matrix structure requires some duplication of work, it may be more expensive than the functional one. For instance, having a

functional manager plus a project manager is one manager too many. Last but not least, the divisional organization is still significantly more efficient than the matrix system.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, if they want to be successful in the always changing gaming industry, game creators and publishers must experiment with a variety of innovative game product strategies. By using technological improvements, player-centric methods, ethical monetization practices, and content distribution strategies, businesses may provide players interesting gaming experiences and foster player loyalty. As the gaming landscape changes, agile and innovative product strategies will be essential for impacting the gaming industry's future. The report also highlights how collaboration and cooperation are essential for creating innovative gaming product strategies. The game may be able to reach a larger audience and become more well-known by working with well-known businesses, intellectual property owners, or content creators.

REFERENCES:

[1] L. Shao and S. Li, "Bundling and product strategy in channel competition," *Int. Trans. Oper. Res.*, 2019, doi: 10.1111/itor.12382.

[2] A. Rokhyadi, P. Saputra, T. Haryono, and W. Untoro, "Green Products Strategy Impact of Generic Porter Strategy on Company's Performance," *Int. Rev. Manag. Mark.*, 2019.

[3] E. van der Westhuizen and D. Mulder, "Guidelines to enhance recall and recognition of product placement strategies," *Communitas*, 2019, doi: 10.18820/24150525/Comm.v24.5.

[4] Y. A. Alamerew and D. Brissaud, "Circular economy assessment tool for end of life product recovery strategies," *J. Remanufacturing*, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s13243-018-0064-8.

[5] C.-L. Yang and H.-K. Hsu, "Optimized New Product Development Strategy," *Int. J. Organ. Innov.*, 2019.

[6] R. A. Trihatmoko, R. Mulyani, and I. N. Q.A., "Channel capability and the effectiveness of new product strategies," *J. Asian Bus. Econ. Stud.*, 2019, doi: 10.1108/jabes-11-2018-0086.

[7] A. Lialiuk, A. Kolosok, O. Skoruk, L. Hromko, and N. Hrytsiuk, "Consumer packaging as a tool for social and ethical marketing," *Innov. Mark.*, 2019, doi: 10.21511/im.15(1).2019.07.

[8] A. Alptekinoğlu and K. Ramachandran, "Flexible Products for Dynamic Preferences," *Prod. Oper. Manag.*, 2019, doi: 10.1111/poms.12990.

[9] K. Lavanya and P. Madhankumar, "Consumer perception towards green products and strategies that impact the consumers perception," *Int. J. Sci. Technol. Res.*, 2019.

[10] D. Ambarwati, L. W. Wardana, R. W. Arida, and U. Nadhiroh, "The development of jamu product strategy with AHP," *Int. J. Sci. Technol. Res.*, 2019.

CHAPTER 14

VIRTUAL STRUCTURE FOR VALUE ADDED ACTIVITIES: A REVIEW ANALYSIS IN CONTEXT TO GAMING SECTOR

Swati Rajaura, Assistant Professor, Department of Business Studies & Entrepreneurship,
Shobhit University, Gangoh, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id-swati.rajaura@shobhituniversity.ac.in

Dr. Neha Yajurvedi, Associate Professor, Department of Business Studies,
Shobhit Deemed University, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id-nehayajurvedi@shobhituniversity.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

The concept of a virtual structure for value-added activities has gained traction in modern business environments. This research delves into the virtual organization model, where firms collaborate and coordinate resources and capabilities to enhance value creation. By analyzing case studies and industry examples, this study aims to explore the advantages, challenges, and implications of virtual structures for value-added activities. The research also examines how technological advancements, communication tools, and organizational design facilitate the seamless integration of virtual teams and foster innovative and efficient value creation. The examination of a virtual structure for value-added activities has revealed valuable insights into the potential benefits and challenges of this organizational model. Virtual structures allow companies to capitalize on diverse resources, expertise, and geographical dispersion, fostering a dynamic and flexible approach to value creation.

KEYWORDS:

Activities, Business, Gaming, Strategy, Virtual Structure.

INTRODUCTION

In a network or virtual structure, businesses contract out all of the key value-adding tasks throughout their value chains and manage the work of their subcontractors. Technological innovations like the Internet have made it easier for this organizational structure to develop. In such a structure, the coordinating business hires a market research company to do market research on a specific concept or product, hires a design company to create the product, purchases the components from suppliers, and hires a different company to manufacture the product. The network structure provides a number of benefits. First off, since it outsources all of the key value-adding activities throughout its value chain, a company may avoid making substantial investments in physical assets. Second, having a virtual structure implies that a company does not have to worry about essential assets being turned outdated as it has not invested in any, which is especially beneficial in sectors where technological development occurs rapidly and often makes present capabilities obsolete [1], [2]. Such a company has the freedom to change manufacturers, suppliers, or distributors anytime it discovers one that can take use of the new technology more effectively. There are two significant drawbacks to the network structure.

First off, if one does not engage in significant value-adding activities, it is challenging to have a competitive edge. Nevertheless, whether this is a drawback depends on the company's primary competency. A company may still be able to make a profit if it has a strong brand or valuable, rare, and challenging-to-copy architectural qualities because it can provide clients with a service that rivals cannot. Second, outsourcing all significant tasks prevents a business from developing its understanding of adding value at various points along the value chain [3], [4].

Systems

Organizational structures describe who reports to whom and what duties they carry out, but they don't talk much about how to keep workers motivated while they complete their given tasks and fulfill their obligations in carrying out a plan.8 Systems are concerned with the rewards, performance standards and metrics, information flow, and accountability systems that support the successful and efficient implementation of plans. Systems may be divided into two categories: information systems and organizational systems/processes [5], [6].

Organizational Structure and Procedures

The performance of people, groups, functional units, divisions, and organizations is sought for, monitored, assessed, and paid according to organizational systems. Profits, market share, cash flows, gross profit margins, stock market price, return on investment, earnings per share, return on equity, and economic value added are a few examples of financial metrics that they incorporate. Organizational systems also include compensation schemes, profit-sharing arrangements, employee stock option programs, bonuses, and non-cash incentives like being recognized with certificates or having your name inscribed on a product you helped create. So-called processes are also a part of systems [7], [8].

The "patterns of interaction, coordination, communication, and decision-making that employees use to transform resources into goods and services of higher value" are a company's processes. The kind of strategy, organizational structure, and incentive system in place all affect the communication, interaction, coordination, and decision-making processes. For instance, Sharp Corporation required the top 3% of scientists from each of its divisional R&D units to be moved across labs every three years in a process it dubbed chemicalization. Top scientists were compelled to communicate with colleagues in other labs as a result of this procedure, sharing information that would not have been possible via memoranda or academic papers. Even if the knowledge could be shared through papers, it may reach other Sharp scientists too late to provide the business a competitive edge. Being moved was seen by some scientists as a significant prize and an indication of their success. The Sharp researchers also had the chance to create social networks that they may use in the future by visiting other labs. Another example is "Google's 20% rule," which at that company encouraged staff to spend 20% of their time on creative initiatives that had little to no connection to their officially allocated responsibilities. Firms have also utilized methods like benchmarking, TQC, re-engineering, and x-engineering to more successfully and quickly deploy new game strategies [9], [10].

Systems for Information

Information systems can be used to support the effective flow of information to the right targets at the right times for decision-making, which is in addition to the reasonable amount of internal information flow that can be achieved when a good organizational structure and the appropriate organizational systems are in place. For debate, we may divide information flow systems into two categories: information and communication technologies that enable the interchange of electronic information and architectural designs that support face-to-face, sometimes impromptu, contact. Anyone in an organization anywhere in the globe may access various sorts of information anywhere inside the company thanks to digital networks like the Internet. For instance, anybody with authorization and access to the company's Intranet may obtain information about the progress of goods, suggestions for improved products, and other information throughout the product development process. Information systems may be used to augment or add to information flows that occur naturally as a result of the organization's organizational structure.

Some sorts of information still need face-to-face interaction, even if a lot of information may be transferred electronically through the Internet. For instance, it is challenging to experience the atmosphere and fragrance of a brand-new automobile or artwork online. Furthermore, unplanned physical interactions may spark thoughts that unplanned technological interactions might not. According to Professor Tom Allen's study, the physical design of a building may significantly influence how much communication occurs between individuals and, therefore, how much invention occurs. The flow of fresh ideas may be facilitated by structures built to support in-person physical engagement. Marketing, R&D, and operations, for example, are more likely to share new ideas than if they were situated in different buildings or areas if they are housed in the same physical space, eat in the same cafeteria, use the same restrooms, and frequently cross paths. Effectively, the layout of a building may help or aid in the integration of many parts and concepts that a sound organizational structure is meant to do.

People

Since people develop, plan, and carry out plans, they are at the heart of any strategy. The organizational culture, resources, and employee types of a company all play a role in how well its employees can function within its organizational structure, are motivated by the performance and reward systems it has implemented, and efficiently utilize the information systems it has set up. The culture of a company has a crucial role in how well it can produce and monetize value. Fundamentally, individuals inside an organizational structure and related systems come to share similar values and viewpoints. The people who are employed or retained by the company, how it is restructured, and how the processes evolve or do not change are all influenced by the shared values and beliefs of the group. The outcomes of these encounters are behavioral norms, which in turn influence how well a strategy is put into practice or developed. The norms may produce a system of activities that is difficult to replicate if the structure, processes, and people are all in the appropriate places. The culture of Ryanair may be one factor in why its workers put in 50% more effort than those of other airlines for just 10% higher income while still enjoying their jobs there. Culture may be a competitive disadvantage if it is not appropriate.

DISCUSSION

Types of People

Simply said, not everyone is suited for every position. Furthermore, not all incentive systems will inspire employees to work harder. Therefore, it's crucial to assign the appropriate duties to the correct individuals while carrying out a plan. In the middle of the 2000s, Google made clear in its job adverts that it wanted to employ primarily those with intellectual and mathematical prowess.15 Southwest Airlines, on the other hand, was more concerned with candidates' attitudes than their qualifications.

Environment

A firm's structure, processes, and workforce depend on the macro- and competitive settings for two reasons. First, as we have previously seen, a firm's strategy depends on the competitive forces that bear down on it as well as the macroenvironment in which it creates and appropriates value. Since structure, systems, and people follow strategy, it follows that a firm's S2P will also depend on its competitive and macroenvironments. Second, the environment has an impact on individuals, systems, and structures in their own unique ways. For instance, a company has to be able to retain in-depth knowledge of the markets it must service as well as the technology that support its goods in fast-paced sectors where both change quickly. A matrix structure is an excellent option for such fast-paced workplaces because it enables workers on a project to have

one foot in the project group and another in their functional groups. Employees may be more eager to go above and beyond for their employer to succeed in nations where people's identities are tightly entwined with the businesses that employ them. Employers have greater opportunities to find the right candidates for high-value positions in nations with educated labor pools.

Frequently New Game

Only few adjustments are made to current resources, capabilities, and product-market positioning in a typical new game. Additionally, these little adjustments to positions and resources build on already-existing ones. The adjustments that incumbents must make to their pregame resources and positioning in a typical game are thus gradual. Since strategy is followed by structure, systems, and people, any necessary adjustments to an incumbent's structure, systems, and people are also gradual. Consider culture as an example. Existing values, attitudes, and behavioral norms that were effective before the new game continue to be effective throughout the game. The "patterns of interaction, coordination, communication, and decision-making" used by the incumbents are probably still effective. When diet cola was debuted, neither Coke's nor Pepsi's S2P changed since it was a routine new product.

Innovative New Game

The antithesis of a typical new game is a revolutionary new game. Compared to what incumbents had before the game, the resources required and the PMPs that were produced were drastically different. As a result, the tactics that businesses choose in response to the new game are likely to be very different from those that corporations used before the new game. Since strategy is followed by structure, systems, and people, we may anticipate some significant changes in S2P. For instance, due to the radical nature of the changes, a company with a functional structure may need to transition to a matrix or network organization to handle the significant intra- and interorganizational horizontal information flows that result from a radical innovation. Existing values, attitudes, and behavioral standards that were effective before the new game are unlikely to be effective now. In fact, as we will demonstrate later, these standards, norms, and values often work against us. It's also possible that pregame techniques "patterns of interaction, coordination, communication, and decision making" will be worthless and wind up being a disadvantage.

Take the example of online auctions, a brand-new concept in comparison to traditional auctions. A restricted number of objects and participants are often allowed in offline auctions, which take place at a certain location and time and last for a specific amount of time. The auction's attendance is crucial, and the best locations always win. Attendees often get the opportunity to touch and feel the item up for auction. Anyone from anywhere in the globe may bid on items that can be delivered after the sale is completed through online auctions, which are open twenty-four hours a day. The strength and makeup of the network of buyers and sellers are everything. An M-form organizational structure with units that concentrate on one or more auction locations is likely to be used to run an offline auction business that manages several venues across a nation. A location is less important in an online auction, in contrast. Thus, an online auction company would employ the M-form structure, but each unit would concentrate on a particular product or service category, such as collectibles, antiques, or cars, rather than a certain geographic area. An organization on a network may also be used.

For an offline auction company, the location of the auctions is vital, but for an online auction company, the number of people who may access a website to purchase or sell, as well as a decrease in the amount of fraud, are important. Effectively, pregame S2Ps that are frequently rendered obsolete can become disadvantages in the face of some revolutionary games. The

norms that arise from interactions in an offline firm are likely to be very different from those at its online counterpart. The same is true of the processes—"patterns of interaction, coordination, communication, and decision making."

In a position-building new game, a new product replaces an old product and/or a firm's position relative to competitors differs significantly from the pregame position. An incumbent's S2P may not need to alter much that much if the market the new game targets is the same as the prior market. For instance, when Intel debuted the Pentium, which replaced the 486 processor, it did not need to reorganize its business to better serve the PC market. However, several crucial components of S2P could need to modify if the market is different. Although the PC used the same technology as minicomputers, it also catered to the home computer market in addition to the minicomputer industry. Consumer markets were extremely distinct from the commercial markets that minicomputers served prior to the PC. It was obvious that a different approach was needed than what was used by the incumbents to sell to this new market. To design and market the PC, IBM established a distinct division.

Building Resources New Game

While preliminary resources and capabilities are very different from those required to play a new resource-building game, old goods may nonetheless compete in the new game. Therefore, S2P requirements for creating goods should be comparable to those for revolutionary games in that they may call for adjustments to people, systems, and structures. Consider the technology needed for an electric razor, which is very different from that for mechanical razors. The design, development, and production of electric razors are considerably different from those of their mechanical counterparts, therefore a company that has been selling mechanical razors but wishes to join the electric razor market may require a unit or units that represent this.

Personae that Participate in Innovation

What should a business do in the face of new games in terms of structures, systems, and people, given the influence of new games on a firm's structure, systems, and people? It's crucial to briefly summarize what the literature on innovation says about the roles that individuals may play in the face of an invention to better utilize it before we get into this subject.

Dominant managerial logic and the top management team

Each management brings a set of beliefs, prejudices, and assumptions to every new game, including those related to the new game, the market that his or her business serves, hiring candidates, the technologies the game requires, the other participants in the game, and what it takes to produce and appropriate value in the game. This set of opinions, presumptions, and prejudices constitutes management logic. They define the conceptual framework or model that a manager is likely to use while making decisions. A dominant management logic, or popular perspective on how to effectively produce and appropriate value in the organization, often evolves depending on the new game, a firm's strategy, structure, systems, procedures, values, and level of success.

For a company that has the correct strategy and has taken the appropriate steps to achieve it, dominant managerial reasoning is often beneficial. The prior prevailing management logic, which had previously worked so well, might, however, become a disadvantage in new games when a new strategy, structure, system, procedure, value, or set of norms is required. Managers may be unable to adopt new values, beliefs, and behavioral norms because they are rooted in outdated ideas, values, and behavioral norms.

Champions

A champion is often needed to develop and execute a winning strategy in a new game. A champion for a new game strategy is someone who can clearly explain what the strategy is about and what benefits the company and the personnel involved in developing and executing the strategy will get. A champion can help other employees understand the rationale behind the strategy, particularly how value will be created and appropriated, by evangelistically articulating a captivating vision of the potential of the strategy to the various players. This will inspire and motivate the employees who will actually implement the strategy. A company often has to defend the approach to its co-competitors the other participants in the new game. In reality, a company often needs to provide a vision of a new product to clients and assist them in identifying their latent demand for the product via innovative and position-building games. Typically, Steve Jobs is a strong advocate for Apple's goods.

Sponsors

A top manager who offers covert support for a novel gaming strategy or invention is called a sponsor. A top management who shields a new product or gaming strategy from political rivals is akin to a godfather. The top management is also warning political opponents of the new game strategy or product that they would suffer the wrath of a senior manager and sponsor by serving as a sponsor. By doing this, a sponsor also communicates to the champion and other important team members that they have the backing of a top management. The winner is sometimes also the sponsor. For certain important items, Steve Jobs serves as both a sponsor and a proponent.

Border Spanners and the Gatekeeper

Each employee in many organizations, particularly those with functional organizational structures, is likely to have in-depth familiarity with his or her unit but little to no familiarity with the other units. Additionally, each unit could have unique cultural traits, linguistic requirements, demands, and histories that have an impact on the knowledge that unit can or cannot communicate. For instance, the marketing and production departments may not grasp the acronyms, technical language, or ethos of an R&D department. R&D scientists may be seen by marketing and production as elitists who dwell in ivory towers. Boundary spanners are people who function as a transducer of information between units inside a company by spanning the "hole" between two units. They are capable of taking unit-specific questions, translating them into a language that other departments can understand, obtaining answers, and then translating those answers into something that their home units can understand. They are aware of the peculiarities of their units as well as those of other units. Gatekeepers bridge the gaps between distinct firms, and boundary spanners bridge the gaps between divisions within the same company.

Project Director

Project managers may be crucial if a new game strategy calls for creating a new product. Planning out who should do what and when is the responsibility of project managers who want to finish a project that meets or exceeds expectations. An advocate for expressing a strategy's potential is what a project manager is to completing deadlines. He or she is the control center for information on who should do what and when, what has been accomplished so far, how much it cost, and other things. Based on their scope of responsibility, project managers have been categorized as heavyweight or lightweight. A heavyweight project manager is one who has comprehensive power over the project and is in charge of all financial aspects, including the project's budget, from idea to manufacture to marketing.

The authority and responsibilities of a lightweight project manager are typically limited primarily to engineering tasks, and they do not include any authority or responsibility for concept development or other market-related aspects of the product, such as budgeting. Professors Kim Clark and Takahira Fujimoto discovered that the deployment of powerful project managers aided Japanese automakers in reducing product development lead times, total engineering hours, and improving design quality.

People, Systems, and Structures

We can now go back to our original question: what should a business do in response to new games in terms of structures, systems, and people given the influence of new games on those factors? Whether a corporation plays a normal game, a position-building game, a resource-building game, or a revolutionary game will depend on whether of the pregame S2P elements are strengths or disadvantages in the face of the new game.

Every player in a new game brings to the game some S2P elements from the pregame era, such as values, beliefs, norms, information systems, performance measures, patterns of interaction, communications and coordination, and reward systems, which can be advantages or disadvantages in the new game. These elements include functional, matrix, network, or M-Form structures. For instance, a company's culture may be an asset when it comes to certain new games but a disadvantage when it comes to others. The same approach that we used to evaluate which of a firm's pregame strengths become handicaps or stay strengths is utilized to identify which components of a firm's S2P become a handicap and which ones remain or become strengths. if an S2P component is advantageous or disadvantageous in a new game depends on how important the component is to the new game and if it can be separated. If a component substantially contributes to value production and appropriation, it is considered essential to a new game. It is separable if the company has no issues sharing the component, pulling it out of the old game to use in the new game, or leaving the component out when it is more likely to hinder than assist in the new game.

New Game Type

What a company does in response to a new game relies on the new game's kind, specifically whether it is a normal, position-building, resource-building, or revolutionary game. Incumbent corporations may maintain or expand on their pregame S2P since any adjustments that must be made to them in response to a regular new game are gradual. Pregame S2Ps function as advantages against a typical new game.

A company may utilize an autonomous unit with its own structure, processes, and personnel if the new game is innovative. Why a self-contained unit? Pregame values, beliefs, and behavioral norms are unlikely to hold up in the face of a revolutionary new game, as we saw above. Additionally, retaining the same S2P is unlikely to be helpful since it takes time to alter values, beliefs, and behavioral norms, if they can even be changed at all.

In the face of a revolutionary game, it is easier for an independent unit with its own structure, processes, and population to develop the kinds of values, beliefs, and norms that are required to effectively produce and appropriate value. Furthermore, having an independent unit prevents the S2P components from hampering the unit's work. Pregame S2Ps may really be a disadvantage in the face of cutting-edge new games and need to be avoided by utilizing an independent unit. A company playing a revolutionary game may also wish to utilize champions, sponsors, and gatekeepers in addition to forming an autonomous unit. The autonomous unit's sponsor serves as a reminder to top level management that it is vital and should not be tampered with. A champion may assist in articulating a vision of what the game is all about and what has

to be done to win since early on in the life of a revolutionary game, things are often in a state of change. Between the autonomous unit and the rest of the company as well as external organizations, gatekeepers serve as transducers.

If the new game is a position-building game, a company might employ a market-targeting project unit, whose main duty is to ensure that the market's demands are promptly taken into account in the new offering. If the market for the product is untapped, this kind of market emphasis is very crucial. Why not use a self-contained unit? utilizing an independent unit would require relocating the resources//capabilities to the new unit or duplicating them, both of which may be quite expensive, since the product in the new game must still be developed utilizing pregame technical resources//capabilities. In other words, resource-related S2Ps are strengths that may be valuable in the new game, and forgoing such strengths may be expensive in the face of a position-building new game. This is shown by the IBM and PC scenario. When IBM made the decision to join the PC market, it established a separate team to handle the product's design, production, and marketing.

When other divisions at IBM could have utilized their existing computer capabilities to swiftly construct the two components, the PC department chose to employ an Intel CPU and a Microsoft operating system since it was independent. IBM essentially lost out on the two Wintel components that capture the greatest value produced. A company, however, faces the danger of being constrained by outdated market-targeting attitudes, beliefs, and practices if it does not use an independent unit.

As we have already shown, these limitations are avoidable. A company requires sponsors, champions, and boundary spanners in addition to the project unit. A sponsor would convey to all units the significance of the program, allowing the project unit to get the necessary support. A project champion would explain the project's advantages to the many units that had to collaborate with the project group. The gap that separates the project unit from other units would be filled by boundary spanners.

If the new game is a resource-building game, a company may employ a product-targeting project unit whose actions are focused on developing new resources and capabilities and using them to create the new product. There are benefits and drawbacks to employing a project unit rather than an independent unit, much like in position-building games. A company may more effectively use marketing and other customer-focused capabilities by deploying a project unit as opposed to an autonomous unit since the PMP does not alter much in a resource-building game. However, if an independent unit is not used, the company runs the danger of being constrained by the norms, values, and values of the relocated resources. These limitations are also apparent. Crowdsourcing might potentially be used for this assignment.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, an online framework for value-added activities outlines a viable organizational structure that enables increased effectiveness, adaptability, and access to a range of resources and skills. Companies may profit from virtual cooperation and open up new opportunities for value creation by using technology, encouraging good communication, and taking proactive measures to solve obstacles. Adopting virtual structures may be a strategic benefit for maintaining competitiveness and adjusting to evolving market needs as organizations continue to change in a world that is changing quickly. In virtual cooperation, data security and intellectual property protection also become crucial issues. To protect sensitive information and ensure data integrity, businesses must deploy strong security measures.

REFERENCES:

[1] Y. Takagishi, T. Yamanaka, and T. Yamaue, "Machine learning approaches for designing mesoscale structure of li-ion battery electrodes," *Batteries*, 2019, doi: 10.3390/batteries5030054.

[2] Q. Chen, Y. Sun, M. Zhao, and M. Liu, "A virtual structure formation guidance strategy for multi-parafoil systems," *IEEE Access*, 2019, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2938078.

[3] B. Hichri, L. Adouane, J. C. Fauroux, Y. Mezouar, and I. Doroftei, "Flexible co-manipulation and transportation with mobile multi-robot system," *Assem. Autom.*, 2019, doi: 10.1108/AA-12-2017-190.

[4] A. D. Vedove *et al.*, "Structure-based virtual screening allows the identification of efficient modulators of E-cadherin-mediated cell-cell adhesion," *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2019, doi: 10.3390/ijms20143404.

[5] V. Herrera-Mayorga *et al.*, "Structure-based virtual screening and in vitro evaluation of new trypanosoma cruzi cruzain inhibitors," *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2019, doi: 10.3390/ijms20071742.

[6] P. Ghaderi and F. Amini, "A new method for online identification of civil structures: Virtual synchronization," *Int. J. Adapt. Control Signal Process.*, 2019, doi: 10.1002/acs.2948.

[7] J. Hou, P. Wang, T. Jing, and Ł. Jankowski, "Experimental study for damage identification of storage tanks by adding virtual masses," *Sensors (Switzerland)*, 2019, doi: 10.3390/s19020220.

[8] R. Biswas, N. Chowdhury, S. Biswas, R. Roy, and A. Bagchi, "Structure based virtual screening of natural products to disrupt the structural integrity of TRAF6 C-terminal domain homotrimer," *J. Mol. Graph. Model.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jmgm.2019.08.005.

[9] M. Batool, B. Ahmad, and S. Choi, "A structure-based drug discovery paradigm," *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*. 2019. doi: 10.3390/ijms20112783.

[10] A. Zerroug, S. Belaidi, I. BenBrahim, L. Sinha, and S. Chtita, "Virtual screening in drug-likeness and structure/activity relationship of pyridazine derivatives as Anti-Alzheimer drugs," *J. King Saud Univ. - Sci.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jksus.2018.03.024.

CHAPTER 15

OPPORTUNITIES AND THREATS IN MOBILE SECTOR: EXPLORING THE CHALLENGES FACED BY DEVELOPERS DURING GAME DEVELOPMENT

Swati Rajaura, Assistant Professor, Department of Business Studies & Entrepreneurship,
Shobhit University, Gangoh, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id-swati.rajaura@shobhituniversity.ac.in

Dr. Neha Yajurvedi, Associate Professor, Department of Business Studies,
Shobhit Deemed University, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id-nehayajurvedi@shobhituniversity.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

The telecommunications industry is a dynamic and competitive landscape, offering both opportunities and threats to telephone companies. This research explores the key factors that present opportunities and threats to telephone companies in the modern market. By analyzing industry trends, technological advancements, and consumer behaviors, this study aims to shed light on the potential avenues for growth and the challenges that telephone companies must navigate to remain successful. The research also examines the strategies that can help telephone companies capitalize on opportunities and mitigate threats to sustain a competitive advantage. The analysis of opportunities and threats for telephone companies has uncovered critical insights into the industry's future prospects and challenges. Several key opportunities present exciting avenues for growth and innovation. This study analyzes opportunities and threats in mobile sector and explores the challenges faced by developers during game development.

KEYWORDS:

Customer Retention, Digital Transformation, E-commerce, Expansion, Internet, Network.

INTRODUCTION

Millions of individuals gained access to free, high-quality international phone calls in 2008, which was unheard of only ten years earlier. Voice over Internet protocol technology, a method for routing phone calls via cost-free Internet networks, was a significant factor in the prevalence of these free calls. The telephone industry as it had come to be known by the established phone corporations was in danger because to VOIP. VOIP posed a challenge to several of these conventional phone businesses. VOIP presented a fantastic opportunity to new businesses like Skype. Existing business models being challenged by new technologies and often made outdated is not a recent phenomenon. Kerosene refrigerators, which had replaced transported ice as a cooling agent for goods and medications, were supplanted by electric refrigerators. Minicomputers and mainframes were supplanted by PCs. Horse-drawn carts were replaced by vehicles with internal combustion engines. Walkmans were replaced by iPods and other MP3 players, cathode ray tube screens were replaced by flat-panel displays, and offline auctions were replaced by online auctions [1], [2]. The displacements were only complete in certain cases, such as with contact lenses and eyeglasses.

Practically every product we use today is the consequence of technical advancements, and each advancement has brought possibilities and challenges to many industries. Business researchers initially noticed that many incumbent enterprises were replaced by new entrants during these technological shifts as new technologies replaced old ones. Consider the fact that the big players in personal computers today are not the major players in mainframes or minicomputers. We specifically look at Christensen's disruptive technologies model and Foster's S-curve models. Then, in order to provide more thorough responses to the four issues mentioned above,

we combine the findings from these models with the theories behind the new game tactics we have so far seen. Although they are not necessarily synonymous, the terms "product" and "technology" will be used interchangeably in this document. For instance, we may refer to the technologies that go into creating a PC as disruptive technologies when we actually mean the PC itself [3], [4].

S-curve of Foster

For obvious reasons, managers were curious in the first topic, which was how can incumbents predict when to anticipate innovations that stand to destroy their competitive advantages. Managers may be better prepared for these disruptive innovations and perhaps even stop new competitors from undermining their company's competitive advantages if they knew, ex ante, when to anticipate them. Dr. Richard Foster of McKinsey was one of the first academics studying this topic in the field of business. He stated that by being aware of the physical limitations of a technology, a company may forecast when it has reached the end of its technological life cycle [5], [6]. A company may effectively predict when a new radical technology is around the horizon and about to replace the existing technology by watching the progress of the old technology. This development may be modeled using what would eventually come to be known as Foster's S-curve. In this S-curve, the horizontal axis represents the amount of work put into creating the technology, while the vertical axis represents the pace of advancement of a technology.

Technological advancement begins slowly, picks up speed quickly, then slows when the physical boundaries of the technology are reached [7], [8]. Eventually, as the return on effort becomes progressively less, diminishing returns start to take effect. If one wants to continue satisfying client requirements, one must utilize a new technology whose fundamental physical qualities enable it to surpass the physical limit of the present technology. Effectively, it is a hint that a new technology is coming when the returns on efforts start to become extremely modest. This is particularly true when improvement is not occurring at a pace that keeps up with demand. Take the technology used to regulate emissions in cars, for instance. Early on in the development of pollution control technologies, the emissions were significantly reduced; but, as time went on, the improvements in relation to the amount of work put into development decreased. This slow rate of growth in emission reductions is a sign that other technologies, like hybrid or electric car technologies, are on the horizon as potential replacements for current internal combustion engine technologies, whose physical limits are being reached, says Foster's S-curve model of foretelling the arrival of new technologies [9], [10]. By the way, a technological S-curve and a product S-curve are often not the same thing. Sales are the vertical axis and time is the horizontal axis of the product S-curve. Additionally, a technological S-curve often contains several product life cycles.

The S-curve has certain restrictions when used as a forecaster of when to anticipate a radical innovation. It might be challenging to determine precisely when to invest in new technologies and when to stop using more established ones. Furthermore, it is difficult to predict how much superior the new technology will be. Furthermore, the model does not provide any guidance for managers on how to take use of new technology as it becomes available. Foster's curve placed increased emphasis on the idea that "more is better" as businesses sought to develop technologies that would outperform already-existing product performance attributes. Many potentially advantage-eroding innovations, however, don't always start off by outperforming the performance attributes of already available products. These issues are addressed by the disruptive technology’s paradigm and the ideas in this book.

Technologies That Are Disruptive

These are some of the first three concerns addressed by the disruptive technology's framework, which was created by Professor Clayton Christensen of the Harvard Business School. Why do established companies often suffer during these technological changes? What can these established players do to maximize the benefits of such changes?

Disruptive technology characteristics

Disruptive technologies were first used by Professor Christensen. These technologies display the three characteristics listed below.

1. They establish new markets by bringing novel goods or services.
2. The price of the new product or service is lower since it is less expensive than those that already exist.
3. When measured by the performance indicators that the majority of current customers value, the new product initially outperforms old offerings. However, eventually the performance catches up and caters to the requirements of mainstream clients.

Potentially Displaceable Established Technology's Characteristics

The existing technology that could be disrupted also has two crucial qualities in addition to these disruptive technology's traits:

1. The performance of an established product exceeds the market's need. There may be too many bells and whistles on a product that consumers do not need yet must pay high costs for.

2. It is inexpensive to move from the old product to the new one.

DISCUSSION

Rationale for Disruption

First, since the technology opens up a new market, established players that now dominate it are more likely to focus on satisfying the wants of their current clientele than on developing cutting-edge technology. After all, the firm's earnings come from these mainstream clients, who should be given attention. Furthermore, consumers are even less likely to pay attention to the new technology since the performance of items based on the new technology is initially inferior to that of current products and does not satisfy the demands of customers in the old market. Giving the new technology the attention it merits is particularly harder when the dimensions of merit in the new market vary from those in the old market. The term "new market" is often used to refer to the least picky consumers in the current market who are content to utilize a low-cost product that satisfies their requirements but is seen as inferior by the high-end sector. Second, incumbents are less likely to adopt the new technology because they are concerned about losing out on potential income since the goods from the new technology are less expensive than those from the established ones and are priced appropriately. It is one thing to replace current goods with new ones that generate about the same amount of income, but quite another to replace them with items that are much less expensive. Revenue declines are rarely welcomed by the financial markets. Third, since the new goods' performance continues advancing, a point comes when it has done so to the point where it can begin to satisfy the demands of the mainstream clients that the incumbent has been catering to from the beginning. Some of these consumers, particularly those who are overpaying for bells and whistles or overperformance that they do not want, migrate to the new, more affordable items produced by the recent market entrants. When many incumbents wish to start producing the new product,

they notice that the newcomers are already ahead of them in the learning curve and may be benefiting from other first-mover advantages that come with introducing the disruptive technology first. Additionally, the outdated structures and methods that had been set up to service consumers in the outdated market, together with the incumbents' dominating management reasoning, might constitute a hindrance. These elements raise the likelihood that a new entrant may use disruptive technology more effectively than an incumbent.

Take the 1980s and 1990s PC invasion into mainframe and minicomputer markets as an example of these principles. Before PCs were widely available, mainframes and minicomputers provided the speed, software, and memory requirements for many commercial clients. In contrast to the commercial sectors serviced by mainframes and minicomputers, computer enthusiasts and hobbyists were the primary users of PCs when they first became available. Additionally, PCs are substantially less expensive than mainframes and minicomputers. Although PC performance was originally less than that of mainframes and minicomputers, it often exceeded those demands for many computer hobbyists and enthusiasts. As PC performance increased, minicomputer manufacturers continued to pay less attention to PCs and more attention to their customers' requests for specific kinds of minicomputers. Eventually, PC performance increased to the point that it began to satisfy certain minicomputer users' demands, and at a far lower cost.

Naturally, a lot of minicomputer users shifted to PCs. The risk of losing their high-margin, high-revenue minicomputers and their dominating management rationale handicapped those minicomputer manufacturers that attempted to join the PC market. Additionally, certain PC manufacturers were in a better position to profit from PCs than traditional minicomputer manufacturers since they had acquired PC brands and other first-mover advantages. The end effect was that new PC manufacturers like Apple and Dell replaced numerous minicomputer and mainframe firms as PCs replaced minicomputers in the majority of applications. Effectively, in the Professor Christensen interpretation of disruptive technology, incumbents are first focused on meeting the less-demanding demands of a new market because they are too busy listening to their consumers to pay enough attention to the disruptive technology. Additionally, since the new technology is less expensive than the existing technology, incumbents would be hesitant to risk the potential income loss that would result from converting to the cheaper and more affordable product. The move to new technologies may be challenging for incumbents even if they wish to do so because of their established procedures, predominate management reasoning, ties with long-standing mainstream consumers, and routines. Furthermore, the early adopters of the technology may have gained competitive benefits.

Technology Maintenance

In contrast to a disruptive technology, a sustaining technology strengthens existing goods incrementally so that they become even more entrenched. This has the opposite impact on incumbents that a disruptive technology does. In most cases, incumbents are the ones who develop and seek sustaining technologies since they help them maintain their competitive advantages. What use does the disruptive technologies paradigm serve in generating and capturing value, then? How can incumbents predict when to anticipate such innovations that stand to undermine their competitive advantages? How can incumbents predict when to expect such innovations that stand to erode their competitive advantages? These are three of the issues that we posed at the beginning of this, as we previously said. Why do established companies often suffer during these technological changes? What can these established players do to maximize their gain from such changes? By providing answers, it becomes clear how disruptive technologies contribute to the creation and appropriation of value.

The first step in using an environment's possibilities and hazards is to recognize them. Because the disruptive technologies model outlines the characteristics of the kind of technology that is likely to disrupt incumbents in the future and which established technologies run the risk of being disrupted, businesses can use these characteristics to identify disruptive technologies ex ante, or before disruption has already occurred. By doing this, market leaders may thoroughly examine new inventions that could provide a danger or opportunity to their core companies.

If the answer to each of the five questions is "YES," then the technology has the potential to disrupt the established market's incumbents, and these incumbents would be better off keeping an eye out for possible attackers. For attackers, this indicates that there is a strong probability that they will not only dominate the new market but also enter the existing market and undermine the incumbents' competitive advantages. Consider the comparison of Internet telephony, or VOIP, to fixed line and wireless telephone to highlight these two aspects. Computer enthusiasts and college students who wanted to make inexpensive or free phone calls and didn't mind the call's poor quality were the first to adopt the technology. By 2007, it was difficult to distinguish between Internet phone calls and wireless or fixed line conversations due to the improvement in the quality of Internet telephony calls. The service expanded significantly beyond college students and hobbyists as call quality improved. Customers paid relatively little to move from a regular phone to a VOIP provider.

These Technological Changes' Face

Old values and procedures, according to Professor Christensen, are two reasons why incumbents often lose out to new entrants in the face of disruptive technology. In order to take use of an existing technology, incumbents often develop resources, procedures, and values. Processes are "the patterns of interaction, coordination, communication, and decision-making employees use to transform resources into products and services of greater worth"4 whereas values are "the standards by which employees set priorities that enable them to judge whether an order is attractive or unattractive, whether a customer is more important or less important, whether an idea for a new product is attractive or marginal, and so on"5. Over time, especially if there is a change in the priorities of the employees, values may become obsolete. These beliefs, methods, and prevailing logic are strengths when it comes to using old or sustaining technology, but they may turn into disadvantages in the face of certain new challenges, such as disruptive technologies.

The inclination is for the workers to continue with the same routines that have worked in the past when a disruptive shift or any other new game needs different values or processes since routines, processes, and values are difficult to alter rapidly. For instance, if workers of an established company have concentrated their attention on their current clients in order to better meet their demands, its values and operating procedures are likely to require that they continue to pay attention to these clients, who are the main sources of their income.

By doing this, companies risk missing out on the emerging market where disruptive technology first appeared. Additionally, even when the technology advances gradually, workers in the established industry continue to focus on their key clients. It could be too late to swiftly develop the new values and procedures necessary to capitalize on the disruptive technology by the time these workers become aware that it is now entering their own industry. In actuality, incumbents now suffer from the old values and procedures. Thus, fresh entrants have a higher chance of using the disruptive technology since they aren't hindered by outdated ideals, procedures, and management rationale.

Gain More from These Changes

In the face of disruptive advances, what should businesses do? It depends on whether the company is a new entrant or an established one. In order to increase their prospects, incumbents may take a number of actions suggested by Professor Christensen. Upper-level management must first be persuaded by incumbents to see the disruptive innovation as a danger to their current core operations. By doing this, management will be able to dedicate the necessary resources to the innovation. Management is more likely to pay attention to the disruptive innovation when it realizes the gravity of the danger that the disruptive innovation presents to an existing core company since management's natural tendency is to defend the core companies that generate profits.

Second, the incumbent should delegate authority to an independent entity inside the company that can frame the innovation as an opportunity and pursue it as such once funds have been allocated for it and the creation of goods or services is prepared to begin. If the autonomous unit is staffed with new employees who do not share the old logic, the incumbent can avoid the dominant managerial logic of the old business and prevent the old processes and values from impeding the development of the new processes and values required to capitalize on innovation. Political adversaries also have a harder time interfering with the autonomous unit's operations.

Third, internal and proprietary efforts should be used to create products when they are not yet excellent enough. The incumbent should outsource a product once it achieves commoditization and becomes good enough. Fourth, companies should set up their business divisions according to the problems that consumers are trying to address, not according to how simple it is for them to get data. A company may better understand and meet the demands of another consumer by focusing on their issues, solutions, and circumstances rather than on the customers themselves. The third and fourth suggestions made for current employees also apply to potential hires. Additionally, new entrants should start in areas that the incumbents have shunned before slowly advancing into those sectors.

Limitations of the Model of Disruptive Technologies

Christensen's disruptive technologies paradigm includes flaws, just like any other framework. Here, we concentrate on three of them: insufficient coverage, lack of strategic focus, and insufficient consideration of profitability.

Limited Protection

Understanding the characteristics of disruptive technologies helps businesses spot them and pay attention to the challenges and possibilities posed by prospective disruptors. However, some inventions that do not satisfy all three of the aforementioned criteria for disruptive innovations nonetheless replace current goods. In other words, some innovations that are disruptive in nature do not satisfy all of the aforementioned criteria for disruptive technologies. Think about the second characteristic: innovation is less expensive than established items. Some innovations replace the latter even if they initially cost more than the latter. One example is new pharmaceutical medications that replace older ones; their start-up costs are often greater than those of older treatments. Next, analyze the third characteristic: innovation initially has a lower quality but ultimately becomes good enough to satisfy the demands of clients in the previous market. Many inventions eventually replace old items despite having initial performance advantages over them. For instance, many medicines that at first outperform those already in use eventually replace them. Mechanical cash registers were replaced with electronic point-of-sale registers because they performed better at first. In reality, disruptive innovations

are really a subset of ideas that potentially be disruptive, according to Christensen's three criteria. They are a much smaller subset of new games, but managers may use the Christensen definition to predict which innovations will be disruptive in advance and attempt to take action.

Lack of Strategy Focus

Another flaw in the disruptive technologies model is how little is said about the strategy being executed and much is said about implementation problems in the prescriptions for managers. Remember that, in Christensen's opinion, an incumbent that stands a good chance of succeeding in the face of a disruptive technology is one that persuades upper-level management to view disruptive technology as a problem, establishes an autonomous unit to pursue disruptive technology, develops the product in-house when it is still highly differentiated but outsources it when it becomes a commodity, and arranges business units based on the issues/solutions that customers typically face The foundations of strategy implementation the organizational structure, processes, and people are the focus of these recommendations. There is relatively little information available about the set of value-creating activities that must be carried out throughout the value chain, value network, or value shop, as well as when and how these activities should be carried out. The recommendations likewise focus mostly on what existing companies should do, with little mention of what a new entry should do to gain a competitive edge. After all, not all aggressors succeed.

Too Little Focus on Profitability

The disruptive technology approach places a strong focus on employing technology to create things that consumers value. The focus is more on producing value than capturing it; appropriating value often requires more than just using the correct technology. A firm's position in relation to its competitors, its pricing strategy, the degree to which the technology may be copied, the quantity and quality of clients, and the sources of income can all be crucial. Complementary assets are often just one aspect of a firm's success with new technologies. By seeing disruptive technologies as the subset of the new games that they are, these flaws may be fixed.

New Games Using Disruptive Technologies

What do novel game tactics have to do with disruptive technologies? Everything! New games or the source of new games are often disruptive technology, and vice versa. On the one hand, for instance, the PC submarket gave rise to all the new gaming methods that both newcomers and established players in the computer sector used. A disruptive technology, the Internet has given rise to several new game-playing tactics, including those used by Google, eBay, and Amazon, to mention a few. Contrarily, the many companies whose R&D laboratories toil away every day to develop fundamentally novel goods or methods often come up with innovations that later develop into disruptive technologies. For instance, Robert Noyce of Intel Corporation and Jack Kilby of Texas Instruments created the microchip that would eventually replace discrete transistor technology and enable the production of everything from the PC to the iPhone.

Money from Disruptive Technologies: New Game Strategies

The strengths and weaknesses of a company when it comes to a disruptive technology are the first step in determining the firm's capacity to benefit from it. The firm's ability to capitalize on the value chain and new game dynamics connected to the disruptive technology to produce and appropriate value is influenced by these strengths and disadvantages. Each participant in a new game takes with them certain advantages from their prior resources, capabilities, or product-

market position, which may continue to be advantages or turn into disadvantages in the new game. We should assume that both new entrants and incumbents will bring certain strengths from their pasts that can either continue to be strengths or turn into handicaps because disruptive technologies are new games. In order to benefit from a disruptive technology, it might be essential to ascertain which prior strengths can still be strengths and which ones have turned into disadvantages.

It is a strength because the business may employ it without being constrained by any past obligations, agreements, understandings, or other factors, and it is crucial for using disruptive technology. In the face of disruptive technology, a variety of complementary assets, such as brands and distribution channels, are often strengths. In the 1980s and the early 1990s, IBM dominated the PC industry by using its name, connections with enterprises, and contacts with software developers.

If a resource is worthless to the company in using the disruptive technology but the company is unable to divorce itself from the resource and move on, the resource that was a strength in the pre-disruptive technology age becomes a handicap. Due to past agreements made by the company during the pre-disruptive technology era or due to a lack of a strategic match between the corporate plan of the company and the disruptive technology, separation may not be feasible. For a time, Compaq had good results from its connections with distributors, but when it chose to sell directly to end users, distributors stopped it. Effectively, Compaq was unable to shake off its previous obligations and was unable to follow the suggested new business strategy. Even while it chases the disruptive technology, a company often finds itself burdened with resources that it does not need. For instance, incumbents may need to adopt a low-cost attitude to compete in the new market since disruptive technologies often begin by meeting the demands of a new market at extremely cheap costs.

A successful transition to such a mindset could be challenging, particularly if the firm's previous market items are unique and have a high-cost structure. Employees with a high-cost culture developed as a result of the high-cost structure often associated with a differentiation strategy are challenging to convert to a low-cost culture. Professor Christensen added even another excellent illustration.

He made the case that incumbents who were accustomed to addressing the demands of the old market continue to listen to their customers the main source of their revenues instead of consumers in the new market since disruptive innovations often initially address the needs of a new market.

Effectively, the firm's attempts to pay attention to and meet the demands of the new market are now hampered by ties with consumers that were essential to obtaining them what they needed before the disruptive transformation. Another example is VOIP telephony. For many traditional telephone companies, the dominant logic of how to generate money persisted until the late 2000s. These corporations could not comprehend how any company would let customers to make free international phone calls while still making money.

There are instances where a resource is essential to a company's success in the face of a disruptive technology, but the company is unable to use the resource due to earlier contracts that forbid it from doing so. For example, a noncompete clause in the employment agreement of a key employee with a previous employer may prevent the current employer from using the employee successfully to exploit a disruptive technology.

From the perspective of a PMP, the issue is whether a firm's prior PMP's strengths the product and the firm's position relative to its coopetitors that it brings to the disruptive technology

remain strengths or turn into disadvantages. The current market is a disadvantage since the product first caters to the demands of a smaller market whose performance standards are lower than those of the existing market. Why? The old market has higher pricing and maybe bigger profit margins than the new market. The likelihood of incumbents wanting to cannibalize their current goods is minimal, especially in the case of new products with prices so low that they would see declines in sales and perhaps profits. Additionally, it could be challenging to transform a company that was founded on a high-price, high-cost structure and business logic into a low-price, low-cost one.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the telecommunications sector offers telephone firms both possibilities and risks. Telephone firms may use possibilities to drive development and success by strategically capitalizing on technical improvements, extending service offerings, and providing outstanding customer experiences. In order to maintain competitiveness and resilience in a dynamic and developing market, it will be essential to address difficulties via new tactics, regulatory compliance, and distinctiveness.

Telephone businesses may prosper in the cutthroat telecommunications market by seizing these possibilities and proactively handling challenges. Furthermore, telephone firms may be threatened by regulatory obstacles and compliance standards. Regulations that are always changing, privacy concerns, and data protection requirements need strict compliance with standards. Non-compliance may have negative effects on a company's reputation and legal position in the marketplace.

REFERENCES:

[1] E. Dimitriadis and E. Zilakaki, "The effect of corporate social responsibility on customer loyalty in mobile telephone companies," *Int. J. Econ. Bus. Adm.*, 2019, doi: 10.35808/ijeba/356.

[2] A. Blanco and V. Rivero, "Perceived service quality for residential customers in the Customer Service Offices (CSO) of a telephone company," *Ing. Ind. Actual. y Nuevas Tendencias*, 2019.

[3] S. J. Teixeira, P. M. Veiga, and C. A. Fernandes, "The knowledge transfer and cooperation between universities and enterprises," *Knowl. Manag. Res. Pract.*, 2019, doi: 10.1080/14778238.2018.1561166.

[4] P. ola Ulvenblad, P. Ulvenblad, and J. Tell, "An overview of sustainable business models for innovation in Swedish agri-food production," *J. Integr. Environ. Sci.*, 2019, doi: 10.1080/1943815X.2018.1554590.

[5] T. M. Vorozheykina, N. K. Muravitskaya, G. I. Lukyanenko, O. V. Antonova, E. E. Lyalkova, and M. M. Basova, "Technologies of corporate social responsibility in accounting and statistics," *Int. J. Recent Technol. Eng.*, 2019, doi: 10.35940/ijrte.B3075.078219.

[6] A. Bar-Haim, "Measuring Organizational Commitment," in *Organizational Commitment*, 2019. doi: 10.1142/9789813232167_0003.

[7] J. Manuel Maqueira, J. Moyano-Fuentes, and S. Bruque, "Drivers and consequences of an innovative technology assimilation in the supply chain: cloud computing and supply chain integration," *Int. J. Prod. Res.*, 2019, doi: 10.1080/00207543.2018.1530473.

[8] M. N. Mouchili, J. W. Atwood, and S. Aljawarneh, "Call data record based big data analytics for smart cities," in *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series*, 2019. doi: 10.1145/3368691.3368713.

[9] S. Arapostathis and G. Gooday, "Patents and Identity in Early UK Telephony Disputes," in *Patently Contestable*, 2019. doi: 10.7551/mitpress/8904.003.0007.

[10] V. Vasikar, "'Study the impact of pricing factor in smart phone switching behaviour,'" *Int. J. Innov. Technol. Explor. Eng.*, 2019, doi: 10.35940/ijitee.i8329.0881019.

CHAPTER 16

VALUE CHAIN ACTIVITIES IN THE FACE OF DISRUPTIVE TECHNOLOGIES

Swati Rajaura, Assistant Professor, Department of Business Studies & Entrepreneurship,
Shobhit University, Gangoh, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id-swati.rajaura@shobhituniversity.ac.in

Dr. Neha Yajurvedi, Associate Professor, Department of Business Studies,
Shobhit Deemed University, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id-nehayajurvedi@shobhituniversity.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

The advent of disruptive technologies has significantly impacted traditional value chain activities across industries. This research explores the implications of disruptive technologies on value chain activities and how businesses are adapting to these transformative changes. By analyzing case studies and real-world examples, this study aims to uncover the opportunities and challenges that arise when integrating disruptive technologies into value chain activities. The research also examines the strategies that companies can adopt to leverage disruptive technologies and gain a competitive advantage in the face of rapid technological advancements. The analysis of value chain activities in the face of disruptive technologies highlights the profound impact of these innovations on business operations and competitiveness. Disruptive technologies, such as artificial intelligence (AI), blockchain, and the Internet of Things (IoT), are reshaping how companies conduct their value chain activities, from procurement to product delivery.

KEYWORDS:

Adaptation, Automation, Customer, Digital, Innovation, Integration.

INTRODUCTION

Both seasoned businesses and newcomers alike must engage in value chain operations in order to deliver goods and services in the face of disruptive technologies. Businesses take use of so-called value chain elements to improve their chances of contributing the most to value generation and appropriation. They engage in the kinds of operations that: Support low costs, differentiation, customer volume, and other profitability-enhancing factors [1], [2].

2 Help the company get a competitive edge over its rivals.

3 Make use of the value drivers in your industry.

4 Create new assets/resources or transform current ones into special value.

5 Are exhaustive and economical.

The fact that disruptive technologies generate new ways of creating and appropriating new value, offer opportunities to build new resources/capabilities and/or translate existing ones in new ways into value, create the potential to build and exploit first-mover advantages, draw reactions from new and existing competitors, and have their roots in the opportunity Value generation and appropriation are directly impacted by value chain variables, whereas new game elements have an indirect moderating influence contribute to low cost, differentiation, customer base, and other profitability-enhancing factors [3], [4].

Any company that wants to profit from a disruptive technology must provide customers with benefits that they value more than anything their rivals can provide. Whether a company is an

incumbent or a new entrant, it must provide customers with something special if it wants to maintain their attention. The company must set a competitive pricing for the product and focus on the best income streams [5], [6]. A company must carry out the proper value chain operations in order to deliver unique client advantages, price them fairly, and seek the correct sources of income. A disruptive technology is a new game, so the company may benefit from new game elements. For instance, since disruptive technologies often target a new market at first, they provide chances to collaborate with so-called lead users clients who, months or years before the majority of that market, have demands that other clients will later confront. Lead users may be very useful in a company's attempts to provide unique value to its consumers because of their understanding of what customers want in a new product and their willingness to collaborate with businesses. Disruptive technologies' increased playability gives businesses the chance to be the first to act, creating and leveraging first-mover advantages [7], [8]. For instance, a business may increase the uniqueness of its PMP by introducing switching charges for clients.

Offering clients something they value, however, suggests that a business has mastered the technology, or at least some of its facets. As suggested by Christensen, one way to accomplish this is to persuade upper-level management to view disruptive technology as a problem, establish an autonomous unit to pursue disruptive technology, develop a product in-house when it is still highly differentiated but outsource it when it becomes commoditized, and organize business units according to the problems/solutions that customers want. Having the appropriate technology does not necessarily ensure financial success since powerful competitors might take the majority of the value. A company with difficult-to-imitate but significant supplementary assets may also copy the technology. The necessity to consider additional value chain elements in addition to getting the disruptive technology correct and providing clients with the proper product features arises from the reality that an imitator would profit even if the business being mimicked got the technology right [9], [10].

Improve a company's position in relation to Coopetitors

Disruptive technologies also affect industry-specific characteristics, which in turn affects how competitively positioned a business is with its rivals. Rivalry grows as incumbents and new attackers fight to take advantage of the new technology, often in both the new and the old markets. Growth moderates' rivalry's ferocity a little. Because there are more businesses, buyers have more negotiating power. As entrepreneurs join the market to take advantage of the new technologies, fresh entry is high. Suppliers now have access to more businesses than they had before disruptive technologies. Effectively, the market is less desirable than it was before to the disruptive event, and how enterprises use these factors to their advantage in carrying out their operations will determine how much value is appropriated.

By strengthening its position against competitors, a company may benefit from new game elements and, in the process, reduce some of these oppressive pressures. First off, by avoiding strong distributors and selling directly to more fragmented end users, a company effectively strengthens its negotiating position with buyers and increases the likelihood that it will collaborate closely with lead users to develop the correct technology. Second, early in the life of a disruptive technology, a company may be able to strengthen its position with suppliers by integrating vertically backwards.

This strengthened position might be used, for instance, to persuade the only suppliers of crucial components to seek out secondary sources, further strengthening its bargaining position with the suppliers. Finally, collaborating with competitors rather than seeing them as enemies who need control typically dampens competitive impulses.

DISCUSSION

Take Advantage of Industry Value Drivers

Industry value drivers are those industry elements that have the potential to significantly affect customer benefits, customer quality and quantity, or any other profit-ability driver. Technologies that disrupt an industry often alter its value drivers. For instance, the location of an offline auction was a crucial industry value driver since it dictated who would attend the auction, and the more people who attended, the better it was for the sellers. The location is no longer a value-driver in internet auctions. It is now the quantity of registered users who are a part of a certain community or website. As a result, in the age of the Internet, emphasis is placed on having the most registered users rather than the greatest location. eBay seems to have a solid grasp of this. The launch of the Wii by Nintendo is another example. The fact that the cost of the microchips used in video game consoles decreased swiftly as earlier chips quickly became outdated was one factor in why Nintendo was able to earn money on each device sold while Sony and Microsoft lost money on their platforms. These outdated chips, which were far less expensive than the cutting-edge chips used by its rivals, were employed by Nin- tendo because it was following a reverse positioning strategy. Nintendo successfully used the constantly declining chip prices to reduce expenses to a minimum.

The assets that a company requires in the face of a disruptive technology may be divided into two categories: complementary assets and technical resources. A company requires technical resources to implement technology correctly. The resources that a company requires in order to provide value to its clients and put itself up to take advantage of that value are referred to as complementary assets in this context, in addition to those that support the disruptive technology. They often play a crucial role in making money from a disruptive technology and include shelf space, installed base, brand name reputation, and distribution methods. Even though a disruptive technology often necessitates the development of new technical resources, the few but crucial complementary assets that were crucial to capitalizing on the old technology typically continue to be valuable and significant.

Companies with these complementary strengths often succeed. Because disruptive technologies first target new markets, corporations could need to construct the complementary assets needed to concentrate on these new markets from start, and new competitors might have an edge while doing so. The complementary asset for the more established market is often held by the incumbent, and this complementary asset may protect them against assaults from new competitors. The incumbents with the complementing assets often collaborate with new entrants who have the technical resources.

In order to perfect a disruptive technology, both incumbents and newcomers often need additional technical resources. This is because a disruptive technology is less expensive than its predecessor and initially performs worse. An incumbent can reduce some of the issues with the dominant managerial logic to build the types of resources that will allow it to implement the new technology successfully. This can be done by persuading upper-level management to view the disruptive technology as a problem, creating an autonomous unit to pursue the disruptive technology, and other strategies. The company may create and take advantage of first-mover advantages by acting first.

Follow the principles of brevity and thoroughness

A company must be cautious not to execute unnecessary tasks or omit crucial tasks while carrying out the operations that will allow it to produce and capture value in the face of a

disruptive technology. A business may identify which activities contribute to value generation and capture and which do not with the use of an AVAC analysis.

Application of New Gaming Techniques

If a corporation wants to achieve its greatest potential, its plan must also be successfully implemented. If a company wants to exploit the full potential of its new game strategy, it must seek a structure, systems/processes, and people that suit the plan. As part of the implementation, the proper values and procedures must be in place. Incorporating a new game strategy includes all four of Professor Christensen's recommendations, which are: persuading upper-level management to view disruptive technology as a problem; creating an autonomous unit to pursue disruptive technology; developing products internally when they are still highly differentiated but outsourcing them when they become commoditized.

Disruptive technologies' face

The methods and attitudes that innovators adopted in order to utilize the old technology hinder their attempts to implement the new technology properly, according to proponents of the disruptive technology's paradigm. According to the model, actors' first priority is to build the kind of product that consumers in both the new and old markets demand by getting the technology correct. According to the new game tactics paradigm, developing the correct technology is just one part of producing money from a new technology. Therefore, incumbents may also be unable to benefit from a disruptive technology if they lack the proper complementary assets, do not position themselves favorably in comparison to rivals, adopt the incorrect pricing strategy, or do not focus on the appropriate income streams while producing and appropriating value. A company may also be hindered by resources, skills, and PMPs that were advantages before disruptive technology but are now disadvantages. For instance, pre-disruptive technology period advantages like assets, promises made in the past, prevailing logic, business strategy, current goods, and location in relation to competitors might turn into disadvantages.

Making More Money with Disruptive Technologies

The disruptive technologies model contends that an incumbent can increase its performance in leveraging a disruptive technology if it can persuade upper-level management to view the technology as a problem, establish an autonomous unit to pursue disruptive technology, develop the product internally when it is still highly differentiated but outsource it when it becomes a commodity, and organize business units based on the problems/solutions that customers want rather than internal priorities.

These recommendations are primarily intended to help incumbents overcome the challenges they face when attempting to perfect their technology; however, since there is much more to profiting from a new technology than perfecting it, an incumbent must also acquire complementary assets, position itself favorably in comparison to competitors, and engage in any other activity that will enable it to create value. Both value chain and new game variables must be used.

Also keep in mind that incumbents sometimes have an edge over newcomers when it comes to disruptive technologies since they typically have complementary assets like distribution channels and brands that may be leveraged to take benefit of the disruptive technology, particularly in the established industry. These complimentary assets may be utilized, among other things, to persuade a new competitor that has the necessary technology but lacks supplementary assets to collaborate with the established player. According to the disruptive

technology paradigm, new competitors should first target the new market and save entrance into the established one for later. New entrants should make use of both value chain and new game elements to produce and appropriate value given their advantages and disadvantages. They may also take advantage of the fact that incumbents have limitations that they do not.

Impact of New Games

There are two categories of technology in the disruptive technologies framework that we have looked at: disruptive and sustaining. One underlying premise is that there is homogeneity within each of these groupings, i.e., all disruptive technologies are equally disruptive and all sustainable technologies are equally sustainable. We may anticipate that disruptive technologies will vary in their degree of disruption as not all technologies have the same traits. Therefore, it's intriguing to consider how disruptive a disruptive technology is. Since disruptive technologies are a subset of new games, we may examine the new gameness of new games to learn how much certain disruptive technologies are more disruptive than others. Using the framework, we began investigating the new gameness of new games.

The vertical axis in the framework measures how new games affect how competitively current goods are able to compete, or how much less competitively new games make existing products. There are four types of new games in the framework: regular, position-building, resource-building, and revolutionary. The new gameness and disruptiveness increase as one moves from regular to position-building to revolutionary games. The horizontal axis captures the impact of the new game on existing resources/capabilities, or the extent to which the technological and marketing resources/capabilities that are required to pursue the new game build on existing resources/capabilities or render them obsolete.

World Wide Web and New Games

In terms of global goods, oil may be the most prevalent. People and machinery from all over the globe discover and extract it from nations on all six continents. It is processed, shipped, marketed, and utilized all over the world. For it, the technologies involved in locating, transporting, and processing it, all nations rely on one another. There are many products that can be made with oil. When it came to the middle of 2008, several nations saw record-high prices for a liter of gasoline at the pump, despite the fact that the price of oil futures was close to breaking the previous record of $150 per barrel. Analysts questioned the effects of the high oil prices on the global economy while people questioned if oil corporations deserved their huge profits. Oil companies reported extremely high profits. People wondered whether the oil firms generated all the value that they were taking, to use our word. Who was producing the value that the oil firms were collecting if that weren't the case? Who was capturing the majority of the perceived value by consumers if they merited the huge profits? What about the nations that export or import oil? How much of the money that oil buyers paid for the product from each of these nations really got there? These are the issues that will be covered in this. We begin by giving an example of how to determine the value that various participants along a global oil value chain appropriate. Next, we quickly go through the causes and effects of globalization. The discussion of new game tactics used by businesses as they go global follows.

Example for Introduction: Using Value in Globalization

How much of the value may a company appropriate if it adopts the proper global new game strategy? It depends on how much of the value generated is appropriated by the other participants in the global value system, particularly governments. Governments have unbounded authority and may use it to seize the majority of the value generated throughout a value chain, giving the value producers nothing to show for their labors. Let's look at a very

brief minicase to demonstrate what might occur in a value chain. We use the oil business since it is one of the most internationally interconnected. Almost every life on earth is impacted by oil, either directly or indirectly. Governments and businesses both profit from oil.

Consequences of Government Entry into a Value Chain

Governments may influence how value is produced and captured by each actor by inserting themselves into the international value chain of a commodity and levying taxes or subsidies on exports. This might have a significant impact on globalization. We take into account the impacts of subsidies and taxes individually.

Import taxes and duties' effects

The French government is taking some consumer surplus from consumers as well as some supplier surplus from the oil firms and exporting nations like Nigeria by seizing 62% of the value in a liter of gasoline. The price elasticity of oil demand determines how much of the value extracted is supplier surplus and how much is consumer surplus. The change in quantity required as a consequence of a change in a product's price is known as price elasticity of demand. Oil exporters and suppliers suffer more the more elastic the demand since high taxes make consumers purchase less overall. Customers suffer more from demand that is less elastic since they continue to purchase large quantities of the goods in spite of increased pricing due to high taxes. Suppliers would provide the equilibrium quantity QE at the equilibrium price PE if there were no taxes. In the event of a tax T, not only does the amount requested decrease from QE to QT, but the price that these providers are able to get decreases from PE to PS as well.

Revenues from OPERQE to OPSMQT decrease as a consequence of the quantity and price declines that come as a double punch. The revenue decline would be greater the more elastic the demand-price relationship is. Additionally, because of the decline, businesses that would have been profitable at prices between PE and PS are no longer profitable and are probably going out of business. Customers that can still afford the high prices get to pay PC instead of PE, giving up the opportunity to profit from the consumer surplus of PEPCNR. Whether taxes have a positive or negative overall impact on consumers depends on how the government spends the revenue. The impact on suppliers and the nation that supplies them is negative. They lose a PEPSMR supplier excess.

Since, for instance, the ratio QTQE/PCPE is larger than one, assuming that OPE=OQE, the demand for the product is elastic. The decrease in supplier income and the ensuing adverse impact on the exporting nation would still exist but at a lower level, while the total impact on consumers would be harsher. Using petroleum as an example once again, there is some evidence that the demand for oil is elastic over the long term but inelastic over the short term. In other words, unless they had already planned to purchase a car, most individuals would not immediately run out and buy a new, fuel-efficient vehicle today if the price of gasoline increased. Instead, they are more likely to continue driving their current vehicles, but they could choose to purchase a more fuel-efficient vehicle when it comes time to purchase the next vehicle. It is also true that some individuals may decide against taking the family vacation due to the high expense of petrol.

Export subsidies' impact

By subsidizing exports, a government may also affect how a particular sector participates in globalization. Amounts are given to each supplier for a certain number of exports. This is known as an export subsidy. The subsidy may take the form of a monetary payout, a tax relief,

or the unrestricted use of public resources like land. Subsidies are often advantageous for exporters, but not for the rival businesses that supported enterprises must contend with on the international market. Consider the following to get an understanding of how export subsidies may affect importers and rivals. All exporters may sell a quantity QE at a price PE in the absence of subsidies. Imagine that a government chooses to provide a subsidy d to its exporters. Due to the subsidy, suppliers that would have had to exit the market due of their high-cost structures are able to do so since they are now receiving an effective price of PS rather than PE. The price that subsidized exporters charge clients is really PC as a result of the subsidy. More of the product is sold overall at lower prices than it was prior to the subsidy. Because of the subsidies, customers pay less. Subsidies, however, may be disastrous for suppliers who lack them and whose costs are greater than the new subsidized price. Cotton farmers in Niger and Mali, many of whom subsisted on less than a dollar a day, received loans from the World Bank to produce cotton.8 This case has been cited to demonstrate the negative consequences of subsidies. Because US cotton farmers received government subsidies, they were able to sell cotton on the international market at prices that were competitive with, for instance, unsubsidized growers in Niger and Mali. As a consequence, many Niger and Mali cotton growers went out of business after having to sell their crop at a loss.

Bees

There are often certain companies or people that generate a lot of value throughout the value chain but do not get to appropriate a lot of it. These companies or people work really hard all the time to generate value, much like bees, but other players end up taking more of it than they should, leaving the bees with less than they produced. The businesses that discover, drill for, and pump oil would be included in this group based on our scenario above. They do indeed collect value, but not to the same extent as the exporting and importing governments. A company may not be able to fully realize the value it generates for a number of reasons. One of them is that the player with greater influence could end up capturing more value than it produces. In the case of the oil business, governments are able to take more value than the value's producers because they are more powerful than the oil firms. Pharmaceutical corporations may appropriate less value than they produce when they offer pharmaceuticals at prices below what consumers, insurance providers, and governments may be ready to pay in nations where drug pricing are regulated by governments. It is also easy for businesses to rob desperate patients of more money than the medications are worth if drug pricing are unregulated. It's likely that coffee producers only get a very small portion of the value that consumers associate with a cup of coffee. Additionally, it's probable that cocoa farmers only get a little portion of the value that chocolate aficionados place on a piece of chocolate.

Beavers

Forth certain value chains, the companies who put forth the most effort to produce value get to keep everything. Such businesses are compared to beavers, who put in a lot of effort to produce value and often get a lot of rewards.

Foxes

As we saw in the case of the oil sector, some businesses or governments appropriate far more value than they produce. They sometimes even appropriate value rather than creating it. They get back far more than they put in. Since they do few actions yet seize a lot of value, these players are more akin to foxes. They've been referred to as vultures and piranhas.

Bears

Some businesses perform specialized roles inside their value chains where they are less concerned with gaining a competitive edge. To get by, they do enough. They are the complete opposite of beavers, who never stop working. They resemble bears more. They neither produce much value nor do they take much of it.

Globalization

Globalization refers to the interconnection and integration of individuals, businesses, and governments in the production and exchange of goods and services.9 It opens up possibilities for new employment, education, novel and better goods and services, more commerce, larger financial flows, and higher living standards. Some enterprises, occupations, and modes of life, however, are also threatened by globalization. This is especially true when globalization is not properly pursued. Globalization may raise people' standards of life if it is done effectively; in other words, if globalization is properly promoted, everyone should benefit. It may become a zero-sum game or even a negative-sum game if not pursued properly. That may be one of the reasons why, in the eyes of some, globalization represents the hegemonic rule of a small number of nations and large corporations over the rest of the globe at the detriment of local economies and cultures. Others see it as exploitation of the underprivileged in emerging nations, environmental degradation, and human rights violations. However, proponents of globalization argue that as nations' economies and society become more entwined, it is a potent weapon for eliminating poverty. Each participant has a greater probability of producing or contributing to something that is valued by someone elsewhere in the globe. Additionally, there is a higher likelihood that each participant may discover something they like.

International Company

The multinational firm is a significant participant in globalization. A company that has resources and/or market positions in at least two different nations is known as a multinational corporation. MNCs are becoming more and more reliant on resources and revenues coming from outside of their native nations. They may be categorized based on where they decide to sell their goods and the resources they need.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, there will be a paradigm change in how organizations function and generate value as a result of the integration of disruptive technology into value chain operations. Adopting these technologies may help businesses remain ahead of the competition by opening up new possibilities for efficiency, transparency, and customization. However, in the always changing corporate environment, navigating the difficulties and maximizing the promise of disruptive technologies call for a strategic strategy, an investment in human resources, and an adaptable mentality. Businesses are better positioned to flourish in the face of technological disruption and establish a sustained competitive advantage when they proactively adopt disruptive technologies in their value chain operations. Additionally, market instability and unpredictability may result from the quick rate of technical advances. To preserve their relevance and competitiveness in the face of disruptive forces, businesses must remain watchful and continuously study evolving technologies and trends.

REFERENCES:

[1] J. M. Lee, “Intra- and inter-regional diversification, subsidiary value chain activities and expatriate utilization,” *J. Int. Manag.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.intman.2019.03.001.

[2] F. Rahmiati, Y. Ismail, G. Amin, T. Simatupang, D. Larso, and N. A. Othman, "Tourism Value Chain Activities Model for Competitive Advantage Measurement," 2019. doi: 10.2991/icot-19.2019.10.

[3] S. Kingkaew and S. Dahms, "Explaining autonomy variations across value-chain activities in foreign-owned subsidiaries," *Thunderbird Int. Bus. Rev.*, 2019, doi: 10.1002/tie.22019.

[4] I. Tikkanen and L. Jaakkola, "Sustainable value chain activities towards sustainable food services: a case study from Finland," *J. Hosp. Tour. Insights*, 2019, doi: 10.1108/JHTI-12-2018-0086.

[5] N. Kholis, B. Wibawa, and S. Soeprijanto, "Analisis Rantai Nilai Pendidikan Kejuruan dalam Mengembangkan Entrepreneurship : Studi Kasus pada SMK PGRI 20 Jakarta," *JSHP J. Sos. Hum. dan Pendidik.*, 2019, doi: 10.32487/jshp.v3i2.703.

[6] H. S. Abdullah, A. J. Salman, and I. A. Ahmed, "Integrating the value chain and balanced scorecard to evaluate the overall performance of a tourism organization," *African J. Hosp. Tour. Leis.*, 2019.

[7] Y. W. Lee, "Enhancing shared value and sustainability practices of global firms: The case of samsung electronics," *Strateg. Chang.*, 2019, doi: 10.1002/jsc.2255.

[8] L. De Propris and D. Storai, "Servitizing industrial regions," *Reg. Stud.*, 2019, doi: 10.1080/00343404.2018.1538553.

[9] F. O. Samuel, B. A. Akinwande, R. O. Opasola, L. A. Azeez, and A. B. Abass, "Food intake among smallholder cassava value chain households," *Nutr. Food Sci.*, 2019, doi: 10.1108/NFS-11-2018-0310.

[10] E. Rosca and J. C. Bendul, "Value chain integration of base of the pyramid consumers: An empirical study of drivers and performance outcomes," *Int. Bus. Rev.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.ibusrev.2018.05.009.

CHAPTER 17

CLASSIFICATION OF MULTINATIONALS COMPANY INVOLVED IN GAME DEVELOPMENT

Swati Rajaura, Assistant Professor, Department of Business Studies & Entrepreneurship,
Shobhit University, Gangoh, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id-swati.rajaura@shobhituniversity.ac.in

Dr. Neha Yajurvedi, Associate Professor, Department of Business Studies,
Shobhit Deemed University, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id-nehayajurvedi@shobhituniversity.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

Multinational companies (MNCs) are critical players in the global economy, with diverse structures and operational models. This research explores the different types of multinational companies, categorizing them based on their organizational structure, business strategies, and market presence. By analyzing case studies and industry examples, this study aims to elucidate the characteristics and implications of various types of MNCs.

The research also examines the factors that influence a company's decision to adopt a specific multinational approach and the strategies that allow MNCs to thrive in today's interconnected and competitive business environment, specifically in game development. The exploration of different types of multinational companies has unveiled diverse approaches to international expansion and market presence. Various factors, including industry dynamics, regional market characteristics, and organizational capabilities, influence a company's decision to adopt a specific multinational approach.

KEYWORDS:

Branding, Cross-Cultural Management, Diversification, Expatriates, Globalization, Headquarters.

INTRODUCTION

We refer to a company as being domestic if all of the resources it requires to manufacture its goods are found in its home nation, and all of its products are sold there. This category includes a lot of tiny enterprises. A company is considered a position multinational if it has product-market positions in nations other than its home country even if it creates, develops, and manufactures its goods domestically and sells them in two or more of those nations. In the 1960s and 1970s, most Japanese automakers were multinational corporations.

All of their automobiles were created, developed, and produced in Japan before being sent to the US and other nations for retail sales [1], [2]. When a company designs, develops, and produces a product across many nations but only sells it in one, such company is considered to be a resource multi-national since it relies on nations other than its own for its capabilities.

Foreign

In the early years of their existence, US tire corporations created rubber plantations in various developing nations and transported the rubber home to use in the production of tires marketed solely to domestic automakers. A company is categorized as a global multinational if it sells its goods in two or more nations and obtains the resources it requires from two or more nations. These include the majority of the world's largest corporations today. All throughout the globe, Intel sells its microprocessors, and the corporation operates manufacturing and assembly facilities for microchips in several nations [3], [4].

Factors Behind Globalization

What increases the likelihood that globalization will happen? What promotes more cross-border commerce, labor and technological interchange, capital mobility, human migration, and integration of financial systems, among other activities? The four following elements all have an impact on globalization:

1 Innovation in technology

2.Consumer preferences

3 Government regulations

4 Business strategy.

Innovation in Technology

Technology innovation has been one of the main forces behind globalization. First, technical advancement has made it possible to create items that are more popular over the globe, allowing commerce in those products as well as the blending of the activities that support them. For instance, the majority of people want mobile phones, a technical invention that is a byproduct of several other technological advancements. The microchips, LCD screen, battery, and many other parts of a mobile phone are sophisticated systems that call for knowledge and abilities from many different nations [5], [6]. This global product has to be designed, developed, marketed, sold, and delivered, which calls for the fusion of concepts, know-how, items, and people from many nations. The same may be said for jet engines, the Internet, jet aircraft, computers, as well as for medications like Lipitor, Prozac, and Viagra. Second, technical advancement has sped up and decreased the cost of transportation while facilitating communications, cash flows, knowledge sharing, and contact between people and businesses. A large Japanese company's designers may work on a design and then send it to colleagues in Europe through the Internet before quitting for the day. The design may be sent to engineers in California before they leave for the day, who then provide it to their Japanese colleagues before the engineers in Europe leave for the day. The engineers in each of these nations may be of various nationalities who only choose to reside there. Financial institutions send money throughout the globe via the Internet, other communications networks, and computer systems. From large chip production facilities in Silicon Valley or Asia to micro-projects in southern Africa, these funds may support multinational enterprises [7], [8]. The accessibility of the Internet also allows consumers to compare costs of goods and labor throughout the globe, often in real-time. Worldwide telecommunications networks also enable businesses to advertise more effectively and target certain demographics. Consumers are exposed to more low-cost goods that they could appreciate because of cheaper communications and shipping expenses.

Transportation developments were some of the first to have a significant influence on globalization. Transatlantic commerce and migration were essential to establishing the American economy, and motorized ships that plied the Atlantic Ocean played a significant part in these activities. Later, the jet aircraft would revolutionize global travel by speeding up the delivery of vital business papers in addition to moving people across the globe. Furthermore, maritime transportation has gotten so cheap that even though steel is heavy, it can now be produced in Korea and yet be competitively priced in California. The vertical line AB in 9.5 is really moved leftward by technology advancement, expanding the area in which multinational actions involving resources may be carried out. Additionally, it lowers the line CD, expanding the area in which worldwide multinational activities and position may occur [9], [10].

Consumer Needs and Tastes

A key force behind globalization has always been consumer preferences and requirements. For instance, Europeans' love of spices had a significant role in their trade with India and the establishment of Columbia in the Americas. Drugs created in other nations may be used to treat illnesses in many other nations. Some of these preferences or requirements lie latent until businesses, via advertising or the release of a new product, reawaken them. Prior to the introduction of the items, very few individuals in the globe understood the need for the Internet or mobile phones. More affordable items that might affect customers' tastes are often more readily available as a result of lower communications and shipping costs. The experiences that consumers experienced as a consequence of advancements in communication and transportation that allowed them to visit other countries or learn about them through, for example, the Internet may also have an impact on their preferences.

Government Regulations

Of all the forces driving globalization, government actions have one of the biggest impacts. Governments may considerably assist or significantly hinder imports or production by using quotas, tariffs, taxes, subsidies, and import charges. Governments may have a significant impact on what is produced and exported in addition to what is imported. Some governments regulate pricing and what may and cannot be offered in certain industries, such as the healthcare industry. The other forces driving globalization, such as technical advancements in communications and transportation, are also influenced by governments. A nation may choose to enforce its intellectual property protection laws or not. Governments regulate the movement of money and, therefore, investment capital. Who invests in a country depends on its capacity to safeguard foreign interests against theft or nationalistic actions.

DISCUSSION

Multinationals' Strategies

The degree to which businesses desire to capitalize on the other globalization drivers to add value and appropriate it also influences globalization. A company could elect to promote globalization, for instance, if its strategy centers on expanding its current core competencies into several markets, using global workforce, taking advantage of economies of scale, or learning from other countries. A company may use advertising as part of its plan to shape customer preferences in several nations, or it may collaborate with policymakers in various nations to pass legislation that supports globalization. Many multinational firms have budgets that are bigger than those of the majority of developing nations, and thus may have a significant impact on globalization laws. They may innovate to provide the types of goods that will aid customers in identifying their buried requirements. In addition to influencing the flow of cash to their international investment locations, they may also lobby policymakers to lower trade barriers.

Businesses Internationalize

Why would a company choose to enter foreign markets or increase its current worldwide operations over concentrating on its local market is the issue. Why would a local business desire to merge with a global one? There are numerous justifications for traveling or growing internationally:

1. Look for growth

2. Possibility of stabilizing income

3. High domestic manufacturing costs

4. Pursuing a customer

5. Offensive action

6. Possibility to benefit from scale economies

7. Less restrictions abroad

8. Larger foreign market

9. Opportunity to study from overseas.

Looking for Growth

If a company's native market is shrinking, stagnant, too competitive, mature, or not developing quickly enough, it may believe that growth might be found in overseas markets. This is especially true if the market value of the company has growth taken into account, and capital markets anticipate that the company will expand at a pace that the domestic market cannot sustain. If there aren't other compelling reasons to go worldwide, one of the company's options is to diversify into different markets inside its own nation.

Possibility of Earnings Stabilization

Because domestic economic variables often affect a firm's profitability, those profits are likely to fluctuate in line with those cycles. If a company can effectively join a nation with the appropriate cyclicality via international expansion, it may be able to minimize this cyclicality.

High Cost of Domestic Production

The high local cost of production elements is one of the most prominent causes for businesses to expand internationally. For instance, the labor costs for low-tech manufacturing in several western nations and Japan have increased to the point that many businesses in the West are shifting portions of their manufacturing operations to China, Taiwan, Korea, or India. A company often expands internationally because one of its primary customers is doing the same. When automakers chose to start building automobiles in the USA, several Japanese auto suppliers relocated with the automakers. Upon entering the Russian market, McDonald's introduced the J.R. To make the potatoes for McDonald's french fries, Simplot Company participated.

Offensive Action

A business may also begin operations in a foreign nation to beat off rivals who it anticipates will soon enter that nation. This is especially valid if there are first-mover benefits available in the other nation.

Scale economies and the expansion of capabilities

Every unit sold beyond the breakeven level is profit when a company sells a product with very high fixed costs and little to no variable costs. A company's prospects of selling more products and generating more money grow as it becomes worldwide. This is especially true for goods like software that can be marketed everywhere in the globe with minimal additional expense since they don't need significant adjustments to meet local preferences. This is especially true if the home market is relatively tiny in comparison to the firm's technology's minimal effective size. Because their home market was too tiny for the sorts of volume, they required to compete

with other companies who had bigger home markets, many Swiss companies, like Nestlé, went worldwide relatively early. It may be simpler to comply with laws abroad than at home.

It is common for emerging economies to have weak or nonexistent rules governing environmental pollution, safety, and anti-competitive legislation. Some businesses could relocate to these nations in order to benefit from these policies. Simply put, certain markets are bigger and freer than others. Thus, businesses may join such marketplaces to benefit from their scale and environment of a free market. One such nation is the USA.

Discover from abroad

Although it has historically been believed that knowledge flow only occurs in one direction—from the firm's home nation to the host country it is going to—there is increasing understanding that businesses may also learn from their host countries and bring the information back to their home country or to other markets.

Using globalization to your advantage

Consider a situation where a business wishes to export its goods or use the resources of a foreign nation to create new goods. Is there anything it can do to boost the likelihood that the transfer will provide it a competitive advantage? A plan for investigating this issue. A company's profitability in a given market depends on its PMP and the supporting resources. Remember that a company's benefits and position relative to competitors make up its product-market position. A company requires resources in order to hold such a position and carry out the necessary tasks. As a result, we may investigate how the profitability of a company's entrance into a new market relates to its PMP there as well as the resources it employs to generate and/or appropriate value there.

Product-Market Position

Whether the business is in a niche position or on a battleground, the vertical axis captures the PMP that it holds. A company that holds a unique PMP provides a product with advantages that no one else in the market or nation does, and/or it engages in a unique set of tasks that support the advantages. Benefits might include location, price reductions, or greater value for money. The perceived distinctiveness of product characteristics might make the PMP distinctive. The geography or area of the nation that the business serves may also make it distinctive. A single nation or a number of countries may have the distinctive status. Due to the distinctive advantages the company provides its clients, competition has a little impact on it, replacements pose less danger, and the company has more influence over clients than it would in a hostile environment. The fact that a company enters a unique position does not tell us much about entry barriers or its position with respect to suppliers, but there are things the company can do to improve the influence it has over co-competitors and raise entry barriers into its unique market. It may, for instance, try to gain an edge over competitors by increasing the cost of switching for consumers. Raising obstacles to entry may also result from creating a brand that is connected to the niche market.

A product market that already has participants is a battleground. These players often have a sufficient amount of market experience to have built competitive partnerships, mutual understanding, working relationships, and other capacities to provide value to the market. Who would enter a battleground? Battlefields may be quite competitive, but they also offer certain benefits. Uncertainties in technology and marketing are often lessened, and a new entry with significant complementary assets may succeed. Another reason a company can join a foreign market is if it has unique resources it can employ to its advantage. Many businesses do enter

combat zones. Some do so because they think that something unique about them will enable them to succeed when they enter. Others do it for other tactical reasons. Anyhow, a company is often better off going for a unique PMP.

Global Resources and Capabilities of High Value

The horizontal axis represents the primary global resources and competencies that an organization uses to conceptualize, create, produce, sell, and position itself to generate money, regardless matter how significant or rare the resources are. Resources are essential and scarce if they are hard to duplicate or replace and significantly contribute to the production or appropriation of value. These resources may be found in a company's home nation, in the foreign country it is expanding into, or all across the globe. Exclusive rights to oil exploration in oil-producing nations, connections with foreign officials, pharmaceutical patents, shelf space in brick-and-mortar stores, certain major brands, a sizable network in an industry that displays network externalities are a few examples of scarce and significant resources. Therefore, having access to such resources might provide a company a competitive edge. It is challenging to take whatever value that a business may have developed utilizing the significant resources if the resources are valuable yet simple to copy, their owners soon lose any advantage they may have had as rivals pounce.

Types of Global Strategies

One of the following four categories best describes a corporation's strategy: global explorer, worldwide star, global heavyweight, and global generic. These categories depend on whether a firm chooses to pursue a distinctive PMP or join a battle-field, if it has limited, difficult-to-imitate resources or can create them, and whether it can.

World Traveler

In a global adventurer approach, a company enters a nation or nations by occupying a special PMP, but the main resources and skills it employs to develop and capture value are readily accessible or irrelevant. The product that represents the distinct value may be marketed to a single nation or a number of nations. The product may be created in the foreign nation or in the one where it is produced and exported. This describes a large portion of exports that go after unfulfilled needs of a nation. When Japanese manufacturers sold reliable, fuel-efficient vehicles to the US in the 1970s, US automakers GM, Ford, American Motors, and Chrysler concentrated on producing bigger, less fuel-efficient vehicles, they were pursuing a global adventurer strategy. Although it may not seem that way now, selling little Japanese vehicles in the USA from the late 1960s through the 1970s was an adventure since all of the key components for the cars were Japanese.

An entrepreneur approach is used by a merchant who opens the first shop in a particular area in a foreign nation. The factors that influence globalization—technological advancements, customer preferences, governmental regulations, a company's corporate strategy, and the nature of the product under consideration—determine whether the global adventurer strategy is successful for a certain organization. Consider Japanese automobiles sold in the USA in the 1970s. Cars may now be sent from Japan to California, 5,500 miles distant, at a reasonable cost because to advancements in shipping technology. Some people were interested in looking at reliable, fuel-efficient automobiles because of the oil crisis that occurred in the USA in the 1970s. Prior to the US government placing a cap on Japanese automobile imports, US government policies were less protective than those of other wealthy nations at the time. Additionally, there had been efforts by Japan's strong Ministry of International Trade and Industry to stop Honda from starting a domestic auto manufacturing operation. Expanding

internationally was a key component of Honda's strategy, and the sizable US market, where it had been selling bikes, offered some promising chances. In the USA rather than Japan, it had a better chance of expanding financially. A special PMP offers a global explorer various chance to benefit from the position's new gameness, including first-mover benefits. Honda went on to create a reputation for its quick engines and trustworthy, affordable vehicles. Then, in order to compete with BMW, Mercedes, and other luxury imports into the USA, it released premium automobiles alongside Toyota and Nissan. Acura was launched by Honda, Infiniti by Nissan, and Lexus by Toyota. The main benefit of the global adventurer approach is that it identifies and concentrates on a certain PMP with its corresponding advantages and disadvantages. There is one significant flaw in the plan. If a company doesn't take efforts to create first-mover advantages that increase barriers to entry, the distinctive PMP may be readily duplicated because the resources a global adventurer employs are either easily accessible or insignificant.

World Star

In a global star strategy, a company enters a nation or countries by occupying a distinct product-market area, and the worldwide resources and competencies it employs to produce and appropriate value are crucial and rare. By following a star strategy, a company may benefit from both having a distinctive PMP and limited critical resources. An example is Ikea's approach to approaching the US market in the 2000s. It had a distinct position in comparison to its rivals, had a small but significant global network of professional designers, and was able to manage and integrate the operations of its global manufacturers and suppliers of materials. This includes strategies for the Boeing 787 as well as the Airbus A380. Each one has a specific place on the PMP maps for aircraft, and the resources required to provide the plans are limited and crucial. A global star's capacity to coordinate and incorporate resources and know-how from other nations and cultures is often one of its key talents. Coordination of several diverse resources and capabilities from various nations was necessary for the production of both the A380 and Boeing 787. Technology advancement makes it easier to coordinate and integrate these activities.

Worldwide Heavyweight

In a global heavyweight approach, a company enters a nation or nations by taking on already established rivals, but it also possesses critical and rare resources/capabilities that it exploits to generate and seize value. Resources may come from a single nation or from a number of nations. Such a company is, in fact, engaged in a value creation and appropriation war with established industry players, employing its limited resources. A global heavyweight approach is used by an oil business when it enters a nation where other companies are already exploiting for oil and secures exploration rights to locate and sell oil to the globe. When it unveiled the A320, Airbus adopted a worldwide heavyweight strategy. The Boeing 737, the McDonnell Douglas DC 9 and MD-80 were the immediate competitors that the aircraft was intended to take on. The A320's fly-by-wire technology was the first in its class of aircraft, even if some of the technical know-how required to manufacture the jet may have been readily accessible. Furthermore, it was difficult to coordinate the efforts of the French, German, and British firms that produced the bulk of the airplane's components. The United States and other nations in Europe were not the exclusive sources of many of the concepts used in McDonald's restaurants throughout Europe.

Worldwide Generic

In a global generic approach, a company invades a nation or nations by taking on pre-existing rivals, and the main resources/capabilities it employs are either readily accessible or irrelevant. This technique is used by companies who make commodities in countries with cheap labor and

export them to other nations to compete with commodities from other nations. Both manufacturers of textiles and many producers of generic medications for export often use generic techniques. Exports of goods that were created, developed, and manufactured in one nation and sent to another often bring a wide range of goods to a foreign market. Local resources are developed when the product becomes more popular to better accommodate regional variations.

Gaining a Competitive Advantage with New Games

Why can't all companies adopt the same global star strategy if a firm's prospects of having a sustainable competitive advantage are highest when it pursues one as opposed to the other three strategies? One reason is that not every company has the two factors necessary for following each of these tactics successfully: the firm's advantages and disadvantages in relation to the new game, and its capacity to capitalize on the traits of new games in order to produce and appropriate value.

Strengths and Limitations

When a company enters a new game, it often has pre-existing strengths that may either remain strengths or turn into handicaps. The local PMP and resources of the company are two clear advantages for a domestic business that is expanding internationally by providing the same items it did at home. If a company sells a low-cost product locally, it may often export the resources that allowed it to manufacture the low-cost items or sell a foreign version of the low-cost product. Effectively, if a company's local resources are limited and significant in the international market, they might provide as the foundation for the company to pursue a heavyweight or global star strategy. The company may choose to adopt a global adventurer or star approach if the local PMP serves as the foundation for securing a distinct market niche or position in the foreign nation.

When Toyota and Honda made the decision to join the US car market, they did so using both local resources and goods. When McDonald's opened outlets abroad, many of the prospective consumers had previously been to American McDonald's locations, were Americans traveling abroad, or were Americans going abroad for employment. Intel was able to develop chip design centers, fabrication facilities, and assembly facilities outside of the United States in order to produce and market its goods to anybody, anywhere in the globe, thanks to domestic skills in microprocessors and the widespread adoption of the PC. In other words, a local firm may use its unique domestic resources and goods to grow into a multinational. Strengths a company has at home may out to be weaknesses abroad. The all-American image of McDonald's, which is a strength in the USA, initially did not work well in France. Ability to Take Advantage of New Game Characteristics A firm's ability to achieve a competitive edge by going worldwide also depends on how well it utilizes the new game characteristics of doing business abroad. Remember that a company has a chance with new games. Utilize the innovative methods for producing and extracting the value that the new game has generated. Utilize the new game's prospects to construct new resources or transform current ones in novel ways. Utilize the benefits and drawbacks of first-mover status as well as the downsides that come with competitors in the new game. Coopetitors' responses to its acts should be anticipated and addressed. Recognize and seize possibilities and dangers from the macroenvironment.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, there are many different kinds of multinational corporations, each with unique traits and business models. Depending on their operating requirements and the level of local autonomy needed, MNCs may choose either centralized or decentralized organizational

structures. Depending on the company's growth goals and the industry's characteristics, business strategies could be centered on market-, resource-, or efficiency-seeking goals. Depending on the MNC's market entrance strategy, greenfield ventures or acquisitions may be used to attain the market presence and degree of internationalization. Businesses that want to grow internationally and prosper in the cutthroat global marketplace must comprehend the many sorts of multinational corporations and the elements driving their strategy. Multinational corporations may efficiently use their resources and competencies to achieve sustainable success and worldwide competitiveness by strategically aligning their organizational structure, business strategy, and market entrance methods.

REFERENCES:

[1] J. O. Adebiyi, G. A. Sanni, and A. K. Oyetunji, "Assessment of political risk factors influencing the corporate performance of multinationals construction companies in northeastern Nigeria," *Glob. J. Business, Econ. Manag. Curr. Issues*, 2019, doi: 10.18844/gjbem.v9i2.4232.

[2] J. R. Ramalho, R. S. P. Dos Santos, and I. J. Rodrigues, "Changes in labour law, trade union and multinationalcompanies," *Cad. CRH*, 2019, doi: 10.9771/ccrh.v32i86.30668.

[3] E. O. B. Nara, C. Gelain, J. A. R. Moraes, L. B. Benitez, J. L. Schaefer, and I. C. Baierle, "Analysis of the sustainability reports from multinationals tobacco companies in southern Brazil," *J. Clean. Prod.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.05.399.

[4] I. G. Arraiano and C. D. Hategan, "The Stage of Corporate Social Responsibility in EU-CEE Countries," *Eur. J. Sustain. Dev.*, 2019, doi: 10.14207/ejsd.2019.v8n3p340.

[5] D. Gozman and L. Willcocks, "The emerging Cloud Dilemma: Balancing innovation with cross-border privacy and outsourcing regulations," *J. Bus. Res.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2018.06.006.

[6] N. Smyrnaios, "Google as an Information Monopoly," *Contemp. French Francoph. Stud.*, 2019, doi: 10.1080/17409292.2019.1718980.

[7] R. T. Krishnan and S. Prashantham, "Innovation in and from India: The who, where, what, and when," *Glob. Strateg. J.*, 2019, doi: 10.1002/gsj.1207.

[8] D. Quer and X. Peng, "Emerging-market multinationals and international acquisitions: The case of Chinese firms in the Spanish hotel industry," *Cuad. Tur.*, 2019, doi: 10.6018/turismo.44.404981.

[9] P. C. Mandal, "Pricing Strategies of Multinationals for Global Markets – Considerations and Initiatives," *Int. J. Bus. Strateg. Autom.*, 2019, doi: 10.4018/ijbsa.2020010102.

[10] C. Jiang and D. Yahiaoui, "French multinational companies' HRM in China: strategic orientation and integration approaches," *Asia Pacific Bus. Rev.*, 2019, doi: 10.1080/13602381.2018.1507283.

CHAPTER 18

EXPLORING THE NEW WAYS OF CREATING AND CAPTURING NEW VALUE IN GAMING INDUSTRY

Harsh Panwar, Associate Professor, Department of Business Studies & Entrepreneurship,
Shobhit University, Gangoh, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- harsh.panwar@shobhituniversity.ac.in

Dr. Neha Vashishtha, Associate Professor, Department of Business Studies,
Shobhit Deemed University, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id-nehavashistha@shobhituniversity.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

In today's rapidly changing business landscape, companies are constantly seeking innovative ways to create and capture new value. This research explores the emergence of new approaches and strategies that enable businesses to generate and harness value in novel and unprecedented ways. By analyzing case studies and industry examples, this study aims to shed light on the key drivers and implications of these new value creation and capture methods. The research also examines how technology, customer-centricity, and collaborative partnerships play pivotal roles in driving the success of these innovative approaches. The analysis of new ways of creating and capturing new value has revealed transformative trends and strategies that are reshaping the business landscape. Companies are increasingly leveraging technology and customer-centric approaches to create differentiated value propositions that meet the evolving needs and preferences of consumers.

KEYWORDS:

Artificial Intelligence, Big Data, Digital, Ecosystem Partnerships, Innovation.

INTRODUCTION

When a business intends to expand internationally, it must identify clients, provide them with advantages, and position itself to take advantage of the value that is so produced. In doing so, it has the choice to take on present rivals in the nation or occupy a distinct product-market niche. As we saw above, a unique PMP has the benefit of being, on average, more enticing than a battlefield since the competing forces there are friendlier than those on a battlefield with seasoned opponents. A company, however, may be able to join the war and succeed if it has unique domestic resources that can be transferred to the other nation to meet the demands of consumers there. For instance, BMW, Mercedes, Audi, and Cadillac had controlled the US luxury automobile market up until the late 1980s. Toyota, Nissan, and Honda took on these market leaders by exploiting the skills they had developed to serve the low-end market [1], [2]. Toyota launched its Lexus model line in 1989, and by using its design, knowledge of lean production, supplier partnerships, and marketing, it was able to win several honors. A company may also decide to engage a conflict by using technical advancements that make outdated or noncompetitive current resources or goods on the market. For instance, mobile phone technology has made it possible for several businesses worldwide to effectively compete against established fixed-line telephone carriers and prevail [3], [4].

Utilize the Chances to Create New Resources

Resources or levels of resources are distributed differently across various nations. Some nations have access to resources like oil, gold, low-cost labor, high-tech know-how, and so on. The quality of the resource differs from one nation to the next. For instance, even within the so-called high-tech nations, there are differences in the amount of high-tech expertise. Therefore,

when a company expands internationally, it has the chance to either bring in resources from its home country or acquire fresh resources in the other country [5], [6]. Sometimes these new resources are unique, allowing a company to utilize them to manufacture and promote its goods in several nations. Oil firms, for instance, may purchase the right to search for oil in several oilfields across many nations. If they are successful, these oil corporations will control the wells and be able to refine, sell, and distribute the oil they produce in any nation. A company may influence laws and the general public's perception of its goods or presence in the nation by developing strong ties with government representatives as part of its international expansion [7], [8]. When a business expands internationally, it may also employ a portion of its home resources to produce and appropriate value in the host nation. Such a company is successfully repurposing its resources. A company has the chance to develop and use first-mover advantages if it is the first to market a certain product in a nation. When a scarce resource is involved, such first-mover advantages become even more crucial. For instance, a company has the chance to proactively gain rights to the oil well and exploration rights to neighboring properties if it is the first to go to a foreign nation and find oil or any other rich mineral. Typically, these fields are scarce, and once they are filled, there may be few or none remaining [9], [10]. The business also has the chance to collaborate with state-owned businesses like the "national oil companies" of nations that export oil. A company that finds resources in a nation first and partners with the government is also ahead of the learning curve for exploring the specific geology and interacting with local authorities. Additionally, the business has the chance to influence legislation and, to the extent that it affects the specific sector, the educational system.

A first mover entering a country has the chance to develop a sizable network with the relevant qualities and exploit the network to its advantage in sectors where network effects are significant. For instance, in online auctions, the larger the membership of an online auction community, such as eBay's, the more valuable it is to each member and the greater the likelihood that new members would join. eBay performed very well in the nations where it was the first to create an online auction community. Japan was the only nation—the one where it wasn't the first—where it didn't do as well. In the area of auctions, Yahoo was the first to enter Japan and fared successfully. In the retail industry, for instance, a company that enters a nation or area first has the chance to take advantage of the prime retail sites. Potential second movers would think twice before seeking to develop in these places if the first mover creates the appropriate number of shops and offers the appropriate service, since doing so might lead to price wars and unhealthy competitiveness. Sometimes, the inverse may occur. Typically, Burger King is located next to McDonalds a business enters a nation first, it has the chance to recruit the finest workers first and collaborate with them to create the kind of culture that will keep them there, depriving followers of one of the pillars of any company's success.

Recognize and adapt to Coopetitors

When expanding internationally, it's critical for a business to consider how its rivals will likely respond. It is crucial to consider what rivals could do if the company decides to enter a niche PMP and they learn that the company is profitable there. Competing oil businesses are likely to seek to enter the market if they learn that one oil company has discovered oil someplace. A company may wish to step up its efforts to create and benefit from first-mover advantages because they raise barriers to entry, which is one reason why it would want to do so. McDonald's need to be aware that Burger King will be visiting and should make necessary preparations.

If a company decides to join a battlefield, the existing players will either resist the entrance, ignore it, or work with it. The company has a number of choices if it thinks incumbents will oppose its entrance. If it possesses the few resources that will give it an edge against

incumbents, it may first enter and engage in combat. It may also participate and engage in combat if it employs a disruptive technology or any other innovation that threatens to make the current offerings of incumbents' businesses uncompetitive or their resources outdated. Second, instead of engaging in the conflict, the company may choose to relocate to a special location. The company could wish to join if it thinks incumbents would leave it alone when it does so, but only after confirming that the market will be big enough to sustain it and that incumbents won't suddenly alter their minds and become hostile. The company could wish to enter if incumbents are willing to work with it. Why would incumbents want to work together is the question. Government restrictions may compel them to provide entrance. In such situation, the company may enter, but it should be understood that being in a distinct product category may not completely offset the competitive pressures from rivalry, possible new entrance, etc. Businesses in a market could also be open to entrance if a strong customer force them to have backup suppliers. Recognize and exploit macroenvironment opportunities and threats.

A company that expands internationally does so by switching from one political, economic, social, and technical system to another. The macroenvironments of one's own nation and other countries might differ from one another. Government actions against businesses in some countries must have a legal basis, and the companies have the right to challenge the government in a fair court system. In other countries, government actions against businesses must have a legal basis, and the companies have the right to challenge the government in a fair court system. Copyrights, patents, trademarks, and other forms of intellectual property are honored in various nations, and the government oversees and upholds their protection. There is minimal to no intellectual property protection in other nations. Governments in certain nations impose additional entrance and departure restrictions on top of those determined by the nature of the sector. Governments participate in the merger and purchase of businesses in many nations, although in certain, some governments may adopt a more nationalistic than commercial stance.

While some governments take corporate social responsibility and the environment seriously, others do not. The list of distinctions is endless. In any event, a company expanding internationally may wish to be aware of the political, economic, social, and technical landscape of the foreign nation it is relocating to in order to see any possibilities or risks it may want to exploit. For instance, a company may need to find another cornerstone for its competitive advantage or lobby the foreign government for changes in its laws if it relies on its intellectual property protection to give it a sustainable competitive advantage at home where violations of such protections are prosecuted. On the other hand, a company that has long desired to join a market but was prevented from doing so at home due to intellectual property protection may choose to relocate to a nation where such laws are less stringent. For reasons of national security and other factors, some generic pharmaceutical firms choose to locate in countries where the patent protection for specific medications is less than in the USA.

For a company that is becoming global, privatization, deregulation, and technological progress in general can provide both possibilities and risks. Businesses that were formerly held by the government are sold to the private sector during privatization. Through privatization, a company has the chance to enter a nation and take over its resources and output. Purchasing a government-owned company provides a company the opportunity to operate as a duopoly or a near-monopoly since state monopolies are often found in government-owned companies. The drawback is that it may be difficult to change a government-owned company's culture if it isn't one that encourages profit-driven competition. Deregulation involves governments reducing or eliminating regulations that limit how businesses operate in order to boost productivity and minimize costs for customers. A company may have the chance to enter the deregulatory country in a professional manner if barriers are relaxed or eliminated.

DISCUSSION

New Game Environments and the Role of Governments

Businesses do not operate in a vacuum, nor do the industries in which they generate and utilize value. The technical, political-legal, demographic, sociocultural, economic, and natural settings in which businesses and industries operate have an impact on them. For businesses and their sectors, macroenvironments are often the source of possibilities and challenges. For instance, regulation and deregulation both raise or lower entry barriers, which affects industry dynamics and financial prospects. You just need to look at how the European Union's aviation business was deregulated to see how many new low-cost carriers arose. Witness the privatization and deregulation of phone services in emerging nations like Kenya and Cameroon, which has encouraged the growth of wireless phone service providers there. Interest rates, currency rates, employment, income, and productivity are only a few examples of national and international economic variables that have an influence on industry competitiveness and, therefore, the kinds of new gaming activities that might be played.

For instance, rising incomes may enhance certain consumers' willingness to spend more for specific goods, making them more valuable to businesses that can provide them with the kind of value they want. The potential for pursuing novel game tactics and the challenge to current company structures both grow along with globalization. In this chapter, we investigate how macroenvironments may be sources of both opportunities and challenges for new games, as well as how governments might assist businesses in creating and capturing value. We begin by outlining macroenvironments and how they function as a source of both possibilities and hazards for new games. The qualities of certain places that make them more innovative than others are then examined. The next section looks at the justification for governments having a role to play and what that role is in light of new games.

Macroenvironments as Potential and Dangerous Sources

Firms may use new game activities to take advantage of opportunities and risks in the macroenvironment, which is made up of the technical, political-legal, socio-demographic, economic, and ecological surroundings.

Technological Setting

The biggest chances to play new gaming activities have come from technology advancement. Many businesses were given the chance to reshape the way value had been produced and appropriated in the computer industry when mainframe computers were replaced by personal computers. Example: Instead of concentrating on both software and hardware, as had been the norm in the computer industry, upstart software companies like Microsoft sought alternative business models. Manufacturers of hardware and software also sold their goods rather than leasing them, as was the case with many businesses. The discovery of DNA served as the impetus for the establishment of several biotech companies and is fundamentally altering a wide range of activities, including how pharmaceutical companies search for treatments for various diseases and how crimes are investigated. The development of the Internet encouraged the establishment of several businesses, altered the operations of numerous so-called offline businesses, and significantly impacted the lives of numerous customers.

The development of the railroad, steamship, aircraft, steel, cement, transistor, microchip, alternating current, and a host of other technologies opened up new business prospects as well as new methods for generating and capturing value. However, these chances also posed dangers

to already-established technology and businesses, whose competitive advantages were founded on the more established ones. Horse-drawn carriages were threatened by railroads, the vacuum tube and its associated industries were threatened by the transistor, certain brick and mortar businesses are threatened by the Internet, and so on. In many instances, the technical shift was peripheral to value generation but had an impact on how a corporation was positioned. For instance, the Internet had a role in the success of Dell's build-to-order business strategy.

Political and Legal Climate

The political-legal environment consists of antitrust laws, tax laws, rules governing overseas commerce, and laws governing employee protection. The way new value is produced may be greatly influenced by the political and legal environment. Take the USA's Bayh-Dole Act as an example. Prior to 1980, the US Federal government owned the intellectual property rights to any discoveries or innovations created by universities and other nonprofit organizations while carrying out federally-sponsored research. The majority of the value produced was in fact seized by the government. The Bayh-Dole Act, also known as the Patent and Trademark Law Amendments Act, was proposed by Senators Birch Bayh of Indiana and Robert Dole of Kansas, and it was enacted on December 12, 1980.1 The Bayh-Dole legislation, which was revised in 1984 and 1986, among other things, granted intellectual property rights to US small firms, academic institutions, and nonprofit organizations for any discoveries and innovations generated with government assistance. The goal was to encourage academic researchers to benefit from and commercialize their inventions and discoveries. The Act essentially gave companies that conducted the R&D ownership of discoveries and innovations instead of the government that financed them.

Additionally, it ensured that the task was profitable for the individual researchers. Only 5% of the government-accumulated patents were commercialized before Bayh-Dole. Over 4,500 businesses with origins in universities and other nonprofit organizations have been established as of December 2005 thanks to Bayh-Dole-related patents. Universities and institutions in the United States earned $1.39 billion in license fees in 2004 alone. They also submitted nearly 10,000 additional patent applications. Germany and Japan, two other industrialized nations, implemented identical laws. The dissemination of knowledge and institutions have suffered certain consequences as a result of this seeming success. Many professors are reluctant to share all of the study findings, and others have been accused of focusing too much on commercial goals rather than the pursuit of knowledge for its own sake, which is what colleges are meant to be about. Some universities now wonder whether they should maintain their non-commercial research tax exemption status given how much money they generate from their research.

Economic Situation

Economic factors include overall supply and demand for essential commodities like oil, currency fluctuations, stock market performance, disposable incomes, interest rates, money supply, inflation, and unemployment may provide possibilities as well as risks to businesses. For instance, home owners feel wealthier and spend more when a country's property prices increase, as was the case in the early 2000s in the USA and Europe. Shareholders feel wealthier and are more ready to pay as a result of a rise in the value of their equities. According to one estimate, an increase in property prices of $100 may result in an increase in spending of up to $9 while an increase in stock market values of $100 results in an increase in spending of $4.4. Wealth gains in the stock market or property market may also translate into increased funding for new businesses. Of course, a bubble collapse causes problems; falls in house prices are likely to result in falls in expenditure as well.

The 1970s oil crisis increased demand for fuel-efficient cars beyond what US manufacturers could provide. Japanese automakers with more fuel-efficient vehicles than their American rivals now have a chance to take market share. Sometimes, an invention that makes use of current macroeconomic conditions creates the opportunity. For instance, Professor C.K. Prahalad of the University of Michigan has argued that despite the fact that there are billions of people in the world who earn very little money, this group can be reached through the development of new products that can meet their needs as well as innovations in delivery methods. Population changes, age distributions, ethnic mixtures, educational levels, lifestyle modifications, consumer activism, birthrates, life expectancies, and household patterns in cities, regions, and nations are examples of demographic factors. Performing new game actions may provide an opportunity or a danger depending on how any of these factors change. For instance, businesses will have to cope with a large number of customers who don't give online shopping or telecommuting any thought as they become older and more individuals who began using the Internet as toddlers. The views, customs, and values of individuals, nations, communities, or workers are sociocultural factors. Opportunities and risks that result from changes in socio-cultural factors are often some of the hardest to identify since beliefs, norms, and values are difficult to identify.

Natural World

The natural environment is made up of the air we breathe, the water that supports all life, the climate, the landscapes, grasslands, and forests, as well as any other living or nonliving objects that exist naturally on earth and in its environs. It also includes fauna, animals, oceans, and mineral resources. Businesses often have an influence on the environment as they conduct their operations.

For instance, certain activities cause soil, water, or air pollution. Such pollution may significantly impact the climate, other resources, and ultimately the standard of living or the ability of living creatures to survive. Other activities deplete finite or nonrenewable resources. As a result, governments and environmentalist organizations often put pressure on corporations to reduce the damaging consequences that their operations have on the environment. Furthermore, how businesses operate should be influenced by the surrounding environment. While some businesses may regard, for instance, the need to minimize pollution as a danger, others may see it as a chance to apply new game mechanics to provide goods that create less pollution during creation, manufacture, and usage than current ones.

Value Creation and Appropriation

It would be wonderful if governments understood what factors made certain settings more favorable to developing income through new games than others in order to fulfill their responsibility to enhance the capacity of their enterprises to produce and appropriate value. This would enable these governments to focus their efforts on creating such environments. In an effort to emulate Silicon Valley in the United States, numerous nations invested billions of dollars in so-called high technology clusters in the 1990s and 2000s. For instance, the French government intended to invest €500 million year in its sixteen clusters, from aerospace to biotechnology, collectively known as the poles de competitivity, from 2006 to 2008. The majority of the €500 million went toward R&D for industry. But by the late 2000s, several nations realized that even while they had made significant investments in these clusters and had generated a large number of research papers and patents, they were not producing as much income as Silicon Valley.6 They had nothing to show for their efforts in the form of Intels, Apples, Genentechs, Ciscos, Yahoos, Googles, eBays, etc. When a cluster finds or invents anything, it is merely the beginning of the process of generating and appropriating value. The

discovery or idea still has to be transformed into something that customers find useful, and the creator or followers must be in a position to take advantage of that value. Additionally, clusters need complementary assets and successful business models to generate wealth for their members; otherwise, foreign companies with the necessary complementary assets and business models will steal their discoveries.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, Technology, a focus on the customer, and cooperative relationships are altering the corporate environment and opening up new opportunities for value creation and capture. Companies may succeed in today's dynamic and competitive climate by using the potential of digital transformation, concentrating on customer demands, and building strategic relationships. For businesses looking to remain ahead of the curve and open up fresh prospects for continuous development and success in the always changing market, embracing innovation and agility is crucial. The study also highlights the significance of ongoing innovation and flexibility in value development and capture. Companies must continue to be versatile and willing to experiment with new concepts and business models as markets change quickly. Companies that embrace an innovative culture and use failure as a teaching opportunity may excel in value generation and capture.

REFERENCES:

CHAPTER 19

HIGH FINANCIAL REWARDS FOR SUCCESSFUL NEW GAMES

Harsh Panwar, Associate Professor, Department of Business Studies & Entrepreneurship,
Shobhit University, Gangoh, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- harsh.panwar@shobhituniversity.ac.in

Dr. Neha Vashishtha, Associate Professor, Department of Business Studies,
Shobhit Deemed University, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id-nehavashistha@shobhituniversity.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

The gaming industry's dynamic and competitive nature has led to high financial rewards for successful new games. This research explores the factors contributing to these substantial financial gains, analyzing case studies and market trends. By examining the success stories of top-grossing new games, this study aims to uncover the key elements that drive financial success in the gaming industry. The research also explores the potential risks and challenges associated with pursuing high financial rewards and how developers can strategically position their new games for maximum financial success. The analysis of high financial rewards for successful new games has provided valuable insights into the factors contributing to lucrative returns in the gaming industry. Several key elements play a pivotal role in achieving financial success in this competitive market.

KEYWORDS:

Licensing Deals, Microtransactions, Mobile, Multiplayer, Player, Strategies.

INTRODUCTION

Because new gaming activities are hazardous, businesses must see a good return on their investment before they would do so. This payback is monetary for the majority of businesses. Yes, some people and organizations engage in new game activities for morally upright, non-financial motives, but the majority of players desire to make money, even if they ultimately donate the money they earn to charitable organizations. As a result, an ecosystem where new games have strong financial returns is more likely to attract investors than one where they don't. The benefits for popular new gaming activities might be enormous in the USA [1], [2]. According to the Forbes list of 500 billionaires, the United States has by far the most self-made billionaires. In fact, the United States has more such billionaires than the rest of the world combined. These rewards might take many different shapes. The first is the initial public offering, in which businesses initially sell part of their shares to the general public.

For instance, a few days after Google went public, its founders became millionaires. A company may increase its net value by creating an entrepreneurial division and launching an IPO for the division. The anticipation of such benefits may serve as a powerful motivator for the type of work and commitment required to explore many inventions. The easiest way to describe it is as said by Dr. James H. Clark, creator of Silicon Graphics Inc. and Netscape: Without IPOs, you would not have any companies. IPOs provide the gasoline that propels these aspirations. You expire without it. Second, benefits may also result from a venture being acquired, often by a more seasoned company with complementary assets. The investors may get cash, stock in the formed company, or high-ranking positions in the existing company as a result of such an acquisition. In reality, a big portion of the reason why venture capitalists invest in startups is because they want to profit from the IPO or the sale of the business to a more established corporation [3], [4].

Supporting Entrepreneurial Activities Financially

To participate in new gaming activities, particularly entrepreneurial ones, the availability of venture money is essential. Venture capitalists assist entrepreneurs to be bolder in their search of new games by making money accessible for ideas that would often be judged too risky by established corporations. In anticipation of the possible great financial returns, some entrepreneurs fund their endeavors with personal or family resources, loans from friends, or both [5], [6]. A greater number of individuals are able to look for ideas in a greater number of locations with a greater collective tenacity thanks to the expectation of such benefits and easily accessible venture financing. Many people who have successful firms often reinvest their profits in new businesses. Clark put part of the earnings from SGI back into Netscape. Some of the profits from Genentech and Tadem Computers were reinvested by Kleiner and Perkins in subsequent businesses. There may be circumstances in which a government would be better off sponsoring R&D initiatives. For instance, if a project is excessively complicated and involves excessive uncertainty and its outcome is information about public assets, businesses are likely to be reluctant to engage in the project, therefore the government is better off funding it. As a consequence, nations whose governments spend in R&D to generate ideas for new games without placing too many restrictions on the outcomes may be more likely to foster the development of new games than those where they do not.

Failure-Tolerant Culture

Many businesses never see the rewards of an IPO, acquisition, or a profitable product. They just fall short. Such failures may be deadly in particular circumstances. Someone connected to a bankrupt business might be condemned in various nations. Many investors in such settings refrain from making investments because they are afraid of these consequences. In other contexts, neither the entrepreneurs nor the investors are deterred by similar failures. First of all, players in such settings are aware that the majority of new games need try, error, and correction. Failure is thus a necessary component of learning. Consequently, those that fail learn from their mistakes, which might increase their chances of succeeding the following time. Second, many of the supporting actors, particularly venture capital companies, have had several failures in the past and yet managed to succeed [7], [8]. Additionally, some of the participants are seasoned businesspeople who have had several instances of both success and failure. Such workplaces have a culture that accepts failure. In contrast to Europe's strict bankruptcy rules and the stigmatization of businesses who fail, bankruptcy is practically celebrated in Silicon Valley as a badge of honor—a dueling scar, if you will.

Competition and influencing factors are present

Recall that in order to gain some of the knowledge and other resources required to execute new game actions, a company often has to communicate with co-competitors. The process of knowledge identification, interchange, recombination, and transformation may be facilitated by having these coopetitors in close enough proximity to allow for in-person encounters since part of the information required is tacit. Consider the availability of vendors. Being near suppliers allows a company the chance to communicate with and collaborate more closely with component developers while both the supplier and the business go through their experimentation, try, error, and correction processes [9], [10]. They may exchange immediate feedback with one other, which in certain fields might be the difference between success and failure. A company may be able to create and appropriate value more effectively with such intimate engagement. clients that are very demanding might also encourage businesses to pursue new games more cautiously in order to satisfy these demanding clients. The environment's ability to support new games may also be influenced by the existence of the

appropriate inputs. For instance, the Internet revolution may not have gotten started in the USA at the time it did if graduates in electrical engineering and computer science had not been available. Additionally important is the presence of venture capital companies.

Environment of Procreative Destruction

To create value, a system that promotes competition, particularly between established players and newcomers, might be essential. Large-scale advancements often lead to "creative destruction," when new businesses displace older ones. Progress may be stifled by a system that unfairly protects incumbents. For instance, those developing nations in Africa that continued to defend their fixed-line phone providers lost out on one of the continent's largest corporate success stories: the cellular communications industry. An entrepreneur in another nation is likely to take up the innovation and commercialize it if a country's cluster develops something but is unable to market it because the home country is too protective of its incumbent enterprises and old jobs. Eventually, incumbents everywhere will be replaced if the concept is successful elsewhere. In actuality, either someone from outside or one of the home country's startups will oust the incumbents. Additionally, if incumbents are too protected, entrepreneurs and venture capitalists may move their ideas and money to another nation in order to commercialize and benefit from such innovations. Government regulations that make it difficult for startups may reduce the amount of entrepreneurship in certain nations. Revenues are taxed in various nations whether or whether a company produces money. For startups, who often need financing early in their life cycles, this may be challenging. Starting a company might take up to 23 days in certain nations while just taking three days in others.

DISCUSSION

Governments

Our consideration of what makes for favorable surroundings immediately leads to our discussion of what governments may do to create circumstances that are conducive to new games. A company cannot issue an IPO in several countries unless it has generated profits for many years.

Such rules are intended to safeguard investors from dishonest companies and their investment bankers, but they also prevent individuals who are better able to assess the degree of risk they can bear and the appropriate time to participate in a company. People are more knowledgeable about excellent investment opportunities than governments. Once again, investors may move their funds to nations that do not overly limit when a company can go public.

Encourage the funding of new games

Some countries tax the money that startups make, further depleting their already limited resources. In contrast, businesses in other nations only pay taxes on their revenue and are allowed to deduct losses from their tax returns. Which would you want, as a venture capitalist, to invest in: a nation where billionaires are despised or one where income, not revenues, is taxed at low rates and billionaires are respected as wealth creators? Would you choose to work for the former or the latter if you wanted to work for a startup? Taxing income may be compared to a farmer eating most of his or her lambs and having little left over to feed the rest of the flock. Successful firms increase employment and employee wealth, which boosts tax collections for governments.

As the world becomes increasingly globalized, investors in venture capital might relocate their money to a nation they see as being more welcoming to businesses. Entrepreneurial workers may also relocate to nations where they feel they may more successfully pursue their

aspirations. It is intriguing that a Frenchman who was teaching in the USA at the time venture capital was developed and yet little to no money is created by venture capitalists in France.

Encourage rivalry while removing obstacles

Laws that defend the status quo in the face of innovative new games might backfire. That is because ultimately creative destruction takes hold. So, slowing down attacks to shield incumbents from disruptive technology just postpones the inevitable. Furthermore, other nations may not slow down assaults in their territory if one government does. Because of this, the nation with more restrictions may find itself falling behind in terms of disruptive technologies, and when its incumbents do too, they may end up in the hands of foreign assailants. As a result, a nation may be better off stepping aside and fostering competition between established players and fresh competitors.

Create a Failure-Tolerant Culture

A government's ability to foster a culture that accepts failure may not be entirely evident, but bankruptcy rules may be loosened. Some nations have stringent bankruptcy regulations that prevent failing business owners from starting another firm for a very long time. These restrictions may be helping to stigmatize failing businesses. In the USA, bankruptcy processes enable the redeployment of assets and the transfer of staff from the bankrupt corporation to another one. It could assist to slacken the bankruptcy rules of these other nations. Since businesses are unlikely to engage in R&D projects that yield information with public properties, governments must continue to fund them. However, they should place as few restrictions as possible on the R&D outcomes and promote competition wherever possible. Governments should refrain from trying to make too many items since they are awful at it. Governments' goal is to make it simpler for businesses to produce reliable goods. If one government obstructs innovation, another will find a method to have its companies benefit from the invention.

Justification for the Government's Role

We did not address the question of why a government should be involved in the process of fostering conditions that are receptive to innovation. In this article, we examine the justification for why governments should be involved in the development of new games. Government intervention may sometimes be necessary to promote more efficient value generation and appropriation due to the nature of people and the understanding that they must transform into customer value throughout new games. Depending on the following factors, government involvement may be required:

1 Complementary resource is required.

2. The knowledge that has to be converted has these two characteristics.

3 The degree of intricacy and ambiguity.

4 Qualities of the individuals who make judgments and use information.

5 The business's industrial classification.

6 The degree to which there are any adverse or advantageous externalities.

Public goods as Associated Resources

So-called public goods are some of the supplementary resources that a company requires to generate and capture value. A good that is nonexclusive and nonrivalrous is referred to as a

public good. A good is nonrivalrous if it is used by one person without affecting the quantity still accessible for consumption by others. If it is impossible to stop certain individuals from utilizing a good, it is nonexcludable. Air is one instance of a public good. The volume of air that is accessible for others to breathe is not diminished when one person breaths. Additionally, it might be difficult to prevent certain persons from breathing air. As a result, it is difficult for someone to purify just the air they wish to breathe. National defense is an additional illustration of a public benefit. Public goods may be better supplied by governments because of their no rivalrous and nonexcludable characteristics. Each person finds it challenging to protect themselves from an outside bomb. Public complementary assets that may be crucial to the sort of economy in which businesses produce and appropriate value include a secure nation, decent roads, a consistent supply of energy, transit networks, and a clean, sustainable natural environment. Governments may need to take a significant role in supplying these assets because of their public character.

Knowledge Is Paradoxical, Public, and Leaky

Certain aspects of the information that supports novel game concepts might make it challenging for businesses to get value from the knowledge that they produce. Let's say a business wants to market a brand-new video game concept. However, after the concept is known, the possible buyer may no longer be motivated to pay for it, particularly if the buyer is opportunistic. Only then can the potential buyer assess the idea's worth. It is capable of shirking and possesses the concept. A circumstance like this can deter prospective knowledge contributors from contributing to the creation of new game concepts. The knowledge paradox is a term that has been used to describe this predicament. Second, nonrivalry is still another attribute of knowledge. The quantity of information that A possesses does not decrease if A sells part of it to B. Furthermore, B, the buyer, will always own the information and be able to continue retailing it. Knowledge continues to be shared regardless of how many people use it, unlike goods and services that are consumed and the manufacturer may continue to sell more of them. Since they may only sell one or a few copies, this might deter prospective suppliers from investing in knowledge generation.

Third, if a seller of a brand-new game concept found a buyer, the concept may get out during the transfer. Or, it might be easily replicated once the buyer begins to profit from it. The knowledge is less appropriable in either scenario. This leakage depends on how clear or implicit the information is. The danger of leaking may be decreased if the information is tacit and so needs learning by doing, experiencing, and engaging over time with the knowledge's creator. In any event, the leakiness feature may lessen the motivation to spend money on developing fresh game concepts. It is crucial to note that spillovers, also known as information leakage, are not necessarily detrimental to society. It enables businesses to avoid duplicating prior research efforts and wasting funds that may be utilized to advance technology and provide society with a better product.

To solve these knowledge difficulties, a number of things might be done. The first is for the government to provide intellectual property rights to knowledge creators and to defend those rights. By passing and implementing laws that give patents, copyrights, trademarks, trade secrets, and other intellectual property rights, the governments of many industrialized nations have already taken this step. If a company receives a patent, it may openly discuss the subject with prospective purchasers without worrying that an opportunistic buyer would steal the concept. Second, the government may actively produce ideas on its own and freely provide the results to its businesses and entrepreneurs. In other words, governments can create knowledge and promote the spread of that information. In order to "engage" in idea generation, the government may either conduct the research in-house or offer funding to universities and other

organizations to do so. Third, a government may stimulate private knowledge development by offering subsidies to both businesses and individuals. Later on in this article, we shall have more to say about these three cures. Few companies are likely to invest in the creation of such concepts because of the high degree of uncertainty surrounding their genesis and implementation. Prior to its discovery, no company could have predicted the possible uses of the DNA structure. Nobody could have predicted the scope of its uses. As a result, it is unlikely that many profit-driven businesses made investments in the initiatives that led to the identification of the DNA structure. It is also challenging for a single profit-seeking corporation to undertake complex initiatives that include several enterprises, people, and governments. Consider the Internet as an example. Who or what kind of company might have created, planned, and executed the Internet?

In other words, businesses may not be prepared to accept the risk of investing in the production of new games if the inherent uncertainties in such concepts are too big. One alternative is to transfer the risk of failure to the insurers, although doing so has several drawbacks. The insured is more knowledgeable than the insurer about the new game. An astute insured may opt not to provide the insurer with all the details necessary to produce a quality policy. Given the ambiguity and complexity of the project as well as the insured's cognitive limitations, even if the insured were not opportunistic and really intended to provide the insurer with all the information required, it may not be able to communicate all of the information. Furthermore, the insurer may not be able to comprehend and handle all of the information even if the insured could verbalize it all.

The two possible classic issues of moral hazard and adverse selection are brought about by this knowledge imbalance between the insurer and the insured. Most businesses that look for insurance for idea development can be businesses with anything to conceal. The insurer could only get the opportunistic applicants since it lacks the information necessary to distinguish between them and other applicants who are not taking advantage of opportunities. The issue with adverse selection is this. Another possibility is that the insurer chooses the appropriate insureds. After the contract is signed, the insured parties could stop working as hard as they would if they weren't covered by insurance. It might be difficult to determine whether an inventor is shirking or acting like a bum given the innovation's intricacy and unpredictability. The moral hazard issue results from businesses acting opportunistically after they have agreed to a contract.

In other words, if the complexity and uncertainty of idea production are too high, businesses would not want to invest in it, and insurance companies might not want to cover idea generation due to the issues with moral hazard and adverse selection that come with complicated and risky projects. A government may take a number of actions to guarantee this idea creation. A government may first take up part of the hazardous idea creation on its own. Second, a government may let businesses to collaborate on idea development while ensuring that the businesses are not engaging in collusion. Third, a government may provide financial support for R&D. Fourth, a government might prolong the period during which the projects' resulting intellectual property is protected. We shall talk about these steps once again.

Individual Differences, Self-Interest, and Human Cognitive Limitations

Most of the time, businesses and the people who work for them are not the logical, profit-maximizing participants that neoclassical economics often implies. Instead, those who work for companies do all in their power to ensure that their companies are profitable and that their personal interests are served. The pleasure or usefulness that individuals get out of doing a certain activity varies from person to person and from context to situation. Other people work

hard because they want to maintain the happiness of their immediate families, but the Nelson Mandelas of the world get a lot of joy from working hard to give others an opportunity to work hard and better their own lives. However, some people put forth a lot of effort to amass wealth and then donate it to charity. Others put a lot of effort into coming up with concepts in an effort to be acknowledged as the greatest in their field by the Nobel committee or their professional peers. Therefore, a government's involvement should take into account the reality that individuals have a wide range of incentives for coming up with new game concepts.

People have cognitive limitations as well. There is only so much that the majority of individuals can learn or understand at any one moment because of their limited capacity for information acquisition, processing, and expression. Firms have cognitive limitations as well. Some initiatives could thus be excessively knowledge-intensive for such businesses. Governments can make it easier for businesses to collaborate on such initiatives.

Industry's Minimum Efficient Scale Requirements

A government's involvement in value generation and appropriation depends on the minimal efficient scale at play as well. The smallest output with the lowest unit cost is known as the minimum efficient scale. The MES is equivalent to or greater than the market in several markets or sectors. One company may produce in such an industry at a cheaper cost than two or more companies, which is why it is referred to as a natural monopoly. The idea of a natural monopoly has been used to defend monopolies in industries including postal delivery, electricity, railroads, and water utilities. It may be challenging to defend the existence of natural monopolies in several of these businesses nowadays given that advancements in technology often lead to decreases in MES. For instance, the advent of competition in the telecommunications sectors of many developing nations has led to much improved customer service and financial gains for the providers due to the nature of mobile phone technology. Network externalities are present in a product or technology when the value of the product or technology increases with the number of users. The cost or benefit imposed by the acts of two transacting parties on a third party is another sort of network externality. If a third party bears a cost, it is referred to as a negative externality, and if they get a gain, it is referred to as a positive externality.

A power station that burns coal to create electricity is a typical example of a negative network externality since it also creates sulfur dioxide, which rises into the air and finally falls as acid rain. Since the electric company does not account for the cost of the acid to the individuals on whose bodies or property the acid rain falls when determining the cost of the power it sells, the acid rain is a negative externality. A government may lessen the quantity of this harmful externality by controlling the maximum amount of sulfur dioxide that a power station can release into the atmosphere. Car pollution is another unfavorable externality. A bee that pollinates the plants it visits while on the hunt for food is a prime example of a positive externality. A farmer cannot instruct bees on certain crops to pollinate and which not to if the farmer raises bees. Therefore, it could be preferable for a government department of agriculture to produce bees and allow them to pollinate crops for everyone.

Function of Government in Modern Games

We hinted at some of the things that governments may do to assist businesses in creating and appropriating value more effectively while examining the case for a government involvement in the face of new games. We go into further depth about what the government might do in this. We focus on seven government positions that can support businesses in the face of novel games. Among its functions are:

1. R&D financier
2. User leader
3. Public complementary asset supplier
4. Regulator/deregulator
5. Macroeconomic fundamentals enabler
6. A source of knowledge, an information hub, and political stability.

Finance for R&D

Remember that knowledge's "public good" character might make it difficult for businesses to exploit its creations, deterring them from funding certain knowledge-generation efforts. Also keep in mind that corporations may be reluctant to engage in R&D due to the complexity and unpredictability involved with certain knowledge-generation activities. Having the government do the study and make the findings accessible to the general public is one approach to solving these two issues.

The two types of R&D that governments fund is fundamental and applied research. Basic research focuses on the pursuit of knowledge for its own sake, with little thought given to whether, how, or even if research discoveries may be turned into products. Research that is directed towards a specific application is referred to as applied research. Government research is mostly carried out at government labs, academic institutions, certain businesses, or collaborative projects involving any of the three. The US government alone intended to invest more than $130 billion in R&D in 2007.

Government-sponsored R&D has been a significant factor in the development of new industries or has been a primary force behind several advancements within already-established sectors. The Internet and the DNA's structure are two examples. The US Defense Department's Advance Research Projects Agency (DARPA), which funded research on computer networks, is where the Internet first emerged. Eventually, businesses got involved to help the Internet grow into the phenomenon that it is today. Today's Internet wouldn't exist without US government financing for research and development! Government-sponsored research at Cambridge University is also responsible for the discovery of the double-helix structure of genes or DNA. Both of these findings later served as the inspiration for countless new video games.

Beyond addressing the issues with the "pub-lic" qualities of knowledge and the complexity and unpredictability related to particular projects, financing R&D offers further advantages. First off, by funding R&D, the government is also supplying its labor force with the information and expertise that businesses need to develop and capture value. Because so many students were trained with funding from DARPA and the National Science Foundation in the computer science and electrical engineering departments of many universities once the Web took off, it became simpler to find workers with computer science and other information technology skills. Additionally, private companies are encouraged to engage in relevant innovation or commercialization activities by government R&D expenditure. Third, government R&D initiatives may benefit from the economies of scale associated with major R&D initiatives by concentrating on certain sectors. Even while government acts can have negative effects, their intentions may be benign. There are also many reports of unsuccessful initiatives, and policymakers are troubled by concerns about how much R&D the government should fund.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, for new games to be commercially successful, a mix of creativity, player-centricity, efficient marketing, and smart distribution may provide large financial returns. Developers may draw a devoted player base and increase profits by producing games that provide distinctive and fascinating experiences. A well-planned and implemented strategy may position new games for financial success and long-term profitability in the dynamic and ever-growing gaming industry, despite the hurdles presented by this competitive environment. High financial benefits for new games might, however, come with dangers and difficulties. Due to the oversaturation of the gaming business, it is difficult for new games to get momentum and draw in gamers. To get beyond these obstacles and improve their prospects of financial success, developers must be willing to make investments in marketing, community development, and player involvement.

REFERENCES:

[1] B. Ślusarczyk and K. Grondys, "Parametric conditions of high financial risk in the SME sector," *Risks*, 2019, doi: 10.3390/risks7030084.

[2] L. Ponta, M. Trinh, M. Raberto, E. Scalas, and S. Cincotti, "Modeling non-stationarities in high-frequency financial time series," *Phys. A Stat. Mech. its Appl.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.physa.2019.01.069.

[3] V. Deenanath, S. M. Danes, and J. Jang, "Purposive and unintentional family financial socialization, subjective financial knowledge, and financial behavior of high school students," *J. Financ. Couns. Plan.*, 2019, doi: 10.1891/1052-3073.30.1.83.

[4] Y. Liao and H. M. Anderson, "Testing for cojumps in high-frequency financial data: An approach based on first-high-low-last prices," *J. Bank. Financ.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jbankfin.2018.12.005.

[5] A. Pavlopoulos, C. Magnis, and G. E. Iatridis, "Integrated reporting: An accounting disclosure tool for high quality financial reporting," *Res. Int. Bus. Financ.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.ribaf.2019.02.007.

[6] N. Irawati, B. K. Hasibuan, and I. Muda, "The influence of cultural possession, perseverance and openness to problem solving in development of financial literacy among high school students," *Int. J. Sci. Technol. Res.*, 2019.

[7] W. Y. Xu, S. M. Retchin, E. E. Seiber, and Y. Li, "Income-Based Disparities in Financial Burdens of Medical Spending Under the Affordable Care Act in Families With Individuals Having Chronic Conditions," *Inq. (United States)*, 2019, doi: 10.1177/0046958019871815.

[8] J. Rotter, J. C. Spencer, and S. B. Wheeler, "Financial toxicity in advanced and metastatic cancer: Overburdened and underprepared," *J. Oncol. Pract.*, 2019, doi: 10.1200/jop.18.00518.

[9] A. Gill and R. Bhattacharya, "The effects of a financial literacy intervention on the financial and economic knowledge of high school students," *J. Econ. Educ.*, 2019, doi: 10.1080/00220485.2019.1618761.

[10] S. Lyons, J. Arcara, J. Deardorff, and A. M. Gomez, "Financial Strain and Contraceptive Use Among Women in the United States: Differential Effects by Age," *Women's Heal. Issues*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.whi.2018.12.006.

CHAPTER 20

EXPLORING THE ROLE OF GOVERNMENT AGENCIES AS LEAD USERS

Adesh Kumar, Assistant Professor, Department of Business Studies & Entrepreneurship,
Shobhit University, Gangoh, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- adesh.kumar@shobhituniversity.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

The concept of "Government as Lead User is a novel approach where the government takes on the role of an innovative and forward-thinking user to drive technological advancements and stimulate innovation. This research explores the role of government agencies as lead users in shaping technological solutions and promoting industry growth. By analyzing case studies and successful examples, this study aims to shed light on the benefits, challenges, and implications of government as lead user approach. The research also examines the potential impact of this approach on fostering collaboration between the public and private sectors and driving societal progress through innovation. The exploration of the government as lead user approach has revealed promising potential in shaping technological advancements and promoting innovation. By adopting this role, government agencies can act as pioneers and early adopters of innovative technologies and solutions, which can have far-reaching effects on various sectors of the economy.

KEYWORDS:

Co-Creation, Government, Innovation, Lead, Market, Open Innovation.

INTRODUCTION

Customer connection might be crucial in the face of a new game or innovation to understand the advantages that they seek and to be better equipped to give the benefits. Lead users may be essential to the creative process, according to Massachusetts Institute of Technology professor Eric von Hippel. Lead users are customers that have wants that are comparable to those of other customers but that they have months or years before the majority of the market does. Lead users stand to gain a lot by having their needs met before the other consumers do. In certain crucial goods, the US government played a key role as the principal user. For instance, the US military department pursued the transistor much before most would-be customers because it saw several advantages in it replacing the unwieldy vacuum tube [1], [2]. Electronic systems created using transistors would not only be lighter and more functional, but they would also need smaller power sources, thus decreasing the weight of the whole system. Transistors are significantly smaller and use less power than vacuum tubes.

The US National Aeronautics and Space Administration and the Defense Department found the transistor and later integrated circuits to be especially appealing as a result. It's possible that the US government's willingness to work closely with them and grant contracts to both established companies and newcomers helped propel US semiconductor businesses into the early industry leadership position that they held for a long time. The US's position as the leading consumer extended beyond semiconductors [3], [4]. This government-led guiding has benefitted computers, jet engines, and other aircraft-related technologies. This function is not exclusive to the USA. Government acquisitions made by the European and Japanese governments, according to Rothwell and Zegveld, had a considerable impact on innovation.

Public Complementary Assets Provider

The infrastructure of a nation is essential for any new gaming initiatives that domestic companies choose to undertake. Online businesses like eBay and Amazon, for instance, would not be as successful as they have been without a transportation infrastructure that makes it possible for things to be delivered to consumers dependably and at affordable pricing. An information superhighway makes it easier for companies to communicate with their competitors as well as within their many business divisions. With individuals working on the same project alternately in Israel, Japan, and the Silicon Valley, chip design is now possible 24 hours a day. Therefore, a nation helps its enterprises produce and appropriate value better than countries that do not by providing the infrastructure that such firms need [5], [6].

Government as a Regulator and Deregulator

Giving inventors certain exclusive rights over their ideas is another option to address the "public" aspect of information that might discourage businesses from engaging in knowledge development. The need for such a privilege, for instance, is stated in Article 1 of the US Constitution, which states that Congress has the authority "to promote the progress of science and useful arts, by securing for limited times to authors and inventors the exclusive right to their respective writings and discoveries." By obtaining intellectual property protection via patents, copyrights, trademarks, and trade secrets, businesses may benefit from this privilege. A company that has patented its product may show it to a prospective buyer without worrying that it will be stolen since the patent serves as ownership documentation. Firms may be enticed to invest in discoveries or innovations by the increased market power that intellectual property protection may confer on a company. Business models may also be copyrighted; therefore, the practice is not only confined to technology. For instance, Netflix received a patent for their online method of offering video rentals to clients [7], [8].

Ironically, a government must also avoid monopolies since they may lead to a lack of creativity. Antitrust laws, for instance, are intended to prohibit mergers that would unnecessarily give one firm more market share since that corporation might then be able to unfairly raise prices or lack the motivation to innovate. Some nations additionally prohibit other practices that might lead to artificially high costs, such collusion and predatory pricing. For instance, the Sherman Act in the USA forbids any agreements between rivals that allow them to artificially maintain their prices at a certain level by fixing the pricing or coordinating their production. Also prohibited is tacit complicity. Instead, then using explicit legal agreements, businesses might engage in tacit collusion by, for instance, giving rivals advance notice of their planned conduct. When a company uses predatory pricing, it reduces prices to drive away rivals and then increases them after the prey has left the market. This is challenging to demonstrate, especially early in the life of an innovation. This is because innovators may argue that they are lowering their prices to achieve the kinds of volume that will allow them to quickly advance through the learning curve, leading to costs that are, on average, so low that they can afford to sell at a loss initially [9], [10].

Macroeconomic Fundamentals Facilitator

A new game's viability relies on how enterprises play the game as much as it does on the macroeconomic foundations of the nations in which they operate since new games are likewise economic activities. Firms are more likely to increase their investments in R&D and related complementary assets when economic policies that foster expectations of low inflation, cheap interest rates, growth, and profitability are in place. More businesspeople may start new gaming activities if they anticipate such profits. Projects find it simpler to set strong hurdle rates when

anticipated low interest rates are present. While it's true that economic growth may be fueled by economic processes, new gaming activities may be the force behind that advancement.

Education, Information, and Political Stability Provider

The majority of young people's general education is provided by governments in the majority of nations. Having trained employees may make a difference in how well businesses in one nation function relative to those in other countries in the face of emerging challenges like the Internet and biotechnology. As we previously said, the establishment of computer science departments at several American institutions and funds from the NSF may have played a crucial role in the success of US businesses' capacity to capitalize on the computer revolution. The nation's capacity to draw intelligent individuals from across the globe may have benefited not just the nation's computer sector but also other industries like biotechnology.

DISCUSSION

Alternate Explanations: Porter's Diamond

Professor Michael Porter of the Harvard Business School provided a different explanation for why certain nations or areas are more inventive than others in his diamond model. He claimed that four elements influence a firm's capacity to achieve a competitive advantage:

1 Conditions Factor

2 Demand circumstances

3 Related and auxiliary sectors

4 Firm strategy, organization, and competition.

Factors and Situations

Inputs needed by businesses to develop and capture value include labor, money, land, natural resources, and infrastructure. These production elements may be split into two categories: essential or specialized factors and non-essential or general ones. Inputs like skilled personnel, money, and infrastructure that are often developed rather than inherited are examples of specialized factors. Since they are more difficult to imitate, these specialized characteristics are more likely to provide a company with a long-lasting competitive edge. Inputs like unskilled labor and readily replicable or obtainable raw materials are examples of non-key variables. Since they are simple to duplicate or acquire, non-key variables are unlikely to provide a business a durable competitive advantage. According to Professor Porter, the lack of certain production elements generally benefits rather than harms a nation since a lack of something fosters innovation while an excess often leads to waste. For instance, Japan had extremely high land costs, which made industry space quite costly. Thus, the Japanese developed just-in-time inventory and other methods that decreased inventory in factories in order to better deal with the space shortage.

Demand Circumstances

Demand dynamics in a region or nation may also affect how well-positioned local businesses are to compete. Local businesses are more likely to develop novel solutions to fulfill complex requirements if local clients have sophisticated expectations. Businesses will find it simpler to satisfy the comparatively less complex expectations of foreign markets if they can satisfy the highly sophisticated wants of local clients. Local businesses are better positioned to capitalize on the market if the sophisticated demand becomes worldwide since their rivals do not yet have the necessary expertise. For instance, the high standards of French wine drinkers forced French

wine producers to acquire the expertise and other resources necessary to produce some of the greatest wines in the world.

Supporting and Related Industries

Local businesses may innovate more effectively if their suppliers, complementors, customers, and rivals are all in the same area. A company that is producing a product may benefit from having nearby suppliers and customers since they can all more quickly communicate crucial information throughout the experimentation, try, and error, which occurs during invention. Suppliers can more quickly identify and fulfill the needs of businesses. Companies can more readily get in touch with customers to learn what they want.

Firm Strategy, Organization, and Competition

The internal tactics and structures of enterprises inside a nation also affect their ability to compete internationally. For instance, because companies have extensive knowledge of what their regional competitors are doing, competition in domestic markets may be tough. It's noteworthy to note that intense competition might actually benefit businesses in the long term by pushing them to become more innovative or efficient in order to survive. In order to cope with rivals, they also acquire tactical abilities. When these companies compete in international marketplaces against rivals that lack these skills, they might gain an edge thanks to the more inventive and efficient methods developed at home.

PESTN Evaluation

Remember that the macroenvironment of a corporation is a significant source of opportunities and risks. Using a PESTN analysis is one technique to find these dangers and opportunities in a macroenvironment. Political, Economic, Social, Technological, and Natural Environment is referred to as PESTN. The PEST portion of the analysis may be recognizable to the majority of readers. But in this book, we add the N. the elements that each PESTN analysis component is composed of. In-depth information on each of these elements was provided at the beginning of this. The industry in which a business works and the firm's strategy will determine how much each of these elements represents a danger or an opportunity for the firm. For instance, whereas "intellectual property protection" is significant in the pharmaceutical industry, it is often not the case in retail. Therefore, many retail companies may not be very interested in what the government does to safeguard intellectual property—unless, of course, they wish to play a new game in this area. Effectively, only a portion of those criteria will really be important for any given PESTN study. As would be anticipated, several of the components are interconnected. For instance, "physical and monetary policy" fall under both the Political and the Economic categories. It is common for a PEST study of a sector to produce a comprehensive list of potential dangers and opportunities. We concentrate on how each of the elements affects industry competitive forces, industry value drivers, and a business's system of activities because we are concerned in how these opportunities and dangers may be used by a firm to produce and appropriate value. We will only examine one factor per component due to the enormous number of variables that influence each one.

Political

Given the goals of the company in issue, a PESTN analysis reveals the degree to which the variables constitute opportunities or threats. Take consumer protection regulations as the first political component. Strict consumer protection rules pose a challenge to businesses that lack the capacity to provide clients with the appropriate goods. For a company with the appropriate expertise, they might also be an opportunity. For small businesses who want to compete on a

global scale, strict consumer protection regulations might be beneficial. That's because if a company complies with these stringent local rules protecting consumers, it may utilize the skills acquired to abide by the laws of any other nation whose regulations are either similarly harsh or less so. For instance, a company may very simply fulfill the severe standards for any other nation if it has satisfied the US Food and medicine Administration's requirements for authorizing a new medicine. Patients are to be protected by FDA legislation.

Economic

An advantage for a company that wishes to manufacture locally is the availability of a skilled and affordable labor force. The one warning for managers is that these chances do not endure for very long since many other companies are likely to set up shop there and the labor costs will soon rise.

Social

It may be challenging to get different classes in a society to cooperate if class inequalities are significant. That would be challenging for a creative company that benefits from a variety of inputs. Additionally, businesses are less likely to see the types of economies of scale that are possible in settings with softer class divisions. Additionally, customers are less likely to fully benefit from the scale advantages of network externalities. Strong class distinctions, however, make it simpler to divide markets into niches and to effectively price discriminate.

Technological

However, new entrants who utilize the new technology to target established players may benefit from it. New potentially disruptive technologies may be a danger to established players. Additionally, they may provide a chance for incumbents who take preventative action to embrace the technology before invading forces arrive.

Natural

Governments, businesses, and consumers will understand the value of the environment and what it takes to behave in a manner that is more environmentally sustainable if environmental awareness is high. Therefore, a high level of environmental awareness is a chance for companies that wish to provide more ecologically friendly goods and a danger to established companies that do not want to adapt.

Benefits and Drawbacks of a PEST Analysis

One technique to get a comprehensive understanding of the possibilities and challenges posed by a firm's political, economic, social, technical, and natural surroundings is to conduct a PESTN study. It is possible to see some of the links between the components by investigating them all at once. It may be used to locate prospective new game sources. The PEST has a number of drawbacks.

Game Theory and Competition

Any time a business engages in a new gaming activity or any other activity, its rivals are likely to respond. For instance, if a company releases a new product, reduces or raises its pricing, runs a new advertising campaign, ups marketing or R&D expenditure, enters a new industry, improves production capacity, creates a new brand, or engages in any other activity, its competitors will probably react sooner or later. As a result, the business's new activity's success depends on both how the firm conducts itself and how its competitors respond. So, before making a choice, a company would wish to think about what its rivals could do. In particular,

the company may do better by asking itself: How are my competitors acting? How will people respond to what I do? How should I respond to my competitor's response to my actions? What should I do if my competitor moves first? Game theory is a helpful tool for investigating some of these issues. Businesses may use game theory to determine what is in their rivals' best interests as well as what they are intending to do. In this, we look at how some of these topics might be investigated using game theory. The majority of this chapter is devoted to covering some of the essential ideas from cooperative and noncooperative game theory that are crucial to comprehending value production and appropriation since this book makes no assumptions about past knowledge of game theory.

Game Theory's Place in Strategic Innovation

Remember that a company often has to collaborate and compete with other members of its value system in order to produce and appropriate value. An organization may, for instance, collaborate with its suppliers, complementors, or clients to produce value, then engage in competition to take the value. As is the case when businesses establish co-marketing agreements or build strategic alliances to produce new goods, it may also work with certain competitors to compete better against others. Of course, businesses compete with one another when they provide comparable goods, employ workers from the same pool, submit bids for contracts, promote, set their product prices, look for partners to collaborate with, or buy components. In reality, a company's financial health and that of its coopetitors—the competitors, suppliers, consumers, and complementors with whom it collaborates and competes—are often intertwined. Therefore, any company would be better served by considering the actions and responses of its competitors while making decisions. Game theory may be used in this situation. Game theory may be used to formally analyze how organizations compete and cooperate as they produce value and set themselves up to take advantage of it. Game theory advises managers to ask what is in one's coo- petitor's best interest and how one is likely to behave or respond in that best interest and one's own benefit, rather than just asking what the coo- petitor intends to do.

Games cooperative and competitive

The two main schools of thought in game theory are cooperative and noncooperative. In contrast to cooperative game theory, noncooperative game theory has been used more often to investigate strategic issues. Noncooperative game theory is about how to compete against competitors by taking into account their expected responses when one does activities. Both handle distinct questions in strategy. It has been used to analyze strategic decisions whose results depend on the likely actions and reactions of one's competitors, such as whether to launch a new product, increase R&D or advertising spending, retaliate against new competitors, announce the launch of a new product in advance, expand manufacturing capacity, raise or lower prices, or highlight a specific brand. In non-cooperative game theory, competitor individuals face off against one another. It doesn't say anything about how businesses must negotiate or work with suppliers, compete for customers, engage with complementors, and sometimes openly work with competitors throughout the value creation and appropriation process. The danger posed by replacements and possible new entrants, which may be crucial to value creation and appropriation, as well as the negotiating power of suppliers, complementors, and purchasers are not mentioned. It also doesn't indicate anything about how a coalition like Wintel might face up against another alliance like Apple. Cooperative game theory may be used in this situation. Exploring how much value may be generated by collaborating with coopetitors, how much power each coopetitor has, and how much of the value created each actor can be anticipated to appropriate using cooperative game theory is helpful. A cooperative game illustrates the results that take place when players collaborate to

prepare their strategy and play as groups of players rather than as individuals. A group or coalition of different players serves as the analyses' unit of analysis. The ability to pursue these cooperative plans may be negotiated by the participants via legally enforceable contracts. Competition usually occurs between teams of players rather than between individuals. These coalitions are subgroups of coopetitors in strategic management, including suppliers, purchasers, competitors, coopetitors, and complementors. In non-cooperative games, each individual actor serves as the analytical unit and there are no legally binding agreements between participants that may be enforced by other parties. Individual competitors face off in competition. When businesses engage in behaviors that have the appearance of "cooperation," such as tacit collusion, it is because people's self-interest requires it. Also keep in mind that terminology like cooperative and noncooperative may be unpleasant given how often coalitions compete in cooperative games.

Simultaneous Games Repeated

Firms often compete against one another in several competitive settings. As an example, Coke and Pepsi often launch new product promotions, establish product pricing, and bring new product introductions in the same regions. Over the course of each product's life cycle and every time a new product is released, Intel and AMD have had to negotiate CPU costs with PC manufacturers multiple times. The games we have examined so far, however, are one-time representations with no recurrence; they are designed with the assumption that the players have no prior experience with the specific activity. We employ repeated games, in which the same game is played on several times, to represent interactions such as recurrent new product releases. A company has the opportunity to build a reputation for its conduct via repeated games and discover the behavior of its rivals. How would the result of a game that was repeated vary from the result of a one-shot game, is the inquiry. Whether or whether the repeating game's final stage has a definite and known finish relies on that.

By stated and known, we mean that, as in the instances provided above, the players, their tactics, and the related payoffs for each set of strategies are all supplied. The fear of future retribution may cause each player to act in a more cooperative manner if the game's final phase is not explicitly stated and understood and participants are aware that they will have to confront one another again in the future.

The other player may always be punished for anything they did in the past if players are likely to run into one other again. Each player may collaborate with the other since they are aware that if the other player cheats them today, they will be held accountable for their actions tomorrow.

If the final stage is known and defined, the repeating game may be analysed by working backwards from the last stage to the initial stage. Consider the scenario when there is no motivation to collaborate during the last phase. Additionally, since it is the last stage, there is no chance of further reprisal for past wrongdoing, therefore there is nothing to compel participants to act more collaboratively during that level.

If there is nothing requiring participants to act more collaboratively in the final stage, there is probably nothing compelling them to collaborate in the final stage plus one, final stage plus two, and so on. Businesses may get knowledge through their deeds. Even if two businesses launch very identical items in the same white space today when there is more room available for each of them to fill, they will learn from their error tomorrow. Businesses will learn from their mistakes and exercise caution if they have to play the same game again if they lose a lot of money by decreasing their prices when they shouldn't.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, an appealing strategy for advancing technology, encouraging innovation, and encouraging cooperation between the public and commercial sectors is the government as lead user approach. Governments may influence market circumstances and advance society by using their purchasing power and implementing cutting-edge solutions. By strategically implementing this strategy, the government may be positioned as a pro-active agent of change in the pursuit of progress via innovation, with significant positive effects on the economy, society, and the expansion of innovative sectors. The report, however, also emphasizes the difficulties of the government acting as the primary user approach. Governments need to be able to recognize cutting-edge technology and comprehend the potential uses for them. The effective execution of this strategy also depends on cooperation and coordination between government agencies, industrial players, and academic institutions.

REFERENCES:

[1] H. Hallikainen, A. Alamäki, and T. Laukkanen, "Lead users of business mobile services," *Int. J. Inf. Manage.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2018.10.018.

[2] M. Schmidt-Keilich and U. Schrader, "Sustainability innovation by integrating employees: The potential of sustainable embedded lead users," *Int. J. Innov. Sustain. Dev.*, 2019, doi: 10.1504/IJISD.2019.096733.

[3] R. Marzouki and W. Belkahla, "The impact of lead users on innovation success," *Innov. Manag. Rev.*, 2019, doi: 10.1108/inmr-12-2018-0093.

[4] V. Bilgram, A. Brem, and K. I. Voigt, "User-centric innovations in new product development — systematic identification of lead users harnessing interactive and collaborative online-tools," in *Managing Innovation: Understanding and Motivating Crowds*, 2019. doi: 10.1142/9781786346490_0007.

[5] M. Mizuno and T. Kozuka, "Co-Innovation between a Lead User and Producers:," *Japan Mark. J.*, 2019, doi: 10.7222/marketing.2019.034.

[6] M. D. Whitt, N. L. Denton, C. Heylman, and R. G. Handy, "Lead user experiential learning -'learn-by-doing' pathway to financial self-sufficiency," in *ASEE Annual Conference and Exposition, Conference Proceedings*, 2019. doi: 10.18260/1-2--33045.

[7] J. L. Newth *et al.*, "Perspectives of ammunition users on the use of lead ammunition and its potential impacts on wildlife and humans," *People Nat.*, 2019, doi: 10.1002/pan3.30.

[8] S. Battisti, "Digital Social Entrepreneurs as Bridges in Public–Private Partnerships," *J. Soc. Entrep.*, 2019, doi: 10.1080/19420676.2018.1541006.

[9] D. J. Kruse, M. Goeldner, K. Eling, and C. Herstatt, "Looking for a Needle in a Haystack: How to Search for Bottom-Up Social Innovations that Solve Complex Humanitarian Problems," *J. Prod. Innov. Manag.*, 2019, doi: 10.1111/jpim.12507.

[10] S. Nørskov, Y. M. Antorini, and M. B. Jensen, "Innovative brand community members and their willingness to share ideas with companies," in *Managing Innovation: Understanding and Motivating Crowds*, 2019. doi: 10.1142/9781786346490_0006.

CHAPTER 21

ANALYZING THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN DETERRENCE AND SEQUENTIAL GAMES

Adesh Kumar, Assistant Professor, Department of Business Studies & Entrepreneurship,
Shobhit University, Gangoh, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- adesh.kumar@shobhituniversity.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

Deterrence theory and sequential games are essential concepts in the realm of decision-making and strategy. This research explores the relationship between deterrence and sequential games, examining how deterrence strategies influence players' actions and outcomes in sequential game scenarios. By analyzing theoretical models and real-world examples, this study aims to shed light on the dynamics of deterrence in sequential games and the implications for strategic decision-making. The research also examines the factors that affect the effectiveness of deterrence strategies in influencing players' behavior and game outcomes. The analysis of deterrence and sequential games has provided valuable insights into the interplay of strategic decision-making and the impact of deterrence strategies on game outcomes. Sequential games present unique challenges and opportunities for players, as they involve multiple decision points and dependencies on previous players' actions.

KEYWORDS:

Decision-Making, Deterrence, Game Theory, Nash Equilibrium, Perfect Information, Precommitment.

INTRODUCTION

A player that acts first and can prevent entrance effectively has a monopoly in its product-market sector and may benefit from monopolistic advantages, such as higher profitability. A company may take a number of actions to prevent entry and retain its prospects of profitability.

Create Obstacles to Entry

If a monopolist has developed first-mover advantages, it may utilize them to prevent competition. For instance, if a new competitor enters and infringes on the incumbent's protected intellectual property, the incumbent may threaten to sue the competitor. Depending on the company's track record of suing businesses who have infringed its intellectual property protection, such a threat may or may not be effective. If an incumbent has a large cash reserve, it may utilize it to increase the pace at which it proactively obtains limited resources to show prospective new competitors that vital resources would be more expensive and scarcer if they were to join. The incumbent may exploit its low-cost position to threaten any potential new competitors with a price war if they were to join if it has a large market share and its goods benefit from economies of scale. To secure crucial inputs and complements, it may also negotiate contracts with suppliers, distributors, complementors, and purchasers [1], [2].

Reduced Prices

A monopolist may also utilize restrict pricing to prevent competition. In limit pricing, a company maintains its prices low in the hopes that the low prices would deter profit-motivated, logical new entrants who wish to join the market and make significant gains from doing so.

The assumption is that if the possible new competitor were to emerge, the pricing would stay low or perhaps go down. Limit pricing will only be effective if the incumbent has a characteristic that enables it to maintain lower costs and, as a result, pass part of those savings through to consumers in the form of reduced prices [3], [4]. For instance, if the early adopter has developed a system of operations that enables it to have a low-cost structure, benefits from economies of scale, has climbed the learning curve, or has any other first-mover advantage that enables it to maintain its costs low in comparison to those of potential new entrants, then that is possible. Limit pricing is crucial because, like any other deterrent strategy, there must be something about the company that gives credence to the "threat" of limit pricing. For instance, prospective new entrants are more likely to take a business seriously when it threatens or begins using limit pricing if it can show that it has a low-cost structure that is difficult to copy [5], [6]. We will demonstrate that a corporation's choice to use limit pricing may also be supported by irreversible expenditures in the kind of first-mover advantages that can let a firm to maintain low costs.

Benefits of Commitment

In sequential games, the first player to move has the chance to gain an edge. Making the correct commitments is one approach to show prospective followers that one has these first-mover advantages or is working to get them. Entry by followers may be prevented or slowed down with the correct agreements [7], [8]. A first mover, for instance, might signal to prospective competitors that it is devoted to obtaining and safeguarding its intellectual property by making significant expenditures in R&D and intellectual property protection capabilities. A promise has to be credible, obvious to rivals, and simple to grasp in order to be successful in discouraging or slowing down rivals. If there is anything about a promise that encourages rivals to trust it and the possibilities it expands or restricts, then it is credible. The commitment's inevitability serves as the main motivator of credibility. If it costs money or is difficult to back out of or reverse a promise, it is irreversible.

For instance, if the assets supporting the pledge cannot be successfully redeployed elsewhere, that would be the situation. The theory behind this is that if a player has made an irrevocable commitment, they are more likely to stick around and engage in combat with followers rather than give in to them or leave. Since rational followers are aware that the player is unlikely to welcome admittance, they are probably going to stay outside.

Another illustration of dedication is the way Wal-Mart has saturated nearby small towns in the Southwest of the United States with retail locations, distribution hubs, and logistics to match. Reasonable prospective new entrants are less likely to attempt to enter these areas because, for instance, it would be difficult or expensive to utilize Wal-Mart's dozens of shops and delivery operations in nearby small towns for anything other than retail. The possibilities that are removed when a corporation makes promises affect the pledges' credibility. A player is more likely to remain with the commitment and battle if, for instance, while making the promises, they exhaust all of their choices. For instance, Ryanair forfeits the chance to run out of the bigger, busier major airports by deciding to exclusively run out of smaller airports [9], [10]. Therefore, anybody who chooses to take on Ryanair at one of the minor airports it uses can anticipate that it would fight more fiercely than it would if it had the option of moving to a bigger principal airport.

Naturally, for a commitment to have the desired impact on rivals, those competitors must be aware of the commitment's ramifications. For instance, at the transitional stage of a technology development, there is so much market and technical uncertainty that it is difficult to understand commitments and their expected outcomes. A company might provide tours of some of its

facilities to show that it has invested in the proper resources, including employees and equipment. Many other commitments are more difficult to see and comprehend, such as investments in intangible assets or a promise to maintain high pricing. Signaling is then used in this situation.

Reputation and Signaling

A player is considered to be signaling when it communicates important information about itself to other players.8 Typically, signals from a company are intended to affect competitors' perceptions and subsequent behavior. The way the competitor perceives the signal determines whether or not it successfully conveys the intended message. As a result, the efficacy of the signal is significantly influenced by the company's reputation. For instance, an extended warranty may be a symbol of dependability and high quality. Competitors are more likely to assume that the items are trustworthy if the warranty is provided by a company with a good reputation for dependability. Advertising, brand names, packaging, and even pricing may serve as indicators of quality. To show rivals that it has the ability to sustain its first-mover advantage in intellectual property, a company can publicly disclose the number of patents it obtains in a certain field each year. Effectively, businesses may utilize signals to affect competitors' behaviors and responses. The messages may sometimes be intended to confound or deceive rivals.

Promote Entry

Sometimes a first mover is better off promoting entrance than trying to discourage businesses from joining. For instance, this can apply to a new market or product that requires a certain number of businesses or complementors to establish credibility. For instance, in the late 1970s, many companies held off on purchasing PCs since they were being offered by several startups with questionable track records as corporate clients. When IBM entered the market in 1981, everything was different. It increased the market for everyone and drew in a lot more clients. When we examine cooperative game theory, we will go back to promoting admission. What should the incumbent do if attempts to block entrance are unsuccessful and a new player enters the market? The market leader may alter the terms of the game to make the market more appealing for the leader despite the entrance, battle the new entrant, or accommodate it.

DISCUSSION

Fight or Change the Rules of the Game

An incumbent may compete with a new entry by utilizing predatory tactics like predatory pricing, altering the game's rules, or doing other activities like expanding its capacity.

Predatory Behavior

One of the most researched of these practices is predatory pricing. An incumbent's actions are considered predatory if they remove or punish a competitor or prevent a present or future rival from engaging in competitive behavior9. Predatory pricing refers to the practice of a business lowering the price of its product with the goal of driving out or penalizing competitors or frightening off possible new entrants who see the low prices as a signal that post-entry costs would be low. Both the company and its competitors lose money when prices are low. These competitors cease operations or begin acting appropriately if they cannot bear the losses. The company is then left with fewer, no, or well-behaved competitors. The company may then increase its pricing and begin to turn a profit, ideally enough to make up for the money lost. Predatory pricing may also be used to develop a reputation as a company that does not accept

entry or improper conduct, or it can be used to lower a rival's market value so that the predator can purchase the competition or portions of it at market prices.

In many nations, including the USA, predatory pricing is seen as anticompetitive and unlawful. Predatory pricing disputes are often filed by businesses, not by governments, in these nations. In any case, it is difficult to demonstrate unfair pricing. In order to establish this in the USA, for instance, the plaintiff must demonstrate that the lower price was only temporary, that the accused predator's intention in lowering the price was to drive out the competition, that the accused predator could recover its losses from the price cuts by raising its prices once more, and that the low price represents the accused predator's average cost.

Modify the game's rules

An incumbent has the option of altering the game's rules in its favor rather than using predatory pricing to counter new competitors. Although management literature is replete with excellent instances of new entrants who attacked an industry by altering the rules of the game, as we shall see later in this book, incumbents may also modify the rules of the game in response to new entrants. Changing the rewards not only for new competitors, but also for established players, is one of the finest methods to alter the game's rules. A excellent illustration is Sun Microsystems' situation. Sun was an established player in the computer workstation market in the late 1980s, and their workstations used CISC technology, much like other established players in the market at the time. A new technology called RISC was used by several new entrants to the market. In response to the submissions, Sun also unveiled the new technology, but rather than keeping its variant a secret, Sun made it available to anybody who was interested. This stood in contrast to both its previous CISC strategy and its rivals' RISC approaches. Sun lured several workstation manufacturers that would not have joined the market or that would have used incompatible RISC technology by making its RISC architecture open. Additionally, as more workstation manufacturers embraced the technology, more software was created specifically for it. Customers desired workstations from a technology more often as more software was produced for it. In the end, Sun's RISC technology defeated other RISC technologies to become the RISC technology standard for workstations. For the majority of the 1990s, Sun made money by using its complementary assets—installed base, brand, distribution channels, and connections with software developers—to leverage its open RISC architecture.

Accept, Combine, or Exit

An established company could opt to give up part of its market share rather than compete with newcomers. The incumbent may have decided against fighting because they lack the necessary skills. It might also be that the incumbent is aware of the fact that battles, particularly those involving prices, result in just a few consumers winning. The incumbent could accept the newcomer and collaborate covertly. When competitors coordinate their actions and are aware that they are working together but there is no verbal or written agreement, it is argued that tacit collusion has occurred. It is also known as price leadership or cooperative pricing when it comes to pricing activities. Many people really refer to price leadership when they discuss tacit cooperation, even though businesses may also agree on other things like when to release new goods and how much money to spend on R&D. So-called meeting the comp- petition or most-favored customer terms, which initially may seem to be beneficial for consumers, might promote tacit cooperation between corporations. When a company utilizes such provisions, it is instructing its competitors to maintain their pricing at the high level. Take two examples: "We will not be undersold" and "We will match our competitors' prices, no matter how low." If the competitors decrease their pricing for any reason, the company will do the same and everyone will lose money. Competitors may opt to maintain high pricing out of concern that

everyone would lose money if they cut their prices. Tacit collusion is more likely to occur when there are fewer firms competing in the market, when those firms play a "repeated game" with one another without knowing the outcome, when market profits per firm are higher when there is collusion than when there is not collusion, when the discount rate is low, or when incremental profits for deviating firms are high. Additionally, merging with a new competitor may be preferable than taking them on head-on. This would be the situation, for instance, if a new competitor entered the market employing a radical technical advancement that rendered the technological capabilities of the incumbents noncompetitive, but the incumbent had significant, rare complementary assets that were required to benefit from the new technology. It is also possible for new competitors to drastically alter an industry's structure to the point where established players are unable to compete. If an incumbent discovers that it no longer has the necessary skills to compete in the business, it may be better off leaving.

Participatory Sports

Remember that the player serving as the analysis unit in non-cooperative games is often a competitor who is playing against another opponent. Without regard to what the other player does, each player aims to maximize their own reward. Therefore, noncooperative game theory may, for instance, assist a company in analyzing its choice to increase or drop its pricing while taking into account the expected response of its competitors. But noncooperative game theory doesn't really tell us anything about the negotiating strength of suppliers, complementors, or purchasers and their reservation prices. It also doesn't tell anything about how replacements and complements factor into price decisions. Noncooperative game theory says nothing further about explicit collaboration except implicit collusion. These are all things that cooperative game theory accomplishes that noncooperative game theory does not. This is fortunate since, as we have seen throughout this book, key factors in value creation and appropriation include a firm's location in relation to its competitors, collaboration between competitors, reservation pricing, and more. A coalition of participants is the unit of analysis in cooperative games. A certain selection of competitors makes up each coalition. In order to maximize the reward for the coalitions in the game, players coordinate their tactics.

Alternating Players

A business altering one of the players, including itself, might result in a new game. A company may alter the number of players, the sorts of players, or the roles that players play by executing the proper movements or set of activities. The value generated and the portion that a business may take use of can both be directly impacted by such developments. The greater the value generated and the greater the likelihood that a business will be able to appropriate that value are the more customers, suppliers, complementors, and sometimes substitutes that a firm has in a game. Let's begin with the most evident case. The value net increases in value when a company adds more consumers since there are more participants who can pay for the value provided. The greater the number of providers in a coalition, the greater the likelihood that the company will find a partner. The more suppliers there are, the greater the company's possibilities of controlling their prices. Most significant businesses insist on having secondary sources for their essential components, i.e., more than one provider of the same component, possibly for these two reasons. More complementors may lead to better and more complete completions. A product is more valuable the more compliments it receives. A company may really alter the rules of the game in its favor by altering the number of clients, suppliers, and complementors.

Changing the sort of participant in a company's value net may also alter the rules of the game. The standard illustration is Dell's entry into the PC industry. Bypassing wholesalers, it began

selling straight to end users so they could receive computers made just for them. This action had implications for Dell that were both value creation and appropriation. First, Dell could better co-create the kind of value that consumers want via its build-to-order capabilities by working directly with end users. Additionally, it could provide certain clients with a service, enhancing the value net in their eyes. Second, Dell enhanced its negotiating leverage with clients by switching players from the more consolidated and strong distributors to the more dispersed and weaker end-customers. It also reduced the price of sales and marketing.

Value creation may sometimes benefit from an increase in substitutes, including current competitors, possible new competitors, and producers of replacement goods. Joining forces with several rivals early on in the development of a product or technology that shows network externalities may boost the worth of a firm's value net. This is because the more users there are of a certain product/technology or one that is compatible, the more valuable that product/technology is to each of those users; and the more companies that offer that product/technology or one that is compatible, the more users there are. Competitors banding together to promote a product may help it gain a standard. The tale of the PC has become a classic. IBM raised the number of PC producers by enabling new competitors and other rivals to copy its version of the PC. The value of the PC value net rises as there are more of these manufacturers and more software developers who choose to create software for PCs. Growing competition may also push a company to improve its ability to generate newer, better goods, particularly in areas that move quickly. With these exclusions, the objective is to completely eliminate or drastically limit the use of substitutes.

Changing Value Added and Player Interaction

The entire value generated with a business in the game less the total value produced without a firm in the game is the firm's added value. As a result, when the correct person enters a game, the game's worth is increased. Therefore, adding the correct number of players of the right caliber is one approach to boost added value. What can be done to provide more value to a value network without adding participants, is the issue at hand. By engaging in innovation activities that enable a company to produce more distinct or affordable items than its competitors, more value may be generated.

Using Game Theory to Create and Appropriate Value

After a quick overview of game theory, the question is: What use does it offer for a corporation looking to produce and appropriate value via novel game strategies? In order to respond to this inquiry, we first provide a broad overview of game theory's applications in business, before focusing on how they relate specifically to value generation and appropriation.

Application of Game Theory in Business: Uses and Limitations

Keep in mind that businesses undertake actions throughout their value chain to produce and appropriate value. A business often has alternatives over which value chain activities to carry out, as well as how, where, and when to do so, at each level of its value chain. Since it explicitly takes into account the expected actions and responses of co-competitors, game theory may assist companies in making better decisions about which activities to conduct, when, where, and how to do so. For instance, an airplane manufacturer must engage in R&D to develop its prototype, transform the prototype into an airplane that can be manufactured affordably, obtain government safety certification for the aircraft, develop relationships with component suppliers, develop inbound logistics for components, develop manufacturing capabilities, manufacture, price the aircraft, sell, finance, and distribute the aircraft. Game theory can assist the firm in considering the likely actions and reactions of rivals when deciding which of these

activities to perform, how to perform them, and when, given that rival aircraft manufacturers may be just as interested in performing these activities. The issue is how.

Strategic Question Framing

The interdependence between a corporation and its competitors may be described using a language and framework provided by game theory. Game theory helps corporations to design strategy issues that better predict competitors' expected actions and responses by identifying the participants, outlining each player's potential movements, the timing of the moves, and payoffs. This improved question framing may aid in the choice-making that supports value generation and appropriation. Simultaneous games may be used to investigate what would happen, for instance, if the aircraft manufacturer offered the same jet as its main rival or increased its price. To investigate what would happen if an aviation manufacturer offered each style of airplane first, second, etc., sequential games may be utilized.

Perspectives, Possibilities, and Implications

The structure of the relationship between a corporation and its competitors may also be better understood using game theory. It may be used to comprehend the possibilities for altering the game's rules and their effects. It helps businesses choose the best course of action in a given circumstance. In order to investigate the effects of supplying various aircraft types on its suppliers, complementors, consumers, and competitors, an aircraft manufacturer may apply game theory. Additionally, it would make it clearer what would happen if the company formed a coalition with one group of competitors rather than another.

Looking Toward the Future

A business may see how its relationships and industry might change if it weren't a part of it by employing cooperative game theory problems. A company may, for instance, inquire as to how well-off its alliance is now and how well-off it would be in the future without it. This inquiry is effective because it serves to remind the company both of what it can provide to the alliance in comparison to other coopetitors and of potential changes in the coopetitors' self-interest. It can be a good idea for workers to consider how much value is produced both with and without them working for the company.

Problems with Game Theory

Like any other model, game theory models have certain drawbacks. Let's revisit one of the examples from previously to see some of these constraints in action. Firm B and Firm A may avoid producing the identical jet and ending up with too many planes and insufficient sales to break even by taking into account each other's expected response. Additionally, they avoid vying for the services of competent workers and component suppliers. Game theory assists corporations in creating and appropriating value more effectively by assisting them in choosing which aircraft to construct. An important presumption in the argument is that, after each company picks which aircraft to provide, they both have the means and talents to design, build, certify, and carry out any other task necessary to provide a plane that consumers value. In actuality, hardly every company that intends to construct an airplane can really do it. Little is explained by game theory as to why certain businesses possess the tools and skills necessary to develop and capture value. It also doesn't explain anything about how value is created and appropriated.

The underlying premise of game theory is that players choose behaviors that will maximize their rewards, where rewards may be any metric of success such as revenue or utility. However, businesses and the decision-makers inside them often exhibit nonprofit-maximizing practices.

For instance, a business may decide to do something for political reasons unrelated to generating profits. Another tenet of game theory is that, regardless of how complicated the information is, players will be able to gather and interpret it all without any cognitive constraints. The majority of people have cognitive limitations. We do not know whether one of these aircraft manufacturers also sells military jets to governments and can thus simply change the plane that it decides to provide to carry military equipment. Game theory models often reveal nothing about the focus firm's bigger strategy. Despite these flaws, game theory is still a highly useful tool. If one wants to get the most out of game theory, it must be utilized carefully, paying attention to its assumptions and the context in which it is applied.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, Sequential games and deterrence exhibit complex dynamics in the field of strategic decision-making. Designing successful deterrent methods that affect player behavior and determine game results requires a combination of credibility, commitment, and strategic thought. Players must take into account the interdependence of actions and the impact of deterrence while formulating the best possible strategic movements as they negotiate the complexity of sequential games.

Players may improve their ability to make decisions and modify their tactics to attain desirable results in a dynamic and competitive gaming environment by grasping the subtleties of deterrence in sequential games. However, a number of variables, such as uncertainty, information asymmetry, and recurrent contacts, might affect the efficacy of deterrent methods in sequential games. Players may find it difficult to precisely predict the possible consequences of their actions in ambiguous or partial information settings, which may undermine the legitimacy and effectiveness of deterrent threats.

REFERENCES:

[1] P. Battigalli, E. Catonini, G. Lanzani, and M. Marinacci, “Ambiguity attitudes and self-confirming equilibrium in sequential games,” *Games Econ. Behav.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.geb.2019.02.005.

[2] J. Li and T. Li, “Cyber-physical Security Analysis of Smart Grids With Bayesian Sequential Game Models,” *Zidonghua Xuebao/Acta Autom. Sin.*, 2019, doi: 10.16383/j.aas.2018.c180336.

[3] A. Celli, A. Marchesi, T. Bianchi, and N. Gatti, “Learning to correlate in multi-player general-sum sequential games,” in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2019.

[4] A. Celli, S. Coniglio, and N. Gatti, “Computing optimal coarse correlated equilibria in sequential games,” *arXiv*. 2019.

[5] Z. Zhou and H. Xu, “Deep Reinforcement Learning Based Intelligent Decision Making for Two-player Sequential Game with Uncertain Irrational Player,” in *2019 IEEE Symposium Series on Computational Intelligence, SSCI 2019*, 2019. doi: 10.1109/SSCI44817.2019.9002811.

[6] Z. Alsulaimawi, J. Kim, and T. Nguyen, “Sequential game network (segane) with application to online data sanitization,” in *2018 IEEE Global Conference on Signal and Information Processing, GlobalSIP 2018 - Proceedings*, 2019. doi: 10.1109/GlobalSIP.2018.8646381.

[7] M. M. Kaminski, "Generalized backward induction: Justification for a folk algorithm," *Games*, 2019, doi: 10.3390/g10030034.

[8] J. Ma and L. Xie, "The stability analysis of the dynamic pricing strategy for bundling goods: a comparison between simultaneous and sequential pricing mechanism," *Nonlinear Dyn.*, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s11071-018-4621-3.

[9] Q. Li, Z. Zhang, W. Rao, W. Xu, and L. Jiang, "Green investment decisions in supply chains: A game model with complete information," *Inf.*, 2019, doi: 10.3390/info10060185.

[10] S. Karray and G. Martín-Herrán, "Fighting store brands through the strategic timing of pricing and advertising decisions," *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.ejor.2018.11.066.

CHAPTER 22

EVALUATING THE GAME THEORY AND NEW GAME ACTIVITIES

Adesh Kumar, Assistant Professor, Department of Business Studies & Entrepreneurship,
Shobhit University, Gangoh, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- adesh.kumar@shobhituniversity.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

Game theory, a powerful tool in the realm of decision-making and strategic analysis, has found applications in various industries, including the domain of new game activities. This research explores the integration of game theory in the design, development, and marketing of new games. By analyzing theoretical models and real-world examples, this study aims to elucidate the role of game theory in shaping new game activities and driving successful outcomes. The research also examines how game theory informs decision-making, player engagement, and monetization strategies, offering valuable insights for game developers and publishers seeking to thrive in a competitive gaming landscape. The analysis of game theory and new game activities has unveiled the strategic significance of incorporating game theory principles in the gaming industry. Game theory provides valuable frameworks for understanding player behavior, anticipating opponents' moves, and optimizing outcomes in new game activities.

KEYWORDS:

Adverse Selection, Asymmetric Information, Behavioral, Game Theory.

INTRODUCTION

Examining the degree to which game theory may be utilized to explain the contribution of new game activities to value production and appropriation is one method to find the answer to this question. To achieve this, we must remember that a new game's contribution to value creation and appropriation consists of two factors: the value chain factor and the new game element [1], [2].

Game theory's utility and the value of the value chain factor

Because they are value chain activities, new gaming activities are more likely to contribute to value creation and appropriation if they: To improve the firm's position in relation to competitors, one must: a reduce costs, differentiation, better pricing, more clients, and better revenue streams; b take advantage of industry value drivers. d Create and translate unique resources and capabilities. Are exhaustive and economical. lower costs, differentiation, better pricing, more clients, and improved revenue sources [3], [4].

Given the expected response of the business's competitors, a firm may use noncooperative game theory to choose which product to sell, which market sector to join, whether to increase or drop one's pricing, what brand to establish, which sources of income to pursue, and which consumers to target.

However, this won't reveal anything about any possible negotiations between the business and its client. It also doesn't do anything for any joint efforts that the business and its clients could make to improve customer value and perhaps boost reservation costs. Cooperative game theory may be used in this situation. It may assist businesses in better understanding how much value can be produced by various coalitions. In order to target the appropriate consumers with the

right value and pursue the correct pricing strategies and income streams, it might be helpful to analyze the interdependencies between a business and its coopetitors [5], [6].

Game theory, however, offers nothing about honing the capacity to construct brands. It may provide some advice on which brand to build given the brands being sought by competitors. While it may be useful in determining when and if to provide a new product that is catered to the proper clients, it doesn't reveal much about the capacity to develop new items. Many game theory models use the implicit assumption that companies will be able to supply the new product or brand they chose to develop, given the probable response from their competitors. Because they lacked the necessary skills to create and promote the items, many businesses who invested in new products aimed at professional product market positions have fallen short [7], [8].

Contribute to strengthening

Non-cooperative game theory may assist a corporation in avoiding needless competition by taking into account the expected behaviors and responses of competitors. For instance, in the game, both companies should avoid colliding in two markets that can only sustain one of them: if one company sells the SuperJumbo, the other company should offer the DreamLiner. Therefore, non-cooperative game theory may aid a corporation in reducing or eliminating the competitive force from competition that may limit its capacity to generate and capture value. The negotiation and cooperation that take place between a corporation and its co-competitors may be investigated using cooperative game theory. A company may increase its consumers' willingness to pay, for instance, by engaging with them to help them find their latent requirements in a new product. Suppliers are more inclined to accept reduced rates from the company if the business works with them to cut their expenses [9], [10].

Once again, game theory may assist a corporation in determining what activity to pursue in order to strengthen its position relative to co-competitors, but given the expected response of rivals, it says nothing about the firm's capacity to pursue the specific activity. While cooperative game theory can help a firm understand which suppliers it can work with better to create value, it says little about why some firms are better at such cooperation than others. Noncooperative game theory suggests that Firm A should offer the SuperJumbo, knowing that Firm B will offer the DreamLiner. However, it says little about how capable Firm A is at building the SuperJumbo. Comparatively to Ford and GM, Toyota has excelled in the automotive sector by collaborating with its suppliers to drive innovation and control component prices. Game theory does not provide any insight into Toyota's excellent supplier management skills or how one may go about developing them.

Profit from industry value drivers

Remember that industry value drivers are those industry characteristics that have the potential to significantly affect the advantages that consumers seek, as well as the quality and quantity of such clients. For instance, location is crucial in offline shopping because it affects the sorts and quantities of customers who may shop there, the cost of operations, the cost of real estate, as well as the quantity and nature of competitors. To benefit from industry value drivers, a company may employ game theory more effectively. For instance, a company may utilize game theory to avoid direct rivalry with other merchants while selecting a retail site.

Create and Transform Unique Resources/Capabilities

Decisions concerning creating resources or capabilities include whether to expand or reduce R&D investment, whether to file patents, where to locate plants, which brands to develop, etc.

Non-cooperative game theory may be used to shed light on these decisions, as it has in the past. Additionally, cooperative game theory may assist businesses in comprehending the degree to which they can collaborate with their competitors to develop these resources and talents. Game theory may be used to determine whether to raise or lower R&D expenditure, for example, but it doesn't really explain why some companies are more successful at R&D than others. Good game theoretic analysis may indicate that a company should raise its R&D investment in light of what its rivals are doing. Game theory doesn't explain much of why the company could still be unable to carry out the crucial R&D practices of experimentation, trial, error, and correction successfully and efficiently, despite increasing investment.

The goal is to ensure that a company engages in all activities that significantly contribute to value creation and appropriation, while also avoiding engaging in activities that bring little to no value in comparison to their expenses. A company may choose to maintain or increase the activities that provide the best payoffs by using non-cooperative game theory. Which activities lead to coalitions with the greatest potential for value creation may be identified using cooperative game theory. Game theory may really be helpful in deciding which activities to preserve, increase, and which ones to get rid of. Game theory, however, doesn't really explain why one company would be able to carry out a certain task more skillfully or successfully than its competitors.

Usefulness of Game Theory and the New Game Factor

Activities for new games are more likely to contribute to value generation and appropriation by virtue of being new games if they: a Generate novel approaches to producing and capturing novel value.

b Present a chance to develop new resources or transform current ones into value in novel ways.

c Create and exploit first-mover advantages, disadvantages, and competitive disadvantages.

d Be prepared to react to your competitors' responses.

e Recognize and seize the chances and dangers presented by the macro- and competitive environments.

Create fresh approaches to producing and capturing new value

We may use cooperative game theory to study how altering the game or adding participants might increase or decrease value. It can inform us of the alliances that will provide the most value. We can determine which actions taken by which players will lead to which outcomes using non-cooperative game theory. Game theory, however, is unable to explain how each participant genuinely enhances their own offerings. It cannot assist us in comprehending the specifics of how Boeing really develops and builds safe airplanes.

DISCUSSION

Entering a New Business Using New Games

Entering a new market is one of the most crucial moves a company can make. If done well, starting a new business may boost a company's growth and revenues. If a business venture is unsuccessful, it may cost a company valuable resource that might have been used elsewhere and could harm the company's image. In this article, we examine a framework that may both be used to assess a company's entrance into a new industry and to help a company decide whether to do so. We have a pretty wide concept of what a new company is. Using one's current technologies to join a new market sector, adopting a new technology to enter that market

segment, or diversifying into a completely unrelated industry may all be seen as entering a new business. We begin by taking a look at some of the justifications managers often provide for starting a new firm, whether they do it correctly or not.

Companies Launch New Businesses

We look at seven of the factors that could motivate a company to start a new venture: growth, resources/capabilities, size and scope economies, internal financial markets, market power, and personal.

Growth

The quest of expansion is one of the most often cited justifications given by companies when they want to join a new industry. In order to ensure its long-term sustainability, a company may decide to join a mature sector with stronger growth prospects than its current one, which may be stagnating or slowly dying. In certain situations, a company may find itself in a scenario where it had rapid growth for a short period of time and as a result of that development, its stock is now highly valued, with the high valuation taking into account the presumption that the company would maintain its current pace of growth. In order to maintain that growth rate, the company can subsequently be persuaded to enter other markets. As we'll see in a moment, a new business's rapid growth is neither a precondition for entering the industry nor a need for doing so.

Resources/Capabilities

A company may have unique resources or skills that may be profitably expanded into several areas. Such a company has the resources and ability to go into new markets. A well-known example is Honda, which has used internal combustion engine technology into a variety of industries, including motorcycles, cars, lawnmowers, boats, electric generators, and aviation engines. Another example would be eBay, which developed a name recognition and a sizable user base via the trading of antiques and collectibles by its members. Using these tools, eBay was able to expand into other markets like cars as the community became bigger and its brand's popularity increased. Businesses may produce a lot of cash and may feel driven to spend the money in new ventures.

Scale and Scope Economies

If a company's per-unit expenses for selling many products are lower than those for just one product, it is said to have economies of scope. The cost of each business may be cheaper than if the company ran each one separately if it can use some of its current resources and skills to complete tasks in both the new and the old businesses. For instance, the cost of certain of Honda's R&D per unit decreases the more industries that may utilize its engines. A company entering a new industry might also benefit from economies of scale. For instance, if the new company uses similar inputs, a company may be able to integrate its buying operations, resulting in lower costs via improved negotiating position and other cost-cutting measures.

Financial Market Internal

If a company operates many businesses, some of them may bring in more money than others. The money made may be utilized to fund the operations of more cash-strapped enterprises. For instance, a company in a seasonal industry can run out of money in the off-season yet have plenty of money in the peak period. The cash cycle may be sped up by having a second firm that provides income in the off-season of the first one. A company may also purchase a brand-new company that makes money so that it can utilize the money to support rapidly expanding

companies that need a lot of money. The BCG Growth/Share matrix framework best exemplified this notion by supporting the development of a portfolio of companies in which money from so-called cash cows may be used to pay the operations of so-called stars who often require a lot of money but do not create enough of it. In a world with effective capital markets, shareholders can take the cash from the existing business and invest in any other business they want, which means that shareholders are better able to make decisions on which business to enter than managers. This is the argument against starting a new company to encourage better internal financial management. Additionally, if a company needs money, it may borrow it from the financial markets. This theory has a flaw in that capital markets are not always efficient, particularly in emerging economies. A capital market is efficient if information about alternative investment opportunities, the companies looking to borrow money, what these companies intend to do with it, and other relevant information is readily and affordably accessible to all parties. When the capital markets are inefficient, it may make sense to go into a different industry to raise money or to utilize available funds to fund operations internally. In reality, companies often establish financial divisions to provide credit to clients of their other business divisions. Some of these finance units often make a lot of money.

Market Influence

Consider two businesses that might coexist well in a way similar to how Coke and Pepsi do. Since the advantages of cooperation are greater in many enterprises than in just one, the likelihood of these two firms implicitly collaborating increases if they engage in more than one company together. To put it another way, when businesses interact with one another across a variety of industries, the costs of not collaborating may be substantial. For instance, companies risk losing a lot more money if they participate in pricing wars across a number of enterprises rather than just one. Thus, a company may go into other industries in order to more effectively collaborate covertly with a rival it encounters in those industries. A company with several operations might benefit from its dominant position in one market in other markets. In certain nations, this may be forbidden. For instance, Microsoft has come under fire for allegedly exploiting its influence over operating systems to its benefit in web browsers.

Personal Administrative Motives

It is possible for managers' motivations to operate in ways that are contrary to the interests of their company. A management could start a new firm because they want to expand their empire rather than because they think it would be profitable. That would be the case, particularly if the manager's pay is based on the company's growth rate and expanding into new markets accelerates that growth rate.

Lowering Transaction Costs

If a business's essential input or complement is provided by a rival or prospective rival and there are no trustworthy second sources, the firm may seek to start generating the essential input or supplement. Why? Because the rival can decide to utilize the component or supplement against the interests of the company in order to protect its own interests. For instance, a manufacturer of microchips that also uses those chips to make cameras might decide to keep the most important and recent information about upcoming chips for itself if that information can give it a competitive advantage over rivals when it comes to cameras. Why not engage into agreements that clearly state what each party is expected to do? this is the question. It is too expensive to design, oversee, and enforce such a contract when there are several variables to the agreement and a great deal of uncertainty. when a result, many contracts must unavoidably remain unfinished, and when ambiguity arises, a rival that provides a component or supplement may choose to seize the moment. A company may decide to start manufacturing the component

or complement to its goods on its own rather than relying on suppliers and complementors because of the fear of such opportunism. Apple's introduction of Safari, its own Web browser, may have been motivated in part by apprehension about opportunism from competitors.

Whatever the company's motivations for entering a new industry, it must ultimately be just as professional—if not more so—than it was before. Considering the allure of the company, a firm's ability to produce and use value will determine if it is profitable. Managers may have a variety of justifications for starting a new company. Ultimately, the company must turn a profit from the new business or from the old business as a consequence of joining the new business. This calls for generating and using value inside the new company or as a consequence of the new company.

Three Tests for Evaluating a New Business for Entry

Since businesses exist to generate money, one that wishes to join a new industry should expect to be at least as profitable as it was before. That will be the case whether the company is profitable in the new venture or if anything about joining the new business boosts the profitability of the company's current venture. In order to make money in the new company, value must be created and appropriated. To do this, one must compete with rivals for resources and clients, work with suppliers and clients to reach agreements, and deal with substitutes and prospective new competitors. Understanding the nature of the macro-environmental and competitive pressures that enterprises in the new company confront is therefore one of the first things to perform when assessing a new business for prospective entrance. Because it examines how competitive and macroenvironmental forces affect the average profits in the new business, this assessment of industry forces is known as the "attractiveness test"1. However, the attractiveness test only provides limited information about how much of these profits the firm can make when it enters the new market relative to its competitors. The second and third tests—the better-off and cost-of-entry tests—come into play at this point. The better-off test assesses how much a company stands to gain by joining the new market. Finally, it's crucial to consider the cost of entrance since developing entry skills is expensive. This has to do with how much money it takes to start a company compared to how much money it makes after you do. Now, we thoroughly examine each of the three exams.

Test of Attractiveness

A company that wants to start a new business might better understand what it is getting into by using the attractiveness test. The examination looks at two straightforward questions: what macroenvironmental and competitive pressures would the company encounter if it were to join the new business? If the company were to join the new market, who would be its main rivals, and what should the firm be concerned about with these competitors? Which vendors and clients predominate? Are producers of alternatives, complements, or future newcomers a threat? What is the new company's profitability?

Macroenvironmental and Competitive Forces

If a company is, on average, profitable to the businesses it contains, it is desirable. Remember that a company's profitability is influenced by its competitive factors, including competition within the industry, the negotiating power of suppliers and customers, the danger of possible new entrants, and the strength of substitutes and complementors. The company is not profitable and is thus seen undesirable to enterprises in it if competition is fierce, customers and suppliers have significant bargaining power, possible new entrants are a concern, and substitutes and complementors are a high threat. This does not imply that a company cannot succeed financially. Although it is more challenging than in an appealing industry, businesses may and

do succeed in ugly sectors. In other words, a company entering a market that is unappealing must be prepared to cope with the oppressive forces that other companies there confront. Such a company would need to recognize the oppressive factors and work to lessen or remove them.

The company is considered to be appealing because it is, on average, profitable if there is little competition, business enterprises have negotiating power over customers and suppliers, and the danger of prospective new entrance and of substitutes/complementors is minimal. A company's growth does not always imply that it is an appealing one. Only one of the competitive forces in an industry is reduced by high expansion. An appealing business is advantageous to companies currently operating in it, but not always to those looking to do so. An appealing company may not be appealing to an outsider for four reasons. First, one factor that makes the industry appealing may be that existing players have a history of retaliating against newcomers, which discourages new entrants or keeps those that do so in control. This might serve as a warning shot to any company considering admission. Second, if the firm has high entry barriers as one of its appealing qualities, an outsider seeking to profit from it would have to do so. This may be quite expensive. Third, established companies in the industry may possess unique skills that are hard for newcomers to get. Fourth, if the company is appealing, it's feasible that many other businesses would want to join and share in the profits. Due to the high cost of entrance, possible new competitors may wind up driving up the price of the resources and capabilities needed to succeed in the industry. If this happens, all profits generated would be used to cover the high cost of entry. The macroenvironment of a firm may also have a significant impact on its allure. For instance, maintaining artificially high or low pricing in a firm has a direct influence on profitability. When a government creates exit obstacles, a portion of the company' allure is lost since companies may be obliged to offer their goods for very cheap prices as long as those prices can pay their variable expenses. In any event, it is crucial for a company that wishes to join a new industry to properly comprehend the marketplace in which it will compete if it does so.

Analysis Frameworks

Porter's Five factors provide a framework for identifying and evaluating the competitive factors that have an influence on an organization and determine its average profitability. The framework makes it possible to ascertain what drives competition, potential new entrants, buyer and supplier negotiating power, and the danger of alternatives. The SCP framework, in which performance is defined by behavior, is another paradigm that may be helpful in creating and understanding an industry's potential for profitability. The actions that company, suppliers, and customers in the company carry out are known as conduct, which is governed by structure. These activities include R&D, advertising, strategic alliances, mergers and acquisitions, pricing, cost reductions, new product releases, and capacity expansions or decreases. The term "structure" relates to a company's size, its suppliers, customers, the technology it uses, the degree of product differentiation, the degree of vertical integration, the kind and intensity of competition, and the degree of entry barriers. A business is in a better position to succeed if or when it joins the industry by utilizing the SCP to evaluate the type and amount of competition, what drives competition, important activities, and what drives value. For instance, the company in issue should have a better understanding of what it is entering into if industry businesses have a history of using activities like pricing, R&D, or the launch of new products to retaliate against new entrants. A version of SCP is The Five Forces.

Important Players Industry

One may learn a lot about competition through a Five-Forces or SCP study by learning about the number of rivals, pace of industry expansion, existence or absence of product

differentiation, and other factors. However, it is still crucial for the company looking to join the new industry to learn as much as it can about the industry's major players. For instance, it may be wiser for a company looking to join the market for carbonated soft drinks to research how Coke and Pepsi compete with one another and with newcomers to the market. A big company in the industry is unlikely to fold when another firm joins the market if the new business is the principal source of earnings or cash for the player. For instance, when Microsoft joined the video game industry in 2001, Sony's video game division was one of its main sources of revenue. As a result, one would anticipate Sony to fiercely resist Micro- Soft's efforts to take market share.

Improved Test

The degree to which a firm's operations must satisfy these five goals in order for it to be better off depends on the profitability of its current business, the level of competition it will likely encounter in the new company, and its intended use of the business. If a company's current line of business isn't particularly profitable and its rivals in the new line of business are understanding, it may get away with just partly achieving some of these goals. The firm may be able to make some money without drawing much attention and therefore does not have to worry as much about dampening or reversing competitive forces if its goal in starting a new business is to exploit a niche market that does not matter much to the key competitors in the market.

A company can either pursue the same old game, in which it performs the same value chain activities that are currently being performed in the business in an effort to outperform its rivals in the new business, or it can pursue a new game strategy, in which it modifies some or all of the game's rules for the new business. We examine entrance utilizing classic games in this, saving the new ones for later.

Low Differentiation and other Profitability Drivers Contribute

A company may utilize its limited valued resources and skills to provide the proper value to the appropriate market segments' consumers. For instance, Wal-Mart had advanced logistics and information technology skills before it entered the grocery sector. When it joined the grocery sector, it made use of these skills to keep costs lower than those of rivals and pass those savings through to consumers in the form of cheaper pricing. Virgin leveraged their brand to infiltrate other industries via transatlantic planes and music recordings. In certain circumstances, a company may be able to charge more for items in the new industry thanks to a rare resource like a premium brand.

Boost Position Against Coo-Competitors

The attractiveness test enables a possible new entrant to identify favorable and oppressive factors in a new industry. A company may strengthen its position in relation to co-competitors by reducing certain repressive forces or bolstering already-existing ones using the limited resources from its current operation. For instance, a company may use its influence over consumers or suppliers in an existing business to influence a new venture, enabling it to temper dominant industry dynamics. For instance, when Wal-Mart joined the grocery market, it already had considerable buying expertise and negotiating leverage over suppliers from its retail operation. Additionally, it has learned how to collaborate with suppliers to save prices. Due to its ability to exert more influence on suppliers than other grocery suppliers, the company was able to keep prices down. A company that has positive government ties in a nation where these relationships are important might utilize these relationships to its advantage when entering a new market where rivals do not.

Profit from industry value drivers

Remember that a cost, differentiation, or other element that affects sales or profit has the most influence on an industry value driver. Location affects not just the cost of operations but also how many customers visit the business and how they perceive it, both of which are important factors in determining sales and profitability. When Wal-Mart joined the grocery market, it decided to turn existing retail shops into superstores, which allowed it to benefit from location, a crucial industrial value driver in the retail sector. Utilizing industry value drivers may increase a company's capacity for profit in a new market. Many of the aforementioned instances include converting pre-existing corporate skills into benefits for the new firm. Some of the actions may also help the new company develop new resources and skills that it can employ to generate value and better position itself to capture it. Wal-Mart, for instance, has developed sourcing skills with the addition of foods that it did not previously have when it solely sold retail products.

Market Position Currently

A company may start a new business to stop rivals from utilizing it to undermine its competitive advantage in its current business. When Microsoft, for instance, joined the video game console market, there was speculation that the company was doing so to stop Sony from leveraging the market to undermine Microsoft's competitive edge in PC operating systems. This assertion has a flaw in that it is incredibly difficult to support or refute. This tactic comes from warfare, where you may stop an adversary from engaging you in combat by occupying them in one battle. The issue with it is that one does not necessarily have to fight to win and that fighting often produces false victors.

Integrating vertically

To increase its profitability, a company may vertically integrate into the business of generating its own inputs or vertically integrate into the business of disposing of its products. A coffee shop in the USA may choose to integrate vertically backwards into cultivating its own coffee in South America or Africa in order to guarantee the quality of the coffee it sells. To stop its suppliers from acting opportunistically, a company might also integrate vertically backwards. In order to avoid relying on rival Microsoft's Internet Explorer, Apple Computers created its own web browser, Safari, as we saw before. Coke and Pepsi are better able to guarantee the profitability of their concentrate by integrating vertically forwards into the bottling of their colas in specific regions of the globe.

Boost Current Product Line

It's possible that a company's current items might sell more effectively if it entered a new market and, in doing so, added value to its current products for consumers. For instance, a company may join the financial services industry in developing nations with inefficient capital markets in order to better finance purchases for the numerous clients who may not have access to credit.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, Game theory is a useful tool that guides strategic planning and decision-making in new game activities. Developers and publishers may create fun gaming experiences, encourage player competition, and improve monetization tactics by using the concepts of game theory. To be competitive and develop profitable and long-lasting game goods as the gaming business develops, game theory must be incorporated into new game activities. Understanding player motives and preferences and using tactical game theory insights will continue to be

essential in determining the direction of the gaming business. However, a thorough grasp of player preferences, competitive dynamics, and market trends is necessary for the efficient application of game theory in novel gaming activities. To be current and competitive in the quick-paced gaming business, game producers must constantly modify their plans based on real-time data and feedback.

REFERENCES:

[1] I. Tăucean, M. Tămă□ilă, L. Ivascu, □erban Miclea, and M. Negru□, "Integrating Sustainability and Lean: SLIM Method and Enterprise Game Proposed," *Sustainability*, 2019, doi: 10.3390/su11072103.

[2] M. Y. Ni *et al.*, "Augmented reality games as a new class of physical activity interventions? the impact of Pokémon Go use and gaming intensity on physical activity," *Games Health J.*, 2019, doi: 10.1089/g4h.2017.0181.

[3] M. Martín-Del-Pozo, A. G. V. Muñoz-Repiso, and A. H. Martín, "Video games and collaborative learning in education? A scale for measuring in-service teachers' attitudes towards collaborative learning with video games," *Informatics*, 2019, doi: 10.3390/informatics6030030.

[4] E. Avdiu, "Game-Based Learning Practices in Austrian Elementary Schools," *Educ. Process Int. J.*, 2019, doi: 10.22521/edupij.2019.83.4.

[5] R. F. Herrera, M. A. Sanz, L. Montalbán-Domingo, T. García-Segura, and E. Pellicer, "Impact of game-based learning on understanding lean construction principles," *Sustain.*, 2019, doi: 10.3390/su11195294.

[6] E. Rovithis, A. Floros, N. Moustakas, K. Vogklis, and L. Kotsira, "Bridging audio and augmented reality towards a new generation of serious audio-only games," *Electron. J. e-Learning*, 2019, doi: 10.34190/JEL.17.2.07.

[7] M. Beyyoudh, M. K. Idrissi, and S. Bennani, "Towards a new generation of intelligent tutoring systems," *Int. J. Emerg. Technol. Learn.*, 2019, doi: 10.3991/ijet.v14i14.10664.

[8] L. Cao, C. Peng, and J. T. Hansberger, "Usability and engagement study for a serious virtual reality game of lunar exploration missions," *Informatics*, 2019, doi: 10.3390/informatics6040044.

[9] T. Turchi, D. Fogli, and A. Malizia, "Fostering computational thinking through collaborative game-based learning," *Multimed. Tools Appl.*, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s11042-019-7229-9.

[10] Y. Zhou, "Research on Lean Marketing Management of Mobile Games," *Mod. Econ.*, 2019, doi: 10.4236/me.2019.101006.

CHAPTER 23

ALTERNATIVE APPROACHES TO BUSINESS GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT

Dr. Somprabh Dubey, Associate Professor, Department of Business Studies & Entrepreneurship,
Shobhit University, Gangoh, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- somprabh.dubey@shobhituniversity.ac.in

Dr. (Prof.) Ashok Kumar, Professor, Department of Business Studies,
Shobhit Deemed University, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- dr.ashok@shobhituniversity.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

In the ever-evolving business landscape, companies face critical decisions on how to grow and remain competitive. Entering new business areas is a common strategy, but it is not the only option for expansion and success. This research explores alternative approaches to business growth and development beyond entering new markets. By analyzing case studies and industry examples, this study aims to shed light on the potential alternatives, their advantages, and limitations. The research also examines how companies can strategically leverage these alternatives to drive sustainable growth and achieve their strategic objectives alternatives outside of entering new business areas has provided valuable insights into diverse approaches for business growth and development. While entering new markets is a common expansion strategy, companies can also explore various alternatives that align with their strengths, resources, and strategic goals.

KEYWORDS:

Alliances, Cost Reduction, Diversification, Franchising, Joint Ventures, Licensing.

INTRODUCTION

Not joining the company at all is a clear substitute for starting one. If a company wants to maximize shareholder value, it could be wiser to distribute money to shareholders in the form of dividends rather than investing it in a risky new venture. As a result, a company could be making a mistake if it starts a new venture only because it has a lot of money it wants to spend. Owners of the shares could do better by making their own investments [1], [2]. More significantly, a company could wish to run the three tests on a variety of companies before determining which one, if any, to join.

Price of Entry

It may be expensive to start a new business and to carry out the tasks required for a company to generate and use value. The projected profits from entering must be balanced against these expenditures. In actuality, the phrase "cost of entry" is a relative one since it must be compared to the profits that are produced as a consequence of entering. The goal is to measure how much greater a firm's rate of profitability is than it was before to admission. On the one hand, a lucrative firm may provide the possibility of large margins, but the cost of entrance would be so high that any cash flows from the enterprise would be more than offset by the very high cost of entry. On the other side, a firm that is ugly may not have many opportunities for high profits yet is inexpensive to start. In any event, these expenses must be thoroughly investigated and compared against the cost of not entering before admittance [3], [4].

Costs of Entry Drivers

The cost of entry is likely to be high in an attractive business for a number of reasons. First, as we just observed, entrance barriers are likely to be high if a firm is appealing. When entrance barriers are strong, it will probably cost a lot of money to get over them and start a firm. For instance, if an incumbent's brand equity acts as a barrier to entry, any new entrant who wants to gain a competitive edge may need to develop a comparable brand equity. This may be time-consuming and expensive. Second, as we already observed, if a company is appealing, other profit-seeking enterprises are probably interested in joining as well. If enough of these companies decide to participate, they will probably bid up the price of the resources needed to produce and take advantage of value in the company, raising expenses for anyone decides to enter the market. Third, successful enterprises with a competitive edge are likely to be present if the industry is appealing. Such elites are unlikely to willingly cede their revenues to upstart competitors [5], [6].

In fact, these leaders are more likely to have a war chest to combat new competitors if they have been earning a lot of money. Thus, newcomers could become involved in expensive conflicts. Due to these three factors, it may be prohibitively expensive for a company to join a lucrative industry. As a consequence, even though the entrance may result in the creation and appropriation of value, any possible gains would be negated by the high entry costs. Entry expenses differ based on the kind of organization entering as well as the type of business. It could be less expensive for a company to enter if it already possesses many of the resources required for the new venture. That would be the case, for instance, if the company could economically expand its usage of current resources to the new business. Here, the Honda illustration from before is relevant [7], [8].

The company's costs to provide the product for each new business were cheaper than those of other companies that had to construct the engine from start since it already had engine capabilities when it joined each new industry. When Sony joined the video game console market, it already had established distribution networks, which reduced its entrance costs compared to those of a startup. Finally, the entry process's implementation may have an impact on entry costs. A company requires employees to manage a new business when it enters the market. The company also has to set up the systems, procedures, and culture necessary to manage the new business as well as organize its organizational structure to suit it. It costs money to connect with people, structure, systems, processes, and culture; minimizing these expenses may help keep prices down [9], [10].

Opportunity Cost of Staying Outside

The opportunity cost of not participating is a term used to describe other "costs" than the admission fee. That would be the case, for instance, if a disruptive technology that may later infiltrate an established firm was the foundation of the new venture. In such instance, if a company in the current industry does not join the new one, it can already be too late when it must. For instance, the PC was a disruptive technology to minicomputers since it really performed many of their previous functions, making them uncompetitive in many sectors. The PC decimated the minicomputer industries of those manufacturers that hadn't taken it seriously.

DISCUSSION

Cash Flow Method

When a company joins a new industry, it gains income from that industry and its linked sources of income. The company must also make payments to meet the expenses it has while generating

and appropriating value. Additionally, it must pay for all the expenses related to starting the new company. To establish the profitability of the operation, all future cash flows, net of all costs, should be calculated. They should then be discounted to the present.

Introducing New Games to Play

The attractiveness test informs a company whether or whether the new business is, on average, profitable for businesses that are currently in the industry. A company may employ new gaming activities to increase its own commercial appeal and set itself apart from competitors in the new market. In order to ensure that whatever rents it makes in the new company are not lost to entry costs, it may also deploy new gaming activities to minimize entry costs. We look into both. In either scenario, the kind of approach used by the current market leaders might have a significant impact.

Reducing Entry Costs by Using New Game Activities

If a company is appealing to other businesses in the industry, one of many factors may be true: either entrance barriers are high, businesses have influence over suppliers or customers, the danger of alternatives is little, or competition is minimal. What new gaming activities can a company employ to join this market and make it more appealing to itself than the established competitors that have been in the market for a longer time? Remember that fresh game mechanics can:

1. Come up with fresh ideas for producing and acquiring new value.

2. Create new resources or find innovative methods to convert current ones into value.

3. Create the possibility for first-mover advantages to be built and used.

4. Provoke responses from both new and old rivals.

5. Have their origins in an industry's or the macroenvironment's opportunities and risks.

We now look at how a company might use each of these aspects of novel gaming activities to its advantage and attract new customers. Use the new methods for producing and capturing new value.

A company can enter a new industry by determining an unmet need in the market and filling it by occupying what is at the time referred to as a niche or "white space" in the market.4 A classic example is Wal-Mart, which chose to locate its stores in small rural towns where discount retailers at the time shunned, preferring to locate their stores in large towns. This unmet need may also be satisfied by offering a product with features that rival goods lack or by offering items at a cheaper price point than rival products. Keep in mind that creating new value in this context does not always need a company to outperform its competitors by providing goods or services with more and better qualities. New value may sometimes entail diminished or even subpar product qualities. For instance, when Ikea first entered the US furniture market, it didn't try to compete by providing superior in-store service, better delivery, or more durable furniture. Instead, it offered minimal in-store service compared to its high-end competitors, no delivery, and furniture that wasn't as durable as furniture from incumbents.

When the PC was first released, the majority of its features were inferior to those of the minicomputer and mainframe, which met the demands of the majority of computer users. A company may avoid direct rivalry with competitors for consumers and resources by finding a location in untapped markets and serving customers' demands that aren't being supplied by other companies in the industry. This lessens the impact of competition on the company.

Furthermore, because the company is the first to sell the product to the market sector, its consumers are less likely to exert as much influence over it as they would if the market segment had more competitors. When a product is given with bare-bones or subpar features, the cost of providing them may be reduced, which in turn lowers entry barriers to some extent. The price of providing the additional value may also be reduced if the company rides a transition, such as a disruptive technology development.

The company may develop first-mover advantages since it is the first in the white space, which is the most crucial factor. For instance, it may increase consumers' switching costs, develop a brand-name reputation with them, or cultivate ties with customers and complementors. Additionally, the company might take precedence over limited but crucial resources like location, input variables, client mental space, or complimentary assets. In order to provide goods for the specific market sectors, the company may advance along the learning curve and pursue intellectual property protection for the patents or copyrights it has gained in the process. When a company enters a new market, new gaming activities may also be designed to increase the number of valued clients that the company can reach with its goods.

A company may be better positioned to capture value with certain new gaming activities without necessarily developing any in a new business. One well-known instance that we saw in Example 1 is Dell's decision to sell directly to end consumers instead of wholesalers. Dell was able to sell directly to the more dispersed and less powerful consumers because to this innovation in the market at the time, bypassing the more consolidated and strong wholesalers. Reduced consumer power made Dell's business more appealing than those of its rivals. A company may create some or all of the resources it needs when it joins a new industry. The company may proactively develop resources the way Wal-Mart did if it fills a niche or white space.

The new venture might potentially be in a market or sector that is still in its infancy and for which it is unclear what resources would be required. In such situation, the business might engage in competition to develop a competitive edge in the new resources.

Some of the incumbents in the company are likely to respond to the entrance if a corporation joins a new industry utilizing novel gaming activities. They may accept the entry, reject it, or go after a hybrid of the two. In either scenario, the newcomer's actions might be crucial. It would be wiser to assess how its new competitors may respond.

When authorized by laws, it may be preferable to work together with competitors rather than engage in destructive rivalry like price wars. A company may be better placed working together to create a sizable network in marketplaces that display network externalities since the more consumers a network has, the more valuable that network is to those customers.

If a company must compete, it would be wiser to target businesses whose past obligations, predominate management theories, and sunk costs preclude them from attempting to duplicate or surpass the company. The company has greater leeway to create first-mover advantages and transform them into better value that the firm may utilize thanks to these incumbent disadvantages.

Take Advantage of Environmental Threats and Opportunities

A company may pursue new game activities that provide it a competitive edge when entering a new business by taking advantage of the possibilities and risks in its surroundings. For instance, eBay entered the auction business by using the Internet, a disruptive technology. The vast majority of other companies that used the Internet to penetrate new markets did the same.

New Game Type

Making an industry appealing to new entrants or reducing entry costs depends on the sort of new game strategy a company employs to join a new business as well as the type of game incumbents are playing in the new business.

Face Companies in the New Business Regular Game

Trying to outplay the market's dominating corporations at their own game is probably going to be challenging. Remember that in a typical new game, a company leverages on the resources already in place that support competitive advantage in the industry to deliver a new product that consumers value, but the product does not entirely replace current goods in the market. A newcomer to the industry would be better suited focusing on a game that is either innovative, resource-building, or position-building. The underlying premise is that it would be difficult for a new player to overtake Coke and Pepsi in the carbonated soft drink market by making little adjustments to cola drinks or the way the beverages are promoted. Coca-Cola is unbeatable at being Coca-Cola. Instead, one has a chance if one adopts a revolutionary approach in which the resources used and the product-market position adopted are so unlike from one another that current resources cannot be utilized to supply the new product, and the ensuing new product makes previous ones noncompetitive.

A company is in a stronger position to create and take advantage of first-mover advantages by following a revolutionary strategy, which increases the new business' attractiveness for the new entrant firm. The disadvantages that incumbents in the new company may have, such as previous obligations from which they are unable to break free, might also be exploited. If a company has unique resources it can use to pursue a resource-building strategy in the new industry, it may be preferable to utilize such a strategy to join a new industry where the key players engage in routine game play. Remember that in a resource-building game, a company offers items using resources that are vastly different from those that are already employed in the market, yet previous products continue to be competitive. A company may also play a position-building game in which it develops fresh resources for the new venture while offering a product that makes the current ones uncompetitive. Additionally, it would increase the firm's interest in the entry.

Face the Challenge of Resource Development

A prospective new entrant to the market may be better off pursuing a position-building or ground-breaking new game if the game being played by dominating enterprises in the new industry is resource-building. According to this theory, if businessmen have been playing a game of resource creation, some of them are likely to have established first-mover advantages in the new resources.

Therefore, it will probably be challenging to outperform these incumbents in their current roles. A new entrant may thus be better suited adopting a ground-breaking new game that eliminates the incumbents' current resource advantages and makes their current offerings noncompetitive. By finding new wants and addressing those needs with resources that build on current resources, a new entrant might potentially pursue a position-building new game. This is the new strategy Sony used when it joined the market for video game consoles. In order to create consoles that met the demands of a new market segment: adults who wanted to play video games, its 32-bit video game technology based on already-existing abilities, know-how, and expertise in video games. The 32-bit games finally made 16-bit PCs that were already in use uncompetitive.

Position-building in New Business: A New Game

If incumbents' primary strategy in the new business is position-building, then it is probable that these incumbents have created competitive advantages in their PMPs. Therefore, a new player is better suited developing a revolutionary or resource-building new game if they want to increase their appeal in the new market. The existing goods and supporting resources of an incumbent are rendered obsolete by a breakthrough new game, giving the newcomer the chance to establish first-mover advantages in resources and product-market positioning.

Engage in Revolutionary New Business

If the revolutionary new game being played by the incumbents in the new industry is also available to new competitors. If incumbents are still playing a revolutionary new game and no one has a competitive edge, then the market is by definition in flux. Thus, until they are able to concentrate on their competitive advantages utilizing either resource- building or position-building methods, new entrants may do better to pursue the same innovative new game.

Application of the Framework

From the title of article, it is obvious that one is better off investigating the three criteria when starting a new company, particularly when diversifying from one's present firm. The tests may also prove to be quite helpful when switching from one market sector to another, particularly if the new category contains distinct co-competitors. Additionally, since new technologies often lead to new methods of producing and appropriating value, it is worthwhile for a corporation to investigate the three criteria when using a new technology. As a result, coopetitors and their relationships often change, the sector changes in terms of attractiveness, and what it takes to own a competitive edge also alters.

Measures and Frameworks for Strategies

Students of strategic management should comprehend these models for three reasons. First off, just one of the models—God alone knows which one—might be understood by your interviewer, employer, customer, possible ally, rival, acquirer, consultant, venture investor, or acquisition target.

Despite the demand from consulting companies to switch to newer and better models, most individuals continue to use the model that has worked for them. For instance, even though the SWOT analysis is seen as outdated by many strategy researchers, it is nevertheless crucial to several big companies' strategic planning procedures. Second, since the field of strategic management is so broad, no one framework can be used to answer every question. One model does not meet all needs.

The strategy scholar has more alternatives and freedom when they are able to comprehend as many models as they can. Even better, it makes it easier to comprehend the perspectives of others. Third, we examine the frameworks historically to provide an overview of the development of strategic management. Understanding various viewpoints and speculating on the direction the profession could be headed can both benefit from knowing this background.

Benefits and Drawbacks of Using Frameworks

Although there are benefits unique to each framework, there are also benefits that apply to frameworks as a whole.

Simplicity

Frameworks are often straightforward and basic enough for managers to understand. Some of them resemble a 2 2 matrix, which is simple to see and comprehend. Such clarity makes it simpler for more people to comprehend and participate in the debates and arguments that shape a manager's **ultimate choice.**

Platform and Language Shared

Frameworks provide people a common vocabulary to ask questions, to suggest various scenarios, and to convey diverse approaches to a problem. They provide typical conversational beginning points. Although a framework may not provide a definitive answer to a problem, it can serve as a springboard for managers to explore other options.

Simplicity and Completeness

A good framework has all it needs to have while still being economical with resources; in other words, it avoids not include everything. As a result, users do not need to repeat the process of determining what information should be included and what should not.

Starting Point for Data Collection

Choosing which data to gather for an analysis is one of the main challenges in strategic analysis. The cases that students are often required to evaluate typically come with a ton of data and graphs linked to them, providing some suggestions to the students as to what the case's answer is all about. In the real world, managers who must make choices often do not have access to a lot of data and frequently are unsure of the factors for which they should gather data or where to begin their search. A framework significantly reduces the scope and expense of data acquisition. Data may be easily gathered for the variables included in the framework.

Disadvantages

Many of the benefits of frameworks' features may also be drawbacks. Consider simplicity as an example. Even though they are simple to use, very basic frameworks sometimes exclude crucial variables, which limits their applicability in different settings. That is one reason why it's important to employ strategy models with extreme caution. Some concepts that don't fit inside a framework that makes up a language and a platform are inevitably locked out. Additionally, there is a chance that some students may grasp a particular framework well and attempt to use it to answer every topic that is posed. If one is aware of the benefits and drawbacks of each framework before using it, these drawbacks won't be a huge deal.

SWOT

Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Threats is referred to as SWOT. The study conducted by Albert Humphrey at Stanford University in the 1960s and 1970s led to the development of the SWOT framework. It was created as a tool for strategic planning that could be used to assess a company's internal strengths and weaknesses as well as those external opportunities and dangers that the business faced and might take advantage of. SWOT analysis is quite compatible with Professor Alfred Chandler's widely accepted 1962 definition of strategy as "the determination of the basic long-term goals and objectives of an enterprise, and the adoption of courses of action and the allocation of resources necessary for carrying out these goals." The premise is that a company must follow certain courses of action while employing the proper resources if it is to achieve its goals and objectives. A firm's strategies and resources may be its strengths or weaknesses, depending on the aims and objectives. A

corporation might achieve its aims and objectives, in comparison to its rivals, by aligning these strengths and weaknesses to the possibilities and risks of its environment.

Key Components of the SWOT Analysis

The components of a SWOT analysis are: Opportunities and threats are external factors because they are outside of a firm, in its competitive and macro environments, whereas strengths and weaknesses are internal factors because they are specific to a firm's activities and resources.

Strengths

A company's strengths in a SWOT analysis are those traits that contribute favorably to the achievement of its goals and objectives. For instance, if a company's aims and objectives are to have a competitive edge, its strengths are those traits that contribute favorably to its capacity to generate a greater rate of profits than its rivals. Such advantages would include unique, priceless assets and skills like a brand, patents, copyrights, distribution methods, shelf space, customer connections, and so forth. If the objective is to win a war, the strengths are those elements that help to win the fight.

Weaknesses

The traits that make it difficult for a company to achieve its aims and objectives are considered to be its weaknesses. The traits that hinder a company's capacity to generate a greater rate of profits than its rivals are its shortcomings if its aims and objectives are to have a competitive edge.

A company's shortcomings in gaining a competitive edge include a dearth of unique assets and skills, as well as a poor reputation or strained relationships with other businesses. One of Ford and GM's vulnerabilities in the 2000s was their inability to manufacture fuel-efficient vehicles that would sell well enough. A vulnerability might also be location. Being born in a less developed nation might be quite weak.

Opportunities

Opportunities for a company are those outside forces that contribute favorably to the achievement of its aims and objectives. Opportunities are those external circumstances that contribute favorably to a firm's capacity to generate and appropriate value more effectively than its rivals, if a firm's aims and objectives are to perform better than its competitors. Opportunities for a company include satisfying client wants, using new technology to undercut a rival company's position in the market, and so forth.

Threats

External elements that hinder a business's ability to achieve its aims and objectives are threats to that firm. If a company's aims and objectives are to increase profits, then the external variables that limit its capacity to generate more profits than its rivals are the firm's threats. Threats to a company achieving a competitive advantage could include things like shifting consumer preferences, disruptive technical advancements, or unfriendly government laws that limit the company's capacity to produce and appropriate value.

Contextuality of Elements

The environment in which a firm's attribute is employed determines whether the trait is a strength or weakness. In the brick-and-mortar period, for instance, a video rental company's long-term lease agreements with video shops in prime locations were a strength; now, in the face of the Internet, similar arrangements might be weaknesses if the business is unable to

break out of them. A chance for one company may pose a danger to another. For instance, a disruptive technology presents a chance for entrepreneurs looking to challenge market leaders while posing a risk to those leaders.

Growth/Share Matrix from BCG

As many companies added new ventures to their portfolios in the late 1960s and early 1970s, more and more companies found themselves managing several enterprises. These companies had to decide how much of their limited resources, such as cash, should be distributed across their several businesses. What kind of enterprises ought a company to engage in first? Which ones need to be discarded? What should each company's performance goals be? These questions might be investigated using the Growth-Share Matrix, established by the Boston Consulting Group in the early 1970s. It evolved from research conducted on "experience curve effects" by Bruce Hender, the son of BCG. The concept behind the experience curve was that a business would benefit from a cost advantage, which some at the time thought to be the advantage, if its per-unit cost decreased as its cumulative production rose. The concept was widely used at the time as businesses utilized it to examine their business divisions, product lines, regional divisions, and global divisions. It was well-liked not just in portfolio analysis and international management but also in brand marketing, product management, and strategic management. Since it might be used to investigate various corporate portfolios, it would become a "portfolio analysis model.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, A diverse and practical strategy to corporate growth and development may be found in investigating alternatives to entering new business sectors. Businesses must match their growth plans to their core skills and market prospects, acknowledging that internal optimization, strategic alliances, and organic expansion may all be equally effective routes to success. Companies may promote sustainable development, improve competitive advantage, and accomplish their long-term strategic goals in the constantly shifting business environment by strategically using these choices. The efficacy of alternatives to expanding into new markets, however, relies on a detailed analysis of the particular context and competitive environment of each organization. A thorough examination of market dynamics, consumer demands, and competitive positioning should guide all strategic decision-making.

REFERENCES:

[1] M. Müller, W. Vorraber, and W. Slany, “Open principles in new business models for information systems,” *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*. 2019. doi: 10.3390/joitmc5010006.

[2] J. Mota and L. M. de Castro, “Embedding of a new business as a cumulative process of combining different but complementary types of projects: The case of a project-based firm,” *Ind. Mark. Manag.*, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.indmarman.2017.12.003.

[3] M. Fritsch and S. Kublina, “Persistence and change of regional new business formation in the national league table,” *J. Evol. Econ.*, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s00191-019-00610-5.

[4] E. A. Mack and K. Credit, “New Business Activity and Employment Dynamics in the Inner City: The Case of Phoenix, Arizona,” *Urban Aff. Rev.*, 2019, doi: 10.1177/1078087417709600.

[5] M. Fritsch, M. Obschonka, and M. Wyrwich, “Historical roots of entrepreneurship-facilitating culture and innovation activity: an analysis for German regions,” *Reg. Stud.*, 2019, doi: 10.1080/00343404.2019.1580357.

[6] S. Nikiel, "New business models for Cultural and Creative Institutions," *Management*, 2019, doi: 10.2478/manment-2019-0022.

[7] K. Credit, "Transitive properties: a spatial econometric analysis of new business creation around transit," *Spat. Econ. Anal.*, 2019, doi: 10.1080/17421772.2019.1523548.

[8] A. Hilmi, "The Alfredo Namitete agroecology credit system: A new business model that supports small-scale lending," *Sustain.*, 2019, doi: 10.3390/su11154062.

[9] Y. Yin, R. Zhang, H. Gao, and M. Xi, "New Retail Business Analysis and Modeling: A Taobao Case Study," *IEEE Trans. Comput. Soc. Syst.*, 2019, doi: 10.1109/TCSS.2019.2933486.

[10] C. Chen and S. Cheng, "The Effects of Corruption and Regulation on Business Entrepreneurship: Evidence from American States," *Public Perform. Manag. Rev.*, 2019, doi: 10.1080/15309576.2019.1574593.

CHAPTER 24

ANALYZING THE GROWTH MATRIX FRAMEWORK: AN OVERVIEW

Dr. Somprabh Dubey, Associate Professor, Department of Business Studies & Entrepreneurship,
Shobhit University, Gangoh, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- somprabh.dubey@shobhituniversity.ac.in

Dr. (Prof.) Ashok Kumar, Professor, Department of Business Studies,
Shobhit Deemed University, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India,
Email Id- dr.ashok@shobhituniversity.ac.in

ABSTRACT:

The Growth/Share Matrix, also known as the Boston Consulting Group (BCG) Matrix, is a widely-used strategic tool that helps businesses analyze their portfolio of products or business units. This research explores the Growth/Share Matrix framework and its applications in strategic decision-making and game development. By analyzing case studies and industry examples, this study aims to shed light on how the Growth/Share Matrix can guide businesses in allocating resources, making investment decisions, and driving growth strategies. The research also examines the limitations and considerations for effectively implementing the Growth/Share Matrix in diverse business contexts the Growth/Share Matrix framework has provided valuable insights into its effectiveness as a strategic tool for portfolio analysis. By plotting products or business units on a two-dimensional matrix based on market growth rate and relative market share, the Growth/Share Matrix offers a clear visual representation of their strategic position.

KEYWORDS: Ansoff Matrix, Diversification, Expansion, Growth Strategies, Game Development.

INTRODUCTION

The Growth/Share Matrix paradigm offers managers of multi-business enterprises a common language, platform, and entry point to investigate the crucial concerns of how much of the firm's limited resources should be distributed to each unit. What industries should the company operate in? Which ones need to be discarded? What should each business's performance goals be? It might be used to examine not only the performance of a single business unit but also the performance of units in other nations or regions, as well as that of various brands, products, technologies, and significant clients, as well as revenue models and sources. The relative market share and market growth rate are the only two straightforward variables in the framework. Because of its simplicity, "what next" discussions are simpler for managers to comprehend and take part in.

Two simple variables may not reflect the drivers of a unit's performance and so may be an oversimplification, even while utilizing just two variables makes it simpler for people to comprehend and engage in decision-making arguments. It is important to investigate other factors [1], [2]. The model's central tenet, the experience effects, proved out not to be the primary factor influencing performance in many sectors. The only industries where experience effects mattered were semiconductors and aircraft. Even in these two businesses, it was believed that other elements had a significant role. The framework makes the assumption that a sector that isn't expanding now won't expand tomorrow; in other words, the model is stagnant. technical discontinuities, according to research on technical innovation, may revitalize an industry and significantly boost growth rates. It may not be a good idea to categorize a group as dogs or cows [3], [4].

Matrix of GE/McKinsey

One of the multi-business companies that encountered the corporate-level issues we outlined above in the 1960s was General Electric Company. These issues included: GE collaborated as a consultant with McKinsey to investigate these issues. The GE/McKinsey matrix was one result of the work done by both companies in the late 1960s and early 1970s. The GE/McKinsey matrix is a portfolio analysis model, much as the BCG Growth/Share matrix that came before it. It takes use of the fact that both industry- and company-specific variables influence firm performance. Industry Attractiveness serves as the model's proxy for industry characteristics, while Business Strength/Competitive Position serves as the model's proxy for firm-specific factors [5], [6].

Framework's Components

The matrix's horizontal axis measures corporate strength and competitive position, while the vertical axis measures industry attractiveness [7], [8].

Attractiveness of the industry

The market growth rate variable of the Growth/Share matrix is replaced with the industry attractiveness of the GE/McKinsey matrix. Industry attractiveness is also a composite metric.

1. Entry and exit barriers
2. Cyclicality
3. New risks and opportunities
4. Profit margins in the sector
5. Competition level;
6. Macroenvironmental variables
7. Market expansion rate
8. Market volume
9. Seasonality
10. Capital and technological needs.

The relative market share component of the Growth/Share Matrix is replaced with the Business Strength/Competitive Position of the GE/McKinsey matrix. This was assessed using a mix of the following:

1. Competing with or outperforming competitors in product and service quality
2. Understanding of consumers and markets
3. Capability in management
4. Possession of appealing special skills
5. Profit margins in comparison to rivals
6. Position in relation to cost
7. Market share, relative

8. Technological prowess.

The size of each circle in the GE/McKinsey matrix represents the size of the market for the relevant unit, while the shaded portion of the circle represents the unit's proportion of the market. In the three-by-three matrix, units that are located in the quadrant with the highest industry attractiveness and the strongest business strength/competitive position for a company are extremely profitable, and a company should make investments in them and take other strategic actions to develop them [9], [10]. A company should also grow and invest in those units that are in markets with a medium level of attraction but a strong level of business strength or competitive position, or those that are in markets with a high level of attractiveness and a median level of business strength or competitive position. Units in industries with low or medium attractiveness and weak business strength or competitive position should be sold or harvested in another way, as should units in industries with low attractiveness and average business strength or competitive position. In order to make the troops in the other quadrants more proficient, several tactics should be investigated.

GE/McKinsey Matrix's benefits and drawbacks

The BCG Growth/Share Matrix has some of the same merits and shortcomings as the GE/McKinsey Matrix, with the crucial distinction that the former uses more complicated metrics.

Advantages

The GE/McKinsey matrix framework offered management of multi-business enterprises a consistent language, platform, and starting point to address the important challenges faced by multi-business firms, much as the BCG Growth/Share matrix did. It might be used to examine not only the performance of a single business unit but also the performance of units in other nations or regions, as well as that of various brands, products, technologies, and significant clients, as well as revenue models and sources. A more accurate measurement of the industry- and company-specific characteristics, two important predictors of firm success, was supplied by the more complicated measures for industry attractiveness and business strength.

Disadvantages

The GE/McKinsey matrix becomes very complicated and challenging for managers to comprehend by including a variety of different elements to gauge industry attractiveness. Managers find it harder to understand the model as a result. The laundry list of factors that make up the combination used to assess an industry's attractiveness or a company's strength may grow swiftly. Given that it says nothing about how the variables change over time, the framework is still a static model. Dismissing potential synergies between a unit and more profitable ones by selling a unit because the industry is now unattractive and the unit's business strength is poor.

Five Forces in Porter

As the name implies, Professor Michael Porter of the Harvard Business School created the Porter's Five Forces paradigm, which was first published in his 1979 article in the Harvard Business Review and book Competitive Strategy in 1980. It is a framework for figuring out an industry's typical profitability. There was no clear method to connect these aspects to profitability, despite the fact that the SWOT analysis had enabled analysts to create lists of factors that presented threats to a corporation and another that gave opportunities for a firm. Porter's Five Forces gave analysts a way to connect an industry's competitive possibilities and threats to its profitability. The framework identifies five competitive dynamics that influence

industry businesses and impact how profitable an industry is on average. How do these factors affect the profitability of an industry? Let's think about each force, beginning with Entry Barriers. Industry businesses may afford to maintain their pricing at a high level without drawing many new entrants if barriers to entry are strong, or if the threat of potential new entry is low. This usually boosts industrial earnings. The business would see new entrants, however, if companies charged high prices for their outputs or sold large quantities of the product, signaling to new entrants that there was a lot of money to be earned in the market. Reasonable industry businesses are prone to keep their prices low because of fear of luring numerous new entrants, which tends to lower industry earnings.

The suppliers are likely to demand high prices for the inputs that industry companies require to produce and deliver goods if the suppliers' bargaining strength is high, i.e., if suppliers have bargaining power over industry businesses. Suppliers with strong negotiating positions are also more likely to pressure industrial companies to accept inputs of lesser quality than they would want. Industry companies' expenses would increase and their profits will decrease if they are compelled to pay higher prices for their materials. Industry companies are unlikely to demand the kind of premium they would prefer from their own consumers if they are compelled to accept substandard components. Additionally, they may have to invest more money to enhance the subpar suppliers' quality. Industry company profitability declines in both scenarios. If industrial businesses had negotiating power over their suppliers, the reverse would be true. They would control the terms of the transaction and be more able to demand lower input costs and better inputs from their suppliers. Higher profitability for industrial businesses would be the outcome.

If consumers have significant bargaining power, they may compel businesses to accept lower prices or to supply goods of greater quality than they would want to at prices associated with lesser-quality goods. As a consequence, industry profitability is probably going to be reduced. Buyers with weak bargaining power may be forced to accept higher costs for inferior goods or subjected to increased pricing from industry businesses. Higher industrial profitability is the end effect. Industry companies are compelled to keep their prices low or risk losing market share if competition among existing firms is intense, as is the case when industry development is slow or when businesses are offering undifferentiated goods. They could also be obliged to spend more money in order to stand out from the competition without charging the proper price increases. As a consequence, industrial profitability is decreased. When there is no competition, businesses may afford to keep their prices high and yet remain profitable. Industry businesses are required to maintain their prices low if the Threat of replacements is strong, which means that the goods in the industry are such that consumers may use replacements, or else customers would move to substitutes. As a consequence, industry earnings are probably going to decline. Industry earnings are probably going to be greater if the danger of replacements is minimal.

DISCUSSION

Determinant of Barriers to Entry

When economies of scale are large that is, if the Minimum efficient scale is high in relation to market share barriers to entrance tend to be high. The cost of a product per unit decreases when a company produces more of that specific product. Beyond a certain volume, the reduction in unit cost comes to an end. The least volume a company must produce in order to achieve the lowest feasible per-unit cost on the market is known as the minimum efficient scale. To have the same low cost per unit as incumbents, a new entrant must produce at least this amount. Two significant issues arise for an applicant when the MES is high. It must first have a sufficient number of clients who want the high volume required by the MES it must achieve. Second, by

producing such a large amount of MES, the new competitor is essentially expanding the market with that much more merchandise. Prices would be cheaper the greater the MES and, thus, the more of the product a new entrant would have to introduce to the market. Since they may anticipate prices to drop significantly given how much capacity they have to contribute to the sector, rational prospective new entrants are less inclined to participate if the minimum efficient scale is big. High economies of scale may, in fact, create a high barrier to entry.

Product differentiation imposes yet another entrance hurdle. If a company provides a product that is highly distinct in the market, every possible new entrant hoping to take market share from the company must be able to copy the product or distinguish its own product in some other manner. This may be challenging. Why? Getting those qualities that set the product apart could cost a lot of effort and money. Consider Toyota's Lexus vehicles. First of all, it is difficult to pinpoint exactly what gives the automobile its dependability, fun and feel, and consumer perception. Second, even if one could pinpoint the factors that go into creating each of these characteristics, it may not be feasible to duplicate or outperform them. Building such capacities involves money, time, and effort. Many possible new competitors could lack these skills. These factors make product differentiation a potentially high entrance hurdle. Brand identity, switching costs, capital needs, distribution access, absolute cost advantages, government policies, and anticipated reprisal are other factors that affect entrance barriers.

Determinants of Suppliers' Bargaining Power

Firms are less likely to migrate from one supplier to another if the items that suppliers offer to them are significantly differentiated that is, if inputs are distinct. In other words, differentiated inputs provide their suppliers negotiating strength. Such a supplier has the power to demand greater costs from business clients or to compel them to accept inputs of lower caliber than is typically anticipated. It's unlikely that businesses will locate another source with the unique input quality. Microprocessors, for instance, are extremely distinctive inputs to computers because they contain certain properties that computers need. This offers microprocessor manufacturers more negotiating leverage over PC manufacturers than one would often anticipate from suppliers with regard to their clients. The switching costs that businesses would face if they switched suppliers is another factor that affects suppliers' negotiating strength. The more expensive these switching costs are, the more negotiating leverage providers are expected to have. The expenses a company has to pay when it moves suppliers are referred to as switching costs. For instance, moving to a vehicle with a manual gearbox is expensive for those who learned to drive with an automatic transmission.

Determiners of Buyers' Bargaining Power

Buyer concentration in relation to company concentration has a significant impact on the buyers' ability to negotiate. The more consumers competing for a company's goods, the better off the company is since it may pit purchasers against one another. Businesses may find another buyer if one buyer rejects their conditions. Therefore, buyers are likely to have negotiating power if they are in an industry that is concentrated in comparison to the firm's industry. In certain circumstances, the buyer industry may not be highly concentrated, but it may have one or more prominent buyers who have a lot of influence due to the enormous amounts of goods they purchase and who, in such circumstances, establish the pricing trend. For instance, compared to industries for providers of goods like detergents, etc., the retail business is not as concentrated. But since they make such a vast number of transactions, big businesses like Wal-Mart have a lot of negotiating leverage.

The ability of purchasers to negotiate also depends on the degree of product differentiation. When consumers acquire homogeneous goods from businesses, they are more inclined to pit

those businesses against one another than when the goods are differentiated. If there are no switching costs for the product, buyers are much more inclined to pit companies against one another; yet, if industry companies provide unique goods, their chances of having negotiating leverage over customers are improved the remaining factors that affect purchasers' ability to negotiate. These factors are sometimes separated into those that relate to bargaining leverage and those that relate to price sensitivity. Price sensitivity determinants are those that have more to do with the goods and their characteristics than with the companies themselves, while bargaining leverage determinants are those that rely more on the businesses themselves.

Factors that Influence Industry Rivalry

Investors often put pressure on a lot of businesses to boost profits. Businesses may reach these profits projections if there is strong industry growth without having to compete for customers' business. However, businesses can be inclined to attempt to take market share away from their competitors if industry growth is sluggish or falling. Firms may engage in pricing wars or introduce or promote unneeded products in an effort to gain market share, which may reduce industry earnings. In essence, industrial competition is more likely to be intense the slower the industry growth rate. High fixed expenses in comparison to variable costs might also intensify competition within a sector. Why? The proceeds from the sale of a product by a company are used to pay variable expenses, fixed costs, and a profit margin. If the prices are high enough to cover their variable expenses, businesses may be persuaded to sell their goods at a loss during difficult times. The lower the business can set its prices while still covering variable expenses, the greater the fixed costs are compared to variable costs. This may significantly lower industry profitability. Effectively, we may anticipate more industry competition the higher the fixed costs are in comparison to variable expenses.

Factors that Influence the Threat of Replacement

Customers may purchase substitutes in place of industry items by purchasing goods from outside the industry or market. In other words, replacements may be an issue for industrial items if they have the correct relative price-performance. Customers will resort to substitutes if they do the duties that products typically execute for customers and do so at a decent price. Existing consumers must start switching from industrial goods to substitutes in order to begin purchasing substitutes. replacements are less likely to pose a threat to industry businesses if transferring from industry goods to replacements is expensive.

Benefits of using Porter's Five Forces

Attractiveness of the industry

The analysis of an industry's attractiveness or the degree to which an industry is, on average, profitable is one of the main applications of Porter's Five Forces. Because these forces indicate that industry firms are, on average, profitable, the industry is said to be attractive if the competitive forces acting on industry firms are low. These forces include the bargaining power of suppliers, the threat of new entry, the bargaining power of buyers, the threat of substitutes, and rivalry among existing firms. Due to the fact that industry businesses are often unprofitable, an industry is considered to be unappealing if there are strong competitive pressures at play. It is crucial to realize that just because an industry is, on average, unprofitable, it does not follow that all of its companies are also unprofitable. An industry's average profitability, not the profitability of individual companies, is what is determined through a Five Forces study. The profitability of a company is influenced by both firm- and industry-specific variables. A Five Forces study offers some insight into the performance factor affecting the industry, but it offers little to no insight into the element affecting the company. business-specific variables are what

give a business a competitive edge since they allow it to outperform its competitors in the industry in which they all compete. A Five Forces study of the PC sector, for instance, would show that it is not a particularly appealing industry. Dell was nonetheless quite profitable between 1994 and 1999. The method used by Dell to more than make up for the unattractiveness of the sector and turn Dell into a profit maker was influenced by a number of firm-specific elements. One of these was the company's new game plan, which included selling directly to end users and concentrating on corporate clients with annual sales of over $1 million.

Recognize the Threats and Opportunities

One may successfully identify the opportunities and risks of the competitive environment by evaluating the factors that influence each force. The company may then make use of its advantages to seize the chances and mitigate the risks. For instance, a company may collaborate with the supplier to develop secondary sources for the component if there is just one supplier providing a crucial input to several businesses. This successfully reduces the influence of suppliers. Think of another example. Brands may be strengthened with the correct marketing investments if businesses can distinguish their goods utilizing brand reputations. For instance, Coke and Pepsi spend a lot of money on advertising to keep their brands strong. A Five Forces study effectively serves as a framework for identifying both friendly and hostile forces, allowing managers to subsequently develop the best plans of action to neutralize repressive forces and strengthen friendly ones. The Five Forces approach offers a significant benefit over a SWOT analysis in this area. A list produced by a SWOT analysis has a tendency to turn into a laundry list very rapidly. Furthermore, a SWOT analysis does not establish a connection between the risks and vulnerabilities identified and profitability. Does a Five Forces analysis?

Business Systems Approach in Use

One of the main teachings of the business systems idea is that there are many other methods to obtain a strategic advantage than product innovation. The traditional method of introducing a product to the market might be altered. An excellent example is selling old books or movies online.

Chain Value Analysis

The notion behind a value chain is that something is done to the work-in-process at each level of a business system to get it closer to the product that consumers value. Consider the business model of a company like a car manufacturer to learn how. When the product design unit develops an automobile, it creates value. A consumer who views the design will have some concept of what the automobile will look like after seeing it. When the manufacturing facility turns the design into a vehicle with the characteristics specified in the design, it adds value by bringing the automobile closer to what buyer’s desire. Marketing adds value by providing consumers with information about the automobile that causes them to see the car as having more worth to them than they would have otherwise recognized. By bringing the automobile to potential buyers so they can see it up close, test drive it, and get behind the wheel, distribution increases value.

By maintaining or repairing the vehicle or reassuring the prospective buyer that service would be available when needed, the service unit provides value. Professor Michael Porter of the Harvard Business School first used the term value chain in his 1985 book to describe the series of actions that a company takes to generate value as it converts its inputs into outputs. He separated the main and supporting operations of a generic value chain.

Value Chain Components and Basic Activities

These include operations, outbound logistics, marketing and sales, service, and inbound logistics. The actions taken to receive, sort, store, retrieve, and distribute inputs for a product or service are referred to as inbound logistics. These tasks might involve scheduling, inventory management, allocating inputs to various distribution hubs, and processing supplier returns, depending on the business.

Operations are the processes that turn inputs into finished goods. These operations might include fabrication, machining, milling, assembling, testing, quality control, and other processes, depending on the industry. Outbound logistics are the actions taken to deliver the final product to customers. These tasks may involve receiving the final product, storing it, distributing it, and handling returns from customers, depending on the business. Sales and marketing efforts are what entice people to purchase the completed product at competitive costs. They include of things like channel selection, promotion, pricing, advertising, answers to questions or requests for quotes, merchandising, and other things. Services are tasks that increase or sustain a product's value, including installation, instruction, maintenance, provision of replacement components, and disposal.

Support Exercises

Technology development, purchasing, company infrastructure, and human resource management are all support activities for a generic value chain. Technology development: This major activity is impacted by technology development. Technology development in inbound logistics may involve communications, transportation, materials handling, information technology, or inventory management. Technology development in operations may include materials, manufacturing, packaging, construction, and information technology. Technology development in outbound logistics may include handling of goods, information systems, and transportation. Technology development might include communications and information systems in marketing and sales. Technology development in the services industry might include information systems and testing. Purchases of the inputs utilized in the main operations are made via procurement. They consist of requesting information or quotes from others, haggling with vendors, etc.

The acquisitions include things like supplies, machinery, structures, and land. Infrastructure of the company: these include supporting tasks including accounting, finance, general management, planning, legal and government services, information systems, and quality management. Human resource management is the process of finding, hiring, and developing personnel as well as paying them.

Accounting for Firm-Specific Effects

A value chain analysis may be used to estimate the firm-specific characteristics that contribute to the firm's success, much as Porter's Five Forces analysis can be used to estimate industry attractiveness and, therefore, the industry factors that can affect a firm's performance. A company may determine which stages of its value chain provide the most value and why by analyzing the various stages of its value chain, the value added at each step, how much it costs to add the value, the competencies required, and the value drivers. In order to improve its prospects of achieving or retaining a competitive edge in the marketplaces in which it competes, a corporation may use this information to assist it determine where to spend more.

Data Organizational Framework

A value chain analysis may function as an organizing framework for managers to direct them in their scenario analysis on which tasks to do, much like a Five Forces analysis. Companies might contrast their value chains with those of their rivals.

Value Framework

Despite the fact that we have concentrated on the value chain of a single business, suppliers and purchasers also have value chains. A value system is the combination of a supplier's value chain, the focal firm's value chain, and a buyer's value chain. Each value chain is really a component of a bigger system of value chains known as a value system. Sadly, the majority of individuals just refer to the value system as a value chain without drawing any distinctions.

Generic Value Chain Analysis's Drawbacks

The actions that are carried out to add value in many industrial businesses are not successful using the general value chain. It does not, however, take into account the value-adding processes in many other businesses. There is no inbound and outbound logistics in the consulting, financial services, insurance, software, hospitals, search engine firms, real estate, or any of the many other service enterprises. Furthermore, contrary to what the value chain architecture says, the operations in these businesses are not organized in chains.

Configurations of Value

Professors Charles Stabell and Oystein Fjeldstad of the Norwegian School of Management said that there are three configurations for understanding the value produced when businesses engage in value-adding activities, with the value chain being only one of them. Value Network and Value Shop are the other two. The value chain, value shop, and value network are all various arrangements for adding value, thus Professors Stabell and Fjeldstad referred to them as value configurations in their paper, which was published in the Strategic Management Journal in 1998.

Worth Network

The value network is the set up that businesses utilize to provide value when they act as a middleman between other businesses or between people. These businesses include commercial banks that act as a middleman between savers and borrowers, auctioneers that act as a middleman between sellers and buyers, credit card companies that act as a middleman between cardholders and merchants, and distributors who act as a middleman between producers and end-users.

By assembling the ideal network of borrowers and savers and leveraging deposits to produce loans, a bank may increase value. A bank's depositors are likely to be in better financial shape the more savings it has, and vice versa. By building a sizable network of buyers and sellers and facilitating trades between them, an auction house like eBay generates value. Both buyers and sellers benefit from a greater number of vendors.

By assembling the ideal network of merchants and cardholders and allowing cardholders to use their cards to make purchases from merchants, a credit card firm creates value. The more often a certain card is used, the better off the businesses that accept it are. Each cardholder is expected to do better the more businesses that take the card. Building the network that the parties value and engaging in the kinds of activities that allow for direct or indirect transactions between network members are how these companies that mediate between various parties provide value. This is in contrast to a factory who has a value chain and is responsible for incoming and

outgoing logistics, the conversion of physical inputs into outputs, and the disposal of these products. Value network setups receive their name from the fact that constructing and using a network is what value addition is all about.

Basic Activities

Promotion of the network and contract management are the processes used to choose and invite prospective consumers to join the network. Initialization, administration, and cancellation of contracts are among them. Service provisioning: These are the procedures for creating, keeping up, and severing connections with clients. They also consist of tasks related to consumer billing. Network infrastructure operation: These tasks include looking after a company's information and physical infrastructures.

Value Outlet

Inbound and outbound logistics have little to do with adding value in organizations like hospitals, consulting firms, law firms, architecture firms, and engineering professional services like petroleum exploration, which calls for a different value chain configuration. It involves identifying and fixing consumers' issues together.

Equilibrium Scorecard

Professor Robert Kaplan of the Harvard Business School and Dr. David Norton created the balanced scorecard framework, a performance evaluation tool. It requires four measuring views that provide an overview of both the operational performance now and the factors that may influence it in the future. These perspectives are financial, customer, business process, and learning and development. Traditional financial indicators like income, return on assets, return on investments, economic value added, etc. often reflect the outcomes of previous activities and provide relatively little insight into what may influence financial performance in the future. They also don't say anything about the intellectual capital that is ingrained in relationships with clients, vendors, and staff. The balanced scorecard provides measurements of some of the factors that influence future performance by integrating metrics from the perspectives of customers, business processes, and learning and development.

Balanced Scorecard's components

The vision and goals of a company are turned into actions that include the viewpoints of the client, the business process, learning and development, and the financial situation. In each perspective, a company poses a central question and responds by laying out its goals, describing how those goals will be measured from that perspective's point of view, identifying the targets it hopes to achieve in that regard, and outlining the initiatives it will use to get there.

Customer viewpoint

How do clients see us should be the main question a company asks itself. Here, the goal is for a company to transform the elements of its vision and goals that relate to consumers into metrics that accurately represent those elements. For instance, metrics like delivery lead times, product quality, performance, and service, as well as pricing, are significant to the majority of consumers and are therefore appropriate metrics.

Internal View of the Business

What must we excel at? is the main question a company should ask itself from an internal business standpoint. The aim is to find the things that a company excels at doing to satisfy

consumer wants, and then to develop the metrics that allow the company to monitor these things. Cycle time, quality, staff skill, and productivity are a few of these metrics.

View Of Learning and Development

It is crucial for a business to be able to thrive in the face of change due to the quick pace of change, particularly technological development. Therefore, a company must consider how to maintain its capacity for improvement and change. Measures including the capacity to introduce new goods, increase consumer value, and boost operational effectiveness may be used to monitor this capability.

Financial Viewpoint

The financial viewpoint evaluates whether a company's strategy and execution have benefited shareholders. Consequently, how do we seem to shareholders? Metrics like profitability, shareholder value, cash flow, operational income, return on equity, and other metrics may be used to assess how a company is perceived by its shareholders.

Benefits and Drawbacks of a Balanced Scorecard

Advantages

Before the balanced scorecard, using financial metrics was one of the only methods to assess if a company's strategy was effective; however, financial indicators often reflect the outcomes of previous activities and provide very little insight into future success. Furthermore, it was difficult to connect financial success to business operations. A company may more effectively monitor its progress towards achieving its objectives and future performance goals by supplementing these financial indicators with metrics from the perspectives of the customer, internal business, and learning and development. These additional measurements of a balanced scorecard broaden the range of what managers can manage more effectively, if the saying "You can't manage what you don't measure" is accurate. The balanced scorecard gives managers a standard vocabulary, a display format, and a place to start when having management talks about how well a firm's strategy is working toward its goals. The balanced scorecard offers a standard language for comparing the performance of rival companies, possible targets for acquisition, and potential alliance partners.

Disadvantages

A strategy, not a collection of measurements, makes up the balanced scorecard. Managers are able to monitor a strategy's performance, but it is not clear what the strategy is or how it may be improved. The framework doesn't tell anything about the activities that are influencing a firm's performance or why such actions are in fact to blame. If the saying "You can't manage what you don't measure" is accurate, using the incorrect measurements may cause a company to believe that it is making progress.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, Businesses may still examine and manage their portfolio of goods or business units using the Growth/Share Matrix approach. The Growth/Share Matrix directs resource allocation, investment choices, and growth plans by giving a clear visual picture of key positions. To make wise and sensible judgments, however, companies need combine this research with a thorough knowledge of market dynamics and strategic aims. The development/Share Matrix may be a powerful ally in directing firms towards sustainable development and success in dynamic and competitive markets when used intelligently and in conjunction with other strategy tools. The Growth/Share Matrix framework's limits must be

understood, however. Due to the two-dimensional analysis's simplicity, complicated company dynamics may be oversimplified, and the categorization of goods or business units into certain categories may not fully account for all significant elements for strategic decision-making.

REFERENCES:

[1] P. Rani and R. Srivastava, "Extra-Framework Aluminum Species of Zeolite that Surrogate the Growth of Metal Organic Framework from Zeolite Matrix," *Chem. - An Asian J.*, 2019, doi: 10.1002/asia.201900612.

[2] S. Yousefzadeh, M. Biggeri, C. Arciprete, and H. Haisma, "A Capability Approach to Child Growth," *Child Indic. Res.*, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s12187-018-9548-1.

[3] M. Hensmans, "A new matrix for building platform portfolios: how companies can sustain their leadership," *J. Bus. Strategy*, 2019, doi: 10.1108/JBS-08-2019-0162.

[4] A. Elrasheedy, N. Nady, M. Bassyouni, and A. El-Shazly, "Metal organic framework based polymer mixed matrix membranes: Review on applications in water purification," *Membranes*. 2019. doi: 10.3390/membranes9070088.

[5] S. Park, M. R. Abdul Hamid, and H. K. Jeong, "Highly Propylene-Selective Mixed-Matrix Membranes by in Situ Metal-Organic Framework Formation Using a Polymer-Modification Strategy," *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2019, doi: 10.1021/acsami.9b07106.

[6] S. Srinivasan, C. Nadir Kaplan, and L. Mahadevan, "A multiphase theory for spreading microbial swarms and films," *Elife*, 2019, doi: 10.7554/eLife.42697.

[7] C. de Vries and H. Caswell, "Stage-structured evolutionary demography: Linking life histories, population genetics, and ecological dynamics," *Am. Nat.*, 2019, doi: 10.1086/701857.

[8] T. Katopodis and A. Sfetsos, "A review of climate change impacts to oil sector critical services and suggested recommendations for industry uptake," *Infrastructures*. 2019. doi: 10.3390/infrastructures4040074.

[9] P. A. Wijeratne and V. Vavourakis, "A quantitative in silico platform for simulating cytotoxic and nanoparticle drug delivery to solid tumours," *Interface Focus*, 2019, doi: 10.1098/rsfs.2018.0063.

[10] N. Asmussen, Z. Lin, M. J. McClure, Z. Schwartz, and B. D. Boyan, "Regulation of extracellular matrix vesicles via rapid responses to steroid hormones during endochondral bone formation," *Steroids*. 2019. doi: 10.1016/j.steroids.2017.12.003.